Effects of stimulant drug use on the dopaminergic system: A systematic review and meta-analysis of in vivo neuroimaging studies
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\begin{abstract}
Background: Stimulant drugs can cause persistent changes in the brain. Imaging studies show that these changes are most apparent in dopamine transporter (DAT) or receptor availability within the striatum. Methods: This work focuses on influences of stimulant use on dopaminergic function assessed using nuclear-medicine imaging (PET/SPECT). Included are 39 studies on 655 cocaine, amphetamine, methamphetamine or nicotine users, as well as 690 healthy controls. Metaanalyses were conducted separately for D2/D3 receptors and dopamine transporters of the entire striatum, its subregions caudate and putamen respectively. Results: Meta-analyses results regarding nicotine did not show significant effects between smokers and nonsmokers. In cocaine users there was a significant decrease in dopamine receptor availability in all regions. The striatal DAT availability was significantly increased in cocaine users. Methamphetamine users showed a significantly decreased dopamine receptor and transporter density in all regions. Significant results also indicate a lower transporter availability in all regions. Amphetamine users showed reduced DAT availability in the striatum, as well as in the subregions. Conclusion: This meta-analysis provides evidence that there are ongoing changes in the dopaminergic system associated with the use of stimulants. Especially the results of cocaine, methamphetamine and amphetamine use mainly showed a downregulation. In addition, this meta-analysis is the first to include nicotine. This subset of studies showed evidence for a decreased receptor and DAT availability but no significant results were found in the metaanalyses.
\end{abstract}

1. Introduction

Drug use is an ongoing worldwide problem, especially the use of stimulant substances has increased [1]. The lifetime prevalence for relevant substances rose from 3.6\% in 2016 to 3.8\% in 2017 for a population aged 15–64 in the European Union (EU) [2]. A 12-month prevalence for the use of amphetamines in the EU is 0.5\% for adults and 1.1\% for adolescents [2]. Methamphetamine (MA) is a synthetically compounded amphetamine derivate which is biologically more active than amphetamine [3] with a longer half-life period [4]. Thus the substance has a prolonged duration of action on the brain [5]. Cocaine is also an amphetamine-type drug, it is the second most used illegal drug and the most used stimulant substance within the EU with a lifetime prevalence of 5.2\% for adults [2]. Nicotine, ingested through smoking has been and continues to be a global health problem [6]. This substance stimulates the brain in a similar way as the above mentioned substances [7–9]. The activation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors leads to changes in the synaptic cleft [10] thereby increasing dopamine levels [11]. Through smoking the dopamine release is also modulated by the activation of nicotine receptors in the striatum. This effect in addition to the dopamine transporter metabolism inhibition through stimulant drugs leads to an increased dopamine release and a simultaneous reduction of reuptake [3]. Stimulant use causes an unbalanced dopaminergic
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system, which leads to alterations and impairments in the reward system and circuits. This change in the reward system combined with withdrawal symptoms is one reason for symptoms of addiction [12]. Imaging studies with positron emission tomography (PET) or single photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) have found differences between stimulant users and healthy controls [e.g. 13,14].

Research comparing current nicotine dependent smokers and nonsmokers yielded mixed results. For example, some publications reported lower dopamine transporter (DAT) activity in the left putamen and right caudate in smokers [8], or lower dopamine receptor density in male smokers only [15,16], while Dagher et al. [17] reported significantly lower receptor density in smokers' striatum and its subregions. Other studies reported no differences in DAT [18] or receptors [19] in current smokers. Inconsistent results were also found between current cocaine users compared to healthy controls indicating lower DAT and receptor density in cocaine dependents [20–22] and abusers [23] or higher DAT in dependents [24–26] or no differences in dependent subjects [27–29] and cocaine users [30] compared to the control groups. Compared to healthy controls, evidence for significantly reduced DAT and receptors in MA users were found in some studies for currently MA dependent subjects [31–34], current MA abusers [35–37], chronic users [38] and former users or MA dependent subjects in rehabilitation [39–43]. However, Volkow et al. [44] found a trend for lower DAT levels in current MA dependents and abusers, while Iyo et al. [45] reported no differences in receptor levels between males with a history of MA abuse and healthy controls. For amphetamines, most studies report significantly decreased DAT in abstinent heavy users [46] and decreased receptor levels in recreational [47] or former users [48] compared to healthy controls. Other studies show a negative trend [47] or no changes in recreational users [49] compared to healthy controls. In summary, it appears that present research shows inconsistent results in regard to changes to the dopaminergic system due to stimulant substance abuse.

Continuing from work in a previously published analysis examining the effects of stimulants on the striatum, excepting nicotine [50], this meta-analysis summarizes results from previously published studies investigating the effects of individual stimulants (cocaine, MA, amphetamines, nicotine), on the dopaminergic system for the whole striatum. More detailed results for the striatal subregions caudate and putamen were also included. Smoking (i.e. nicotine) was allowed in most of the study groups and could have influenced the measurements. Therefore, meta-analyses on nicotine were also conducted to summarize its possible effects. The aim of this analysis was to examine differences between users and nonusers of stimulating substances and whether these substances differ in their effects on the dopamine system's function in the striatum.

2. Methods

2.1. Literature search and data extraction

A comprehensive literature search was conducted via the electronic database PubMed with the following search term: (“stimulant” OR “psychostimulant” OR “amphetamine” OR “methamphetamine” OR “speed” OR “crystal meth” OR “N-methylamphetamine” OR “nicotine”) AND (“dopamine” OR “DA”) AND (“D2” OR “DAT” OR “D3” OR “D2/D3” OR “presynaptic” OR “FDOPA” OR “F-DOPA” OR “synthesis”) AND (“pet” OR “spect” OR “positron emission” OR “single photon emission” OR “tomography”) AND (*1950/01/01[PDAT] : “2016/06/31”[PDAT]) to identify neuroimaging studies using PET or SPECT to investigate DAT or dopamine receptor (D1, D2, D3) availability in stimulant users, which were in English, no single case studies or reviews. The search was conducted by two authors (L.P., F.K.) independently, results were compared and a consensus was achieved if needed via detailed discussion. Included studies had a group of stimulant users (methamphetamine, amphetamine, cocaine, nicotine) and a control group (no lifetime diagnosis of any drug use), and all participants should be at least 18. The following information was extracted from the included studies: age, sex, number of participants per group, abused drugs, nicotine consumption, potential duration of abstinence in the user group, brain region for which results were reported and type of radioligand. When studies reported a range of abstinence duration, the mean of minimum and maximum was calculated as an indicator of the mean abstinence time. Included publications were divided into the four substance groups for which meta-analyses were calculated separately. Means and standard deviations (SD) were extracted to calculate the effect sizes of differences in dopaminergic imaging parameters. If individual studies reported other variables these were converted to the above reported value formats using a formula reported in the supplementary documentation. Duration of abstinence was reported in different formats, to achieve a uniform format one month was considered as 30 days. Current users were recorded as having 0 days of abstinence and means were used for calculations. When studies reported results of more than one scan, baseline results were extracted.

For the main outcome measures different parameters were used. Several measures of binding potential (BP) (BP, BPND, Bmax/kD) were included, which represent the uptake of the radioligand in the brain and indicate the affinity on the structures of interest [51]. Other measuring methods represent the uptake rate constant for the transport of the tracer from plasma to a free ligand compartment in tissue, which is called K1 and related rate constants which are all comparing influx constants in the region of interest (K2, K3, K4, Kd re) [51,52]. All included studies concentrated on BPs of a tracer in the dopaminergic system, which makes them comparable because of their same direction of the scales [53]. An overview about the different used radioligands is provided in Table 1.

2.2. Data analysis

Analyses were conducted for different substances and brain regions using the metafor package [54] in R (Version 3.5.0). A random effects model with derSimonian-Laird variance estimator with inverse variance weighting was used to pool the data. Effect sizes (Hedge’s g) were calculated for differences in BPs between users and controls. The main outcome measure was the difference in dopaminergic imaging parameters between the groups. The summary estimates are reported with their 95% confidence intervals (CI).

3. Results

Moderation analyses were calculated for year of publication, average age, gender distribution, imaging method and durations of abstinence by including the corresponding moderator variables into the random effects model one by one. The significance was judged based on the p-value of the test of moderators in the model. In order to avoid very unstable estimates providing only limited utility the moderator analysis was only conducted if the number of the studies included was greater than three. To evaluate potential publication bias, Egger’s regression tests for funnel plot asymmetry [55] were conducted, the minimum number of studies required for
the analysis was three. The significance level for all analyses in this work was set at $p = 0.05$.

After an initial identification of 880 articles using the above defined search criteria, 841 articles were excluded which resulted in 39 articles that were included in quantitative metaanalyses. The articles in the analysis compared 655 users with 690 controls. Some studies provided more than one sample for calculations (e.g. more than one region, for DAT and receptors) [16,20,21,23, 24,27,28,30,32,35,39,41–43,46,49]. Samples were separated by substance, which resulted in 29 samples for quantitative analyses of methamphetamine out of 15 studies, 26 samples for cocaine out of 12 studies, 11 samples for amphetamines out of 5 studies and 9 samples for nicotine out of 6 studies. Schouw et al. [49] presented their results graphically, here means and SD's were estimated by using Web Plot Digitizer 3.10 [56]. Three studies [31–33] provided standard errors, which were calculated into SD's. Some studies provided means and SD's of caudate and putamen, which were summarized into data for the dorsal striatum [23,29,35,44] or the whole striatum [8,15,17,21,27,30, 32,36,38,39,42,43]. Others provided separate results for the left and right hemispheres [37,40,41], here the means and SD's were summarized.

The majority of participants did not suffer from any psychiatric disorder, except drug abuse or dependence in the user group, or any physiological disease in both groups. Control group participants were mainly healthy controls with no history of drug use, or reported relevant comorbidities potentially influencing dopaminergic metabolism (e.g. schizophrenia, parkinson's disease or ADHD), and most of the participants were allowed to consume nicotine and alcohol. As there were not enough studies to conduct analyses with nonsmoking, stimulant drug using participants, smoking was allowed in most of the included groups, except Schrantee et al. [48] and the nicotine control groups [8,15–19]. In two studies some or all substances users also had a diagnosis into ADHD [25,35], see Table 1 for further listings of study group compositions.
3.1. Nicotine

In total k = 4 studies were included comprising N = 56 smokers and N = 62 nonsmokers for the analysis of the D2/D3 receptor availability in the striatum. The meta-analysis showed no significant changes in dopamine receptor density with an effect size of g=1.03 (95% CI: [-2.58, 0.51], p = 0.19), see Fig. 1. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.16), or moderation effects for year of publication (p = 0.08), age (p = 0.68), gender (p = 0.14) or used method (p = 0.12).

A number of k = 3 studies was used for the meta-analysis of striatal DAT in smokers vs. nonsmokers. A comparison of N = 41 smokers with N = 42 healthy controls did not show a significant effect with g= -0.05 (95% CI: [-1.16, 1.05], p = 0.93), see Fig. 1. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.96), but the calculation of a regression test for funnel plot asymmetry tends to be unreliable with k = 3 studies only. Moderation effects were not calculated because of the small number of studies.

No meta-analyses were calculated for the subregions caudate and putamen within smokers and nonsmokers, because only Brown provided data for the subregion caudate, see Fig. 1 for further details.

3.2. Cocaine

Starting with analyses for the whole striatum, a number of k = 7 studies with N = 131 users and N = 134 controls met the inclusion criteria for the D2/D3 receptor availability. The summarized effect size was significant with g=0.75 (95% CI: [-1.18, -0.34], p < 0.01), see Fig. 2, indicating a lower D2/D3 receptor density in users. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.26), or moderation effects for year of publication (p = 0.30), age (p = 0.99) or gender (p = 0.50) without effects.

In total k = 4 studies were included, comprising N = 84 users and N = 102 controls for DAT availability in the whole striatum. The summarized effect size was significant with g = 0.66 (95% CI: [0.30, 1.02], p < 0.01), see Fig. 2. This indicates a higher DAT availability in consumers. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.98), or moderation effects for year of publication (p = 0.37), age (p = 0.96) and gender (p = 0.48). A moderation effect of used method was found (p = 0.04), SPECT yielded in higher positive effects than PET.

Further analyses were conducted for the subregion caudate. To calculate the D2/D3 receptor availability k = 7 studies with N = 131 users and N = 134 controls were included. The summarized effect size was significant with g=0.54 (95% CI: [-0.78, -0.29], p < 0.01), see Fig. 2. This effect indicates a lower D2/D3 receptor availability in the caudate of cocaine consumers compared to controls. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.53), or moderation effects for year of publication (p = 0.30), age (p = 0.22), gender (p = 0.21). For the analysis of DAT availability only one study with N = 21 cocaine users and N = 21 healthy controls was included, which made it impossible to calculate a summarized effect. The study revealed a standardized mean difference (SMD) of 0.94, 95% CI: [0.30, 1.58] for caudate DAT indicating higher dopamine transporter availability in cocaine users compared to controls.

Further analyses were calculated for the subregion putamen. For the analysis regarding D2/D3 receptor availability k = 6 studies with N = 116 users and N = 119 controls were included. The summarized effect size was significant with g= -0.88 (95% CI: [-1.15, -0.61], p < 0.01), see Fig. 2. This effect indicates a lower D2/D3 receptor availability in the putamen of cocaine consumers compared to controls. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.93), or moderating effects for year of publication (p = 0.96), age (p = 0.26), gender (p = 0.34) or used method (p = 0.73). A moderation effect was found for minimal duration of abstinence (p = 0.01) with a lower density found for an increasing duration of abstinence. For the analysis of DAT availability in the putamen only one study with N = 21 users and N = 21 controls was included, for this reason no summary estimate was calculated. The result of this study was SMD = 2.77, 95% CI: [1.19, 3.64] for putamen DAT indicating higher dopamine transporter availability in cocaine users compared to controls.

3.3. Methamphetamine

For the analyses of the whole striatum k = 7 studies investigating the D2/D3 Receptor availability were included regarding N = 127 users and N = 137 controls. The summarized effect size was significant with g= -0.77 (95% CI: [-1.03, -0.52], p < 0.01), see Fig. 3. This effect indicates a lower D2/D3 receptor availability in the striatum of MA consumers compared to controls. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.35) and no moderation effects of year of publication (p = 0.68) or age (p = 0.77).

---

**Fig. 1.** Forest plot of meta-analysis of striatal dopaminergic function in smokers vs. nonsmokers.

---

**Fig. 2.** Forest plot of meta-analysis of D2/D3 receptor availability in smokers vs. nonsmokers.

---

**Fig. 3.** Forest plot of meta-analysis of DAT availability in smokers vs. nonsmokers.
In total k = 7 studies comprising N = 91 users and N = 93 controls were included for the analysis of striatal DAT availability. The summarized effect size was significant with g=-1.50 (95% CI: [-2.65, -0.34]), p = 0.01, see Fig. 3. This effect indicates a lower DAT availability in the striatum of MA consumers compared to controls. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.14), or moderation effects for year of publication (p = 0.12), age (p = 0.42) and used method (p = 0.31). For caudate, a number of k = 3 studies were included regarding D2/D3 receptor availability in N = 53 users and N = 65 controls. The summarized effect size was significant with g=-0.70 (95% CI: [-1.08, -0.32]), p < 0.01, see Fig. 3. This effect indicates a lower D2/D3 receptor availability in the caudate of MA consumers compared to controls. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.53) and no moderation effects for year of publication (p = 0.30), age (p = 0.22), gender (p = 0.21) or minimal duration of abstinence (p = 0.71).

In total k = 4 studies with N = 62 users and N = 64 controls met the criteria for an analysis of DAT availability. The summarized effect size was significant with g=-2.09 (95% CI: [-2.82, -1.37]), p < 0.01, see Fig. 3. This effect indicates a lower DAT availability in the caudate of MA consumers compared to controls. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.94), no moderation effects were found for age (p = 0.82), gender (p = 0.07), used method (p = 0.81) or minimal duration of abstinence (p = 0.08). A small moderation effect was found for year of publication (p = 0.03) pointing to stronger negative effects with increasing year of publication. Further analyses were calculated for putamen. To conduct the D2/D3 receptor availability k = 3 studies were included regarding N = 53 users and N = 65 controls. The summarized effect size was significant with g=-0.47 (95% CI: [-0.93, -0.02]), p = 0.04, see Fig. 3. This effect indicates a lower D2/D3 receptor availability in the putamen MA consumers compared to controls. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.93) and no moderation effects: year of publication (p = 0.06), age (p = 0.70), gender (p = 0.06) or used method (p = 0.88). Regarding the DAT availability, k = 4 studies were included with N = 62 users and N = 64 controls. The summarized effect size was significant with g=-2.19 (95% CI: [-2.80, -1.57]), p < 0.01, see Fig. 3. This effect indicates a lower DAT availability in the putamen in MA consumers compared to controls. There was no evidence for publication bias (p = 0.97) or moderation effects for year of publication (p = 0.08), age (p = 0.11), gender (p = 0.12) or minimal duration of abstinence (p = 0.67).

**Fig. 2.** Forest plot of meta-analysis of striatal dopaminergic function in cocaine users.
3.4. Amphetamines

There were no studies regarding the striatal dopamine receptor in amphetamine users (without MA), so only an analysis for DAT was conducted. For DAT availability in the whole striatum, \( k = 2 \) studies comprising \( N = 23 \) users and \( N = 26 \) controls were included. The summarized effect size was significant with \( g = -0.95 \) (95% CI: [-1.54, -0.35], \( p < 0.01 \)), see Fig. 4. This result indicates a lower DAT availability in the striatum of amphetamine users. A regression test for funnel plot asymmetry or moderator analyses were not calculated because of the small number of studies. When investigating the subregions, \( k = 2 \) studies with \( N = 23 \) users and \( N = 26 \) controls were included for the comparison of DAT availability in the caudate. The summarized effect size was significant with \( g = -1.01 \) (95% CI: [-1.61, -0.40], \( p < 0.01 \)), see Fig. 4. This result indicates a lower DAT availability in the caudate of amphetamine users. Regression test for funnel plot asymmetry or moderator analyses were not calculated.

For the analysis of DAT availability in putamen, \( k = 3 \) studies were included with \( N = 41 \) users and \( N = 37 \) controls. The
summarized effect size was $g = -0.27$ (95% CI: [-1.57, 1.03], $p = 0.69$), see Fig. 4. There was no evidence for publication bias ($p = 0.88$), or moderation effects for year of publication ($p = 0.58$), age ($p = 0.69$), used method ($p = 0.54$) and minimal duration of abstinence ($p = 0.41$). A significant moderation effect for gender was found ($p = 0.01$), pointing to a stronger negative effect with an increasing ratio of men to the total number of participants.

### 4. Discussion

This meta-analysis is, to our knowledge, the first that investigates, not only dopaminergic changes in the striatum, but also the striatal subregions caudate and putamen. The influence of possible modulating variables was conducted as well. Current research only reports on partial recovery after two weeks [37] or even after years of abstinence [42]. Our results support the hypothesis of generally lower dopamine receptors in substance abusers [57]. To our knowledge, there are no meta-analyses so far, that also included nicotine. Since nicotine consumption through smoking increases the dopamine content in the synaptic cleft [11], it also represents a psychostimulant substance and can have major impacts on the dopaminergic system. To include nicotine and to show its possible confounding effects is essential since all of the included studies on cocaine, MA and amphetamines except Schraebee et al. [48] allowed nicotine use.

The systematic literature search revealed 39 studies that investigated the striatal dopaminergic systems under stimulant influence. Several significant associations were obtained, which are in line with previously reported research [50]. The main differences of this study to these previous publications is the more refined consideration of specific substances, as well as the striatal region through subregion which allows a more detailed consideration of the specific effects on these areas. Additionally Ashok et al. [50] considered amphetamines and methamphetamine together, while we separated them to take a closer look at the substances individually in order to show the effects of each substance more precisely and to present their respective influence. To this end, the present paper builds up on the very valuable research from Ashok et al. [50] not only in the more diversified contemplation of the sub regions and substances but also by supplementing more research in the meta-analyses for all substances. Studies used for the present analysis have a range in duration of abstinence between three hours and three years, which might be a reason for the mixed results and makes them harder to compare. Another reason for heterogeneity between the studies is the use of different methods (e.g., PET/ SPECT, different radioligands and BPs). Both imaging methods and all tracers measure the high-affinity state of DATs and receptors in in-vivo neuroimaging, which makes the BPs comparable. To strengthen the results of this meta-analysis further research with comparable abstinence levels and methods is recommended. However, we made use of this heterogeneity by conducting – where possible - moderator effects, however these were mainly without significant results. In cocaine users, we found an influence of the used method on the effect size from DAT in the striatum pointing toward stronger effect in SPECT studies compared to PET studies. In addition, with increasing duration of abstinence, the effect on receptor density in the putamen increased.

Regarding methamphetamine, a small effect from the year of publication on DAT in the caudate was found pointing towards stronger effect in newer studies. In amphetamine users a stronger negative effect with increasing percentage of men in the study was found, however the main effect from the meta-analysis was not significant. Publication bias was not detected in our study, however, due to the small number of studies included in the analysis we might not have been able to assess it properly. Looking at the different substances in particular, we come to the result that the summarized effect size of current research shows no significant changes in the dopaminergic system of smokers compared to nonsmokers. Nevertheless, in some studies there is evidence for a decrease of striatal dopamine receptors in men [15] or all participants [17] and decreased DAT in male participants [16]. There are not many studies regarding the effects of nicotine, which shows that further research in this field is mandatory to obtain a more clear perspective. This is especially important considering that most other studies (see Table 1) did not check the smoking status of the controls.

Regarding cocaine, this meta-analysis indicates a lower $D_2/D_3$ receptor availability of cocaine consumers compared to controls and a higher DAT availability in cocaine consumers compared to controls. Since the function of DAT is to terminate the synaptic neurotransmission by the reuptake of dopamine transmitters, chronic inhibition of the DATs may induce a compensatory upregulation. Interestingly, the results are more consistent when looking at the sub regions caudate and putamen. Here, the effects indicate a lower $D_2/D_3$ receptor availability in cocaine users. Only
one study was available with data regarding DATs in the sub regions, which indicates higher DAT levels in cocaine users sub regions, which were 10% (anterior putamen), 17% (posterior putamen), and 8% (caudate) higher in the cocaine dependent subjects compared to controls [24].

Our analyses revealed significantly decreased dopamine receptors and transporters in MA users in all regions of interest. This acute effect, which may become chronic [42] could contribute to compensate for the higher dopamine release under drug effects brought about through the higher dopamine release and the simultaneously impaired reuptake thereby causing a general downregulation of receptors. In case of MA consumption over time and repeated administration, lower activation is a consequence [38] and less DAT action will be provided over time. In terms of MA and DATs, studies found a rapid recovery under abstinence [37].

Regarding the striatum, as well as the caudate of amphetamine users both show large significant effect sizes for lower DAT availability in users. For the subregion putamen the effect was not significant but also showed a trend towards lower DAT availability.

We wanted to include studies testing ecstasy and MDMA users, but only one SPECT study about respective substances and changes in the dopaminergic system [59] was found, which indicates significantly lower receptor densities in the frontal and parietal cortex, but significantly higher receptor densities in the occipital cortex of former users. Combining these results might suggest a compensatory upregulation of dopamine receptors in the occipital cortex of former users.

Overall, this meta-analysis provides evidence that there are ongoing changes in the dopaminergic system associated with the use of stimulants. The results of cocaine and MA use both show a downregulation of the receptors in the striatum (-0.76, -0.77) as well as caudate (-0.54, -0.70) and putamen (-0.88, -0.47) with cocaine showing a higher effect size on the subregion putamen and MA on caudate. For DAT, the results from the striatum show a higher downregulation after MA use (-1.5) as compared to after amphetamine use (-0.95) and an upregulation after cocaine use (0.66). Results for the subregion caudate and putamen show a higher downregulation after MA use (-2.09, -2.19) then after amphetamine use (-1.01, -0.27). Due to the small number of studies no analyses were conducted for amphetamine and receptors and the subregions after cocaine use. Comparing these results, stronger effect sizes can be seen in DATs compared to receptors, but the results show influences on both structures. The human brain does adapt to changes like these [60], which might be a reason for cognitive impairments like poorer performance in flexibility and memory tasks or spatial working memory in chronic amphetamine users [61] or deficits in attention and verbal memory in current cocaine users [62] as well as mostly poorer performance in all cognitive tasks in different MA user types [63], all compared to healthy controls. The down regulation process in the striatum might be one reason for craving or even lead to relapses [64] because the endogenous dopamine is no longer enough for sufficient stimulation [35].Thus, effects on the dopaminergic system inferred from this analysis may be one reason for addictive behaviors and the development of neurocognitive deficits in stimulant users. To conclude, this metaanalysis shows evidence for changes in the striatal dopaminergic system under stimulant use but it also shows the importance of further neuroimaging research. Longitudinal studies are necessary to find out more about the reversibility and origin of the above-described effects. We also recommend comparing different substances directly to get an overview about different long-term effects. Especially nicotine smoking should be taken more seriously because most studies examining a substance other than nicotine allowed smoking before the examinations (see Table 1). Further studies investigating if preceding nicotine consumption, next to other stimulant intake, can cause crucial differences in scanned brain regions is necessary regarding this particular field of research.

Limitations of this analysis are the sample characteristics such as the abstinence period, the dose and the different tracer in the different groups and different possibilities of stimulant administration. Injecting crosses the blood-brain-barrier faster than inhaling or smoking [65] which might furthermore have worse effects. At the beginning of the examinations all participants were tested for the current use of several drugs aside from the investigated stimulants to eliminate acute influences of other substances, but it cannot be guaranteed that test group participants had consumed only one substance or were rather prone to polytoxic drug use. There are no tests that can identify all substances on the drug market so we cannot rule out, that some participants were still under drug impact, which in turn might influence the BPs. This shows the difficulty to limit the effects to the examined substance. Additionally most, but not all studies gave information if their user group were current or former users. Regarding comorbidities, there were many different diagnostic tools used in the studies so the patients went through different exclusion criteria, some of them potentially stricter than others. Additionally different samples, radioligands with different BPs or imaging methods were used in the studies, which might also influence the magnitude of the binding availabilities. Furthermore, most of the studies concentrate on differences in the striatal areas, such as putamen, caudate, dorsal/ventral striatum or whole striatum. Calculating the means to a whole striatum value or a putamen and caudate value might lead to a loss of the value’s precision. Additionally, strict exclusion criteria within the literature search process can lead to bias because of the exclusion of valuable information. This is one reason why additional information was included, where possible, to calculate moderation analyses. Ultimately, drug addiction is a complex process involving many parts of the brain and it is not clear if changes are caused by stimulant use.

5. Conclusion

There is evidence for a reduction of dopamine receptors from cocaine, MA and amphetamines, a reduction of DAT from MA and amphetamines, as well as for a higher DAT availability after cocaine use in the striatal systems. There was no distinct evidence for dopaminergic changes after nicotine consumption.
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