Cyclopentadithiophene polymers synthesised via Suzuki-Miyaura polymerisation of MIDA boronate esters
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Abstract

Cyclopentadithiophene (CPDT), a Csp3-bridged bithiophene heteroaromatic unit, displays interesting properties when it is embedded in the repeating units of π-conjugated polymers, and they are applied in organic electronics devices. Common synthetic routes to CPDT-derived polymers rely on toxic methodologies whilst alternative non-toxic strategies such as the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction have been less studied. In this report we demonstrate that the use of a N-methyliminodiacetic acid (MIDA) boronate ester-derived CPDT monomer allows the efficient formation of poly(cyclopentadithiophene) homopolymer under Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction conditions. Thus, the use of MIDA boronate esters might be extended to other organic units to design and construct a plethora of π-conjugated polymers.
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Introduction

π-conjugated polymers are important materials for organic electronic applications, and thienyl-derived moieties possess excellent electronic properties. A number of synthetic routes and physical properties of soluble poly(dialkylcyclopentadithiophene) polymers, pCPDT, a Csp3-bridged bithiophene framework, have been extensively studied (Coppo & Turner, 2005). Current use of pCPDT homopolymer is far less extended than its donor-acceptor copolymer analogue, e.g., cyclopentadithiophene-benzothiadiazole, due to its high HOMO energy level which makes it sensitive to oxidation. Nonetheless, since the first chemical polymerisation report of dialkyl-substituted cyclopentadithiophenes to form soluble pCPDT (Asawapirom & Scherf, 2001) its use as active layer in organic field-effect transistors (Horie et al., 2010), organic photovoltaics (Bijleveld et al., 2010), and tuning of its bandgap via protonation of terminal α-positions with trifluoroacetic acid (Tang et al., 2015) suggest there is room for novel applications.

Despite its non-toxic reagents and by-products, among other advantages, the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling (Suzuki, 2011) remains under explored for the synthesis of thienyl-derived polymers, compared to other transition-metal catalysed cross-coupling reactions such as Kumada and Stille methodologies, partly due to the difficulty in synthesising the required organoboryl-containing monomers and their undesired protodeborylation during the cross-coupling polymerisation reaction. In this regard, N-methyliminodiacetic acid (MIDA) boronate esters have proven to be excellent nucleophilic partners for the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction because they can slowly deliver the reactive boronic acid under mild conditions (Burke & Gillis, 2009).
Objective
To synthesise cyclopentadithiophene homopolymers, $p$CPDT, via Suzuki-Miyaura polymerisation using MIDA boronate esters as the key boron masking group in AB-type monomers, and thus demonstrate their potential as efficient substrates for the formation of thienyl-derived conjugated polymers in high yields and high polymer molecular weights.

Methods
The Direct C-H Electrophilic Borylation synthetic methodology (Bagutski et al., 2013) was employed for the preparation of the MIDA boronate ester monomer, 1, according to the procedure previously reported (Ayuso Carrillo et al., 2015). $p$CPDT homopolymer was prepared via Suzuki-Miyaura polymerisation methodology (Suzuki, 2011). Further details are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

Results
Monomer 1 quantitatively produces $p$CPDT (99% isolated yield, crude polymer) under identical polymerisation reaction conditions that were optimised for poly(3-hexylthiophene), P3HT (Ayuso Carrillo et al., 2015). Table 1 shows polymer molecular weights recorded at different reaction times.

Suzuki-Miyaura polymerisation of 1 displayed reaction features analogously to other MIDA boronate esters, e.g., slow release of the corresponding thienyl boronic acid, step-growth of polymer chains over time, high yield and high molecular weight of isolated polymer. For example, Figure 1 shows the increase of polymer molecular weights of $p$CPDT over time (Ayuso Carrillo et al., 2015; 2016; Foster et al., 2017). Likewise, the $^1$H NMR spectra of the filtered solutions after polymer precipitation (Figure S1) showed evidence of 1 only but no deboronated monomer, after 4 h and 8 h of reaction.

Discussions
Combination of highly active phosphines and palladium precatalysts with cyclopentadithiophene-derived MIDA boronate ester AB-type monomers afforded $p$CPDT under mild reaction conditions. The molecular weights of isolated polymers after Soxhlet fractionation (typically producing $\geq 90\%$ yield of the chloroform fraction) are superior to those reported in the literature synthesised by Yamamoto

Table 1. Selected results from the Suzuki-Miyaura polymerisation of 1 to form $p$CPDT.$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>$t$ (h)</th>
<th>$M_n$</th>
<th>$M_m$</th>
<th>$D_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reaction conditions: $T$: 55 $^\circ$C, $[1] = 6.1 \times 10^{-2}$ M, K$_3$PO$_4$: 3 equiv, H$_2$O: 40 equiv, Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$: 2.5 mol%, SPhos (CAS 657408–07-6): 5 mol%, Solvent: THF. $^b$Determined by Gel Permeation Chromatography (THF at 35 $^\circ$C, polystyrene calibration). Quoted values corresponding to Soxhlet-fractionated chloroform fraction after sequential extractions with methanol, and $n$-hexane, for 14 h each. $^c$Numbers in parenthesis correspond to crude samples.
reductive polymerisation (e.g., $M_n = 5$–$9$ kDa, $D_M = 1.8$–$2.6$) (Asawapirom & Scherf, 2001), oxidative polymerisation with FeCl$_3$ (e.g., $M_n = 10.5$ kDa, $D_M = 3.9$) (Horie et al., 2010), and comparable to those obtained by Kumada catalyst-transfer polymerisation (e.g., $M_n = 31.2$ kDa, $D_M = 2.0$) (Willot et al., 2013).

It is noteworthy that 1 was prepared via a two-step synthesis (Scheme 1, left) where 2 was obtained during the purification of the diborylated cyclopentadithiophene unit via chromatographic column. In contrast, as shown in Scheme 1, top-right, multiple attempts to borylate 3 in a controlled fashion were unsuccessful, leading to in situ deborylation and uncontrolled electrophilic polymerisation of the cyclopentadithiophene fragment (see Supplementary Materials).

## Conclusions
The synthesis of soluble poly(cyclopentadithiophene) polymers employing $N$-methyliminodiacetic acid boronate ester/bromo heterobifunctionalised AB-type monomers was demonstrated. Thus, the use of MIDA boronate esters in Suzuki-Miyaura polymerisations holds a promising future for the efficient and non-toxic preparation of π-conjugated polymers relevant for organic electronics applications.
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