Glycaemic index of Indian flatbreads (rotis) prepared using whole wheat flour and ‘atta mix’-added whole wheat flour
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To compare the glycaemic index (GI) of newly developed ‘atta mix’ roti with whole wheat flour roti. Eighteen healthy non-diabetic subjects consumed 50 g available carbohydrate portions of a reference food (glucose) and two test foods (whole wheat flour roti and atta mix roti) in random order after an overnight fast. The reference food was tested on three separate occasions, while the test foods were each tested once. Capillary blood samples were measured from finger-prick samples in fasted subjects (−5 and 0 min) and at 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 min from the start of each food. No significant difference was observed between roti prepared from whole wheat flour and atta mix in terms of appearance, texture, flavour, taste or acceptability. For each test food, the incremental area under the curve and GI values were determined. The GI of atta mix roti (27.3 (SEM 2.2)) was considerably lower than the whole wheat flour roti (45.1 (SEM 3.5), P, 0.001). Development of foods with lower dietary glycaemic load such as the atta mix roti could help in the prevention and control of diabetes in South Asian populations, which habitually consume very high glycaemic load diets.
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A number of studies over the past 20 years have shown the beneficial effects of low glycaemic index (GI) foods in relation to development of chronic diseases such as type 2 diabetes (T2D)(1) and CVD(2). The United Nations FAO/WHO (1998) report recommends that the GI of foods be used in combination with information about food composition to guide food choices for better management and prevention of chronic diseases such as T2D and CVD(3).

India already has the highest number of people with T2D in the world(4). Controlling postprandial blood sugar is important for the prevention and control of T2D and its related complications(5,6). There is a large body of evidence to suggest that if a reduction in postprandial glycemia is to be part of the strategy for prevention and management of diabetes and CVD, the GI (or quality) is as relevant as the quantity of carbohydrate(1,7). Foods low in GI may reduce the insulin demand(8), improve blood glucose control(8), reduce blood lipid concentrations(9) and body weight(10–12) and thereby could help prevent diabetes-related cardiovascular events(13–15).

Carbohydrate foods (cereal-based), particularly rice and wheat (60–65 %), provide the bulk of the energies in the Asian Indian diet(16). Rice consumption is higher in southern(17) and eastern parts of the country, while wheat consumption is higher in northern India(18). Almost half (46.9 %) of the daily energies among South Indian population is derived from refined grains (mean intake 333 g/d) of which white rice is a major contributor (mean intake 253.4 g/d)(17). Understanding the GI of such staples is necessary for the proper selection of foods and may be of particular benefit to Indians, who are more insulin resistant(19,20). It is only quite recently that whole grain-based foods of traditional Indian diets have been reintroduced in the Indian market, but the GI of most products has not been tested. There is hence a need to determine the GI of local food products using standardised methodology(3,21).

In the present study, we compare the GI of rotis (unleavened flatbread) made of whole wheat flour and with a newly developed atta mix containing bengal gram, psyllium husk and debittered fenugreek flour in healthy non-diabetic subjects.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Non-diabetic healthy volunteers aged between 18 and 45 years were recruited from the diabetes centre, which included...
staff/students or their relatives. Subjects were excluded if BMI was >22.9 kg/m². According to the WHO Asian Pacific guidelines, overweight in Asians is defined as BMI > 22.9 kg/m² and obesity as ≥ 25 kg/m². Subject characteristics do not appear to have a significant effect on mean GI values, and therefore for routine testing, healthy human volunteers are recommended (23). Subjects were also excluded if fasting blood glucose value > 5.6 mmol/l, if they were on any special diet, had a family history of diabetes, were suffering from any illness or food allergies or were on any medication. A total of thirty subjects volunteered to participate in the GI testing, of whom five were dropped, one due to change in BMI and four due to sickness. Three subjects were excluded because they had impaired glucose tolerance and an additional four subjects were excluded as they were found to be outliers (individual GI values greater or lesser than 2SD of the mean GI values). Thus, a total of eighteen subjects (twelve men and six women) were included in the analysis.

Anthropometric measurements including height, weight and waist circumference were taken in the fasting state using standardised techniques as described elsewhere (21). The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were also approved by the institution’s Ethical Committee of the Madras Diabetes Research Foundation.

Experimental protocol

The protocol used to measure GI was adapted from that described by Wolever et al. (25) and Brouns et al. (23) and is in line with procedure recommended by the FAO/WHO (3). The procedure has been standardised with an international laboratory that took part in an Inter-laboratory study (26) and has been published elsewhere (21). In the present study, the number of subjects has been increased to detect the small differences in GI and to ensure greater precision (23). On the day before the test, subjects were asked not to smoke, consume alcohol, to undertake any vigorous physical activity and to consume their usual meal of similar size and composition, which was verified by a 24 h dietary recall and a brief questionnaire on behavioural status. If there was any deviation, the appointments were rescheduled. Subjects visited the GI testing centre in the morning after a 10–12 h overnight fast, which was standardised to within ±15 min of the chosen interval on all five occasions.

Test food

The portion size of the test foods (whole wheat flour and atta mix) was calculated using the available carbohydrate estimated as per Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) method (27). Available carbohydrate content of the wheat flour was determined after gelatinising the flour using direct measurement, although there is a possibility that some available carbohydrate may be lost during the cooking process. Branded commercial whole wheat flour (Pillsbury, General Mills India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India) was purchased from the super market, and was used to prepare the whole wheat flour roti. Atta mix is a proprietary (patented), functional food, made of roasted bengal gram flour (legumes), psyllium/ispaghula husk powder (the husk of the seeds of Plantago ovata) and debittered fenugreek (methi) powder. This mix was used in the ratio (4:4:1) of 459 g atta mix added to 2 kg whole wheat flour, as recommend by the manufacturer (Marico Ltd. K.C. Marg, Mumbai, India), and the cooking procedure was standardised by a nutritionist. Roti was prepared from dough that was rolled out to approximately 15 cm in diameter, cooked fairly well on both sides on hot griddle and tossed on direct flame to puff. Description and macronutrient composition and fibre content of the test foods are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Sensory attributes of roti were rated using a 15 cm structured graphical hedonic scale (28).

Reference food

Fifty-five grams of dextrose (glucose monohydrate) dissolved in 200 ml water were used as the reference food (Glucod-D® glucose powder, Heinz India (P) Ltd., Mumbai, India). The reference food was consumed during the first, middle and last test sessions, while the two types of roti were consumed in random order in between the reference food sessions (23), with at least 3 d gap between measurements to minimise carry-over effects. Subjects were given 200 ml water along with the test food and an extra 200 ml was given during the subsequent 2 h.

Blood glucose measurement

Fasting blood samples were obtained by collecting finger-prick capillary blood samples, at -5 min and 0 min. The baseline value was taken as the mean of these two values. The subjects then consumed the reference/test food immediately after this. The first bite in the mouth is set as time 0 and the first

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Description of the test foods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test foods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available carbohydrate content (g/100 g)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw sample weight providing 50 g available carbohydrate (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking method and equipment used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of water (ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dough weight (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per chappathi average cooked weight (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Available carbohydrate value on dry weight basis.

*Glycemic Index Testing Laboratory, Madras Diabetes Research Foundation, India.
Table 2. Macronutrient and dietary fibre content of the test foods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional information</th>
<th>Whole wheat flour† (commercial; 100 g)</th>
<th>Atta mix‡ (100 g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ)</td>
<td>1012.5</td>
<td>1142.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (g)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available carbohydrate (g)§</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dietary fibre (g)</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Test foods are whole wheat flour (commercial), atta mix.
† Source: from the Food and Nutrition label: ingredient: whole wheat atta, no additives or preservatives used.
‡ Source: from Food and Nutrition label: ingredient: roasted bengal gram flour, pisi grain powder and dehydrated fenugreek powder. Whole wheat atta (commercial) and atta mix was mixed in the ratio of 4:4:1.
§ Available carbohydrate by direct method.

Results

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study subjects are presented in Table 3. The results of the sensory evaluation of the atta mix roti showed no significant difference in terms of appearance (10 (SEM 0.4) v. 11 (SEM 0.4)), texture (10 (SEM 0.5) v. 11 (SEM 0.6)), flavour (11 (SEM 0.4) v. 10 (SEM 0.4)), taste (10 (SEM 0.5) v. 11 (SEM 0.6)) and overall acceptability (11 (SEM 0.4) v. 10 (SEM 0.5)) in comparison with whole wheat flour roti.

The mean fasting blood glucose was similar before each test meal, 4.95 (SEM 0.08) mmol/l for whole wheat flour roti, 5.05 (SEM 0.07) mmol/l for atta mix roti and 4.97 (SEM 0.06) mmol/l (P = 0.605) for the glucose. The blood glucose response to atta mix roti was significantly lower at 30 (P = 0.025), 45 (P = 0.005), 60 (P = 0.009) and 90 min (P = 0.016) compared to the whole wheat flour roti, and the mean blood glucose response curve of the two test foods are shown in Fig. 1.

Table 4 shows the mean IAUC and GI of the test foods. The mean IAUC of atta mix roti (66.2 (SEM 6.9)) mmol/l was significantly lower than the whole wheat flour roti (109.6 (SEM 10.6)) mmol/l, P < 0.001. The mean GI of atta mix roti showed significantly lower (27.3 (SEM 2.2)) value than the whole wheat flour roti (45.1 (SEM 3.5)), P < 0.001, which represents a 39.5 % decreases in GI value. The mean individual CV glucose tested thrice was 23.2 (SEM 2.4) %.

Discussion

The study reports on GI of Indian rotis prepared using whole wheat flour and with atta mix. The present study results demonstrate that both the whole wheat flour and atta mix rotis show lower GI. However, the atta mix rotis had 39.5 % lower GI units as compared to whole wheat flour roti. This would be of great relevance in the context of southeast Asia, which is currently the epicentre of the diabetes epidemic and where the diets that usually consist of high carbohydrate-based foods (cereal staples) leading to high glycaemic load (GL) and where the diets that usually consist of high carbohydrate-based foods (cereal staples) leading to high glycaemic load (GL). We have recently shown that GL is an independent risk factor for T2D and low HDL.
Glycaemic response of Indian flatbread (roti)

Mean blood glucose concentration (mmol/l) between reference (glucose), whole wheat flour roti and atta mix roti (*P = 0.025; **P = 0.005; ***P = 0.009; ****P = 0.016).

---

### Table 4. Incremental area under the curve (IAUC) and glycaemic index (GI) of the test foods (n = 18)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test foods</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>GI classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole wheat flour roti</td>
<td>109.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attamix roti</td>
<td>66.2*</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>27.3*</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value (< 0.001) refers to differences in IAUC and GI values between atta mix roti and whole wheat flour roti.
(within-subject) variability for repeated tests of the reference food (23 %), which is substantially lower than that recorded by others. Future studies should consider the measurement of insulin in addition to glycaemic response, as some low GI foods produce excessive insulin levels.

In conclusion, both whole wheat roti and atta mix roti show low GI food values. Hence, both could be incorporated into the Indian diets to replace existing high GI food choices such as refined grains. However, selecting the atta mix could further reduce the overall dietary glycaemic load which could be beneficial in a population, which is highly susceptible to T2D and insulin resistance.

Acknowledgements

We thank the volunteers participated in the GI testing study. Our special thanks to Ms P. Muthumariyammal and Ms Tamil Selvi for assisting in the manuscript. This is the first publication from our GI testing centre. The present study was supported by the Marico Limited, Mumbai, India, and we wish to thank Mr Anand and Mrs Ankita for their support.

V. M. is the guarantor. V. M., V. S. and G. R. planned and designed the study. G. R. and C. S. conducted the study. G. R. and A. G. contributed to the data analysis. G. R. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. V. M. and V. S. rewrote the subsequent drafts. V. M., V. S., G. R. and C. J. K. H. contributed the interpretation of the data and all contributors participated in the revision and final draft of the manuscript. They approved the final version and will take public responsibility for the content of the present paper. There are no conflicts of interest any with other organisation.

References


