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ABSTRACT: Parkinson’s disease is associated with a variety of neurotransmitter disturbances which may be further altered by its treatment with dopamine agonists. Based on this information a wide range of pharmacological approaches have been used in search of newer treatment alternatives and in hopes of reducing complications of long-term levodopa use. This paper reviews the various therapies which have had some success in the management of Parkinson’s disease, other than levodopa and dopamine agonists. Special emphasis is placed on the many unresolved questions and controversies that exist in this area of neuropharmacology.

RÉSUMÉ: La maladie de Parkinson s’associe à une variété de modifications des neurotransmetteurs et ceux-ci peuvent être encore plus altérés par le traitement avec les agonistes de la dopamine. De nombreuses approches pharmacologiques ont été utilisées afin d’offrir de nouvelles alternatives thérapeutiques et afin de réduire les complications à long terme de la Lévodopa. Nous revoyons les diverses thérapies utiles autres que la Lévodopa et les agonistes de la dopamine. Nous insistons surtout sur les questions et controverses qui persistent.

The treatment of Parkinson’s disease has probably included a greater variety of pharmacological approaches than with any other neurologic condition. Despite these multiple therapeutic attempts, there exist only a few noncontentious findings most notably the marked efficacy of levodopa. In this paper I will review the treatment of Parkinson’s disease with agents other than levodopa and direct acting dopamine agonists emphasizing the controversies that may exist. This will include older forms of therapy such as amantadine and anti-cholinergics, other more experimental anti-Parkinsonian agents which may be useful as levodopa adjuvants and drugs that may be helpful in the treatment of side effects occurring with levodopa and dopamine agonists.

Amantadine

Mechanism of Action

Since the chance discovery by Schwab and colleagues (1969) of the beneficial effects of amantadine HC1 in Parkinson’s disease the mechanism of action of this drug has remained ill defined and controversial. Many studies favour an effect related to the augmentation of dopamine (DA) release, inhibition of cellular re-uptake and possibly increased DA synthesis (Parkes, 1974). Microiontophoretic studies, however, show that it has some function independent of catecholaminergic activities (Stone, 1977). Despite animal studies which demonstrate little or no anti-cholinergic action (Gerlak et al., 1970), a strong clinical argument can be made for amantadine having prominent anti-cholinergic effects. The brief review of the clinical literature to follow unfortunately does not resolve this dispute.

Anti-cholinergic-like side effects such as dry mouth, constipation, difficulty focusing and urinary retention are not infrequent with chronic amantadine therapy. These complications may be additive with those of the anti-cholinergic drugs and some authors suggest that a temporary reduction in anti-cholinergics may be useful during the initiation of amantadine (Schwab et al., 1972). Also favouring anti-cholinergic action is the demonstration that signs of acute intoxication with amantadine (given with haloperidol in one case) can be reversed with physostigmine (Casey, 1978).

Several clinical points favours different pharmacological actions for amantadine and anti-cholinergic agents. Although both produce a similar degree of improvement in the total disability of Parkinson’s disease (Schwab and England, 1969) had initially felt that amantadine was slightly more effective), in a comparative study, the response of individual features of the disease was different (Parkes et al., 1974). Anti-cholinergics had a major effect on rigidity and postural deformity while amantadine improved all symptoms of Parkinsonism. In addition, the combination of amantadine plus anti-cholinergics was more effective than the sum of the individual drug responses. Although amantadine results in atropine-like effects the incidence of these is less than with anti-cholinergics such as benzhexol. In addition, amantadine alone results in the distinctive side effects in livido reticularis and ankle edema. It has been proposed that these latter complications reflect local release of vasoconstrictor catecholamines and secondary abnormal permeability of dermal blood vessels (Parkes et al., 1973). Finally, although amantadine and anti-cholinergics have been found to have comparable effects in relieving the signs of drug-induced parkinsonism, DiMascio et al. (1976) found that when amantadine was given...
with neuroleptics in schizophrenics, the improvement in psychiatric symptoms occurred at a slower rate than in those receiving benztrapine. This may be further evidence for amantadine having an effect on dopaminergic mechanisms.

The signs of amantadine overdose in one patient unfortunately contribute to the conflict rather than resolve it. Fahn et al. (1971) described a patient who took a total of 2.8 grams of amantadine in a suicide attempt. He developed an acute toxic psychosis with a mixed acid-base disturbance. The patient had anti-cholinergic-like features such as urinary retention and pupils which were barely reactive to light. Unlike atropinized patients, however, the pupils were only slightly dilated and the skin moist.

One can conclude from the above that amantadine has a mixture of effects on dopaminergic and non-dopaminergic systems. It is unlikely that the beneficial response in Parkinson’s disease obtained with amantadine is solely due to either presynaptic dopaminergic mechanisms or anti-cholinergic effects.

Other indirect dopaminergic agonists

A further possible argument in favour of amantadine having additional non-dopaminergic activity is the disappointing response seen in trials with a variety of drugs known to block the re-uptake of catecholamines. Amphetamines were widely used in the early treatment of post-encephalitic Parkinsonism (Davis and Stewart, 1938). Although these drugs had a dramatic effect on oculogyric crises and patients experienced an improvement in mood, energy and sleep disorders, there was little or no improvement in the symptoms of Parkinsonism.

Amphetamines, methylphenidate and other stimulant drugs were said to be useful in patients with idiopathic Parkinsonism who became drowsy or dull as a result of other therapy (Schwab, 1961). However most clinicians have had little success with this approach. In the treatment of parkinsonism, methylphenidate has been found to have no more than mild beneficial effects (Hilliard and Nathan, 1961). D and L-amphetamine resulted in only minimal changes in parkinsonism with the frequent occurrence of side effects (Parkes et al., 1975) which were dissimilar to those occurring with amantadine as documented by the same group (Parkes et al., 1974).

Nomifensine, a tetrahydroisoquinoline anti-depressant somewhat different from the classic tricyclic compounds has been shown to block the uptake mechanism of catecholamines, particularly dopamine (Hunt et al., 1974). Studies using doses of 25 - 150 mg have obtained little effect in Parkinson’s disease (Bédard et al., 1977; Barbeau et al., 1979); however two studies found it of some benefit in slightly higher doses when given both with (Teychenne et al., 1976) and without (Park et al., 1981) concommitant levodopa therapy.

Diprobutine, a tripropylmethylamine without anti-cholinergic activity, prepared as an analogue of amantadine, potentiates the behavioural effects of apomorphine and amphetamine in animals and may also increase dopamine turn-over (Broll et al., 1977). Preliminary studies by Barbeau et al. (1979) showed a prolongation of the effect of a single dose of levodopa by diprobutine however increased doses resulted in a re-emergence of parkinsonian symptoms in addition to frequent side effects.

Recently bupropion HCl resulted in mild clinical benefit but frequent side effects (Goetz et al., 1983). This is normally an effective antidepressant however only one of eight patients with Parkinson’s disease and depression had an improvement in their mood.

Pemoline mesylate is an oxolidine compound with central stimulant effects used to treat children with minimal brain dysfunction. Although structurally different from d-amphetamine and methylphenidate it is thought to act in a similar manner by increasing central dopaminergic activity. Recent reports have described choreiform involuntary movements occurring with suicide (Nausieda et al., 1981) and chronic (Singh et al., 1983) use. Nausieda and colleagues demonstrated effects similar to other dopaminergic up-take blockers. Behavioural responses to dopamine agonists were enhanced with chronic treatment suggesting an increase in post-synaptic striatal dopaminergic sensitivity. To date no trials of pemoline in Parkinson’s disease have been reported however one might expect little difference from previous trials using this general group of drugs.

Recently two other approaches have been used in an attempt to increase the amount of dopamine available for release by nigral neurons. Tyrosine, the physiological precursor of catecholamine synthesis, resulted in some anti-Parkinsonian effects however these were mild and limited to patients with disease of recent onset (Growden, 1981). Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) the rate limiting enzyme in the synthesis of catecholamines is markedly decreased in the brains of patients with Parkinson’s disease (Nagatsu et al., 1979) and biopterin, the co-factor for TH is equally reduced (Narabayashi et al., 1982). Narabayashi and his colleagues obtained a mild to moderate improvement in the signs of Parkinsonism, particularly akinesia, in 5 patients with a single dose of tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4). The potential synergistic effects of combining BH4 and tyrosine would of great interest for future study.

Anti-cholinergic Drugs

From the late 1860’s when Ordensin (1867) a student of Charcot fortuitously discovered the response to belladonna alkaloids, to the time levodopa was introduced in the 1960’s, anti-cholinergic agents remained the only useful drug treatment for Parkinson’s disease. At one time, stramonium, often administered in the form of cigarettes, was thought to be the most potent agent. Atropine and hyoscine were the most widely used of the natural alkaloids until synthetic anti-cholinergic and anti-histaminic agents were introduced in the 1940’s. Despite the wide-spread and long-term use of these agents several questions concerning their effects remain.

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetics of one of this group of drugs has only recently been studied. Burke and Fahn (1982) found that the half-life of trihexyphenidyl was 1.7 ± 0.2 hours in normal volunteers receiving an acute dose while in dystonic patients on higher doses the T1/2 was 3.7 ± 0.3 hours. In volunteers, cerebral side effects (lethargy and confusion) correlated more closely with age than with peak serum levels. Although studies of patients with Parkinson’s disease have not been done, these results suggest that a more frequent dosage schedule than the usual 3 times per day might be more beneficial however side effects would almost certainly supervene in many.

Mechanism of action

The mechanism of action of the anti-cholinergics remains unsettled. It is clear that the greatest part of their effect relates
to the ability of these agents to block central muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (Duvoisin, 1967). However studies have shown that certain anti-cholinergic drugs, particularly benztropine, have an effect on blocking dopamine uptake in central dopaminergic neurons (Farnebo et al., 1970) and in striatal synaptic fractions (Coyle and Snyder, 1969). Whether this effect on presynaptic dopamine mechanisms is important to the action of synthetic anti-cholinergic drugs is not known. In the pre-L-dopa era, one anti-cholinergic was often chosen over another depending on the degree of tremor or rigidity the patient demonstrated. However, there have been no double-blind crossover trials comparing different anti-cholinergics in Parkinson's disease. In open trials Schwab and Chafety (1955) found that procyclidine was slightly (13%) more effective than benztropine, contrary to the results predicted from dopamine uptake studies. It is the general experience of most clinicians now that individual patients may tolerate and benefit from one anti-cholinergic more than another without predictability. Some authors find that benztropine is less well tolerated (Hurtig, 1980) and others have had better results with one particular drug more than others (for example ethopropazine - Fahn, 1982).

Activity

It is interesting that many clinicians now consider early treatment with anti-cholinergic drugs particularly in patients with tremor. Before levodopa these drugs were thought to be most effective against rigidity (Schwab, 1961; Strange, 1965). In a double-blind study Strange (1965) found that procyclidine was "much more effective in reducing rigidity than in diminishing tremor" while in a further study (Strange, 1965a) he found benztropine only slightly more effective against tremor than against rigidity. More recently Parkes et al. (1974) found that benzhexol was most effective against postural deformity and rigidity. It has been the experience of most authors that akinesia responds extremely poorly to anti-cholinergic agents.

Although the benefit obtained from anti-cholinergics is usually only mild or moderate, two thirds of patients are unable to tolerate anti-cholinergic withdrawal despite continued levodopa therapy (Hughes et al., 1971; Horrocks et al., 1973). Dopaminergic drugs may be stopped for "drug holiday" without tapering. Acute withdrawal of anti-cholinergic drugs however, often results in a sudden marked exacerbation of parkinsonian symptoms (Goetz et al., 1981). This suggests that long-term anti-cholinergic use might induce acetylcholine-receptor hypersensitivity which could result in a much greater deterioration in symptoms on drug withdrawal than would have been expected from the magnitude of the initial beneficial response. That anti-cholinergics can account for at least a part of this effect is suggested by the finding of greater muscarinic acetylcholine receptor densities in frontal cortex in patients who had received anti-cholinergic therapy (Rutherford et al., 1982, see below). It is likely that similar changes occur in striatal cholinergic receptors.

Long-term L-dopa therapy may also be associated with cholinergic hypersensitivity. Weintraub and VanWoert (1971) documented that early in the course of levodopa therapy physostigmine no longer increases the signs of Parkinsonism as it does in untreated patients (Duvoisin, 1967). Recently, Yahr et al. (1982) studied patients with fluctuations associated with long-term levodopa therapy. They found that physostigmine resulted in the initiation of an "off" period similar to the results documented by Duvoisin (1967) in L-dopa naive patients. It is likely, therefore, that the long term use of both levodopa and anti-cholinergics contributes to the return of cholinergic sensitivity seen in late-stage Parkinsonism.

Psychiatric/Psychologic effects

The psychiatric side-effects of anti-cholinergics have long been recognized as a major limiting factor to their use, particularly in the aged. Cholinergic systems most notably that originating in the neurons of the nucleus basalis of Meynert (Whitehouse et al., 1981) are now known to be of importance to memory and in the manifestations of Alzheimer's disease (Davies and Maloney, 1976). Ruberg et al. (1982) have documented a deficiency of cholinergic innervation in frontal cortex of parkinsonian subjects similar to that seen in Alzheimer's disease. The degree of this deficiency showed a correlation with a retrospective analysis of the patient's pre-morbid intellectual impairment (Ruberg et al., 1982). A loss of cholinergic neurons in the nucleus basalis similar to that first described in Alzheimer's disease has also been found in demented parkinsonian patients (Whitehouse et al., 1983).

A toxic confusional state is a frequent side effect of anti-cholinergics in patients with pre-existing intellectual dysfunction. De Smet et al. (1982) found the occurrence of such states to be almost universal in demented Parkinsonians. Anti-cholinergics have also been found to impair intermediate and delayed recall in non-demented Parkinsonian patients (Syndulko et al., 1981; Sadeh et al., 1982) and we have found improvements in both short-term and long-term memory in patients withdrawn from anti-cholinergic agents (Figure 1). These findings suggest that anti-cholinergics should not be used in patients with pre-existing intellectual impairment and that physicians should be aware of the possible subtle psychological changes that may be produced by these drugs even in patients with normal mentation. Whether anti-cholinergics could contribute to the damage of cholinergic neurons in demented patients is not known. To date the only morphologic alterations documented which are probably due to anti-cholinergic use are the changes in cortical acetylcholine receptor densities mentioned above.

Cholinergic Agonists

Levodopa dyskinesias

Based on the long standing "balance hypothesis" of acetylcholine and dopamine in the pharmacology of Parkinson's disease it has been proposed that a relative cholinergic under-activity could contribute to hyperkinetic movement disorders such as L-dopa-induced dyskinesias, tardive dyskinesia and Huntington's chorea (Klawans and Rubovits, 1972). Anticholinergic withdrawal in one study resulted in an improvement in levodopa-induced involuntary movements in nine out of ten patients (Horrocks et al., 1973). Tarsy et al. (1973) found that physostigmine improved dyskinesias; however, as seen with anti-cholinergic withdrawal, parkinsonian symptoms worsened. Deanol, a questionable acetylcholine percursor, was then reported to be extremely effective in reducing levodopa-induced dyskinesias (Miller, 1974); however subsequent studies (Klawans et al., 1975; Lindeboon and Lakke, 1978) failed to reproduce this finding. Choline...
chloride, a compound which produces a well documented increase in brain acetylcholine, has had little effect on levodopa dyskinesias in doses of 200-300 mg per kilogram per day (Papavasiliou and Rosal, 1979). Barbeau (1979) found that lecithin (phosphatidylcholine) in a dosage of 20 grams per day produced a marked reduction in the number and severity of levodopa-induced dyskinesias but at the expense of a return of "some degree" of rigidity and akinesia. There was no improvement in the "on-off" phenomenon (Barbeau et al., 1979). Therefore, the usefulness of increasing brain acetylcholine activity in patients with L-dopa dyskinesias is probably limited.

**Dementia**

Trials of cholinergic agonists in Alzheimer's disease have had disappointing results. To date no similar studies have been performed in the dementia of parkinsonism. Despite the similarities in the pathology and biochemistry of the dementia seen in Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease (Whitehouse et al., 1983), clinical differences are well recognized (Albert, 1978). Therefore negative results in trials of cholinergic agonists in the dementia of Alzheimer's disease may not reliably predict failure in Parkinsonians with intellectual deterioration. However one would expect from the studies quoted above that the signs of parkinsonism would increase during such a trial.

A final, theoretical use for cholinergic agonists might be in hopes of improving the response to levodopa. Levodopa drug holidays often precipitate marked exacerbations in parkinsonian symptoms with multiple potential complications. It may be possible to "resensitize" the parkinsonian loss of response to levodopa by giving cholinergic agonists while maintaining L-dopa therapy. Such an approach might avoid the extreme forms of deterioration sometimes seen in patients discontinuing L-dopa. This therapeutic intervention could affect dopaminergic activity and thus possibly receptor sensitivity through either cholinergic feedback interneurones in the striatum or through alteration in the activity of the long striatongral feedback pathways. The possibility of such an alteration with this therapeutic approach is purely a matter of conjecture at present.

**Deprenyl**

Birkmayer and Hornykiewicz (1962) first used the standard available monoamine oxidase inhibitors in an attempt to increase the effectiveness of levodopa by blocking the oxidative deamination of dopamine. Although clinical improvement has been documented with this approach, side effects are frequent. One of the greatest concerns is the possibility of provoking an acute hypertensive crisis ("cheese effect") (Hunter et al., 1970). It is now known that monoamine oxidase exists in at least two forms. MAO type A metabolizes serotonin, noradrenaline, octopamine, tyramine and to a lesser extent dopamine. MAO-B oxidatively deaminates phenylethylamine, tyramine, benzylamine and dopamine (Sandler and Youdim, 1974). (-) deprenyl is a selective MAO-B inhibitor free of the "cheese (side) effect" which has been used in therapeutic trials in parkinsonism since 1975 (Birkmayer et al., 1975). Despite the many studies published on the use of this drug the mechanism of action and the response to therapy remain controversial.

**Mechanism of Action**

Deprenyl completely blocks the activity of monoamine oxidase B in platelets but whether the central MAO blocking effect is clinically significant is a matter of debate. Deprenyl is efficiently and rapidly converted to methamphetamine and amphetamine (Reynolds et al., 1978; Karoum et al., 1982) and the effects of these indirect catecholamine agonists plus deprenyl's own ability to block the uptake of biogenic amines, especially tyramine and dopamine (Knoll, 1978), may be more important than effects on MAO-B (Karoum et al., 1982). Phenylethylamine (PEA) is a preferred substrate for MAO-B (Yang and Neff, 1973). Birkmayer et al. (1977) suggested that PEA, which has a marked amphetamine-like action, is purely a matter of conjecture at present.

1. Chronic use of (-) deprenyl may also result in cumulative MAO-A inhibition (cf Sandler and Stern, 1981).
2. See Sandler and Stern (1981) for discussion of the pharmacological mechanism of the "cheese effect" and the reasons why (-) deprenyl may be devoid of this complication.
mine-like effect, might have been responsible for the potentiation of the effects of L-dopa induced by deprenyl in their patients. However, Karoum et al. (1982) found that the addition of deprenyl to Sinemet therapy produced changes in the urinary output of catecholamines, PEA, m- and p- tyramine and their metabolites that could not be accounted for solely by the inhibition of MAO-B in the central nervous system.

Activity

The results of clinical trials using deprenyl in Parkinson’s disease vary greatly. Birkmayer and his colleagues (see Birkmayer et al., 1982 for review) have demonstrated a significant and prolonged potentiation of the response to levodopa (given with benzerazide) in both early and long-standing disease. They also obtained an improvement in patients who had lost previous clinical response to L-dopa. Others report that deprenyl has a limited beneficial effect which could be explained by a simple alteration in mood (Eisler et al., 1981). Indeed, deprenyl has been shown to have significant antidepressant effects compared to placebo in non-parkinsonian depressed patients (Mendlewicz and Youdim, 1983).

The effect of deprenyl in patients with fluctuations in their clinical state on levodopa has also been quite variable. Some authors reported that two thirds of patients obtain a significant amelioration in the degree of oscillation (Yahr, 1978; Rinne et al., 1978) with all patterns of fluctuation improving. Others (Lees et al., 1977; Schaefer et al., 1980) have found deprenyl only useful in improving dose-related fluctuations (“end-of-dose akinesia” or “wearing off”) while the severity of response swings often worsen in patients with random fluctuations (“on-off” phenomenon) (Schaefer et al., 1989). Nocturnal and early morning akinesia have improved but freezing is unaffected (Lees et al., 1977). Patients originally studied by Lees and colleagues who had remained on deprenyl for up to 30 months had the drug replaced by placebo in a double-blind fashion. About two thirds showed some deterioration but only 21% (4 of 18) had been benefitting substantially (Stern, 1980).

Deprenyl is presently unavailable for general use. Although it seems that this drug may be useful in patients with dose-related fluctuations, its final role as an adjuvant to levodopa in the treatment of Parkinson’s disease remains to be determined.

COMT Inhibition

Levodopa is metabolized in part by catechol-0-methyl transferase (COMT) to the methylated derivative 3-O-methyldopa (OMD). OMD given with levodopa results in an increase in parkinsonian signs (Calne et al., 1972; Muehner et al., 1974). Due to its long half-life OMD accumulates in the plasma and CSF of patients treated with levodopa (Sharpless and MacCann 1971, Muehner et al., 1972) and high levels of OMD are found in some patients who are responding poorly to L-dopa (Rivera-Calimlim et al., 1977). The accumulation of OMD has therefore been implicated in the poor responses to levodopa seen with chronic treatment. This effect could be due to the ability of OMD to compete with L-dopa and tyrosine for the blood brain barrier carrier mediated transport system for aromatic amino acids (Reches & Fahn 1982, Gervas et al., 1983). N-butyl-gallate, a COMT inhibitor, was found to have a synergistic effect with levodopa in a small group of patients with Parkinson’s disease (Ericsson 1971) however the drug was withdrawn because of its toxicity. The development of safer COMT inhibitors, therefore, remains another potential adjuvant to levodopa particularly in those who are not responding well to such treatment. The overall poor response of these patients to the newer direct-acting dopamine agonists, however, suggests that OMD contributes only partially to levodopa’s loss of efficacy.

Dopamine Antagonists

Domperidone

Domperidone is a peripheral DA receptor antagonist which crosses the blood brain barrier in only minute amounts. “Peripheral” side effects of direct acting DA agonists (eg. apomorphine, bromocriptine, lisuride, pergolide) may be prevented when domperidone is given with these agents analogous to the addition of peripheral decarboxylase inhibitors to levodopa (Agid et al., 1981). It may also be useful in patients who experience persistent levodopa-induced gastrointestinal side effects despite supplementary carbidopa or benzerazide. Postural hypotension complicating DA agonist therapy may not be avoided by the use of domperidone suggesting that this side effect is primarily of central origin (Agid et al., 1981).

DA2 Receptor antagonists

It is possible that some of the central side effects of levodopa and other DA agonists could be due to stimulation of DA receptors of a different type from those which account for the anti-parkinsonian activity of these drugs. When standard neuroleptic drugs such as the phenothiazines (eg. chlorpromazine) or the buterophenones (eg. haloperidol) are given to patients with L-dopa-induced dyskinesias the involuntary movements improve at the expense of an unacceptable increase in parkinsonism. In contrast to the activity of other neuroleptics the substituted benzamides (eg. metoclopramide, oxipramide, tiapride, sulpiride) fail to antagonize DA stimulated elevations of striatal adenyate/cyclase activity (Jenner et al., 1978) (ie. block only “DA2” receptors). Trials of metoclopramide (Tarzy et al., 1975a), oxipramide (Bedard et al., 1978a) and tiapride (Lhermitte et al., 1977; Price et al., 1978a; Lees et al., 1979) in patients with L-dopa-induced dyskinesias have met with variable success. The King’s College group found that although oxipramide (Tarzy et al., 1975a) and tiapride (Price et al., 1978a) frequently caused a deterioration in Parkinsonian disability in addition to reducing involuntary movements, the former effect could be balanced by an increase in DA agonist therapy (L-dopa or bromocriptine) often without a concomitant increase in dyskinesias. This response might suggest that stimulation of the DA2 receptor is more closely related to the development of dyskinesias than to the improvement of parkinsonian signs. However, when Price et al. (1981) compared sulpiride, a DA2 receptor antagonist, with cisflupenthixol, a non-selective DA1 and DA2 receptor antagonist, they found no difference in their ability to inhibit the anti-Parkinsonian action of L-dopa or the associated drug-induced dyskinesias. These results could indicate “that DA1 receptors are not of great importance in Parkinson’s disease.”

1. Sandler and Stern (1981) question whether Eisler et al. (1981) could have been using (+) deprenyl, which has a much greater amphetamine-like effect, rather than (-) deprenyl. This might explain the marked difference in clinical effects and the frequency of mood elevation and insomnia reported by Eisler and his colleagues in comparison to other groups.
disease and dyskinesias, or that the distinction between DA1 and DA2 is artificial.” (Price et al., 1981).

DA2 antagonists also might be useful in controlling psychiatric side effects of levodopa and other DA agonists. Occasionally a small dose of a standard neuroleptic with a low incidence of extrapyramidal side effects, such as thioridazine (Mellaril), may control the psychiatric features without an associated disabling increase in Parkinsonism. This approach is best reserved for patients in whom either a reduction in the DA agonist dosage or a drug holiday have been unsuccessful.

Noradrenergic Agents

Parkinson’s disease is well known to affect other pigmented brain stem nuclei such as the locus ceruleus (Greenfield & Bosanquet 1953). Noradrenaline (NA) is reduced in Parkinsonian brains and levodopa treatment seems to result in a trend towards higher levels (Rinne & Sonninen 1973). Dopamine-β-hydroxylase, which converts DA to NA is also reduced in the brain (Nagatsu et al., 1979) and CSF (Narabayashi et al., 1981) of patients with Parkinson’s disease. How NA deficiency contributes to the clinical features of parkinsonism remains a mystery. Several drugs that potentiate catecholamine (DA and NA) mechanisms have been discussed in the section on amantadine and other indirect dopamine agonists. Noradrenergic precursors given alone are said not to affect the features of Parkinson’s disease (Birkmayer and Hornykeiwicz, 1962). Tarsy et al. (1975) found that clonidine hydrochloride, a central noradrenergic agonist, did not improve signs of Parkinsonism and had no effect on response fluctuations. Narabayashi et al. (1981) have recently shown a striking response of freezing and micrographia to the noradrenaline precursor L-threo-3, 4 dihydroxyphenylserine (L-threo-DOPS) given with a peripheral decarboxylase inhibitor. Akinesia, rigidity and tremor were also slightly improved. Further trials using this novel agent will be awaited with interest.

Beta Adrenergic Antagonists

The postural tremor commonly present in Parkinson’s disease may occasionally improve with propranolol as may the increase in resting tremor which occurs with anxiety or emotion (Owen & Marsden 1965). Indeed, even rigidity and akinesia have been reported to respond to beta blockade (Gerstenbrand et al., 1979) however this is contrary to the experience of most physicians. Nadolol, a non-selective beta blocker which does not cross the blood brain barrier, has been reported to reduce resting, “sustentation” and action tremors in Parkinson’s disease (Foster et al., 1983). Blockade of peripheral beta2 receptors is thought to account for much of the effect of beta blockers in essential tremor (Jefferson et al., 1979) and it remains to be elucidated whether a similar mechanism accounts for the response seen in Parkinsonism.

Patients treated with long-term levodopa may develop paroxysmal drenching sweats often associated with excessive warmth, non-specific malaise, anxiety or lightheadedness (Tanner et al., 1982). These symptoms, which can occur in the “on” phase in association with dyskinesias (Klawans, 1982) or during the “off” phase with marked akinesia (Lang, personal observation) may respond particularly well to propranolol. Klawans (1982) has also found that the addition of carbidopa in patients taking L-dopa alone may partially relieve such symptoms occurring in the “on” periods.

Serotonergically Active Agents

Parkinsonism

Levels of serotonin in Parkinson brains have been significantly reduced in some reports (Fahn et al., 1971a) although others have found only slight, insignificant changes (Rinne et al., 1974). Mayeux and his colleagues (1983) have recently reported that CSF levels of 5 hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5 HIAA) are significantly lower in a subgroup of depressed parkinsonians than in those without depression. Levodopa also seems to reduce cerebral serotonin levels further (Karobath et al., 1971). In animals, lesions in the upper brain stem associated with a reduction in striatal serotonin levels result in a tremor which is ameliorated by raising the brain serotonin level (cf Chase, 1974). Preliminary studies in Parkinson’s disease suggested that serotonin precursors might be effective in improving tremor (Barbeau, 1962). However further studies demonstrated a pronounced worsening in parkinsonian signs with L-5 hydroxytryptophan (with a peripheral decarboxylase inhibitor) as well as L-tryptophan (given with pyridoxine). In levodopa treated patients, neither serotonin precursor significantly altered signs of parkinsonism or L-dopa-induced involuntary movements (cf Pelton and Chase, 1975).

Psychiatric side effects

Because levodopa may depress brain serotonin it has been proposed that central serotonergic suppression may account for the psychiatric side effects seen with this drug. Using L-tryptophan in patients with levodopa-induced psychosis Birkmayer and Neumayer (1972) obtained a clearing of the psychiatric features with a concommitant rise in CSF 5 HIAA. Although similar findings were reported by others (Miller and Neuburg, 1974), a double-blind placebo-controlled trial (Beasley et al., 1980) failed to confirm the response of psychiatric disturbances to tryptophan. However Bryant (1980) has suggested the existence of two groups of patients, those with a shorter duration of disease being more likely to respond to tryptophan.

Nausieda et al. (1983) have recently reported that methysergide, a serotonin antagonist, improves psychiatric complications as well as levodopa-induced myoclonus (an association which is not infrequent). They suggested that these side effects could be secondary to a long-standing reduction of serotonergic activity by levodopa resulting in central serotonergic sensitization, thus accounting for the response to methysergide. The psychiatric complications of levodopa and other dopamine agonists pose a major limiting factor to their use in late-stage parkinsonism. Further trials confirming this approach to therapy are therefore awaited with interest.

Depression

Tricyclic anti-depressants are often used in Parkinson’s disease to control the depression that is so commonly present. Amitrip-
tyline has also been reported to improve early sleep disorders such as prominent insomnia and day-time somnolence but worsen more severe degrees of sleep disruption (Nausieda et al., 1983). The anticholinergic effects of tricyclic anti-depressants may account for some improvement in parkinsonian signs however little if any additional benefit occurs in levodopa-treated patients (Pelton and Chase, 1975). Tricyclics should be used with caution in patients who have experienced significant side effects on anti-cholinergics and patients taking the latter agents should probably have them reduced or withdrawn at the time a tricyclic is started.

Several newer anti-depressants lacking anticholinergic activity are now available or under trial (see also section on “other indirect dopaminergic agonists”). Zimelidine is a potent anti-depressant, structurally unrelated to the tricyclic and tetracyclic compounds. It is a relatively selective inhibitor of serotonin uptake with little anti-cholinergic or sedative effects (Heel et al., 1982). This may be a better choice in the treatment of depression in elderly parkinsonians or in those with a previous history of severe anti-cholinergic side effects. Mianserin is another investigational agent that could be useful in similar circumstances.

Tetrahydrobiopterin (BH₄) might also be useful in the depression of Parkinson’s disease. Biopterin is the cofactor for both tyrosine and tryptophan hydroxylases. The latter is the first enzyme in the synthetic pathway of serotonin from the amino acid tryptophan. Curtius and colleagues (1983) have reported a patient with depression (non-parkinsonian) refractory to standard drug therapy who improved markedly on BH₄ given with supplemental tryptophan. CSF content of 5HIAA, homovanillic acid (HVA) and bipterin all rose significantly while on this treatment.

In commenting on depression it should be mentioned that there is a great deal of interest in the possible effects of electroconvulsive therapy on the sensitivity of brain endorphins. ECT has been reported to improve parkinsonian symptoms (Lebensohn and Jenkins, 1975; Asnis, 1977) as well as the “on-off” phenomenon (Balldin et al., 1980).

Lithium

Coffey and his colleagues (1982) recently reported that lithium carbonate improved the fluctuations of patients on levodopa. Basic investigations (Reches and Fahn, 1983) fail to support a theoretical reason for using lithium in these patients and, in a larger series, Liebermann and Gopinathan (1982) were unable to reproduce the original beneficial results. Of three patients with fluctuations treated with lithium that I have seen one had a marked but short lived improvement, one developed hallucinations and the third became more rigid and stuporous. In addition I have recently seen one patient with disabling parkinsonism on long term lithium in whom all Parkinsonian signs resolved after the drug was withdrawn (Lang, in press). Further clinical studies using lithium in Parkinson’s disease must therefore be undertaken with great caution.

GABA-ergic Drugs

The content of gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA) is significantly reduced in cerebral and cerebellar cortex in the brains of parkinsonian patients and Rinnés group has found a significant correlation between the severity of tremor and the activity of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) in the corpus striatum (Rinne, 1978). GABA receptor binding in the substantia nigra is also decreased (Lloyd et al., 1977). Recently, low CSF GABA levels has been found in patients who respond poorly to levodopa or who have the “on-off” phenomenon (Teychenne et al., 1982). Several putative GABA agonists have been used in the treatment of Parkinson’s disease but with little benefit.

Baclofen is thought to have an effect on a presynaptic bicuculline-insensitive GABA receptor site (Bowery et al., 1980). Lees et al., (1978) obtained no improvement in 12 patients with 30-90 mg of baclofen per day however rigidity increased and side effects were common. On the other hand, baclofen in doses of 5-40 mg may be extremely useful in reducing disabling foot dystonia associated with levodopa therapy (Nausieda et al., 1980).

Sodium valproate may enhance physiological release of GABA as well as possibly inhibiting its degradation. In doses of 1200 mg added to levodopa valproate had no clinical effect (Price et al., 1978) whereas doses of 2800 mg per day have been reported to exacerbate levodopa-dyskinesias (Nutt et al., 1979).

Progabide, a putative GABA produrg, was originally reported to reduce involuntary movements caused by levodopa and allow higher doses of L-dopa to be used with better control of parkinsonism (Bartholini et al., 1979). However, in a double-blind placebo-controlled study Seeley and colleagues (1981) found no effect on parkinsonian disability or levodopa-induced dyskinesias.

To date this approach has been disappointing. One reason for these poor results may be the wide-spread distribution of GABAergic systems and the failure of the presently available drugs to selectively influence basal ganglia activity.

Peptides

Experimental evidence exists to suggest that enkephalin/endorphinergic neurons terminate presynaptically on dopaminergic nerve endings in the striatum (Pollard et al., 1977). Endorphins induce catalepsy, akinnesia and rigidity in animals which can then be reversed by the opiate antagonist naloxone, as well levodopa or apomorphine (Bloom et al., 1976, Cuello and Paxinos, 1978). For these reasons it has been proposed that enkephalin receptors on dopaminergic neurons may modulate the release of dopamine. Based on this hypothesis both short-(naloxone, IV) and long-acting (naltrexone, orally) opiate antagonists have been used in Parkinsonism. Nutt and his colleagues (1978) were unable to demonstrate an effect of oral naltrexone. They pointed out that the doses used (100 mg per day for 10 days) may have been insufficient to block the appropriate striatal opiate receptors. Naloxone has also failed to improve the major signs of Parkinsonism (Price et al., 1979; Trabucchi et al., 1982) and dyskinesias (Price et al., 1979) however Trabucchi and colleagues found a significant improvement in patients with the “on-off” phenomenon (probably not true “on-off” from their description of the stability of frequency and severity of fluctuations). Transitions from “on” to “off” became more gradual, the total “on” time increased and levodopa-induced dyskinesias decreased. To explain the latter observation they pointed out that naloxone also partially reverses apomorphine-induced stereotyped behaviours in rats, possibly via nondopaminergic mechanisms (Trabucchi et al., 1982).
Studler and colleagues (1982) have found that cholecystokinin (CCK) is selectively depleted in the substantia nigra in Parkinson's disease and administration of CCK has been reported to reverse reserpine-induced Parkinsonian features in animals (Diamond et al., 1982). Diamond and colleagues suggest that analogues of CCK may be useful in the treatment of Parkinson's disease in the future.

The tripeptide PGL (Pro-Leu-Gly-NH₂) previously known as melanocyte-stimulating hormone release-inhibiting factor (MIF-I) has been found to potentiate the actions of levodopa and oxytremorine in animals (Plotnikoff and Kastin, 1974). Although oral therapy with this agent has resulted in insignificant changes in Parkinsonian scores, intravenous use in doses of up to 400 mg per day has been effective in improving the features of Parkinsonism in untreated patients and particularly in potentiating the effects of L-dopa therapy (Barbeau et al., 1979). Barbeau and Kastin (1976) postulated that the action of this compound is probably one of modulation of the post-synaptic dopamine receptor rather than modification of brain distribution, turn over, metabolism or release and uptake of catecholamines. Chase and his colleagues (cf Pelton and Chase, 1975) obtained no benefit with lower doses of PLG or with thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) in patients receiving levodopa.

Based on speculations that the effects of L-dopa on growth hormone (GH) might account for the varying responses seen in patients on chronic levodopa therapy McDowell and colleagues (1979) studied intramuscular GH versus saline control in patients with fluctuations and dyskinesias. Unfortunately there was no effect on either of these complications.

Non-medical therapy

Although not included in this discussion, physiotherapy and speech therapy are important adjuvants to patient care. However, the degree to which these techniques can result in objective physical benefit, over and above psychological improvement, is not well defined.

Stereotactic neurosurgery still has a place in the treatment of Parkinson's disease in a small, highly select group of patients, usually with prominent drug-resistant tremor. Some authors have also used stereotactic thalamotomy in cases of severe L-dopa-induced dyskinesias. Siegfried (1980) argues that neurosurgery allows the continuation of drug therapy in higher doses and therefore avoids the expected loss of benefit which would normally result if dosage reduction were used to decrease the severity of involuntary movements. Although patients may obtain short-term improvement, the long-term results and complications of this approach have not been carefully studied. In the future, surgical therapy may be extended to include cerebral implantation of catecholamine producing cells (Perlow et al., 1979). At present, the benefit of this approach in Parkinson's disease is extremely speculative and unproven (Kolata, 1982).

This symposium has emphasized the "current concepts and controversies in Parkinson's disease". The more we learn of this condition and the functioning of the basal ganglia the more questions arise and the greater the number of potential therapeutic agents becomes. Although the continued search for new anti-parkinsonian drugs must be encouraged, physicians should be continually mindful of the need to individualize therapy carefully if any success in treatment is to be achieved. In view of the large number of drugs available or being developed this is even more important now than in the pre-levodopa era when Schwab (1961) made the following pertinent comment: "There is no condition that requires a more individual and meticulous adjustment of medication for symptoms that does Parkinson's disease. I would categorically state that most of the failures of treatment by drugs, in this disease, are due to a lack of appreciation of this point by both the physician and the patient. It is true that even with the best co-operation and understanding and care on the part of the physician, it not always possible to achieve very satisfactory adjustments in drugs: but one can state that it is impossible to achieve any kind of adjustment if this type of meticulous individual arrangements are not carried out."
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