# Soya products and serum lipids: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

Oluwabunmi A. Tokede<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Temilola A. Onabanjo<sup>3</sup>, Alfa Yansane<sup>2</sup>, J. Michael Gaziano<sup>1,2,4</sup> and Luc Djoussé<sup>1,2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA 02120, USA <sup>2</sup>Harvard School of Dental Medicine, Boston, MA 02115, USA

<sup>3</sup>Iacobi Medical Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461, USA

<sup>4</sup>Massachusetts Veterans Epidemiology and Research Information Center and Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center, Boston Veteran Affairs Healthcare System, Boston, MA 02130, USA

(Submitted 25 November 2014 – Final revision received 6 May 2015 – Accepted 9 June 2015 – First published online 13 August 2015)

### Abstract

Soya proteins and isoflavones have been reported to exert beneficial effects on the serum lipid profile. More recently, this claim is being challenged. The objective of this study was to comprehensively examine the effects of soya consumption on the lipid profile using published trials. A detailed literature search was conducted via MEDLINE (from 2004 through February 2014), CENTRAL (The Cochrane Controlled Clinical Trials Register) and Clinical Trials.gov for randomised controlled trials assessing the effects of soya on the lipid profile. The primary effect measure was the difference in means of the final measurements between the intervention and control groups. In all, thirty-five studies (fifty comparisons) were included in our analyses. Treatment duration ranged from 4 weeks to 1 year. Intake of soya products resulted in a significant reduction in serum LDL-cholesterol concentration,  $-4\cdot83$  (95 % CI  $-7\cdot34$ ,  $-2\cdot31$ ) mg/dl, TAG,  $-4\cdot92$  (95 % CI  $-7\cdot79$ ,  $-2\cdot04$ ) mg/dl, and total cholesterol (TC) concentrations,  $-5\cdot33$  (95 % CI  $-8\cdot35$ ,  $-2\cdot30$ ) mg/dl. There was also a significant increase in serum HDL-cholesterol concentration,  $1\cdot40$  (95 % CI  $0\cdot58$ ,  $2\cdot23$ ) mg/dl. The  $P^2$  statistic ranged from 92 to 99 %, indicating significant heterogeneity. LDL reductions were more marked in hypercholesterolaemic patients,  $-7\cdot47$  (95 % CI  $-11\cdot79$ ,  $-3\cdot16$ ) mg/dl, than in healthy subjects,  $-2\cdot96$  (95 % CI  $-5\cdot28$ ,  $-0\cdot65$ ) mg/dl. LDL reduction was stronger when whole soya products (soya milk, soyabeans and nuts) were used as the test regimen,  $-11\cdot06$  (95 % CI  $-15\cdot74$ ,  $-6\cdot37$ ) mg/dl, as opposed to when 'processed' soya extracts,  $-3\cdot17$  (95 % CI  $-5\cdot75$ ,  $-0\cdot58$ ) mg/dl, were used. These data are consistent with the beneficial effects of soya proteins on serum LDL, HDL, TAG and TC concentrations. The effect was stronger in hypercholesterolaemic subjects. Whole soya foods appeared to be more beneficial than soya supplementation, whereas isoflavone supplementation had no effects on the lipid profile.

### Key words: Hypercholesterolaemia: Lipids: Nutrition: Prevention: Heart disease

Dyslipidaemia is a primary risk factor for CVD, peripheral vascular disease and stroke. The WHO estimates that over 60 % of CHD and 40 % of ischaemic stroke in developed countries are due to total blood cholesterol levels in excess of the theoretical minimum of 3.8 mmol/1<sup>(1)</sup>. Reduction of serum LDL-cholesterol by about 5–6 %<sup>(2)</sup> has the potential to reduce CHD risk by 7–12 %<sup>(3)</sup>, whereas a 3 % increase in HDL-cholesterol has the potential to lower the risk by 6–9 %<sup>(4,5)</sup>. Fasting serum TAG elevations also increase the risk for CHD<sup>(6)</sup>. The aggregate changes in these three lipoprotein risk factors can, therefore, potentially reduce CHD risk by 12–20 %<sup>(7)</sup>. As a result, cholesterol is by far the most studied risk factor for CHD risk<sup>(8)</sup>.

Although what constitutes an optimal dietary regimen remains a matter of controversy, current guidelines recommend diet as a first-line therapy for patients with elevated blood cholesterol concentrations<sup>(9)</sup>. Among the foods being suggested to lower blood lipids are soya products. A meta-analysis published in 1995 concluded that soya protein intake is effective in reducing total cholesterol (TC), LDL-cholesterol and TAG and in increasing HDL-cholesterol<sup>(10)</sup>. Following this, in October 1999, the US Food and Drug Administration approved labelling of foods containing soya protein as protective against CHD<sup>(11)</sup>; the American Heart Association released a similar advisory shortly thereafter<sup>(12)</sup>. The UK Joint Health Claims Initiative also permitted that 'the inclusion of at least 25 g of soya protein/ d as part of a diet low in saturated fat can help reduce blood cholesterol'. Similar claims were also made in South Africa, the Philippines, Brazil and Indonesia<sup>(13)</sup>. All these

Abbreviation: TC, total cholesterol.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: O. A. Tokede, fax + 617 525 7739, email oluwabunmi\_tokede@hms.harvard.edu

### O. A. Tokede et al.

presuppose that the hypolipidaemic effects of soya are due to its soya protein content. Soya products in addition, however, contain isoflavones, which have also been subjects of considerable scientific enquiry. Reports of trials investigating the effect of soya isoflavones on blood lipids have not been consistent<sup>(14)</sup>.

Over a 100 randomised controlled trials (RCT) and ten metaanalyses have already been published, which have examined the effects of soya protein and/or isoflavones on lipids. Most of these studies report varying effects of soya proteins and/or soya isoflavones on blood cholesterol. Perhaps the only consensus on the subject is that consumption of soya products may have a more marked hypolipidaemic effect in hypercholesterolaemic individuals than in normocholesterolaemic subjects. Although the ten previously completed meta-analyses have reported beneficial effects of soya protein and/or isoflavone on serum lipids, this favourable effect is being challenged, and a reason may be because some recently completed trials do not report an effect of soya protein on serum lipids. In 2012, the European Food Safety Authority concluded that 'a cause and effect relationship has not been established between the consumption of isolated soya protein and a reduction in blood LDL-cholesterol concentrations<sup>(15)</sup>. The last published meta-analysis involved studies completed between 1996 and 2008, and examined mainly the effects of soya proteins on LDL-cholesterol<sup>(7)</sup>.

In the past 6 years, nine additional clinical trials (involving twelve comparisons and 668 people) on the effects of soya products on lipids have been completed. Based on the availability of more recent high-quality trials, the objective of this review was to re-examine the conclusion that soya protein has proven beneficial effects on blood cholesterol. We also assessed the effects of isoflavones and the combined effect of soya protein and isoflavones on blood cholesterol levels. Specifically, we sought to answer the following question: Does habitual consumption of soya products have a beneficial effect on blood cholesterol level, and if it does what are the predictors of this effect?

### Methods

### Search strategy and study selection

On 3 March 2014, we searched MEDLINE (through February 2014), CENTRAL (The Cochrane Controlled Clinical Trials Register) and the ClinicalTrials.gov website to identify RCT examining the effect of soya products on blood lipid profile. For the MEDLINE search, we used MeSH (major subject heading) terms ('soybeans' OR 'soybean oil' OR 'soybean proteins' OR 'soy isoflavones' OR 'soy milk') AND ('Cholesterol, HDL' OR 'Cholesterol, LDL' OR 'Triglycerides'). The search was limited to the criteria 'clinical trials', 'English', 'human' and 'last 10 years'. We limited to the last 10 years because the last published meta-analyses on this subject was completed in 2008. CENTRAL and the ClinicalTrials.gov website were searched using 'soy' or 'soybeans' as free terms without further restrictions applied to the search. Inspection of the reference list of all identified articles was also conducted. The latter method was repeated until all potentially relevant articles from these sources were

identified. Retrieved studies were included if they met the following criteria: (a) were investigating dietary soya products; (b) had a randomised controlled parallel-arm or cross-over design; (c) included subjects aged 18 years and above; (d) reported 'end of intervention' mean and standard deviation values of lipid measurements for the active (intervention) and control groups; and (e) provided the intake amount of soya products. We *a priori* determined that unpublished materials and conference abstracts will be excluded from the review. One-day trials were also excluded because we wanted to report the effects of habitual intake of soya products. Care was taken not to include data from multiple publications of the same population. Furthermore, we excluded studies in which soya intake was mixed with other dietary treatments.

In a sub-study, we searched MEDLINE (through March 2014), CENTRAL and the ClinicalTrials.gov website to identify RCT examining the effect of soya isoflavone supplementation on blood lipid profile. We used the MeSH terms ('soy isoflavone' OR 'daidzein' OR 'genistein' OR 'glycitein') AND ('Cholesterol, HDL' OR 'Cholesterol, LDL' OR 'Triglycerides'). The search was limited to the criteria 'clinical trials', 'English' and 'human'.

### Data extraction and quality assessment

Data were extracted by the lead author and subsequently reviewed by one of the co-authors for accuracy. Extracted data included the study characteristics (first author's name; year of publication; number and age of participants; study design; daily amount of soya product consumed in the active arm; duration of the study; health characteristics of the study population; and location of the study). We also extracted information on the final concentrations of plasma/serum TC, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and TAG in both treatment arms. When the requisite data were not available in the published paper, authors were emailed requesting the appropriate information. All the authors agreed on the eligibility criteria of the included studies. Quality of the studies was evaluated using the validated Jadad score instrument<sup>(16)</sup> with criteria that included the following: randomisation, adequacy of sequence generation, double blinding and description of drop-outs. The maximum score obtainable was 5.

### Data synthesis and statistical analysis

The effect size used in this investigation was the 'difference in means' between the two treatment groups. We utilised plasma/ serum cholesterol concentrations obtained at the end of each intervention. Ideally, serum cholesterol concentrations are approximately 3% higher than the corresponding plasma concentrations<sup>(17)</sup>, but because we were interested in mean differences within each study we analysed plasma and serum concentrations without adjustment for this difference. Studies that reported results in mmol/l were converted to mg/dl using the standard conversion factors (which was a division of the mmol/l value by 0.02586 for TC, LDL and HDL and by 0.01129 for TAG). Estimates of treatment effect on cholesterol in sub-groups defined according to study design features were also carried out. Additional analyses were performed according to the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*<sup>(18)</sup>.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515002603 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Heterogeneity across studies was assessed by the Cochran's O test, and P < 0.10 was considered statistically significant for heterogeneity. The magnitude of heterogeneity was evaluated by the  $I^2$  statistic (percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error). Whenever the test for heterogeneity was statistically significant, the estimate of the difference was calculated using the random effects model, according to DerSimonian & Laird<sup>(19)</sup>. For the computation of pooled effects, each study was assigned a weight consisting of the reciprocal of its variance. Furthermore, we performed a metaregression to investigate whether there were any strong predictors of serum lipid changes. The included covariate variables were as follows: (1) initial lipid concentration; (2) duration of intake of soya products (weeks); (3) dose of soya protein consumed measured in grams per day; and (4) study quality (Jadad score). Each coefficient for the meta-regression analysis was estimated using restricted maximum likelihood and the corresponding variances were calculated using the 'Knapp-Hartung' variance estimator. Each meta-regression F test was conducted at the significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ , and all meta-regression analyses were performed using Stata/IC 13.1.

Data synthesis and other analyses were completed using Cochrane Collaboration Review Manager  $5^{(20)}$  and Microsoft Office Excel 2010 package (Microsoft Corporation).

### Results

A total of sixty-two articles met our inclusion criteria and their complete texts were downloaded and fully reviewed. A flow chart depicting the selection process is depicted in Fig. 1. Two studies were excluded because there was an inappropriate control group<sup>(21,22)</sup>; three because they were 1-d trials<sup>(23–25)</sup>; five exclusions were due to the administration of a mixed test regimen<sup>(26–30)</sup>; and three studies were excluded due to lack of random assignment of the study groups<sup>(31–33)</sup>. Other studies were excluded for varying reasons (shown in Fig. 1). In all, thirty-five studies with fifty comparisons were included from our final analyses. Values used for the included studies represent the lipid measurements that correspond to the longest follow-up point. The observed  $I^2$  statistic (percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error) ranged from 92 to 99 %.



Fig. 1. Study selection process.

In the sub-study examining the effect of soya isoflavone supplementation on blood lipids, our search produced thirty-two results, including four of the studies already included in our primary study. After exclusion of the twenty-one ineligible studies, we had eleven studies<sup>(34–44)</sup> with fifteen comparison groups.

### Study and participant characteristics

The characteristics of the included studies are presented in Table 1. Duration of the studies ranged from 4 weeks to 1 year, with many lasting about 8 weeks. Most RCT were double-blind, described an adequate method of sequence generation and reported details of drop-outs and withdrawals. Overall, twenty-four of the thirty-five studies obtained a Jadad score of  $\geq 3$  (high quality).

A total of 2670 subjects (aged 28–83 years and 82 % women) were included in the primary analyses. The average intake of soya protein was 30 g/d (range: 14–50 g/d).

### Effects of soya on lipid concentration

Intake of soya products resulted in a significant reduction in serum LDL-cholesterol concentration, -4.83 (95% CI -7.34, -2.31) mg/dl (Fig. 2). The effect quality test (Cochran's *Q*) did show significant heterogeneity, and thus the random effects model was used. We also observed statistically significant reduction in serum TAG, -4.92 (95% CI -7.79, -2.04) mg/dl (Fig. 3), and TC concentrations, -5.33 (95% CI -8.35, -2.30) mg/dl (Fig. 4). There was also a modest, but highly significant increase in serum HDL-cholesterol concentration, 1.40 (95% CI 0.58, 2.23) mg/dl (Fig. 5).

For the sub-study in which only isoflavone supplementation was administered as the test regimen, all the results (LDL, HDL, TAG and TC) were non-significant.

### Sub-group analyses

LDL reductions also appeared to be more marked in hypercholesterolaemic patients, -7.47 (95% CI -11.79, -3.16) mg/dl, than in healthy subjects, -2.96 (95% CI -5.28, -0.65) mg/dl. When natural soya products (soya milk, whole soyabeans and soya nuts) were used as the test regimen, we observed a substantial reduction in serum LDL levels, -11.06 (95 % CI -15.74, -6.37) mg/dl, as opposed to when 'processed' soya (soya extracts, supplements), -3.17 (95 % CI -5.75, -0.58) mg/dl, was used. Intervention with soya protein alone seemed to exert a more positive effect on LDL and TC levels than intervention combining soya protein and isoflavone (Table 2). Studies adjudged to be of higher quality provided more precise estimates. Details of further analyses in sub-groups of studies defined by these study/participant characteristics are shown in Table 2. Sensitivity analyses conducted by excluding studies that used no control group<sup>(45)</sup>, used soya spread as the test diet<sup>(46)</sup> or that did not have any washout period<sup>(47)</sup> did not affect the results.

### Isoflavone sub-study

The results of the sub-study that focused on the effect of isoflavone supplementation on LDL, HDL, TAG and TC were

non-significant (Table 3). Sub-group analyses was also completed for each of these indices using design (cross-over *v*. parallel-arm), duration ( $\leq 12 \ v. > 12$  weeks) and health status (Table 3).

### Predictors of the effect of soya on blood lipids

The meta-regression analysis suggested that initial serum LDL, TAG and TC concentrations are strong predictors of the effect of soya on serum LDL, TAG and TC concentrations, respectively (Table 4; Fig. 6–8). Initial HDL concentration did not predict the effect of soya on blood HDL levels. Dose of soya protein, the duration of its consumption and the study quality (Jadad score) also did not predict the effect of soya on blood lipid levels (Table 4).

### Discussion

Based on baseline lipid concentrations in the study population, our results show a significant 3% reduction in serum LDL, 4% reduction in serum TAG and a 2% reduction in TC concentrations after an intervention with soya products for a period ranging from 4 to 52 weeks. We also observed a significant 3% increase in serum HDL concentrations. This beneficial effect seemed stronger in individuals with a higher risk of CHD (hypercholesterolaemic, obese and diabetic subjects). It also appears that consumption of natural soya products is more effective in lowering serum cholesterol than intake of processed soya (e.g. soya protein extract preparations or supplements). LDL reduction was significant in the shorter-duration studies (4-8 weeks), whereas TAG reduction was only significant in the longer-lasting studies (10-52 weeks). Isoflavone supplementation only (i.e. without soya proteins) did not appear to significantly influence the serum lipid profile.

Various mechanisms have been suggested by which soya proteins may exert their lipid-lowering effects. Some have proposed the activation of LDL receptors by essential amino acids from soya protein<sup>(48)</sup>; others have discussed the possibility of a soya protein-based inhibition of endogenous cholesterol synthesis<sup>(49)</sup>. Water-soluble fibre and other components of soya may also reduce serum lipid levels<sup>(12)</sup>. Finally, it has been hypothesised that the substitution of soya for animal protein can result in lower saturated fat and cholesterol intakes, thereby indirectly resulting in a more favourable blood cholesterol levels<sup>(50)</sup>.

As casein has been reported to raise cholesterol levels, the relative increase in cholesterol concentration in the control group may be attributable to the casein content of the milk protein diet fed to the controls; however, when our analysis was restricted to the twenty-two comparisons where non-milk/non-casein-based diets were used as the control diet, the beneficial effect of the soya intervention on serum lipid was even more profound. Change in serum concentration was -6.37 (95% CI -10.52, -2.22) mg/dl, -9.39 (95% CI -12.88, -5.90) mg/dl and -9.69 (95% CI -13.35, -6.04) mg/dl for LDL, TAG and TC, respectively.

Previous meta-analyses have reported on the hypolipidaemic effects of soya proteins<sup>(7,51,52)</sup>, soya isoflavones<sup>(53)</sup> and soya proteins in combination with soya isoflavones<sup>(14)</sup>. Our results

# **N**<sup>5</sup> British Journal of Nutrition

### Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies

						Diet		Dose	
Author	Year	Design	Test/control	Participants	Duration (weeks)	Test	Control	Soya protein (g/d)	Isoflavones (mg)
Afsaneh Bakhtiary	2012	Parallel	25/25	Met S	12	Soya nuts	Nothing	13.8	117.2
Afsaneh Bakhtiary	2012	Parallel	25/25	Met S	12	Textured soya protein (TSP)	Nothing	18.2	96.2
Liu ZM	2010	Parallel	60/60	Postmenopausal, diabetic	24	Soya protein plus isoflavone powder	Milk protein	15	100
Liu ZM – study 2	2010	Parallel	60/60	Postmenopausal, diabetic	24	Isoflavone powder	Milk protein		100
Santo Antonio	2010	Parallel	11/9	Healthy	4	Soya protein isolate powder	Milk protein	25	0.7
Santo Antonio – 2	2010	Parallel	10/9	Healthy	4	Soya protein isolate powder	Milk protein	25	56.2
Campbell S C	2010	Parallel	35/27	Postmenopausal	52	Soya snack bar or drink mix or cereal	Casein based	25	60
Shidfar F	2009	Parallel	21/21	Hyperlipidaemic/postmenopausal	10	Roasted soyabeans	Whey protein	50	164
Pipe E	2009	_ X	29	Diabetic type 2; postmenopausal	8	Soya protein beverage	Milk protein	40	88
Tabibi H	2009	Parallel	18/18	Peritoneal dialysis patients	8	Soya flour	Usual diet	14	
Borodin EA	2009	X	28	Hyperlipidaemic	8	Soya protein isolate	50/2	30	
Thorp A	2008	X	91	Healthy	6	Soya protein	Dairy protein beverage	24	/1.4
Gardner CD	2007	X	28	Hypercholesterolaemic	4	vvnole soyabean	Dairy milk		125
Gardner CD – 2	2007	X	28	Hypercholesterolaemic	4	Soya protein isolate	Dairy milk	05	39
Hole L	2007	Parallel	28/28	Hypercholesterolaemic	8	protein		25	
Hoie L – 2	2007	Parallel	32/28	Hypercholesterolaemic	8	Conventional isolated soya protein	Milk protein	25	
Clitton PM	2007	Parallel	37/39	Healthy	6	Soya oil spread	Placebo spread		
Liao Fang-Hsuean	2007	Parallel	15/15	Overweight	8	Soya meal	Iraditional meal	45	
Matthan N	2007	X	28	Hypercholesterolaemic	6	Whole soyabean	Animal protein	37.5	
Matthan N – 2	2007	X	28	Hypercholesterolaemic	6	Soya flour	Animal protein	37.5	
Matthan N – 3	2007	X	28	Hypercholesterolaemic	6	Soya milk	Animal protein	37.5	00
HOS	2007	Parallel	68/67	Postmenopausal	52	Isoflavone capsules	Maize starch capsules		80
nu 5 - 2	2007	Parallel	10/10	Obasa postmononousol	52		Disasha		40
Auberum-Leneuure	2007	Parallel	10/10	Deste, posimenopausai	24	Sove protein powder	Milk protoin	20	70
Allen Aradhakht I	2007	Parallel	93/98	Postmenopausal Met S	12	Sova protein powder	Animal protein rad	20	90
AZAUDAKIII L	2007	^	42	Fostimenopausai, met S	0	Soya nut	Animai protein – reu		102
Azadbakht L – 2	2007	х	42	Postmenopausal; MetS	8	TSP	Animal protein – red	30	84
Welty F	2007	х	48	Postmenopausal	8	Unsalted sova nuts	TI C diet	25	101
Welty F – 2	2007	X	12	Postmenopausal and hypertensive	8	Unsalted sova nuts	TLC diet	25	101
Hall WL	2006	Х	117	Postmenopausal	8	Isoflavone-enriched cereal bars	Placebo cereal bars		50
Maesta N	2006	Parallel	10/11	Postmenopausal	16	Sova protein powder supplement	Maltodextrin	25	50
McVeigh B	2006	Х	35	Healthy	8	Soya protein powder supplement	Milk protein	32	62
McVeigh B – 2	2006	Х	35	Healthy	8	Soya protein powder supplement	Milk protein	32	2
Chen S	2006	Parallel	13/13	Hypercholesterolaemic on haemodialvsis	12	Soya protein beverage	Milk protein	30	
Lukaczer D	2005	Parallel	27/26	Postmenopausal	12	Soya protein + phytosterol	AHA standard diet	30	34
Hermansen K	2005	Parallel	49/51	Hypercholesterolaemic	24	Sova supplement (Abalon)	Casein	30	100
Colacurci N	2005	Parallel	29/28	Postmenopausal	24	Isoflavone tablets	Placebo tablet		60
Teede H	2005	Parallel	19/21	Postmenopausal	12	Soya protein supplements	Casein	40	118
Garrido A	2005	Parallel	15/14	Postmenopausal	12	Isoflavone supplement capsule	Placebo capsule		100
Chen S	2005	Parallel	10/9	Hyperlipidaemic on haemodialysis	12	Soya protein isolate beverage	Milk protein	30	
Chen S – 2	2005	Parallel	8/10	Normolipidaemic on haemodialysis	12	Soya protein isolate beverage	Milk protein	30	
Hoie L	2005	Parallel	39/39	Hypercholesterolaemic	8	Soya protein supplement only	Milk protein	25	
Hoie L – 2	2005	Parallel	39/39	Hypercholesterolaemic	8	Soya protein supplement	Milk protein	15	
Kreijkamp-Kaspers	2004	Parallel	88/87	Postmenopausal	52	Soya protein supplement	Milk protein	25.6	99
Wang Y	2004	Х	20	Hyperlipidaemic	6	Soya protein minus isoflavone	Milk and meat	50	98
Wang Y	2004	Х	20	Hyperlipidaemic	6	Soya protein and isoflavone	Milk and meat	50	6
Wang Y	2004	Х	20	Hyperlipidaemic	6	Animal protein plus isoflavone	Milk and meat		98
Greany K	2004	Х	37	Normocholesterolaemic and	6	Soya protein powder	Milk protein	26	44
Meyer BJ	2004	х	23	hypercholesterolaemic Hypercholesterolaemic and/or	5	Whole soyabean extract	Milk protein	30	80
-				hypertensive		-			
Teixeira S	2004	Х	14	Diabetic/nephropathy	8	Soya protein powder	Casein	47	94

Soya products and serum lipids

NS British Journal of Nutrition

		Soya		С	ontrol			Me	ean difference	Mean difference
Study or sub-group	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%	) IV, ra	andom 95% Cl	IV, random 95% CI
Afsaneh B 2012	131	25.3	25	151.5	29.8	25	1.5	-20.50	-35.825.18	
Afsaneh B 2012 - study 2	134.5	27.1	25	151.5	29.8	25	1.4	-17.00	-32.791.21	
Allen 2007	137-3	21.3	93	139.6	23.7	98	2.7	-2.30	-8.68, 4.08	-+
Aubertin-Leheudre 2007	127.2	22.8	10	131-1	20.5	10	1.1	-3.90	-22.90, 15.10	
Azadbakht L 2007	118	3	42	134	3.3	42	3.3	-16·00	-17.35, -14.65	•
Azadbakht L 2007 - 2	127	2.4	42	134	3.3	42	3.3	-7.00	-8·23, -5·77	•
Borodin EA 2009	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Campbell S C 2010	155-3	5.9	35	161	6.6	27	3.2	-5.70	-8·87, -2·53	-
Chen S 2005	111	36.1	10	139-1	29	9	0.6	-28·10	-57·42, 1·22	
Chen S 2005 - 2	97·8	22.8	8	100.7	27.1	10	0.9	-2.90	-25·96, 20·16	
Chen S 2006	137.7	30.2	13	153·9	23.6	13	1.0	-16·20	-37.03, 4.63	<del>_</del>
Clifton PM 2007	155-8	34.8	37	175-2	32.1	39	1.5	-19.40	-34·47, -4·33	
Colacurci N 2005	146·9	15.5	29	139·2	11.6	28	2.6	7.70	0.61, 14.79	
Gardner CD 2007	161	20	28	170	24	28	1.9	-9.00	–20·57, 2·57	
Gardner CD 2007 - 2	161	26	28	170	24	28	1.7	-9.00	–22·11, 4·11	+
Garrido A 2005	143-1	11.6	15	119.9	15.5	14	2.2	23.20	13-18, 33-22	<del></del>
Greany K 2004	132·6	1.2	37	128	1.2	37	3.3	4.60	4.05, 5.15	•
Hall W 2006	148·5	42·9	117	148.1	40·2	117	2.1	0.40	-10.25, 11.05	
Hermansen K 2005	162·4	27.1	49	170.1	38.7	51	1.7	-7.70	-20.75, 5.35	+
Ho S 2007	120·2	28.2	68	121.8	25	67	2.3	-1.60	-10·59, 7·39	-+-
Ho S 2007 - 2	122·7	24.5	68	121.8	25	67	2.4	0.90	-7.45, 9.25	+-
Hoie L 2005	157	18.6	39	167.4	22.4	39	2.3	-10.40	–19.54, –1.26	
Hoie L 2005 - 2	157	25.1	39	167.4	22.4	39	2.1	-10.40	-20.96, 0.16	
Hoie LH 2007	147	20.1	28	156·2	29.8	28	1.7	-9·20	-22 51, 4 11	
Hoie LH 2007 - 2	152	22.8	32	156·2	29.8	28	1.7	-4·20	-17·77, 9·37	
Kreijkamp-Kaspers 2004	159·8	39.3	88	152·8	28.1	87	2.2	7.00	-3.11, 17.11	+
Liao Fang-Hsuean 2007	95·1	16.2	15	110.4	20.6	15	1.7	<i>–</i> 15·30	-28.56, -2.04	
Liu ZM - 2010 - study 2	140	27.5	60	142·3	31.7	60	2.1	-2.30	-12·92, 8·32	
Liu ZM 2010	147.7	32.9	60	142·3	31.7	60	1.9	5.40	-6·16, 16·96	
Lukaczer D 2005	157·8	5.4	27	177.1	8.9	26	3.1	<b>−19·30</b>	-23.28, -15.32	+
Maesta N 2006	119.5	30.7	10	127·8	20.3	11	0.9	-8·30	-30.79, 14.19	<del></del>
Matthan N 2007	137·3	23.2	28	140.8	22	28	1.9	-3.50	-15.34, 8.34	<del></del>
Matthan N 2007 - 2	140.8	20.1	28	140.8	22	28	2.0	0.00	-11.04, 11.04	
Matthan N 2007 - 3	134·6	22.8	28	140.8	22	28	1.9	-6·20	-17.94, 5.54	+-
McVeigh B 2006	102·5	1.9	35	110.6	1.9	35	3.3	<i>–</i> 8·10	-8·99, -7·21	•
McVeigh B 2006 - 2	104·8	1.9	35	110.6	1.9	35	3.3	-5.80	-6·69, -4·91	•
Meyer B 2004	151.6	8-1	23	153-9	8.1	23	3.0	-2.30	-6.98, 2.38	-
Pipe E 2009	107·5	5	29	112.1	4.6	29	3.2	-4.60	-7.07, -2.13	-
Santo Antonio 2010	113-1	14.5	11	102·5	12·2	9	1.9	10.60	-1.10, 22.30	
Santo Antonio 2010 - 2	102·8	23.3	10	102·5	12·2	9	1.4	0.30	-16 19, 16 79	
Shidfar F 2009	171·5	13.1	21	192-1	12.5	21	2.5	-20.60	-28-34, -12-86	
Tabibi H 2009	89	30	18	86	33	18	1.0	3.00	-17·60, 23·60	_ <del></del>
Teede H 2005	127·6	7.7	19	127·6	7.7	21	3.0	0.00	-4.78, 4.78	+
Texeira S 2004	98·6	7.7	14	97·1	7.3	14	2.9	1.50	-4.06, 7.06	+
Thorp A 2008	132·6	2.7	91	136-5	2.7	91	3.3	-3.90	-4.68, -3.12	•
Wang Y 2004	186	34	20	196	35	20	1.0	-10.00	-31.39, 11.39	
Wang Y 2004 - 2	181	43	20	196	35	20	0.8	<b>−15</b> ·00	-39.30, 9.30	+
Wang Y 2004 - 3	192	39	20	196	35	20	0.9	-4.00	–26·97, 18·97	—
Welty F 2007	142	31	48	143	32	48	1.8	-1.00	-13.60, 11.60	<del></del>
Welty F 2007 - 2	146	46	12	164	57	12	0.3	-18.00	-59.44, 23.44	
-										
Total (95% CI)			1687			1679	100.0	-4.83	-7·34, -2·31	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 49.46$ ; $\chi^2$	= 1476	26, df	= 48 (F	°<0.000	001); <i>l<sup>2</sup></i>	<sup>2</sup> = 97%			_100	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.7$	77 ( <i>P</i> = 0	·0002)							100	Favours (sova) Favours (control)

Fig. 2. Meta-analysis of the effect of soya on LDL-cholesterol. The sizes of the data markers indicate the weight of each study in the analysis. IV, inverse variance. Random-random effects model. Values are in mg/dl.

are consistent with analyses that report the cholesterol-lowering effects of soya protein. We, however, observed no effect of soya isoflavones on serum cholesterol levels and a reduced LDL-lowering effect in studies that administered soya proteins in combination with isoflavones (compared with studies that administered soya proteins alone). Soya protein plus isoflavone test diets, however, had a more positive effect on serum TAG concentrations.

Mean daily intake of soya protein is about 30 g in Japan, 20 g in Korea, 8 g in China and <1 g in the USA<sup>(54,55)</sup>. The mean

	So	ya group	)	Co	ontrol			Μ	lean difference	Mean difference
Study or sub-group	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%)	) IV,	random 95% CI	IV, random 95% CI
Chen S 2005	185·7	62.6	10	307.9	132.4	9	0.1	-122·20	–217·00, –27·40 <b>4</b> ––	
Maesta N 2006	103-4	44.3	10	152.5	57.6	11	0.4	-49·10	–92·83, –5·37 –	
Lukaczer D 2005	116-9	11.5	27	158-5	18-6	26	4.3	-41.60	-49.96, -33.24	-
Hermansen K 2005	132.9	62	49	168·3	106.3	51	0.6	-35.40	<i>–</i> 69·35, <i>−</i> 1·45	
Borodin E A 2009	173	16	28	201	17	28	4.2	-28.00	–36·65, –19·35	
Shidfar F 2009	301.2	42.5	21	326	41.7	21	1.1	-24.80	-50·27, 0·67	
Texeira S 2004	168·3	25.7	14	193-1	32.8	14	1.4	-24.80	-46·63, 2·97	
Aubertin-Leheudre 2007	116	56.7	10	137.3	94.8	10	0.2	-21.30	–89·76, 47·16 –	
Wang Y 2004 - 2	109	45	20	127	47	20	0.9	-18·00	-46·52, 10·52	
Ho S 2007	104.4	47	68	121.4	83.4	67	1.3	-17.00	–39·88, 5·88	
Campbell SC 2010	135-1	10.7	35	150.2	11.9	27	5.4	-15·10	-20.82, -9.38	-
Welty F 2007 - 2	114	50	12	128	74	12	0.3	-14.00	-64·53, 36·53	
Clifton PM 2007	155.9	70	37	169.2	87.7	39	0.6	-13.30	-48·89, 22·29	
Thorp A 2008	147.9	9.7	91	160.3	10.6	91	6.4	-12.40	–15·35, –9·45	-
Wang Y 2004	116	52	20	127	47	20	0.8	-11.00	-41·72, 19·72	
Chen S 2006	156-8	33.7	13	166.5	25.7	13	1.3	-9.70	-32.74, 13.34	
Welty F 2007	11.9	83	48	128	97	48	0.6	-9.00	–45·12, 27·12	
Afsaneh B 2012	199.8	42.4	25	208.5	49.4	25	1.1	-8·70	-34·22, 16·82	
Afsaneh B 2012 - study 2	200.3	43.5	25	208.5	49-4	25	1.0	-8.20	–34·00, 16·60	
Chen S 2005 - 2	123.6	31.3	8	130.2	26.9	10	0.9	-6.60	-33.96, 20.76	
Ho S 2007 - 2	116·2	63·2	68	121.4	83.4	67	1.1	-5·20	–30·19, 19·79	
Azadbakht L 2007 - 2	210	1.7	42	213	1.2	42	6.8	-3·00	-3.63, -2.37	•
Meyer B 2004	108.1	11.5	23	110.7	11.5	23	5.0	-2.60	-9·25, 4·05	-
Azadbakht L 2007	212	1.7	42	213	1.2	42	6.8	-1.00	–1.63, –0.37	•
Pipe E 2009	100.1	8	29	101	<b>7</b> ∙1	29	6.1	-0.90	-4·79, 2·99	+
Allen 2007	110.5	43·8	93	110.9	52.4	98	2.7	-0.40	–14·07, 13·27	
Liao Fang-Hsuean 2007	80.1	31	15	80.2	32.5	15	1.3	-0.10	-22.83, 22.63	
Hoie L 2005	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Hoie L 2005 - 2	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Hall W 2006	108.1	42.5	117	108.1	46.1	117	3.3	0.00	–11·36, 11·36	
Garrido A 2005	124	17.7	15	124	17.7	14	2.9	0.00	-12·89, 12·89	
Gardner C D 2007	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Gardner C D 2007 - 2	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Colacurci N 2005	150.6	44.3	29	150-6	79·7	28	0.7	0.00	-33.64, 33.64	
Hoie L H 2007 - 2	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Hoie L H 2007	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Teede H 2005	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Kreijkamp-Kaspers 2004	122.1	52·2	88	121.2	50∙6	87	2.3	0.90	–14·33, 16·13	
Liu Z M - 2010 - study 2	115·2	85	60	113.4	65.5	60	1.0	1.80	–25·35, 28·95	
Santo Antonio 2010 - 2	95.3	25.2	10	92.4	16.4	9	1.7	2.90	–16·04, 21·84	
Wang Y 2004 - 3	131	47	20	127	47	20	0.9	4.00	–25·13, 33·13	
McVeigh B 2006 - 2	119.6	6.2	35	115-1	6.2	35	6.4	4.50	1.60, 7.40	-
Matthan N 2007 - 2	136-4	7.1	28	130.2	60.2	28	1.3	6.20	–16·25, 28·65	
Greany K 2004	90.3	2.7	37	82.4	2.7	37	6.7	7.90	6·67, 9·13	•
McVrigh B 2006	123.1	6.2	35	115-1	6.2	35	6.4	8.00	5.10, 10.90	· ·
Tabibi H 2009	197.5	131	18	189	130	18	0.1	8.50	–76·76, 93·76	
Liu Z M 2010	123.1	90.4	60	113.4	65.5	60	0.9	9.70	–18·55, 37·95	
Matthan N 2007 - 3	140-8	86-8	28	130-2	60.2	28	0.5	10.60	–28.53, 49.73	
Matthan N 2007	141.7	77.1	28	130.2	60·2	28	0.6	11.50	–24·73, 47·73	
Santo Antonio 2010	125-1	23.8	11	92.4	16.4	9	0.9	32.70	15.02, 50.38	
Total (95% CI)			1502			1496	100.0	-4.92	-7.79, -2.04	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 31.48$ ; $\chi$	<sup>2</sup> = 544·	61, df =	42 ( <i>P</i> <	< 0.0000	1); <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> =	92%				II 50 0 50 100

Test for overall effect: Z = 3.36 (P = 0.0008)

Fig. 3. Meta-analysis of the effect of soya on serum TAG. The sizes of the data markers indicate the weight of each study in the analysis. IV, inverse variance. Random-random effects model. Values are in mg/dl.

daily intake by participants in our analyses was 29.8 g (range: 14–50 g). This potentially means that the cholesterolreducing effects of soya protein may not be realised by most soya consumers. It is important to note, however, that a dose–response effect of soya protein on cholesterol reduction was not observed in our analyses and is yet to be established. As soyabeans are grown around the world under many different climatic conditions, there is a wide range of soyabean varieties. Unlike in Europe, GM soyabeans have become the predominant type grown in the Americas. When our results were stratified by study location, the studies completed in Europe, Asia and Australia showed highly significant positive effects. Conversely, the studies completed in North/South

Favours (soya group) Favours (control)

		Sova		C	ontrol			Mea	In difference	Mean difference
Study or sub-group	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%)	) IV, ra	ndom 95 % Cl	IV, random 95 % CI
Afsaneh B 2012	200.7	23.8	25	224.5	23.8	25	2.1	-23.80	-36·99, -10·61	
Afsaneh B 2012 - study 2	205.2	26.6	25	224·5	23.8	25	2.0	-19·30	-33.29, -5.31	<u> </u>
Allen 2007	222.1	26.6	93	222·1	27.7	98	2.8	0.00	-7.70, 7.70	+
Aubertin-Leheudre 2007	203-4	20.9	10	214·2	32.1	10	1.1	-10.80	-34.54, 12.94	
Azadbakht L 2007	209	0.6	42	228	0.9	42	3.4	-19.00	–19·33, –18·67	•
Azadbakht L 2007 - 2	217	0.5	42	228	0.9	42	3.4	-11.00	<i>–</i> 11·31, <i>–</i> 10·69	•
Borodin EA 2009	263	9	28	272	9	28	3.1	-9.00	–13·71, –4·29	-
Campbell S C 2010	242.6	6.2	35	254	6.9	27	3.2	-11.40	-14·72, -8·08	+
Chen S 2005	216.7	28.1	10	257.7	23.7	9	1.1	-41.00	-64·30, -17·70	
Chen S 2005 - 2	160.4	30.9	8	165.7	27.8	10	0.9	-5.30	-32·78, 22·18	
Chen S 2006	222·7	34.4	13	254.8	37.5	13	0.9	-32·10	–59·76, –4·44	
Clifton PM 2007	240.1	32.5	37	251	24.4	39	2.1	-10.90	-23.87, 2.07	
Colacurci N 2005	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Gardner CD 2007	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Gardner CD 2007 - 2	0	0	0	0	0	0			Not estimable	
Garrido A 2005	224·3	27.1	15	185·6	23·2	14	1.5	38.70	20.38, 57.02	
Greany K 2004	196-4	1.5	37	192-2	1.5	37	3.4	4.20	3.52, 4.88	•
Hall W 2006	237	51·8	117	235.9	47·2	117	2.1	1.10	–11·60, 13·80	_ <del>_</del> _
Hermansen K 2005	255·2	30.9	49	266.8	46.4	51	1.8	-11.60	–27·00, 3·80	+
Ho S 2007	229.1	32.8	68	232·2	33	67	2.3	-3·10	-14·20, 8·00	
Ho S 2007 - 2	230.9	32.7	68	232·2	33	67	2.3	-1.30	–12·38, 9·78	-+-
Hoie L 2005	254.4	25.9	39	267.6	23.6	39	2.3	-13·20	-24·20, -2·20	
Hoie L 2005 - 2	254.1	29	39	267.6	23.6	39	2.2	-13.50	–25·23, –1·77	
Hoie LH 2007	235-9	24	28	247.5	35.6	28	1.7	-11.60	–27·50, 4·30	+
Hoie LH 2007 - 2	240.9	27.8	32	247.5	35.6	28	1.7	-6.60	–22·93, 9·73	
Kreijkamp-Kaspers 2004	238.9	42.8	88	229.3	21.2	87	2.3	9.60	-1·49, 20·69	
Liao Fang-Hsuean 2007	149-4	21.6	15	164.8	29	15	1.5	-15.40	-33·70, 2·90	
Liu ZM - 2010 - study 2	207.3	31.7	60	210	35.6	60	2.2	-2.70	–14·76, 9·36	
Liu ZM 2010	219·3	33.6	60	210	35.6	60	2.1	9.30	–3·09, 21·69	+
Lukaczer D 2005	231·2	7	27	253·7	10.4	26	3.1	-22.50	–27·29, –17·71	-
Maesta N 2006	201.1	29.3	10	215.5	35-9	11	0.9	-14.40	–42·33, 13·53	
Matthan N 2007	222·7	29.4	28	225.8	25.5	28	1.9	-3·10	–17·52, 11·32	<del></del>
Matthen N 2007 - 2	224.3	25.1	28	225.8	25.5	28	2.0	-1.50	–14·75, 11·75	
Matthan N 2007 - 3	222	29.4	28	225.8	25.5	28	1.9	-3.80	–18·22, 10·62	
McVeigh B 2006	170-1	2.3	35	175.9	2.3	35	3.3	-5.80	-6.88, -4.72	•
McVeigh B 2006 - 2	172·9	2.3	35	175·9	2.3	35	3.3	-3.00	-4·08, -1·92	•
Meyer B 2004	225.4	8·1	23	225.4	8.5	23	3.1	0.00	-4.80, 4.80	+
Pipe E 2009	171·3	6.6	29	175·2	5.8	29	3.2	-3.90	-7·10, -0·70	*
Santo Antonio 2010	189.7	13.1	11	165.1	9.7	9	2.5	24.60	14.60, 34.60	
Santo Antonio 2010 - 2	194	13.9	10	165.1	9.7	9	2.4	28.90	18·21, 39·59	
Shidfar F 2009	274·3	17.3	21	290.6	20.5	21	2.3	-16.30	–27·77, –4·83	
Tabibi H 2009	190	57	18	181	54	18	0.6	9.00	–27·27, 45·27	
Teede H 2005	201.1	11.6	19	204.9	7.7	21	2.9	-3.80	–9·97, 2·37	
Texeira S 2004	276.7	12	14	178.3	10.4	14	2.7	-1.60	-9.92, 6.72	-+
Thorp A 2008	211.9	3.5	91	218.5	2.7	91	3.3	-6.60	–7·51, –5·69	
Wang Y 2004	267	43	20	278	40	20	1.0	<b>−11</b> ·0	-36·74, 14·74	
Wang Y 2004 - 2	258	48	20	278	40	20	0.9	-20.00	-47·38, 7·38	<del></del> +
Wang Y 2004 - 3	271	42	20	278	40	20	1.0	-7.00	-32·42, 18·42	
Welty F 2007	224	36	48	228	39	42	1.8	-4.00	–19·58, 11·58	<u> </u>
Welty F 2007 - 2	230	45	12			12	0.4	<b>−18</b> ·00	–61·35, 25·35	
Total (95% CI)			1630			1617	100-0	-5.33	-8·35, -2·30	•
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 71.15$ $\gamma^2$	= 4774.	42. df =	= 46 (P	< 0.000	01): / <sup>2</sup>	= 99%			, L	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.4$	5 ( <i>P</i> = 0	0006)	- 1-		,, -				-100	) –50 0 50 100 Favours (sova) Favours (control)

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515002603 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Fig. 4. Meta-analysis of the effect of soya on total cholesterol. The sizes of the data markers indicate the weight of each study in the analysis. IV, inverse variance. Random-random effects model. Values are in mg/dl.

America (where >85% of soyabean produced is GM) showed non-significant results, except for a mild elevation of serum HDL.

In an earlier study, we observed varying effects of flavanols on serum lipids, depending on the matrix with which the flavanols were administered<sup>(56)</sup>. Consequently, in this analysis, we compared studies that used natural soya products (roasted soyabeans, soya nuts, etc.) with those that used soya extracts or tablets as the test regimen (Table 2). The LDL-cholesterol-lowering effect appeared to be three times stronger when

	5	Soya		Co	ontrol			Me	ean difference	Mear	difference		
Study or sub-group	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight (%)	IV, ra	andom 95% Cl	IV, rand	lom 95% C	I	
Afsaneh B 2012	46.3	5.7	25	43·7	7.8	25	2.4	2.60	-1·19, 6·39	-	-		
Afsaneh B 2012 - study 2	44.8	4.4	25	43.7	7.8	25	2.6	1.10	-2.41.4.61		+		
Allen 2007	62.8	15.6	93	60.4	12·6	98	2.2	2.40	-1.63, 6.43		+		
Aubertin-Leheudre 2007	53	9.7	10	55.7	14.7	10	0.5	-2.70	-13.62, 8.22				
Azadbakht L 2007	33.3	0.4	42	33-3	0.7	42	4.8	0.00	-0.24, 0.24				
Azadbakht L 2007 - 2	34	0.7	42	33.3	0.7	42	4.8	0.70	0.40, 1.00				
Borodin FA 2009	62.5	2.9	28	56.5	2.6	28	4.2	6.00	4.56, 7.44				
Campbell SC 2010	60.3	2.5	35	62.8	2.8	27	4.3	-2.50	-3.84 -1.16		-		
Chen S 2005	39.1	7.6	10	36.8	5.7	9	1.4	2.30	-3.70 8.30		<b>_</b>		
Chen S 2005 - 2	36.5	11.2	8	37.8	7	10	0.7	-1.30	-10.19 7.59				
Chen S 2006	39.8	7.3	13	37.9	5	13	1.8	1.90	-2.91 6.71		+		
Clifton PM 2007	59.2	24.4	37	54.1	17	39	0.7	5.10	-4.40 14.60		<b>_</b>		
Colacurci N 2005	58	23.2	29	58	15.5	28	0.6	0.00	-10.21 10.21		_		
Gardner CD 2007	0	0	20	0	0	20	00	0.00	Not estimable				
Gardner CD 2007 - 2	0 0	0	Ő	Õ	Õ	0			Not estimable				
Garrido A 2005	69.6	15.5	15	65.7	7.7	1/	0.7	3.90	_1.02 12.72		<b>_</b>		
Greany K 2004	45.6	0.4	37	47.6	0.4	37	4.8	-2.00	-2.18 -1.82				
	67.3	18.2	117	66.1	15.0	117	2.1	1.20	-2.18 5.58		1		
Harmanson K 2005	58	15.5	10	54.1	11.6	51	1.6	3.00	-1.48 0.28		Ļ		
	70.1	16.1	49 69	70.7	16.0	67	1.5	1.40	4.15 6.05		1		
	72.1	10.1	60	70.7	10.0	67	1.5	0.70	-4.15, 0.95		Ţ		
Ho S 2007 - 2	70 57 0	10.6	20	70.7 EC E	0.7	20	1.0	-0.70	-0.17, 4.77		1		
	57.2	10.0	39	50-5 56 5	9.7	39	1.7	1 50	-4.52, 5.92		1		
		16.0	39	50·5	10.0	39	1.0	0.40	-3.34, 0.34				
	05.4	10.2	28	63	13.2	28	0.9	2.40	-5.34, 10.14				
	61.9	10.2	32	63	13.2	28	1.0	-1.10	-8.54, 6.34				
Kreijkamp-Kaspers 2004	1.54	59.4	88	56.7	13.1	87	0.4 -	-55.16	-67.87, -42.45	-			
Liao Fang-Hsuean 2007	43.3	10.9	15	45.2	10	15	1.0	-1.90	-9.39, 5.59		Τ		
Liu ZM - 2010 - study 2	59.5	10.1	60	61.1	11.0	60	2.3	-1.60	-5.49, 2.29		1		
	63.4	14.3	60	61.1	11.0	60	1.9	2.30	-2.36, 6.96	_			
Lukaczer D 2005	51	1.5	27	47.6	45.6	26	0.2	3.40	-14.14, 20.94				
Maesta N 2006	60.9	14.9	10	49.5	8.5	11	0.5	11.40	0.89,21.91		⊥.		
Matthan N 2007	56.8	13.9	28	59.2	12.4	28	1.1	-2.40	-9.30, 4.50				
Mathan N 2007 - 2	56.5	12	28	59.2	12.4	28	1.2	-2.70	-9.09, 3.69		T		
Matthan N 2007 - 3	59.6	12	28	59.2	12.4	28	1.2	0.40	-5.99, 6.79		Т		
McVeigh B 2006	43.7	0.8	35	42.5	0.8	35	4.8	1.20	0.83, 1.57				
McVeigh B 2006 - 2	44.5	0.8	35	42.5	0.8	35	4.8	2.00	1.63, 2.37				
Meyer B 2004	52.2	2.7	23	49.5	2.7	23	4.4	2.70	1.14, 4.26		•		
Pipe E 2009	44.1	1.9	29	43.3	1.6	29	4.6	0.84	-0.10, 1.70				
Santo Antonio 2010	51.2	4.1	11	44	5.9	9	2.0	7.20	2.65, 11.75		T		
Santo Antonio 2010 - 2	72.1	7.6	10	44	5.9	9	1.3	28.10	22.01, 34.19		1 T		
Shidfar F 2009	40.9	3.3	21	38.7	9.8	21	2.0	2.20	-2·22, 6·62		T		
Tabibi H 2009	43	9.5	18	42	15	18	0.8	1.00	-7.20, 9.20		<b>T</b>		
Teede H 2005	58	3.9	19	54.1	3.9	21	3.4	3.90	1.48, 6.32				
Texeira S 2004	38.7	1.9	14	34.4	2.3	14	4.1	4.30	2.74, 5.86		1		
Thorp A 2008	51	1.9	91	51.4	1.9	91	4.7	-0.40	<i>–</i> 0·95, 0·15		1		
Wang Y 2004	55	13	20	56	13	20	0.9	-1.00	<i>−</i> 9·06, 7·06				
Wang Y 2004 - 2	55	14	20	56	13	20	0.8	-1.00	-9·37, 7·37		1		
Wang Y 2004 - 3	53	15	20	56	13	20	0.8	-3.00	<i>−</i> 11·70, 5·70		<b>-+</b>		
Welty F 2007	59	14	48	58	15	48	1.4	1.00	-4·80, 6·80		Ť		
Welty F 2007 - 2	56	11	12	56	10	12	0.8	0.00	<i>–</i> 8·41, 8·41		-		
Total (05% CI)			1650			1651	100.0	1.40	0.50 0.00				
	oo- ·		1009	0 0 0 0		1001	100.0	1.40	0.20, 2.53				
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 3.69$ ; $\chi^2 =$	= 925·4	5, dt =	41 (P	< 0.000	יטז); <i>ו</i> י	= 95%	•		-100	-50	0	50	100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.3$	53 (P =	0.000	ອ)						Favo	ours (control)	Favours	(sova d	roup)

Fig. 5. Meta-analysis of the effect of soya on HDL-cholesterol. The sizes of the data markers indicate the weight of each study in the analysis. IV, inverse variance. Random-random effects model. Values are in mg/dl.

natural soya products were used. A potential explanation may be the differing levels of bioavailability of the active ingredients.

Soya isoflavones have a biological similarity to mammalian oestrogens, which have been shown to exert cholesterol-lowering effects in humans<sup>(57)</sup>. Our soya isoflavone sub-study

revealed no effects of isoflavone supplementation on serum lipids. In their meta-analysis, Weggemans & Trautwein<sup>(58)</sup> also reported a lack of efficacy of soya isoflavones on changes in LDL or HDL-cholesterol. In contrast, another study has reported positive effects of isoflavone supplementation on cholesterol

# **N**<sup>5</sup> British Journal of Nutrition

 Table 2. Effects of soya proteins on serum lipids by study design, duration, location, health status and quality of study (Mean differences and 95 % confidence intervals)

			LDL	(mg/dl)	HDL (	mg/dl)	TAG	(mg/dl)	Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	
	Sub-group	Number of comparisons	Mean difference	95 % CI	Mean difference	95 % CI	Mean difference	95 % CI	Mean difference	95 % CI
Design	Cross-over only	21	-4·87*	-8·55, -1·19	1.15*	0.15, 2.16	-2·14	-5·34, 1·06	-5·46*	-9·93, -1·00
0	Parallel only	29	-4.95*	-9.05, -0.84	1.49	-0.96, 3.95	-8·81*	-17.49, -0.21	-5.50*	-11.15, 0.16
Health status	Hypercholesterolaemic/diabetic/ hypertensive	32	-7·47*	-11.79, -3.16	1.15*	0.18, 2.13	-3·91*	-7.34, -0.47	-9·10	-13.22, -4.98
	Healthy	18	-2.96*	-5·28, -0·65	1.96*	0.48, 3.44	-5.13	-12·70, 2·45	-2.02	-4·99, 0·95
Duration	Shorter duration (4–8 weeks)	30	-5.29*	-8.48, -2.11	1.74*	0.77, 2.72	-1.25	-4.37, 1.86	-4.04*	-7.88, -0.20
	Longer duration (10 weeks to 1 year)	20	-4.38	-9.51, 0.75	0.15	-2.38, 2.67	-12.67*	-21.45, -3.89	-7.54*	-13.39, -1.70
Test regimen	Sova supplement	38	-3.17*	-5.75, -0.58	1.51*	0.47, 2.55	-5.86*	-10.02, -1.70	-4.22*	-7.34, -1.09
Ū	Natural soya (nuts, milk, oil, whole bean, flour)	12	-11.06*	-15.74, -6.37	1.06	-0.27, 2.39	-1.03*	-1.65, -0.40	-9·01*	-16.78, -1.25
	Soya protein plus isoflavone	22	-5.88*	-9·80, -1·97	1.42*	0.34, 2.51	-7.12*	-11·75, -2·48	-4.61*	-8.35, -0.86
	Soya protein only	13	-7.62*	-11.45, -3.78	1.15	-1.09, 3.40	-7.48	-22.77, 7.81	-10.03*	-14.36, -5.71
Jadad score	High (≥3)	31	-4·17*	-6.14, -2.19	1.83*	0.76, 2.90	-6·95*	-12.83, -1.07	-4·27*	-6.77, -1.77
	Low (<3)	19	-6.61*	-12.46, -0.75	0.74	-0.50, 1.98	-2.70	-6.70, 1.30	-7.70*	-13.11, -2.29
Location	Americas	23	-3·21	-7.04, 0.63	1.94*	0.40, 3.48	-3.23	-9.01, 2.56	-1.15	-5.01, 2.71
	Europe/Asia/Australia	27	-6·14*	-9.25, -3.04	1.29*	0.38, 2.19	<i>–</i> 6·55*	-9.32, -3.77	-8.62*	–11.81, –5.43

\* Significant results.

## Table 3. Effects of soya isoflavone on serum lipids (Mean differences and 95% confidence intervals)

		LDL (m	ıg/dl)	HDL (m	g/dl)	TAG (n	ng/dl)	Total cholesterol (mg/dl)		
	Sub-group	Mean difference	95 % CI	Mean difference	95 % CI	Mean difference	95 % CI	Mean difference	95 % CI	
All 15 studies		-0.48	-3.42, 2.46	0.97	-2.93, 1.00	2.49	-5·78, 10·77	-1.08	-4.69, 2.53	
Design	Cross-over only	-5·06*	-7.45, -2.67	0.88	0.50, 1.25	2.66	-0.93, 4.39	-3.82	-5.86, -1.79	
0	Parallel only	2.48	-2.43, 7.39	-3.65	-8.38, 1.08	-3.63	-27.63, 20.38	0.86	-10.48, 12.21	
Health status	Hypercholesterolaemic	-6·05*	-8.42, -3.68	1.05*	0.54, 1.56	0.75	-7.55, 9.05	-5·08*	-7.03, -3.12	
	Healthy	1.31	-2.83, 5.44	-2.88	-6.05, 0.30	4.57	-6.99, 16.12	0.54	-5.34, 6.43	
Duration	Shorter duration (≤12 weeks)	-1.34	-6.39, 3.71	-1.22	-4.95, 2.50	14.29	-2.65, 31.23	0.41	-6.21, 7.03	
	Longer duration (>12 weeks)	0.01	-3.71, 3.72	-0.33	-2.41, 1.75	0.12	-5.25, 5.49	-4·35*	-7·18, -1·53	

\* Significant results.

 
 Table 4. Meta-regression – predictors of the effects of soya on serum lipids (Coefficients and their standard errors)

	LDL (mg/dl)			HDL (mg/dl)			TAC	G (mg/dl)		Total cholesterol (mg/dl)		
	Coefficient	SE	Р	Coefficient	SE	Р	Coefficient	SE	Р	Coefficient	SE	Р
Initial lipid concentration	-0·13*	0.05*	0.01*	0.02	0.05	0.74	-0·19*	0.05*	<0.01*	-0·19*	0.05*	<0.01*
Duration of study	0.12	0.11	0.25	-0.09	0.05	0.08	-0.26	0.24	0.29	-0·01	0.19	0.96
Dose of test diet	<i>–</i> 0·12	0.14	0.38	0.01	0.05	0.79	-0.30	0.31	0.35	-0·19	0.20	0.35

*Significant results	5.
----------------------	----



Fig. 6. Meta-regression plot of the effect of initial LDL concentration on soya's effect on LDL-cholesterol. Values are in mg/dl.



Fig. 7. Meta-regression plot of the effect of initial TAG concentration on soya's effect on blood TAG level. Values are in mg/dl.

and endothelial function<sup>(39)</sup>. The varying conclusions on the effect of isoflavones may be in part due to the process by which the isoflavones were extracted<sup>(59)</sup>. Mean isoflavone consumption is 11-47 mg/d in Asian countries and 1-2 mg/d in Western countries<sup>(60,61)</sup>, but the mean daily isoflavone consumption in the included studies was 76 mg.

Although we observed a high level of heterogeneity, we are still confident in the conclusions of these analyses, as our observations are consistent with many of the other previously published reports.



Fig. 8. Meta-regression plot of the effect of initial total concentration (TC) concentration on soya's effect on blood TC level. Values are in mg/dl.

In conclusion, our findings showed that an intervention with soya proteins increases serum HDL concentration and lowers serum TAG, LDL and TC concentrations. No effect of isoflavones was observed on serum lipids, and natural soya products appear to have a stronger hypolipidaemic effect on serum cholesterol than soya supplements. Finally, initial LDL, TAG and TC concentrations seem to be strong predictors of the effect of soya on blood lipid levels.

### Acknowledgements

During the last 3 years, O. A. T. reports that he has received an investigator-initiated grant from the National Institutes of Health; L. D. reports that he has received investigator-initiated grants from the National Institutes of Health, GlaxoSmithKline, Amarin Pharma Inc., California Walnut Commission and Merck, and has received travel re-imbursement from the International Nut & Dried Fruit Council Inc.; J. M. G. reports that he has received investigator-initiated grants from the National Institutes of Health, the Veterans Administration, Amgen and pills and packaging from Pfizer for a research study, and has served as a consultant to Bayer.

L. D. and O. A. T. conceived the study and are responsible for data integrity and accuracy of data analyses. O. A. T. and T. A. O. drafted the manuscript, and along with L. D. have the primary responsibility for final content. O. A. T., A. Y. and T. A. O. completed the statistical analyses; J. M. G. reviewed the manuscript for its scientific content. All the authors have read and approved this final draft.

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

### References

- 1. World Health Organization (2002) The World Health Report 2002; Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life. Geneva: WHO.
- Sirtori CR, Anderson JW & Arnoldi A (2007) Nutritional and nutraceutical considerations for dyslipidemia. *Future Med* 2, 313–339.
- 3. Katan MB, Grundy SM, Jones P, *et al.* (2003) Efficacy and safety of plant stanols and sterols in the management of blood cholesterol levels. *Mayo Clin Proc* **78**, 965–978.
- Anderson JW & Konz EC (2001) Obesity and disease management: effects of weight loss on comorbid conditions. *Obes Res* 9, 3268–3348.
- Ashen MD & Blumenthal RS (2005) Low HDL cholesterol levels. N Engl J Med 353, 1252–1260.
- 6. Ginsberg HN (1997) Is hypertriglyceridemia a risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease? A simple question with a complicated answer. *Ann Intern Med* **126**, 912–914.
- Anderson JW & Bush HM (2011) Soy protein effects on serum lipoproteins: a quality assessment and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled studies. *J Am Coll Nutr* **30**, 79–91.
- Sacks FM, Lichtenstein A, Van Horn L, *et al.* (2006) Soy protein, isoflavones, and cardiovascular health: an American Heart Association Science Advisory for professionals from the Nutrition Committee. *Circulation* **113**, 1034–1044.
- Ferdowsian HR & Barnard ND (2009) Effects of plant-based diets on plasma lipids. *Am J Cardiol* 104, 947–956.
- Anderson JW, Johnstone BM & Cook-Newell ME (1995) Metaanalysis of the effects of soy protein intake on serum lipids. *N Engl J Med* 333, 276–282.
- 11. Food & Administration D (1999) Food labeling health claims; soy protein and coronary heart disease. *Fed Regist* 64, 57699–57733.
- Erdman JW (2000) Soy protein and cardiovascular disease: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Nutrition Committee of the AHA. *Circulation* **102**, 2555–2559.
- Xiao CW (2008) Health effects of soy protein and isoflavones in humans. J Nutr 138, 1244S–1249S.
- Zhan S & Ho SC (2005) Meta-analysis of the effects of soy protein containing isoflavones on the lipid profile. *Am J Clin Nutr* 81, 397–408.
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies (2012) Scientific opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to isolated soy protein and reduction of blood LDLcholesterol concentrations pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 10, 2555.
- Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, *et al.* (1996) Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials* 17, 1–12.
- Lipid Research Clinics Laboratory Methods Committee (1977) Cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations in serum/ plasma pairs. *Clin Chem* 23, 60–63.
- Higgins J & Green S (2010) Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.0. 2. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2009. Chichester: John Wiley.
- DerSimonian R & Laird N (1986) Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7, 177–188.
- Collaboration RTC (2008) Review Manager (RevMan). 5.0. Copenhagen, The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration.
- Engelman HM, Alekel DL, Hanson LN, *et al.* (2005) Blood lipid and oxidative stress responses to soy protein with isoflavones and phytic acid in postmenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 81, 590–596.
- 22. Ahn-Jarvis J, Clinton SK, Riedl KM, *et al.* (2012) Impact of food matrix on isoflavone metabolism and cardiovascular

biomarkers in adults with hypercholesterolemia. *Food Funct* **3**, 1051–1058.

- 23. Campbell CG, Brown BD, Dufner D, *et al.* (2006) Effects of soy or milk protein during a high-fat feeding challenge on oxidative stress, inflammation, and lipids in healthy men. *Lipids* **41**, 257–265.
- Hall WL, Formanuik NL, Harnpanich D, *et al.* (2008) A meal enriched with soy isoflavones increases nitric oxide-mediated vasodilation in healthy postmenopausal women. *J Nutr* 138, 1288–1292.
- 25. Hanwell HE, Kay CD, Lampe JW, *et al.* (2009) Acute fish oil and soy isoflavone supplementation increase postprandial serum (*n*-3) polyunsaturated fatty acids and isoflavones but do not affect triacylglycerols or biomarkers of oxidative stress in overweight and obese hypertriglyceridemic men. *J Nutr* **139**, 1128–1134.
- Matthan NR, Welty FK, Barrett PHR, et al. (2004) Dietary hydrogenated fat increases high-density lipoprotein apoA-I catabolism and decreases low-density lipoprotein apoB-100 catabolism in hypercholesterolemic women. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 24, 1092–1097.
- Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Marchie A, *et al.* (2005) Direct comparison of a dietary portfolio of cholesterol-lowering foods with a statin in hypercholesterolemic participants. *Am J Clin Nutr* **81**, 380–387.
- Verhoeven MO, Teerlink T, Kenemans P, *et al.* (2007) Effects of a supplement containing isoflavones and *Actaea racemosa* L. on asymmetric dimethylarginine, lipids, and C-reactive protein in menopausal women. *Fertil Steril* 87, 849–857.
- Weidner C, Krempf M, Bard JM, *et al.* (2008) Cholesterol lowering effect of a soy drink enriched with plant sterols in a French population with moderate hypercholesterolemia. *Lipids Health Dis* 7, 35–42.
- 30. Rideout TC, Chan Y-M, Harding SV, et al. (2009) Low and moderate-fat plant sterol fortified soymilk in modulation of plasma lipids and cholesterol kinetics in subjects with normal to high cholesterol concentrations: report on two randomized crossover studies. *Lipids Health Dis* 8, 45.
- Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Nguyen TH, et al. (2008) Effect of plant sterols in combination with other cholesterollowering foods. *Metabolism* 57, 130–139.
- 32. Torres N, Guevara-Cruz M, Granados J, et al. (2009) Reduction of serum lipids by soy protein and soluble fiber is not associated with the ABCG5/G8, apolipoprotein E, and apolipoprotein A1 polymorphisms in a group of hyperlipidemic Mexican subjects. *Nutr Res* 29, 728–735.
- Lobato LP, Iakmiu Camargo Pereira AE, Lazaretti MM, et al. (2012) Snack bars with high soy protein and isoflavone content for use in diets to control dyslipidaemia. Int J Food Sci Nutr 63, 49–58.
- Hodgson JM, Puddey IB, Beilin LJ, et al. (1998) Supplementation with isoflavonoid phytoestrogens does not alter serum lipid concentrations: a randomized controlled trial in humans. J Nutr 128, 728–732.
- 35. Merz-Demlow BE, Duncan AM, Wangen KE, *et al.* (2000) Soy isoflavones improve plasma lipids in normocholesterolemic, premenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **71**, 1462–1469.
- Wangen KE, Duncan AM, Xu X, *et al.* (2001) Soy isoflavones improve plasma lipids in normocholesterolemic and mildly hypercholesterolemic postmenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 73, 225–231.
- 37. Uesugi T, Fukui Y & Yamori Y (2002) Beneficial effects of soybean isoflavone supplementation on bone metabolism and serum lipids in postmenopausal Japanese women: a four-week study. *J Am Coll Nutr* **21**, 97–102.

### 842

# NS British Journal of Nutrition

- Cheng SY, Shaw NS, Tsai KS, *et al.* (2004) The hypoglycemic effects of soy isoflavones on postmenopausal women. *J Womens Health* 13, 1080–1086.
- Colacurci N, Chiantera A, Fornaro F, et al. (2005) Effects of soy isoflavones on endothelial function in healthy postmenopausal women. *Menopause* 12, 299–307.
- Garrido A, la Maza D, Pia M, *et al.* (2006) Soy isoflavones affect platelet thromboxane A<sub>2</sub> receptor density but not plasma lipids in menopausal women. *Maturitas* 54, 270–276.
- Hall WL, Vafeiadou K, Hallund J, *et al.* (2006) Soy-isoflavoneenriched foods and markers of lipid and glucose metabolism in postmenopausal women: interactions with genotype and equol production. *Am J Clin Nutr* **83**, 592–600.
- Ho SC, Chen YM, Ho SS, *et al.* (2007) Soy isoflavone supplementation and fasting serum glucose and lipid profile among postmenopausal Chinese women: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Menopause* 14, 905–912.
- Rios DRA, Rodrigues ET, Cardoso APZ, et al. (2008) Lack of effects of isoflavones on the lipid profile of Brazilian postmenopausal women. *Nutrition* 24, 1153–1158.
- 44. Qin Y, Shu F, Zeng Y, *et al.* (2014) Daidzein supplementation decreases serum triglyceride and uric acid concentrations in hypercholesterolemic adults with the effect on triglycerides being greater in those with the GA compared with the GG genotype of ESR-β RsaI. *J Nutr* **144**, 49–54.
- Bakhtiary A, Yassin Z, Hanachi P, *et al.* (2012) Effects of soy on metabolic biomarkers of cardiovascular disease in elderly women with metabolic syndrome. *Arch Iran Med* 15, 462–468.
- 46. Clifton P, Mano M, Duchateau G, *et al.* (2008) Dose-response effects of different plant sterol sources in fat spreads on serum lipids and C-reactive protein and on the kinetic behavior of serum plant sterols. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **62**, 968–977.
- Meyer BJ, Larkin TA, Owen AJ, *et al.* (2004) Limited lipidlowering effects of regular consumption of whole soybean foods. *Ann Nutr Metab* 48, 67–78.
- Gianazza E, Lovati M, Manzoni C, *et al.* (1998) Reduction of serum cholesterol by soy proteins: clinical experience and potential molecular mechanisms. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 8, 334–340.
- Tham DM, Gardner CD & Haskell WL (1998) Potential health benefits of dietary phytoestrogens: a review of the clinical,

epidemiological, and mechanistic evidence 1. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 83, 2223–2235.

- Lichtenstein AH (1998) Soy protein, isoflavones and cardiovascular disease risk. J Nutr 128, 1589–1592.
- Reynolds K, Chin A, Lees KA, *et al.* (2006) A meta-analysis of the effect of soy protein supplementation on serum lipids. *Am J Cardiol* **98**, 633–640.
- 52. Harland JI & Haffner TA (2008) Systematic review, metaanalysis and regression of randomised controlled trials reporting an association between an intake of circa 25g soya protein per day and blood cholesterol. *Atherosclerosis* 200, 13–27.
- 53. Taku K, Umegaki K, Sato Y, *et al.* (2007) Soy isoflavones lower serum total and LDL cholesterol in humans: a metaanalysis of 11 randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* **85**, 1148–1156.
- Ho SC, Woo JL, Leung SS, *et al.* (2000) Intake of soy products is associated with better plasma lipid profiles in the Hong Kong Chinese population. *J Nutr* **130**, 2590–2593.
- Nagata C (2000) Ecological study of the association between soy product intake and mortality from cancer and heart disease in Japan. *Int J Epidemiol* 29, 832–836.
- Tokede O, Gaziano J & Djoussé L (2011) Effects of cocoa products/dark chocolate on serum lipids: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 65, 879–886.
- Rossouw JE (1999) Hormone replacement therapy and cardiovascular disease. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 10, 429–434.
- Weggemans R & Trautwein E (2003) Relation between soy-associated isoflavones and LDL and HDL cholesterol concentrations in humans: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 57, 940–946.
- Clarkson TB & Anthony MS (1998) Phytoestrogens and coronary heart disease. *Baillieres Clin Endocrinol Metab* 12, 589–604.
- 60. Arai Y, Watanabe S, Kimira M, *et al.* (2000) Dietary intakes of flavonols, flavones and isoflavones by Japanese women and the inverse correlation between quercetin intake and plasma LDL cholesterol concentration. *J Nutr* **130**, 2243–2250.
- de Kleijn MJ, van der Schouw YT, Wilson PW, *et al.* (2001) Intake of dietary phytoestrogens is low in postmenopausal women in the United States: the Framingham study (1–4). *J Nutr* 131, 1826–1832.