08AI5, 08A25, 18A30

BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. VOL. 20 (1979), 71-76.

# On profiniteness of compact totally disconnected algebras

## B.J. Day

The paper presents a necessary and sufficient condition for a given compact totally disconnected space C to be the projective limit of a given directed cone of epimorphisms onto finite discrete quotients of C. This problem is related to the question of when a compact totally disconnected algebra is profinite and some observations in this direction are recorded.

#### Introduction

The notion of a pro-object is closely related to problems in duality (see Day [2] and Hofmann [4]). The usual technique is to obtain duality on the models M and then lift this duality to the pro-M-objects.

In the present paper we reverse the above mentioned procedure and use Stone duality to deduce a necessary and sufficient condition for a given compact totally disconnected space to be a pro-M-object for a given M. The resulting observations on profiniteness of algebras are closely related to the work of Choe [1] and Numakura [6]. The method we employ is a generalisation of Numakura's method for semigroups and leads to Choe-type conditions for profiniteness.

The general references for this article are Grätzer [3] and Mac Lane [5].

#### 1. General conditions for profiniteness

Let  $K = (K, 1, \times, [-, -], ...)$  be the cartesian closed category of

Received 8 November 1978.

71

compactly generated Hausdorff spaces and let B be the category of boolean rings. Then, for each  $C \in C$ , the category of compact totally disconnected spaces, we have an isomorphism  $C \cong B([C, 2], 2)$  by Stone duality which asserts that  $B(-, 2) : B^{OP} + C$  is a category equivalence.

Let  $U : B \rightarrow Ens$  be the underlying-set functor. Then U creates filtered colimits since B is finitary over Ens; U also preserves and reflects regular epimorphisms.

Now let  $D: \mathcal{D} \neq C$  be a diagram in C with each  $D(\alpha)$  a finite set, and let  $\rho: C \neq D$  be a natural transformation each of whose components is an epimorphism. Then the aim is to find a condition for this transformation  $\rho$  to be a limit cone in C.

THEOREM 1.1. If D is directed then the canonical map  $\rho : C \rightarrow \lim D$  is a monomorphism (respectively an isomorphism) if and only if the canonical map colim  $U[D, 2] \rightarrow U[C, 2]$  in Ens is a surjection (respectively a bijection).

Proof. Consider

 $C \cong B([C, 2], 2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \lim B([D, 2], 2) \cong \lim D$ .

Here  $\rho$  is a monomorphism (respectively an isomorphism) if and only if  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism (respectively an isomorphism). But  $\alpha$  is just the image of the canonical map

 $\beta : \operatorname{colim}[D, 2] \rightarrow [C, 2]$ 

in  $\mathcal{B}$  under the category equivalence  $\mathcal{B}^{\operatorname{op}} \neq C$ . Thus  $\rho$  is a monomorphism (respectively an isomorphism) if and only if  $\beta$  is an epimorphism (respectively an isomorphism). But the domain of  $\beta$  is a filtered colimit. Thus, on considering the aforementioned properties of U, the result follows. //

COROLLARY 1.2. The canonical map  $\rho: C \rightarrow \lim D$  is a monomorphism if and only if each continuous map  $C \rightarrow 2$  factors through some e in the cone. //

A directed cone  $\rho : C \rightarrow D$  is called *saturated* if:

(i) given any commuting diagram

72



with  $e_m$ ,  $e_n$  in the cone, then h = Df;

(ii) given any pair in the cone, their pushout in C is in the cone.

THEOREM 1.3. The canonical map  $\rho : C \rightarrow \lim D$  is an isomorphism if  $\rho : C \rightarrow D$  is saturated and each map  $g : C \rightarrow 2$  factors through some e in the cone.

Proof. Firstly colim  $U[D, 2] \rightarrow U[C, 2]$  is a surjection (see Corollary 1.2). It is an injection since, given any two factorisations of a given  $g: C \rightarrow 2$ ,



we can form the pushout of  $(e_m, e_n)$  in C and relate both factorisations to a third via maps in the diagram (since we are assuming  $\rho : C \rightarrow D$  is saturated). //

EXAMPLE 1.4. Let  $\Pi = (T, \mu, \eta)$  be a monad on *Ens*. Then we can lift this to a monad on *K*; namely  $\overline{TX} = \int^{Y} TY \cdot [Y, X]$ . If we associate each compact totally disconnected  $\overline{\Pi}$ -algebra *C* with its set of finite quotients then we obtain a (directed) saturated cone under *C*. //

### 2. Special conditions for profiniteness

Throughout this section we will consider the situation in the preceding example in which  $\Pi$  is a *finitary* monad on *Ens* (this makes  $\overline{\Pi}$ 

finitary on K ). The set of all non-nullary finitary operations of  $\Pi$  will be denoted by  $\Omega$  for short.

Let A be a compact  $\overline{\Pi}$ -algebra. We will call a subset  $M \subseteq A \times A$  a  $\Delta$ -module if  $x \in M$  implies  $\mu(\Delta, \ldots, x, \ldots, \Delta) \subseteq M$  for all  $\mu \in \Omega$ . Given any set  $X \subseteq A \times A$  we will denote by  $X^*$  the union of all the  $\Delta$ -modules contained in X.

LEMMA 2.1.  $X^*$  is a  $\Delta$ -module. //

For any  $Y \subset A \times A$  we define

$$\begin{array}{c} a(\mu) \\ \langle y \rangle = \bigcup \quad \bigcup \quad \mu(\Delta, \ \dots, \ Y, \ \dots, \ \Delta) \\ \mu \in \Omega \quad i=1 \end{array}$$

LEMMA 2.2. (Y) is a  $\Delta$ -module. //

We will call A  $\Delta$ -finite if there exists a finite number of operations  $\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k\} \subset \Omega$  such that

for all  $Y \subseteq A \times A$ .

THEOREM 2.3. Let X be an open equivalence relation on a  $\Delta$ -finite A . Then X\* is an open algebra congruence on A .

Proof. Choose  $x \in X^*$ . Then, by continuity of  $\mu$  and compactness of A, there exists an open set  $V_{\mu}$  about x such that  $\mu(\Delta, \ldots, V_{\mu}, \ldots, \Delta) \subseteq X$  for each  $\mu \in \Omega$ . Thus there exists an open set V about x such that  $\mu_i(\Delta, \ldots, V, \ldots, \Delta) \subseteq X$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Thus, by  $\Delta$ -finiteness of A, there exists an open V about x such that  $\langle V \rangle \subseteq X$ . Therefore  $\langle V \rangle \subseteq X^*$  and so  $X^*$  is open. It is straightforward to check that  $X^*$  is an A-congruence (see Numakura [6], Lemma 4). //

COROLLARY 2.4. A  $\Delta$ -finite totally disconnected algebra A is profinite. //

Now suppose that  $\Omega$  is generated by only a *finite* set  $\Omega_b$  of basic operations. Call A  $\Delta$ -associative if, for each  $\mu \in \Omega_b$ , there exists an integer  $m = m(\mu) > 0$  such that

 $\mu\{\Delta, \ldots, \mu(\Delta, \ldots, \mu(\Delta, \ldots, Y, \ldots, \Delta), \ldots)\} \subseteq \mu(\Delta, \ldots, Y, \ldots, \Delta)$ for all  $Y \subseteq A \times A$ , where the only restriction on the left-hand side is that  $\mu$  should occur precisely m times. Call A  $\Delta$ -distributive if  $\mu\{\Delta, \ldots, \rho(\Delta, \ldots, Y, \ldots, \Delta), \ldots, \Delta\}$  $= \rho\{\Delta, \ldots, \mu(\Delta, \ldots, Y, \ldots, \Delta), \ldots, \Delta\}$ 

for all  $\mu$ ,  $\rho \in \Omega_{h}$  and  $Y \subseteq A \times A$ .

PROPOSITION 2.5. If A is  $\Delta$ -associative and  $\Delta$ -distributive then it is  $\Delta$ -finite.

Proof. The diagonal  $\Delta$  is a subalgebra of  $A \times A$  so  $\mu(\Delta, \ldots, \Delta) \subseteq \Delta$  for all  $\mu \in \Omega$ . Thus any derived expression whose entries are all  $\Delta$  except for one entry which is Y, can be contained in the expression  $\mu_1(\Delta, \ldots, \mu_2(\Delta, \ldots, \mu_n(\Delta, \ldots, Y, \ldots, \Delta), \ldots))$  in which the  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$  are basic operations from  $\Omega_b$ . By  $\Delta$ -distributivity followed by  $\Delta$ -associativity, any such derived expression can be contained in an expression in which each basic  $\mu$  occurs less than  $m(\mu)$  times, and there is only a finite number of such expressions; so the result follows. //

Examples of  $\Delta$ -associative and  $\Delta$ -distributive algebras include groups, rings, semigroups, distributive lattices, and lattice ordered groups.

#### References

- [1] Tae Ho Choe, "Zero-dimensional compact associative distributive universal algebras", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1974), 607-613.
- [2] B.J. Day, "On Pontryagin duality", Glasgow Math. J. (to appear).
- [3] George Grätzer, Universal algebra (Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey; Toronto; London; Melbourne; 1968).
- [4] Karl Heinrich Hofmann, "Category theoretical methods in topological algebra", *Categorical topology*, 345-403 (Proc. Conf. Mannheim, 1975. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 540. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976).

- [5] S. Mac Lane, Categories for the working mathematician (Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 5. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1971).
- [6] Katsumi Numakura, "Theorems on compact totally disconnected semigroups and lattices", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 623-626.

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales.

.

76