Information for Contributors

The policies and procedures presented here are reproduced in greater detail on the publisher's website: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/philosophy-of-science

Submission Method: All submissions must be made through the Philosophy of Science Editorial Manager website: https://www.editorialmanager.com/phos. All electronic files should be readable in Microsoft Word or formatted as TeX or LaTeX. (Special restrictions apply to TeX and LaTeX documents; please see the website for details.) Other correspondence with the editorial office may be sent to: journal@philsci.org or Philosophy of Science, Department of Philosophy, University of Washington, Box 353350, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

Manuscripts: Submissions must not exceed 9,500 words, including abstract, footnotes, and references; a word count must be submitted with each essay. Manuscripts should be submitted in a form suitable for blind review and should include an abstract of approximately 100 words. Authors are strongly encouraged to employ a clear, reader-friendly writing style.

Discursive footnotes (consecutively numbered) should be kept to a minimum. Simple citations can be made in the text, by citing author and year of publication (with page number if necessary); a bibliography should appear on a separate page at the end. A detailed guide to the reference style appears on the website.

Copyright: Manuscripts should be submitted to *Philosophy of Science* with the understanding that upon acceptance for publication an exclusive license to publish will be granted to the Philosophy of Science Association.

Book Reviews: Books intended for review should be sent to the address given above. Persons wishing to review a book should contact the editorial office.

Correspondence concerning PSA business matters should be addressed to Max Cormendy, Executive Director, Philosophy of Science Association, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221 (e-mail: office@philsci.org). The Philosophy of Science Association website is at http://philsci.org.

Philosophy of Science

Contents

489	Poisoned Babies, Shot Fathers, and Ruined Experiments:
	Experimental Evidence in Favor of the Compositionality
	Constraint of Actual Causation
	ALEXANDER MAX BAUER AND STEPHAN KORNMESSER

- 518 Scientists Are Epistemic Consequentialists about Imagination Michael T. Stuart
- 539 Genericity and Inductive Inference Henry Ian Schiller
- 558 Representing Mixtures
 JAMES WILLS
- 580 Connecting the Revolutionary with the Conventional: Rethinking the Differences between the Works of Brouwer, Heyting, and Weyl Kati Kish Bar-On
- 603 Is Lottery a Better Way of Resource Distribution Than Baseline Funding?

 TAKAHIRO SAKAMOTO
- 629 Robustness and Dark-Matter Observation Antonis Antoniou
- 648 Simplicity and the Sub-Family Problem for Model Selection Alireza Fatollahi and Kasra Alishahi
- 669 Form and Content: A Defense of Aesthetic Value in Science Alice Murphy
- 686 Dispositionalism and Dysfunction Brandon A. Conley
- 704 A Pricean Formalization of Gaia Pierrick Bourrat
- 721 Characterizing and Measuring Racial Discrimination in Public Health Research
 MORGAN THOMPSON

Discussion Note

744 Supposition and (Statistical) Models
CORRY DETHIER

Book Reviews

- 750 Review of Charles H. Pence's *The Causal Structure of Natural Selection*CALEB HAZELWOOD
- 753 Review of Gualtiero Piccinini's Neurocognitive Mechanisms: Explaining Biological Cognition LUIS H. FAVELA
- 756 Review of Nancy Cartwright's A Philosopher Looks at Science DANIEL J. HICKS

Cambridge Core

