A frequency questionnaire to estimate free-living physical activity among Tunisian preadolescent and adolescent children
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Abstract

Objective: To develop a child- and adolescent-appropriate physical activity frequency questionnaire (PAFQ) in Tunisia, North Africa.

Design: A PAFQ was developed from a physical activity (PA) inventory that comprised major activity components (at home, preparing meals, school time, transport, non-sport leisure, sports, prayer and sleeping time). Then, type and duration of each activity undertaken during the past week were estimated. Total energy expenditure (TEE) estimated by the PAFQ was compared with data derived from two criterion methods: heart-rate monitoring (HRM) and a 24 h PA recall (24h-R), both collected during a 3 d period including one weekday and two weekend days.

Setting: Two elementary schools and two high schools of the most developed and urbanized area, Greater Tunis.

Subjects: One hundred and forty-two volunteer children and adolescents aged 10–19 years.

Results: The PAFQ strongly was correlated with both HRM ($r = 0.70; 95\% CI 0.62, 0.76$) and 24h-R ($r = 0.81; 95\% CI 0.77, 0.84$). It featured acceptable agreement with both criterion measures, slightly underestimating TEE compared with 24h-R ($-2.8\%$, mean of individual differences $-272.7\,kJ/d$; 95\% CI $-490.6, -57.4\,kJ/d$) and moderately overestimating it compared with HRM ($+1.3\%$, mean of individual differences $+110.6\,kJ/d$; 95\% CI $84.5, 1366.6\,kJ/d$). Reliability ranged from moderate to good (weighted kappa coefficients from 0.47 to 0.78 and intra-class correlation coefficients between 0.79 and 0.86 for energy expenditure by PA categories), indicating strong agreement between the two assessments.

Conclusions: This PAFQ could be useful in the description and surveillance of PA patterns or for the evaluation of population-based interventions directed at promoting PA in Tunisian children and adolescents.
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Over the past two decades evidence has shown that childhood overweight and obesity and their co-morbidities are increasing worldwide(1–6). Like many other South and East Mediterranean countries, Tunisia is experiencing an advanced nutrition transition followed by the increase of overweight and obesity prevalence in adolescent boys and girls aged 15–19 years that has reached 17.4 and 20.7\%, respectively(7,8). Insufficient physical activity (PA) has been identified as the fourth leading risk factor for death and disability associated with obesity and its co-morbidities(9). Moreover, regular PA early in life is associated with major health benefits, including decreased adiposity, lower blood lipids, lower blood pressure and greater bone mineral density(10–12), and could prevent or delay the onset of chronic diseases later in life(13,14). There is dearth of information related to lifestyle factors especially PA in Tunisia(15).

Thus, prevention wise, the understanding of the different dimensions and patterns of PA among youth in this context states the need for accurate assessment instruments. A variety of PA measurement methods do exist such as PA questionnaires (PAQ), direct observation, mechanical or electronic monitoring, or doubly labelled water(16). Each method has its own utilities and limits in terms of accuracy, cost, versatility and practicality in free-living situations for the evaluation of average daily energy expenditure (EE) and dose–response associations with health outcomes based on recommended PA or EE...
cut-off points\(^{17,18}\). Although simplified and relatively cheap versions of the heart-rate monitoring (HRM) technique have been devised to be used in epidemiological investigations\(^{19,20}\), a specific usefulness of the PAQ method is that it also provides detailed quantitative and qualitative assessment of the entire spectrum of activity and inactivity\(^{21–20}\) allowing a variety of analysis purposes, e.g. habitual PA patterns\(^{27}\).

Several questionnaires have been developed for children and adolescents, such as that of the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)\(^{28}\), the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)*\(^{29}\), or the Arab Teens Lifestyle Study (ATLS) PA questionnaire\(^{30}\), and can be used for specific purposes such as ranking, grouping or categorizing PA levels, and possibly assessing some aspects of low, moderate and vigorous PA\(^{31}\). However, it would seem that more comprehensive self-report questionnaires aiming at assessing all forms of activity performed during the day are essential. These questionnaires are specifically important for the assessment of the pattern and mode of PA in preadolescent and adolescent Tunisians in different cultural settings. Also, previous research has indicated that the reliability and validity of PAQ varies according to ethnicity\(^{32}\).

The objectives of the present study were thus to develop and validate a Physical Activity Frequency Questionnaire (PAFQ) specific to Tunisian adolescents.

**Methods**

**Participants**

The validation sample size was based on the recommendation that 100–200 subjects should be interviewed\(^{33}\). Participants were 150 volunteers from two elementary schools and two high schools in the Greater Tunis region (the most economically developed and urbanized area including the capital city). Data were collected between March and June 2008, a period when the climate allows a mix of indoor and outdoor activities which cover the whole range of EE.

**Ethical approval**

The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the Ethic Committee on Human Research of the National Institute of Nutrition. After the participants’ parents had been thoroughly informed about the purpose, requirements and procedures, all participants gave free informed verbal consent which was witnessed and formally recorded.

**Anthropometry**

Anthropometric measurements were taken at the beginning of the study following standard procedures\(^{34}\); all volunteers wore light clothing but no shoes or socks. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with a wall-mounted stadiometer (Person-check\(^{®}\); Kirchner & Wilhelm, Germany). Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg on a calibrated scale (Detecto, USA).

**Development of the physical activity frequency questionnaire**

The PAFQ was designed and developed in several steps. As insufficient data were available concerning the PA profile of adolescents in Tunisia, a new inventory was made using a series of 24 h PA recalls (24h-R)\(^{35}\) carried out on a sample of forty volunteer Tunisian adolescents (10–19 years old), diversified by area (urban/rural) and gender to cover a range of different situations. Each participant answered the 24h-R three times, i.e. on Sunday (rest day), Monday (full day of school) and Saturday (half day of school). Each day was divided into 5-min blocks and the respondent was prompted to report the type of the activity performed during each block as the day progressed using time cues. Information was also collected about specific characteristics of each activity while it was being performed, e.g. the body position (sitting, standing, lying down or in locomotion) and intensity (carrying a load, or not).

The energy cost of each activity was calculated by multiplying the time spent by the corresponding metabolic equivalent of task (MET) value, taken from the compendium of physical activities for youth\(^{36}\) modelled on the previous adult compendium of physical activities\(^{37}\). For each participant, the total daily energy expenditure (TEE) was estimated as the sum of all EE over the three 24h periods divided by three, and the mean overall TEE was defined as the average of TEE over the forty participants. The relative contribution of each activity to TEE was computed, and activities that contributed up to 95% of mean overall TEE were included in the questionnaire. Activities that were not significant with respect to the whole sample but that contributed ≥ 10% to one individual’s TEE were also included\(^{38}\).

The final list consisted of fifty-four different physical activities classified in eight sections: (i) at home (personal care, nursing, household chores, cooking); (ii) meals; (iii) school time; (iv) transport; (v) non-sport leisure activities; (vi) sports; (vii) prayer; and (viii) sleeping. A 7d interview questionnaire, which took both school and rest days into account, was then designed in Arabic for use among the adolescents. For each activity, the frequency and length of time spent were detailed. Weekly frequency was scored from 0 (never) to 7 (every day). Possible durations ranged from 0 to 8 h/d with a precision of 15 min. A pilot test was conducted among ten adolescents (10–19 years old) to assess whether the PAFQ was easy for them to read and understand. Minor changes were made including the use of age-appropriate language and a more appealing layout for young people. The final version of the questionnaire was designed to be
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interviewer-administered and answering all the questions took about 30 min (see online supplementary material for a version translated from French/Arabic to English).

After data collection and acquisition, for each activity, frequency and duration were converted into minutes per day. If the amount of time declared was less than 24 × 60 = 1440 min/d, TEE was completed by the resting energy expenditure estimated with the Schofield-HW equations(39) using sex, height and weight, as they are recommended for mixed populations of obese and non-obese children and adolescents(40); if it was more than 1440 min/d, the energy cost of each activity was proportionately adjusted so that the corrected time spent added up to one day. Then EE for each activity was then derived using the compendium of physical activities as described above.

For data analysis and presentation purposes, the fifty-four activities from the eight categories were arranged in twenty-five groups and twenty-four groups (with the exclusion of sleep) were classified according to MET values into low- (MET < 3), moderate- (MET 3–6) and high-intensity activities (MET ≥ 6)41,42.

Validation study

To validate the PAFQ, PA estimations based on the questionnaire were compared with those derived from both an objective instrument, HRM, and a subjective one, 24h-R, on the same individuals.

Heart-rate monitoring

The HRM technique is based on the linear relationship between heart rate (HR) and oxygen consumption43,44 and has been shown to be a valid indicator of EE and activity levels in youth41. HR was recorded in free-living conditions over a continuous 3 d period (including one weekday and two weekend days) by an electrode-belt worn around the chest that detected and stored the mean HR at 5-min intervals (S610i; Polar Instruments, Kempele, Finland). Data were downloaded to a computer for storage using a Polar propriety interface and software. All non-physiological values (>215 or <45 beats/min) were replaced by the average of the adjacent value, and individual files were discarded if the total aberrant values exceeded 3 % of the file45. Before wearing a HR monitor, each participant’s maximum oxygen uptake and maximum heart rate (HRmax) were assessed using the Léger test46. The value used as the resting heart rate (HRRest) was the average HR during sleep, and the heart rate reserve (HRR) was calculated as the difference between HRmax and HRRest. The Karvonen formula47 was then used to calculate the daily physical activity intensity (PAI) expressed as a percentage of HRR and based on both maximum and resting heart: PAI (%) = [(HRdaily – HRRest)/ HRR] × 100. To estimate TEE, we assumed the percentage of HRR and VO2 reserve were equivalent, and used the energy equivalent to convert the rate of oxygen consumption into an EE rate48. Since the EE estimate derived from the PAFQ did not include the thermic effect of food (TEF), it was assumed that 10 % of the TEE was due to TEF and this was subtracted from the TEE assessed by HRM. Moreover, contrary to what happens in younger children, in the age group under study energy for growth is weak (i.e. between 0 and 1·5 % of TEE)49, and it was decided not to take this into account. The different activity intensities were classified based on percentage usage of the individual’s personal HRR: low (<40 % HRR), moderate (40–70 % HRR) and high intensity (>70 % HRR)47.

24 h Physical activity recall

A 24h-R protocol50 was used simultaneously to validate the PAFQ. The adolescents were asked to note down their activities while wearing the HR monitor. The 24h-R was prompted by trained interviewers asking about segments of the day starting when the HR monitor was first worn and ending with its retrieval. The adolescents were encouraged to account for the entire time they wore the monitor.

Reliability study

The reliability of the PAFQ was evaluated using a test–retest design51. The PAFQ was interviewer-administered once on the day following the end of the HRM sequence and once one month later.

Data processing and analysis

Data entry screens including quality checks as well as validation by double entry used Epi-Data software version 3·1. Data management and analysis were performed using the statistical software packages Stata version 11 and SPSS 15·0 for Windows. Results are presented as estimates and their standard deviations or 95 % confidence intervals.

Validation

We assessed relationships between the PAFQ and HRM or 24h-R by scatter diagrams and computing Pearson’s correlation coefficients. Agreement between the PAFQ and HRM or 24h-R was assessed using a plot of the differences between the two methods v; the average of the measurements according to the Bland–Altman technique51, the magnitude and direction of the bias of the PAFQ was assessed as the mean of individual differences and precision as the standard deviation of those differences. In addition, Spearman correlation coefficients were used to examine the associations between self-reported time spent in various activity intensities and data from both HRM and 24h-R.

Reliability

Agreement between the two replicates of PAFQ from the test–retest procedures was assessed by within-subject intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) derived using
one-factor ANOVA and using weighted kappa (κw) statistics (for interpretation, κw ≤ 0.09 indicated poor agreement, κw = 0.10–0.20 slight agreement, κw = 0.21–0.40 fair agreement, κw = 0.41–0.60 moderate agreement, κw = 0.61–0.80 substantial agreement, and κw = 0.81–1.00 indicated almost perfect agreement). The test–retest reliability was assessed for TEE and also for EE in each of the eight categories of PA in the questionnaire.

Validation

Scatter diagrams (Fig. 1) and correlation coefficients indicated a strong linear relationship between the participants’ TEE estimated by the PAFO and both HRM (r = 0.70; 95% CI 0.62, 0.76) and 24h-R (r = 0.81; 95% CI 0.77, 0.84), despite some outliers. The paired data points were somewhat away from the diagonal line, indicating a not perfect individual agreement between TEE assessed with the PAFO and 24h-R, or especially HRM. Indeed, mean overall TEE (Table 1) estimated by the questionnaire tended to be higher (+11.3%) than by HRM, but lower (−2.8%) than by 24h-R. Also, the Bland–Altman plot (Fig. 2(a)), which assessed the agreement between participants’ TEE estimated by PAFO v. HRM, showed some amount of bias: the mean of individual PAFO – HRM differences was +1106.2 kJ/d (95% CI 845.8, 1366.6 kJ/d). On the other hand, the bias for TEE estimated by PAFO v. 24h-R as represented in the corresponding Bland–Altman plot (Fig. 2(b)) was reversed and of smaller absolute value: mean of individual PAFO – 24h-R differences was

---

**Results**

**Participants**

Among the 150 originally recruited participants, eight did not complete all the stages of the study, so that the validation was finally based on a sample of 142 volunteers (seventy-one girls, seventy-one boys) aged 10–19 years (mean 14.5 (SD 3.0) years); most of them were urban (93%), 43% attended elementary school and 57% secondary school.

![Fig. 1 Validation of the Physical Activity Frequency Questionnaire (PAFO): scatter diagrams of daily total energy expenditure estimated by the PAFO v. (a) heart-rate monitoring (HRM) or (b) 24 h physical activity recall (24h-R) among Tunisian preadolescent and adolescent children aged 10–19 years (n 142), March–June 2008. Solid line represents perfect agreement (line of equality, y = x). Pearson correlation coefficient, r (95% CI): 0.70 (0.62, 0.76) for PAFO v. HRM; 0.81 (0.77, 0.84) for PAFO v. 24h-R](https://www.cambridge.org/core/coremedia/71f48a63/04a9293a/51c5e41f3f0d7f31b0f973d4c5d9380d)

**Table 1** Daily total energy expenditure (TEE) estimated by the Physical Activity Frequency Questionnaire (PAFO), heart-rate monitoring (HRM) and 24 h physical activity recall (24h-R) methods, and Spearman correlations between the time spent in different activity levels estimated by the PAFO and each reference method, among Tunisian preadolescent and adolescent children aged 10–19 years (n 142), March–June 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAFO</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>9797.1</td>
<td>2112.9</td>
<td>6418.5</td>
<td>9803.8</td>
<td>16430.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRM</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>8691.7</td>
<td>1907.6</td>
<td>5700.3</td>
<td>8366.9</td>
<td>17588.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h-R</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>10069.6</td>
<td>2093.8</td>
<td>6921.9</td>
<td>9571.9</td>
<td>19040.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spearman correlation coefficients of the time spent (min/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAFO v. HRM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAFO v. 24h-R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Fig. 2 Validation of the Physical Activity Frequency Questionnaire (PAFQ): Bland–Altman plots of the differences in daily total energy expenditure estimated by the PAFQ and (a) heart-rate monitoring (HRM) or (b) the 24 h physical activity recall (24h-R) v. the average of the two methods among Tunisian preadolescent and adolescent children aged 10–19 years (n 142), March–June 2008. The horizontal solid line represents the mean of the differences (bias) and the dashed lines the mean of the differences ±2 so of the differences (lower and upper limits of agreement).

Table 2 Test–retest reliability of the Physical Activity Frequency Questionnaire (PAFQ): weighted kappa coefficients (κw) and within-subject intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) of estimated energy expenditure according to the eight physical activity categories among Tunisian preadolescent and adolescent children aged 10–19 years (n 142), March–June 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical activity category (n 8)</th>
<th>κw Estimate</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>ICC Estimate</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At home (e.g. personal care, nursing, household chores, cooking)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.23, 0.77</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.53, 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals (at home and/or outside the home)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.33, 0.78</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.66, 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School work (time, physical position)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.42, 0.81</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.79, 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport (going to school or not)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.53, 0.82</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.68, 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-sport leisure activities (during and out of school days)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.22, 0.68</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.71, 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.26, 0.79</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.72, 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayers (with physical position change)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.38, 0.81</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.86, 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping (at week days and weekend)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.56, 0.84</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.79, 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily total energy expenditure</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.50, 0.71</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.71, 0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-272.7 kJ/d (95% CI −496.6, −57.4 kJ/d). On both plots, there was no obvious pattern of differences which were scattered around the bias; precision (as assessed by upper and lower limits of agreement on the Bland–Altman plots) of estimation of TEE by PAFQ was better for 24h-R (−2900.2 and +2353.0 kJ/d) than for HRM (−2033.1 and +4245.4 kJ/d). The Spearman correlation coefficients between the individuals’ time spent in low-, moderate- and high-intensity activities estimated by PAFQ and HRM were significant and moderate (r = 0.55–0.72) except during light activity (r = 0.29), while the PAFQ and the 24h-R showed significant and moderate correlations (r = 0.67–0.75) across all ranges of intensity (Table 1).

Reliability
Overall mean TEE over the 142 participants for the two administrations of the PAFQ was 9797.1 (SD 2112.9) kJ/d and 9538.1 (SD 1890.9) kJ/d; the mean of individual differences was 259.0 kJ/d (95% CI 121.3, 396.8 kJ/d), showing no systematic departure from the null hypothesis (paired t test P = 0.152). Within-subject ICC for the two replicates of the PAFQ was 0.86 (95% CI 0.71, 0.99) for TEE and ranged between 0.79 and 0.93 for EE by PA category. The κw value for TEE over test–retest was 0.61 (95% CI 0.50, 0.71) and κw coefficients by category of PA ranged from 0.47 to 0.78 (Table 2).

Energy expenditure by activities assessed by the physical activity frequency questionnaire
Participants showed low daily EE in high-intensity (16–8 min/d, i.e., 1.9% of waking time) and moderate-intensity (101–1 min/d, i.e., 11.5% of waking time) PA like sports, home activities or walking (Table 3); the EE spent sitting, standing, studying, using television/computer, playing board games, eating and using motorized transport (760–2 min/d, i.e., 86.6% of waking time) was higher. The contribution to the estimated daily TEE was 21% for sleeping, 6% for high-, 15% for moderate- and 58% for low-intensity activities.

Discussion
In a context of increasing prevalence of overweight and obesity, understanding PA behaviours is of major importance prevention wise. We developed a PAFQ, which lists fifty-four different activities and then classified into
Table 3 Time spent and energy expenditure estimated by the Physical Activity Frequency Questionnaire for the twenty-five groups of activities among Tunisian preadolescent and adolescent children aged 10–19 years (n 142), March–June 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical activity group (n 25)</th>
<th>Participants reporting the activity</th>
<th>Duration (min/d)</th>
<th>Energy expenditure (MET × min/d)</th>
<th>Energy expenditure (kJ/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>562 ± 56-9</td>
<td>505 ± 218</td>
<td>2044 ± 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-intensity activities (&lt;3 MET)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School work: listening, taking notes, studying, sitting, standing</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>333 ± 30-8</td>
<td>479 ± 32-2</td>
<td>1954 ± 235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating: eating/standing</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>83 ± 17-9</td>
<td>86 ± 14-3</td>
<td>350 ± 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>22 ± 8-6</td>
<td>51 ± 21-2</td>
<td>208 ± 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport: riding in a car/bus/train — sitting/standing</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14 ± 9-3</td>
<td>20 ± 8-3</td>
<td>85 ± 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home activities: light effort</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10 ± 4-5</td>
<td>19 ± 9-8</td>
<td>77 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praying</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7 ± 4-3</td>
<td>10 ± 3-5</td>
<td>44 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packing/unpacking bag</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4 ± 1-1</td>
<td>10 ± 3-3</td>
<td>42 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure: dancing</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1 ± 1-3</td>
<td>8 ± 3-2</td>
<td>33 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure: cycling</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1 ± 2-1</td>
<td>4 ± 3-8</td>
<td>20 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total low-intensity activities</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>760 ± 98-1</td>
<td>1412 ± 104</td>
<td>5684 ± 770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-intensity activities (3 to &lt;6 MET)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>38 ± 14-7</td>
<td>140 ± 27-1</td>
<td>575 ± 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30 ± 13-2</td>
<td>129 ± 20-6</td>
<td>504 ± 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports: moderate effort</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>11 ± 4-7</td>
<td>51 ± 14-5</td>
<td>210 ± 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure: dancing, unstructured indoor play</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11 ± 5-5</td>
<td>42 ± 9-8</td>
<td>178 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home repair</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 ± 1-5</td>
<td>4 ± 3-8</td>
<td>20 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total moderate-intensity activities</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101 ± 28-2</td>
<td>397 ± 50-3</td>
<td>1596 ± 455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-intensity activities (&gt;6 MET)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports: hard effort</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8 ± 5-3</td>
<td>55 ± 13-7</td>
<td>226 ± 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biking</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3 ± 1-2</td>
<td>20 ± 11-3</td>
<td>80 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climbing stairs moderate effort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking uphill</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2 ± 1-7</td>
<td>17 ± 8-2</td>
<td>72 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total high-intensity activities</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>16 ± 8-5</td>
<td>119 ± 48-6</td>
<td>477 ± 183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MET, metabolic equivalents of task.

*In each group activities are sorted by decreasing mean daily energy expenditure.
twenty-five groups and eight categories, to document in depth the various dimensions and patterns of PA in free-living Tunisian preadolescents and adolescents. We estimated its validity both by one objective instrument (HRM with individual calibration) and one subjective instrument (a 24-h of daily activities) and we assessed its reliability by a test–retest procedure at a 1-month interval.

**Development of the physical activity frequency questionnaire**

Development of the questionnaire including a pilot study and use of age-appropriate language resulted in a final version of the questionnaire which was understandable among the target population of preadolescents and adolescents. Nevertheless, given the complexity, especially for young adolescents, to estimate with precision the duration and intensity of their activities\(^\text{[53]}\), and particularly in the Tunisian context, the PAFQ was designed as an interviewer-administered rather than a self-report questionnaire. Answering all the questions took about 30 min which seemed acceptable for the participants and compatible with use of the PAFQ in large-scale studies.

**Validity**

As for the validity, the PAFQ was strongly correlated with both HRM and 24-h and featured acceptable agreement with both criterion measures, with a better result for HRM than 24-h. Indeed, validity analysis using Bland–Altman plots revealed an acceptable moderate amount of bias (although larger for HRM than 24-h), despite the fact that a few outlying data points were included in the analysis while their exclusion would have improved our results (detailed data not shown). The HRM method is well recognized as not being a good predictor of EE at low compared with higher levels of PA\(^\text{[54]}\) and this could explain the lower validity of the PAFQ for HRM or 24-h in low-activity individuals. Because of methodological issues (including inappropriate use of correlation coefficients for quantification of validity in many studies\(^\text{[53]}\)) it is not always possible to make direct comparisons with the findings of other studies, but the characteristics of the PAFQ for HRM or 24-h appeared to be comparable with other instruments. For example, in a sample of forty-four middle- and high-school students in South Carolina, Weston et al\(^\text{[55]}\) reported correlation coefficients of 0.88, 0.77 and 0.53 between relative EE from a previous day recall of PA and a pedometer, Caltrac counts and percentage HR range, respectively. In addition, several validation studies, reviewed by Kohl et al\(^\text{[56]}\), which examined among children and adolescents self- or interviewer-administered recall of PA using HRM as criterion measure, revealed correlation coefficients ranging from 0.25 to 0.58.

**Reliability**

Regarding reliability during the test–retest procedure the ICC was 0.86 and not less than 0.79 for EE by PA categories, indicating strong agreement between the two assessments; also values of \(\kappa\) indicated moderate to substantial test–retest reliability according to the components of the estimated TEE. Variability of PA habits or technical errors may negatively affect reliability although it is not possible to distinguish between both. The quite satisfactory level of reliability could have been due to both the comprehensiveness of various types of PA in the questionnaire and the good understanding of questions due to careful testing at the development stage. Furthermore, test–retest reliability is known to improve with time when self-report techniques are used\(^\text{[50,56,57]}\). Also as the PAFQ was an interviewer-administered questionnaire, a trained interviewer may also have an important role in the strength of the reliability results.

**Methodological strengths and limitations of the study**

A validation sample composed of volunteers who were mostly urban and attending schools from around the capital city could be a limit of the present study, especially regarding large-scale use of the PAFQ. But levels of TEE among the surveyed participants indeed showed a wide range of values and the mean overall level of PA was coherent with results observed in the same context on a much larger sample (although with a questionnaire adapted from one developed for adults\(^\text{[90]}\)). Also in the context of socio-economic changes and socio-cultural evolution there seems to be a trend towards convergence of lifestyles (including PA behaviours with more time spent on sedentary activities) whatever the area of residence\(^\text{[58]}\). Although the questionnaire was developed in Tunisia, it likely has broader applicability to other North African countries; indeed, these countries share with Tunisia both a number of socio-cultural features linked to the Arab–Muslim culture and the common consequences on lifestyle factors such as diet and PA of socio-economic and societal changes due to globalization and modernization.

There are a number of advantages in using the present PAFQ in comparison with many other PAQ designs related to both its detailed content and the proposed mode of administration. First, its interviewer-administered format ensured the quality of the data collected. Second, PAFQ produces a high level of detail for PA behaviours across different domains of free-living teenagers, which may be useful in identifying common activities that could be appropriate targets for behavioural interventions to improve PA at a large scale. Third, the assignment of different intensity categories and body postures for each activity can reduce the magnitude of errors in intensity level reported. Fourth, a distinction between school and rest days over the habitual week such as developed in our PAFQ on one hand, and the closed questions and specific time slots on the other hand, may be able to minimize duration reporting errors. Indeed, reducing errors of the...
two basic elements of dose (intensity and time spent) may be an important approach to reduce overall measurement errors in self-report data (59).

Validation of the PAQ is both a subjective instrument (the 24h-R) and an objective one (the HRM method) was also a strength of the study. Nevertheless, a limitation of assessing PA based on HR data is the confounding effect of factors other than energy demand on the HR response to exercise; for example, high ambient temperature, time of day, emotional state, dehydration, food and caffeine intake, smoking, body position, muscle groups used and illness (54,60,61). However, when applied to groups of individuals, the HRM technique provides an acceptable estimate of TEE and associated patterns of PA (44). Objective measures of PA fitness with multiple motion sensors or HRM have often been used as a criterion measure in field studies validating other subjective PA instruments, particularly self-report instruments (10). Some recent questionnaire validation studies have used an accelerometer as a criterion (62–64) and some others used combined HRM with motion sensors, e.g. pedometers or accelerometers (35,65), indeed, it is assumed that combining HR data with motion sensors may provide more valid representation of PA, but several studies have investigated the validity of self-report questionnaires by using the same type of methods as used in the present study (16,36,57,67,68). Furthermore, the percentage HRR is assumed to give a reasonably accurate estimation of the TEE estimated by the gold standard methods of doubly labelled water (44,69) and from oxygen uptake measured in a laboratory or from Caltrac (70). It is nevertheless recognized that the doubly labelled water technique is the most accurate for the estimation of daily EE and hence as the reference laboratory or from Caltrac (70). It is nevertheless recognized that the doubly labelled water technique is the most accurate for the estimation of daily EE and hence as the reference method to check the validity of questionnaires designed to evaluate the PA level (53). But, its application is constrained by cost and technical complexity.

Conclusion

In Tunisia, in the context of the nutrition transition and the increasing burden of overweight and obesity resulting from specificities of that critical period of life, adolescents are especially prone to the related changes in diet and PA. In the present study we have developed a comprehensive and detailed PAQ for specific to Tunisian preadolescents and adolescents. This questionnaire was validated: v two reference methods and shown to be reproducible. The developed frequency questionnaire could be useful for large-scale description, analysis and surveillance of patterns of PA or evaluation of interventions to promote PA among Tunisian adolescents (71,72).
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