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Adverse childhood experiences and theory of mind

The recent paper by Upthegrove et al1 provided an extensive study evaluating the association of childhood events with later development of psychosis. Hypotheses were partially supported and demonstrated that although childhood trauma (e.g. family disruption, abuse) was not associated with the development of psychosis, the authors did find a significant association between childhood abuse and hallucinations that were mood congruent or abusive in their content. More specifically, the authors identified that childhood sexual abuse, experiencing a victimising event (such as bullying) and death of a loved one were all significantly linked to hallucinations, even after controlling for the effects of cannabis use. The authors also suggest that childhood events did not show any association with the development of depressive or persecutory delusions.

This observed result is suggested to question cognitive models of delusions, where the precipitator, i.e. childhood trauma, leads to a state of arousal in the individual, which leads to inner–outer confusion and can cause unusual sensory/perceptual experiences.2 Cognitive models suggest that it is the cognitive biases around these unusual experiences, the search for meaning of these experiences, and the core beliefs about the self, others and the world, which lead to the explanation that gives rise to the ‘threat belief’ or persecutory delusion. Therefore the authors suggest that it may be later-life experiences rather than childhood experiences which feed into this model, leading to the delusion.

Instead, it is possible that the neuropsychological theory of mind3 can provide an alternative explanation for the development of persecutory delusions, whereby the individual develops false beliefs about the intentions of other people due to impairments in mentalising, which leads to the development of the ‘threat belief’ or delusion.4 Frith5 proposed that patients with schizophrenia develop theory-of-mind skills appropriately, but suggested that they experience a loss of these skills during a psychotic episode. Further research into this area has demonstrated that theory-of-mind impairments are likely to be trait characteristics.6

It is possible that it is a combination of adverse childhood experiences and impaired theory-of-mind skills that have a cumulative effect of leading to persecutory delusions, hence the findings in Upthegrove et al’s study.

Authors’ reply: We would agree that deficits of theory of mind may prove one mechanism that differentiates pathways to delusional belief rather than hallucinations. Indeed, a symptom-specific approach to research across diagnostic groups is increasingly called for. National Institute of Mental Health Research Domain Criteria encourage this approach, and there is already a volume of research on the causes of hallucinations, and childhood experiences therein.1–3 Persecutory delusional beliefs – and a specific neurobiology for these – have also been investigated, with significant results centring around salience, prediction error and social cognitive processing.4–6 Further focus on the distinction between primary and secondary delusions, and those with content outside the persecutory, is also needed.


Identification, diagnosis and treatment of prosopagnosia

Prospagnosia is characterised by impaired face recognition in the absence of brain injury. There is a growing corpus of research on prosopagnosia, which helps elucidate the neurocognitive mechanisms underlying typical and atypical face perception.1 Prevalence rates are estimated to be as high as 2% and it can have far-reaching psychosocial consequences. However, despite consideration as a ‘neurodevelopmental disorder’, prosopagnosia
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has received little attention from clinicians. There are no formal diagnostic criteria and there is a lack of awareness about the condition. To begin addressing such issues, I suggest that we start with (a) practical ways to identify prosopagnosia, moving towards (b) better awareness and (c) treatment of the condition by appropriately trained practitioners.

The lack of information about prosopagnosia within clinical contexts may, in part, be due to the difficulties with identifying patients with prosopagnosia, as it has traditionally relied on lengthy neuropsychological testing.\(^2\) Therefore, a short, validated and freely available questionnaire was recently developed to help identify individuals with the disorder.\(^3\) Although it was designed for prosopagnosia research, the instrument may enable clinicians – when presented with individuals with face-processing impairments – to screen for prosopagnosia as part of their diagnostic procedures. Patients may then, for example, be referred to specialists to undergo neuropsychological examination if required.

It is hoped that referrals to mental health practitioners will not only help individuals with prosopagnosia manage their impairments (and secondary conditions – e.g. anxiety), but also improve awareness of the disorder among clinicians.\(^4\) Efforts are also underway to improve awareness of prosopagnosia more generally. Psychologists have produced informational videos (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=/p2Ar40QvU) and web pages (www.troublewithfaces.org; http://prosopagnosiaresearch.org; www.faceblind.org), including a listing in the A–Z of health conditions on NHS Choices (www.nhs.uk/conditions/prosopagnosia). Although designed for the public, these resources contain information that may inform clinical research and practice, and thereby facilitate development of formal diagnostic criteria for prosopagnosia.

Garnering interest in prosopagnosia from clinicians is of timely importance because psychopharmacological treatment studies are underway and programmes for enhancing face recognition are being patented.\(^1\) It is beyond the scope of this letter to address the potential promise and pitfalls of such developments, but treatment regimens will invariably benefit from scrutiny by clinicians, ensuring that interventions are designed with the rigorous standards observed in clinical trials.

Taken together, this is a clarion call for clinicians to extend investigation of prosopagnosia from cognitive psychology into psychiatry. This is necessary to reliably identify individuals with prosopagnosia, generate (urgently needed) formal diagnostic criteria, and most importantly, help individuals with prosopagnosia manage their condition.
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