## ON EXTENSIONS OF GROUPS OF FINITE EXPONENT

PAVEL SHUMYATSKY

Department of Mathematics, University of Brasilia, Brasilia-DF, 70910-900 Brazil e-mail: pavel@ipe.mat.unb.br

(Received 26 August, 2002; accepted 11 December, 2002)

**Abstract.** A well-known theorem of P. Hall says that if a group G contains a normal nilpotent subgroup N such that G/N' is nilpotent then G is nilpotent. We give a similar sufficient condition for a group G to be an extension of a group of finite exponent by a nilpotent group.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F12.

1. Introduction. The following famous theorem is due to P. Hall [2].

THEOREM A. Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G. If both G/N' and N are nilpotent, then so is G. Futhermore, the nilpotency class of G does not exceed  $(c-1)\frac{k(k+1)}{2} + k$ , where c and k are the classes of G/N' and N respectively.

Later Steward showed in [6] that actually the class of G in Theorem A is bounded by (c-1)(k-1) + ck. In the present paper however we are not concerned with explicit expressions for functions whose existence we are going to prove.

Hall's result proved to be an extremely useful criterion for a soluble group to be nilpotent. In particular the next theorem can be easily deduced from Theorem A.

THEOREM B. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a class of groups that is closed under taking subgroups and quotients. If all metabelian groups in  $\mathfrak{C}$  are nilpotent, then so is any soluble group in  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

In [1], Endimioni and Traustason considered the question whether the above results remain true with "nilpotent" replaced by "torsion-by-nilpotent". They obtained the following analogue to Theorem B.

THEOREM C. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a class of groups that is closed under taking subgroups and quotients. If all metabelian groups in  $\mathfrak{C}$  are torsion-by-nilpotent, then so is any soluble group in  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

The purpose of the present paper is to establish yet another analogue to Theorem B.

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a class of groups that is closed under taking subgroups and quotients. If any metabelian group in  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an extension of a group of finite exponent by a nilpotent group, then so is any soluble group in  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

The above theorem is an immediate consequence of the following quantitative result. We use the term " $\{a, b, c, \ldots\}$ -bounded" to mean "bounded from above by some function of  $a, b, c, \ldots$ ".

Supported by CNPq-Brazil.

## PAVEL SHUMYATSKY

THEOREM 1.2. Let c, d, q be positive integers. Suppose G is a soluble group with derived length d. Assume that for any i the metabelian quotient  $G^{(i)}/G^{(i+2)}$  is an extension of a group of finite exponent q by a nilpotent group of class c. Then there exist {c, d, q}-bounded numbers f and e such that G is an extension of a group of finite exponent e by a nilpotent group of class f.

In turn, Theorem 1.2 is deduced from the following analogue to Theorem A for soluble groups. We write f(c, k) for the expression  $(c-1)\frac{k(k+1)}{2} + k$ .

THEOREM 1.3. Let G be a group having a normal nilpotent of class k subgroup N such that G/N' is an extension of a group of finite exponent q by a nilpotent group of class c. Assume that  $\gamma_{c+1}(G)$  is soluble with derived length d. Then  $\gamma_{f(k,c)+1}(G)$  has finite  $\{c, d, k, q\}$ -bounded exponent.

**2. Preliminaries.** As usual, if M, N are subgroups of a group G, the subgroup  $\langle [x, y] | x \in M, y \in N \rangle$  will be denoted by [M, N]. We use the left normed notation: thus if  $N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_i$  are subgroups of G, then

$$[N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_i] = [\ldots [[N_1, N_2], N_3], \ldots, N_i].$$

The subgroup generated by all *n*th powers of elements of *M* will be denoted by  $M^n$ . It follows that  $M^{nr} \leq (M^n)^r$  for any *n*, *r*. For positive integers *d* and *q* we define the function e(d, q) by the rule  $e(d, q) = q^d$ , if *q* is odd and  $e(d, q) = 2^d q^d$ , if *q* is even.

LEMMA 2.1. Let N be a soluble normal subgroup of a group G and assume that N has derived length d. Then for any q we have  $[N, G]^{e(d,q)} \leq [N^q, G]$ .

*Proof.* Without any loss of generality we can assume that  $[N^q, G] = 1$ . The claim is true if N is abelian so we use induction on d. The induction hypothesis will be that  $[N', G]^{e(d-1,q)} = 1$ . Passing to the quotient G/[N', G] we can assume that  $N' \leq Z(G)$ , in which case N is nilpotent of class at most 2 and so for any  $a \in N$  the map from N to N' that takes an arbitrary element  $x \in N$  to [x, a] is a homomorphism whose kernel is  $C_N(a)$ . Because  $[N^q, G] = 1$ , it follows that N' has exponent dividing q. Now the Hall-Petrescu formula [3, III.9.4] (see also the proof of Lemma VIII.1.1 (b) in [4]) gives us  $[N, G]^q \leq [N^q, G]N'^{\frac{q}{2}}$  so [N, G] has exponent dividing q if q is odd and 2q otherwise. In either case the lemma follows.

Having fixed d and q, for any  $s \ge 1$  we set  $e_s = e^{d^{s-1}}$ , where e = e(d, q).

COROLLARY 2.2. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 for any s we have

$$[N, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}]^{e_s} \leq [N^q, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}].$$

*Proof.* This is straightforward by induction on *s*.

LEMMA 2.3. Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G. Let c, k be positive integers. Set s = s(c, k) = k(c - 1) + 1. Then we have

$$[\underbrace{N,\ldots,N}_{k}\underbrace{G,\ldots,G}_{s}] \leq [[N,\underbrace{G,\ldots,G}_{c}],\underbrace{N,\ldots,N}_{k-1}].$$

Proof. We can assume that 
$$[[N, \underline{G}, \dots, \underline{G}], \underline{N}, \dots, N] = 1$$
. In that case, of course,  
 $[\underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{m}, [N, \underline{G}, \dots, \underline{G}], \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{k-1-m}] = 1$  for any  $m = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ . Now write  
 $[\underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{k}, \underline{G}, \dots, \underline{G}] \leq \prod_{j_1 + \dots + j_k = s} [[N, \underline{G}, \dots, \underline{G}], \dots, [N, \underline{G}, \dots, \underline{G}]].$   
This follows easily from equations (8) in [5 p. 117]. We notice that the number s is

This follows easily from equations (8) in [5, p. 117]. We notice that the number *s* is big enough to ensure that at least one of the  $j_i$  is bigger than or equal to *c*. Since  $[\underbrace{N, \ldots, N}_{m}, [N, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{c}], \underbrace{N, \ldots, N}_{k-1-m}] = 1$  for any  $m = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ , we derive that  $[\underbrace{N, \ldots, N}_{k}, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}] = 1$ , as required.

**3. Main Results.** Now we are in a position to prove our main results. Since Theorem 1.1 is immediate from Theorem 1.2, it is sufficient to provide the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. We let f(c, k) stand for  $(c-1)\frac{k(k+1)}{2} + k$  and s = s(c, k) have the same meaning as in Lemma 2.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* We use induction on k. Set  $H = \gamma_{f(k-1,c)+1}(G)$ . The induction hypothesis is that the theorem holds if G is replaced by  $G/\gamma_k(N)$ . In other words, we assume that there exists a  $\{c, d, k, q\}$ -bounded number a such that  $H^a \leq \gamma_k(N)$ . Set  $b = a^{d^{s(k,c)}}$ . We will show that  $\gamma_{f(k,c)+1}(G)$  has exponent dividing  $be_{k-1}$ . We have

$$(\gamma_{f(k,c)+1}(G))^{be_{k-1}} \leq ([H, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}]^b)^{e_{k-1}}.$$

Notice that 2.2 shows that

$$[H, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}]^{b} \leq [H^{a}, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}]$$

so we write

$$([H, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}]^{b})^{e_{k-1}} \leq [H^{a}, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}]^{e_{k-1}}$$

Using that  $H^a \leq \gamma_k(N)$ , we obtain

$$[H^a, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}]^{e_{k-1}} \leq [\gamma_k(N), \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}]^{e_{k-1}}.$$

Now Lemma 2.3 yields

$$[\gamma_k(N), \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{s}]^{e_{k-1}} \leq [\gamma_{c+1}(G), \underbrace{N, \ldots, N}_{k-1}]^{e_{k-1}}.$$

By 2.2 the latter expression is contained in  $[(\gamma_{c+1}(G))^q, \underbrace{N, \ldots, N}_{k-1}]$  while  $(\gamma_{c+1}(G))^q \leq [N, N]$  by the hypothesis. Finally we write

$$[(\gamma_{c+1}(G))^q, \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{k-1}] \le [N, N, \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{k-1}] = \gamma_{k+1}(N) = 1.$$

Thus, we have shown that  $(\gamma_{f(k,c)+1}(G))^{be_{k-1}} = 1$ , as required.

## PAVEL SHUMYATSKY

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Since G' has derived length d-1, we can assume by induction on d that there exist  $\{c, d, q\}$ -bounded numbers  $k_0$  and  $e_0$  such that G' is an extension of a group of finite exponent  $e_0$  by a nilpotent group of class  $k_0$ . In particular,  $\gamma_{k_0+1}(G')$  has exponent dividing  $e_0$ . Passing to the quotient-group  $G/\gamma_{k_0+1}(G')$  we can assume without any loss of generality that G' is nilpotent of class at most  $k_0$ . By the hypothesis  $\gamma_{c+1}(G/G'')$  has exponent dividing q. So, applying Theorem 1.3 with N = G', we obtain that  $\gamma_{f(k_0,c)+1}(G)$  has finite  $\{c, d, q\}$ -bounded exponent.

## REFERENCES

1. G. Endimioni and G. Traustason, On torsion-by-nilpotent groups, J. Algebra 241 (2001), 669–676.

**2.** P. Hall, Some sufficient conditions for a group to be nilpotent, *Illinois J. Math.* **2** (1958), 787–801.

3. B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I (Springer-Verlag, 1967).

4. B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, Finite groups II (Springer-Verlag, 1982).

5. D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups, Part 1* (Springer-Verlag, 1972).

6. A. G. R. Steward, On the class of certain nilpotent groups, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser A* 292 (1966), 374–379.