EPV0431

When the SAINT goes marching in – A novel transcranial magnetic stimulation protocol shows miraculous promise

J. D. Vieira de Andrade* and M. J. Brito

Psychiatry Integrated Responsibility Centre, Coimbra Central University Hospital, Coimbra, Portugal *Corresponding author. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2023.1768

Introduction: Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) is a noninvasive neuromodulation tool with a growing body of clinical evidence demonstrating positive outcomes in patients with treatment-resistant depression (TRD) as sole or adjuvant therapy. Theta-burst stimulation (TBS), specifically intermittent TBS (iTBS), uses short intermittent pulse trains to cut each session's duration to 10% of the original repetitive TMS protocol sessions, making it a more appealing option given that it shows similar efficacy. Nevertheless, the number of sessions required remains the same, with a single protocol lasting around 4 to 6 weeks, or longer. However, a new protocol has very recently been approved by the FDA for application in TRD, called the SAINT (Stanford Intelligent Accelerated Neuromodulation Therapy), which reduces treatment duration to 5 days.

Objectives: To ascertain what evidence supports the SAINT protocol and its efficacy by reviewing available published literature.

Methods: A PubMed database search was performed and the main findings of selected studies were summarized.

Results: Three articles were found, which consisted of clinical trials with small study samples of TRD patients. One study found a 90% remission rate after the aforementioned 5-day treatment regimen, with another reporting a 79% response rate after a double-blinded trial. All studies reported no difference in tolerability compared with regular iTBS protocols.

Conclusions: The SAINT protocol shows promising preliminary results, with efficacy, tolerability and safety of use comparable with that of TMS protocols already in use. The reduction in treatment duration that this intensive option is based on is a significant improvement for applicability in clinical practice, which might increase patient compliance and offer quicker results. Further studies are required to evaluate whether the remission rates are maintained in the long term.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPV0434

Assessment of quality of life and cognitive dysfunction among patients with Major Depressive Disorder

L. Abbasi¹*, T. Mazzawi¹ and T. Daradkeh²

¹Faculty of Medicine, Al-Balqa Applied University, Salt and ²Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan
*Corresponding author.
doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2023.1769

Introduction: Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) is associated with high mortality, disability and morbidity. Studies demonstrated mixed results on effects of depression treatments on quality of life (QOL).

Objectives: To evaluate the severity of depression among Jordanian patients diagnosed with MDD before and after treatment and to find any relationship between QOL, depression severity and perceived cognitive dysfunction.

Methods: Patients from both genders, 18-65 years old and diagnosed with MDD were included to attend two visits; at baseline and 6 weeks after treatment, in each they completed three questionnaires: Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) for depression severity, patient-rated Perceived Deficit Questionnaire (PDQ-5) for cognitive function, and World Health Organization Quality of Life Brief (WHOQOL-BREF) format.

Results: A total of 92 patients completed the study. The scores of the different questionnaires and their correlations before and after treatment are presented in tables 1 and 2. Correlations between PHQ-9 and PDQ-5 before and after treatment are illustrated in figs 1 and 2, respectively.

 $\label{eq:table_transformation} \ensuremath{\textbf{Table 1:}}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}}\xspace \ensuremath{\mathsf{Table 1:}}\xspace \en$

Questionnaire	Before	After	<i>P-</i> value
General quality of life	2.5±1	3.5±0.7	<0.0001
General health satisfaction	2.3±1	3.4±0.9	<0.0001
Physical health (Domain 1)	10±2.6	13.9±2.6	<0.0001
Psychological (Domain 2)	8±2.3	12.6±2.3	<0.0001
Social relationships (Domain 3)	9±3.2	12.8±2.8	<0.0001
Environment (Domain 4)	12.3±3	14.4±7.5	0.0003
Patient-rated Perceived Deficit 5 (PDQ-5)	13±4.4	7.2±4	<0.0001
Patient health questionnaire 9 (PHQ-9)	19±5.4	7.1±5.1	<0.0001

Values are presented as mean±SD. Analysis: Paired t test. P<0.05 is significant.

 Table 2: Correlations between total scores for all of the domains for WHOQOL-BREF and PDQ-5, and between WHOQOL-BREF and PHQ-9, before and after treatment

	Patient-rate	Patient-rated Perceived		Patient health	
	Deficit 5	Deficit 5 (PDQ-5)		questionnaire 9 (PHQ-9)	
Domains/Facets	Before	After	Before	After	
	(r, p)	(r, p)	(r, p)	(r, p)	
General quality of life	-0.38, 0.0001	-0.26, 0.01	-0.55, <0.0001	-0.49, <0.0001	
General health satisfaction	-0.24, 0.02	-0.14, 0.2	-0.51, <0.0001	-0.48, <0.0001	
Physical health (Domain 1)	-0.37,	-0.50,	-0.57,	-0.76,	
	0.0003	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	
Psychological (Domain 2)	-0.46,	-0.43,	-0.58,	-0.68,	
	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	
Social relationships	-0.37,	-0.37,	-0.42,	-0.40,	
(Domain 3	0.0002	0.0002	<0.0001	<0.0001	
Environment (Domain 4)	-0.52, <0.0001	-0.21, 0.047	-0.36, 0.0004	-0.12, 0.2	

Spearman correlation (r); P<0.05 is significant. WHOQOL: World Health Organization Quality of Life.