

# Meanings of encounters for close relatives of people with a long-term illness within a primary healthcare setting

Anna Nygren Zotterman<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Skär<sup>2</sup> and Siv Söderberg<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Science, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå Sweden, <sup>2</sup>Department of Health, Blekinge Institute of Technology, Karlskrona, Sweden and <sup>3</sup>Department of Nursing Sciences, Mid Sweden University, Östersund, Sweden

## Research

**Cite this article:** Zotterman AN, Skär L, Söderberg S. (2018) Meanings of encounters for close relatives of people with a long-term illness within a primary healthcare setting. *Primary Health Care Research & Development* 19: 392–397. doi: 10.1017/S1463423618000178

Received: 2 November 2016  
Revised: 5 February 2018  
Accepted: 10 February 2018  
First published online: 26 March 2018

### Key words:

close relatives; narrative interviews; people with long-term illness; phenomenological hermeneutics; primary healthcare

### Author for correspondence:

Anna Nygren Zotterman, Department of Health Science, Division of Nursing, Luleå University of Technology, 97187 Luleå, Sweden.  
E-mail: anna.nygren.zotterman@ltu.se

## Abstract

**Background:** Encounters play an important role in the relationship between healthcare personnel and the close relatives of people with a long-term illness. **Aim:** The aim of this study was to elucidate the meanings of encounters for close relatives of people with a long-term illness within a primary healthcare setting. **Methods:** Interviews using a narrative approach were conducted with seven women and three men, and the phenomenological hermeneutic method was used to interpret the interview texts. **Results:** The structural analysis revealed three major themes: being confirmed as a family, being informed of the care, and being respected as a valuable person. Close relatives stated that they wanted to be confirmed as a family and have a familiar and trusting relationship with healthcare personnel. They valued being informed concerning the care of the ill person so that they could give support at home. It was also important to be compassionately viewed as an important person in a welcoming atmosphere based on respect and dignity.

## Introduction

Being the close relative of a person with a long-term illness may be a stressful situation because illness has a great impact on the daily life of a whole family. Close relatives have to deal with difficult life changes and are forced to have increased responsibility for the person who is ill (Jumisko *et al.*, 2007; Brännström *et al.*, 2007; Olsson Ozanne *et al.*, 2012), which can be difficult and overwhelming because this involvement takes time and energy (Öhman and Söderberg, 2004). Close relatives generally accompany the ill person when they visit the healthcare (Pennbrant *et al.*, 2013), can be seen as a valuable resource for people with an illness (Pennbrant, 2013). Giving relatives a chance to participate in healthcare encounters to share their experiences and knowledge can enhance the value of healthcare; the relative becomes an implement for knowledge exchange between the physician and patient, which enhances understanding and meaning (Pennbrant, 2013). Studies (Westin *et al.*, 2009; Jonasson *et al.*, 2010) have shown that relatives desire continuous involvement in the care of loved ones, as this can facilitate feelings of being important when meeting with nurses.

Relatives have the right to be met in a pleasant manner, with respect and dignity, in encounters with healthcare personnel (Gustafsson *et al.*, 2013). In this study, the term word 'encounter' plays a central role and relates to all planned and unplanned personal meetings at the healthcare center between close relatives, the person who is ill, and healthcare personnel in the primary healthcare setting. Gustafsson *et al.* (2013), further describe a meaningful encounter from a relative's perspective, expressed as a very close connection to the healthcare personnel based on warmth and compassion, unlike many other relationships outside the family. Andersen *et al.* (2008), show that being warmly responded to may be intensely relevant to one's well-being and belongs in a relationship. Berg *et al.* (2007), show that the relationship plays an important role in the context of care. To have respect for each other, a responsibility to reach out to each other, and engagement with each other creates the basis for a caring relationship. A relationship can be established by making relatives feel they are welcomed and motivating them to participate in the care of the ill person (Pennbrant, 2013).

To our knowledge there is a lack of research describing meanings of encounters in primary healthcare between healthcare personnel, people with a long-term illness and close relatives. Understanding meanings can be important and helpful when it comes to taking note of the need for close relatives as companions for the person who is ill when visiting the healthcare center. Knowledge gained from this study can be used when it comes to creating encounters built on respect and dignity for the person who is ill and their close relatives. Thus, the aim of this study was to elucidate meanings of encounters for close relatives of people with a long-term illness within a primary healthcare setting.

## Methods

### Design

A qualitative research approach was used in this study, because the aim was to elucidate meanings of encounters for close relatives of people with a long-term illness within a primary healthcare setting. To reach this aim, personal interviews were performed with close relatives.

### Context

The context of the study was primary healthcare centers in a county in the northern part of Sweden. Primary healthcare is the first level of care for all healthcare needs and problems and a healthcare contact for people with long-term illness and their relatives (Starfield, 1998). Characteristics for quality in primary healthcare include continuity, communication, and a person-centered care (Hsiao and Boulton, 2008).

### Participants and procedure

The participants were selected through purposeful sampling. Ten close relatives (seven women and three men) of people with a long-term illness, such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Morbus Bechterew, vascular dementia, rheumatoid arthritis, heart failure, multiple sclerosis, diabetes mellitus, and psoriasis, participated in the study. The close relatives ranged in age from 51 to 87 years ( $md = 65.5$ ). Eight were spouses, one was a daughter, and one was a mother. Participants were selected according to the following criteria: they had to be adults and the close relative of a person with a long-term illness, was not a carer to the ill person and participated in healthcare encounters at the healthcare center as a close relative. The person with a long-term illness visited the primary healthcare setting a minimum of three times a year to as often as several times a week. The healthcare personnel who participated in the encounter were general practitioners, nurses, district nurses, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, curators, and biomedical scientists.

Initially, a letter was sent to 10 close relatives of patients that had participated in our earlier study (Nygren Zotterman *et al.*, 2016). They were informed about the aim of the study and three close relatives gave their permission to participate and returned a written informed consent. Further, contact was taken with two healthcare centers for recruitment of additional seven close relatives of patients living with a long-term illness. Two registered nurses at the healthcare centers helped recruit the participants, they informed them about the aim of the study and inviting them to participate. After close relatives agreed to further contact, the first author phoned them and gave them further information, and a time and place for the interviews were decided.

### Data collection

Personal interviews were conducted by the first author using a narrative approach (cf. Mishler, 1986; Sandelowski, 1991). The participants were asked to narrate their experiences of encounters with healthcare personnel at the healthcare center with which they had regular contact. An interview guide was used and started with the following broad questions: Please, tell me about your experiences of encounters with primary healthcare personnel as a close relative of a person with a long-term illness? Please tell me what makes for a good meeting at the healthcare center? Please tell me what a good encounter means for you as a close relative?

Please describe what a poor encounter means for you as a close relative? Clarifying questions were asked during the interviews such as: for example, can you tell me more about that? Can you give any example? Nine of the participants were interviewed in their homes and one participant was interviewed at the healthcare center at their request. The interviews were recorded digitally, lasted between 34 and 68 min ( $md = 52$ ) and were later transcribed verbatim.

### Ethical considerations

Before starting the interviews, the participants were informed about the nature of the study, gave their informed consent, and were told they could voluntarily withdraw during the interviews at any time. They were guaranteed confidentiality and an anonymous presentation of the findings. The study was approved by the regional Ethical Review Board (Dnr 2010-178-31M) in Umeå, Sweden.

### Data analysis

#### *The phenomenological hermeneutical interpretation*

A phenomenological hermeneutical interpretation was chosen to interpret the transcribed interviews. The method is inspired by the philosophy of the French philosopher Ricoeur (1976) and developed for nursing research by Lindseth and Norberg (2004). This method strives to gain a deeper understanding of essential meanings of the phenomena under study, from a dialectic movement between the whole and parts of the text as well as between understanding and explaining, and from explanation to a new comprehension. The process of interpretation consists of three interrelated phases: naïve understanding, structural analysis, and comprehensive understanding. The interpretation process started with a naïve reading in an attempt to reach a first understanding of the text. The next phase was structural analysis, based on ideas from the naïve understanding. During this phase, the text was divided into meaning units and sorted into themes and subthemes based on similarities and differences. Finally, the text was interpreted as a whole, which resulted in new and comprehensive understanding and reflections, based on the naïve understanding, the structural analysis, our pre-understanding, and relevant literature.

## Findings

### *Naïve understanding*

Being a close relative of a person with a long-term illness meant spending much time within healthcare settings, since the ill person needed a lot of care. The focus was a struggle for support and comfort. It was important to be met by healthcare personnel with respect and dignity and to be confirmed as a part of a team. Being greeted in a good way was an expression of good care for close relatives. Good communication based on a dialogue with healthcare personnel was fundamental. Being met by competent and efficient healthcare personnel, who encountered the close relatives with interest and helpfulness, made them feel important and confirmed. Close relatives described the importance of being informed about the care of the ill person. It was important that the healthcare personnel viewed close relatives as a significant resource since they sometimes had to act as a spokesperson for the ill person. Close relatives mentioned that a good relationship with the healthcare personnel had a major impact in promoting

their feelings of trust and confirmation. It strengthened them in their role as supporters of their ill family member. To be met in a personal manner and having a sense of being cared for positively promoted this relationship. Close relatives experienced a poor encounter when they did not feel welcomed and were not invited to be informed of the care of the ill person. Close relatives were not always seen as a resource; instead, their intentions for being there were questioned.

### Structural analysis

The structural analysis resulted in three themes and six subthemes (Table 1). The themes and subthemes are presented below with quotes from the interviews.

#### Being confirmed as a family

Being needed: Close relatives described it as meaningful to come with the ill person when visiting primary healthcare. Being with the ill person when having an encounter with their general practitioner or nurse was a way of being informed about the ill person's health status; family members expressed that 'four ears hear more than two'. They said that since the ill person was often occupied managing the illness in the healthcare encounter they were sometimes unable to deal with all of the information. This meant that close relatives had to support the ill person in the encounter. The opportunity to be a companion was considered a privilege and this contributed to their feelings of being needed. The close relatives felt it gave meaning to their lives and strengthened them in their own well-being. The family members mentioned that a good encounter was when the ill person was taken care of in a good way and were always the main focus at the healthcare center. At the same time, they experienced a good encounter and appreciated when the healthcare personnel made eye contact and invited them into the conversation, giving them a feeling of comfort and security.

I feel that an encounter has contributed to my inner peace when the healthcare personnel acknowledge me, communicate with me, and explain things to me. Even though it's outside their work, this is an example of a fantastic encounter.

Close relatives said that part of a good encounter was when they were viewed as a team when they visited the healthcare center. They felt it was important that healthcare personnel listen to what they have to say as a family. Being met as a family facilitated a sense of being confirmed and characterized a good encounter. The close relatives wished to be seen as an important resource, as they claimed that their information could many times contribute to the progress of the care for their ill person. Close relatives also mentioned that the ill person seemed to have more confidence for

**Table 1.** Overview of themes and subthemes constructed from structural analysis of the interviews with close relatives ( $N = 10$ )

Theme	Subtheme
Being confirmed as a family	Being needed Being in a familiar and trusting relationship
Being informed of the care	Being met with engagement Being met with helpfulness
Being respected as a valuable person	Being met with compassion Being met with dignity

the care they received and the relationships with healthcare personnel when they were met as a family.

I wish to be seen and met with confirmation during the encounter. I want healthcare personnel to turn to me, since I'm standing beside the patient and can be an important piece of the puzzle for them.

Being in a familiar and trusting relationship: Close relatives expressed that encounters with healthcare personnel with a personal and friendly approach facilitated the relationship in a trusting way and made it easier to connect with each other. Encounters that promoted comfort facilitated the possibilities to communicate and share an understanding about their situation of being a close relative. The way close relatives were encountered was, at many times, crucial for deciding whether the relationship was good. They needed to meet the same nurse or general practitioner each time since this made them more confident that there was continuity in the care for the ill person.

To know the healthcare personnel and to avoid telling the same story again gave them a feeling of faith in the care for the ill person. Close relatives described that a relationship with the healthcare personnel was of importance and gave them support and relief in this difficult situation. The close relatives expressed that some healthcare personnel had given them support, but sometimes they lacked support, which made them sad and frustrated. To be in a close and personal relationship promoted the possibility of communicating about private things, instead of only the healthcare problems regarding the illness.

I value the relationship with the healthcare personnel; it means a lot to get close and it becomes less tense when we talk more like friends during the encounter.

#### Being informed of the care

Being met with engagement: Close relatives expressed that it was important to be informed about the care of the ill person. Part of a good encounter was when they felt invited at the healthcare center as a close relative. They said that it was of major importance to receive understandable explanations and information regarding the care of the ill person. Healthcare personnel who had the willingness and ability to teach them about diabetes facilities or wound care, for example, made them feel more confident in the care that the ill person received from the healthcare. Close relatives stated that it was important to have a genuine dialogue with the healthcare personnel, which included having the opportunity to ask questions and receive answers. They said that having the healthcare personnel ask questions about their experiences gave them meaning. Close relatives valued being informed, since they support the ill person at home. It was a relief for the close relatives to be given good explanations by the healthcare personnel; it gave them hope and strength to cope with their situation. To be left without explanations caused them feelings of distress and anxiety. Instead, they wanted to be listened to and have a good dialogue with the healthcare personnel, inspiring them to support the ill person. Close relatives mentioned that it was significant that the healthcare personnel were engaged in terms of being present.

I want to be informed about the care of my husband. It's important that I know what is happening and what will happen later concerning his care. Since we are living with this all the time and sharing our daily life together, not just the moment you are sitting at the healthcare center that plays role.

Being met with helpfulness: Close relatives described it as important that they were encountered by healthcare personnel who had a willingness to provide them with help. Helpfulness was

expressed as part of a good encounter. Close relatives said that they needed help in the form of support, nursing and medical care, and referrals to inpatient care or radiology. Sometimes the ill person did not receive the help they needed, and it affected the whole family and caused them excessive worry. In contrast, they felt a great comfort when they could contact the nurse or general practitioner by phone and when they received help in the form of quick counseling; this availability to healthcare was important. Healthcare personnel who seemed to be interested and willingly listened to their stories seemed skilled and competent in their role as nurses or general practitioners, which usually led to good judgment. Part of a poor encounter was when the healthcare personnel ignored those needs and was occupied with other concerns, instead on focusing on them and their requests. Not being listened to family made them sad and frustrated, decreasing their confidence in the primary healthcare.

There was one occasion when we weren't welcomed to the healthcare center by the nurse, but after a while she examined him herself and we received the help we wanted. That is part of a good encounter, as we sensed that we were getting help at last.

### *Being respected as a valuable person*

**Being met with compassion:** Close relatives said that healthcare personnel who encountered them with warmth and commitment made them feel like they were truly cared for. When healthcare personnel encountered them with empathy, close relatives felt like they had value as a respectable person. Close relatives expressed that a conversation based on respect made them feel more confident and hopeful. Disrespectful encounters included when they were viewed as a solitary appendage to the ill person and not as a caring family member. In situations like that, healthcare personnel showed no interest in the close relatives and did not give them any attention during the healthcare appointment. This negatively affected the family member and the ill person and induced feelings of sadness and anger. Instead, being met with openness and with a polite approach from the nurses or the general practitioner facilitated a good encounter. They described it as important that the healthcare personnel communicated with respect and understanding, and that a conversation based on respect made them more confident and hopeful. Healthcare personnel, who used medical language or did not have time to explain their intentions for different examinations of the ill person, negatively affected the close relatives, causing them feelings of dissatisfaction and discouragement. Instead, having sufficient time in the encounter was highly valued as something that facilitated a sense of being met into a welcoming atmosphere. General practitioners and nurses, who took their time to listen to their stories, were deemed as healthcare personnel who had their heart in the right place. Close relatives described that being listened to made them feel thankful and appreciated in a caring encounter.

To be met with a friendly and generous reception, with warmth, and that the healthcare personnel says to you 'here I'm' and asks, 'what are your needs?', and doesn't not only view you as an object or a tool, they are doing their job properly I value encountering a kindhearted nurse who cares for you and is part of an encounter based on humanity.

**Being met with dignity:** To experience a good encounter with the healthcare personnel gave the close relatives feelings of being met with dignity, and made it easier to deal with the burden of the illness. They expressed that it was essential for healthcare personnel to show an understanding of their life situation, which

could sometimes be difficult. It gave them support and strength to cope with the illness. Close relatives appreciated being seen as human and not as a thing or object, which facilitated their sense of being respected and met with dignity.

For me, as a close relative, a good encounter means I follow my husband to the healthcare center and am met with dignity, as this makes me feel more safe and confident.

To feel that the healthcare personnel have their full concentration on us during the encounter is required. I don't want to meet stressed personnel. I'm aware that they are busy and have a lot of work, but I don't want them to show us that; instead, they should concentrate on us. That is when you experience that they care.

Sometimes when the ill people lacked the energy to speak up for themselves, their close relatives acted as their spokesperson. Close relatives regarded this as an important role in the encounter with healthcare personnel, and in situations like that they preferred to be taken seriously. They expressed that it was important they were believed and that their stories were considered. Close relatives also talked about when an encounter failed; instead of being met with respect and dignity, they felt like they were met with suspicion, ignorance, and nonchalance. This affected their sense of faith and trust for the care of the ill person. In times like that, they often raised their voice in disagreement with the healthcare personnel. This affected future encounters in a respectable way. Good encounters were described as met with respect for their human dignity as they accompanied the ill person to the healthcare center.

### **Comprehensive understanding and reflections**

The entire text was read as a whole in this last phase of interpretation. The naïve understanding, the results from the structural analysis, and the authors' pre-understandings were brought together and reflected in light of the literature in order to reach a new comprehensive understanding (cf. Lindseth and Norberg, 2004). This study shows that meanings of healthcare encounters for close relatives of people with a long-term illness consist of being confirmed as a family, the opportunity to be informed about the care the ill person received by the healthcare, and being met with respect as a valuable person. Close relatives appreciated the invitation to be informed regarding the care for the ill person at the healthcare center in a welcoming atmosphere based on respect and dignity. Having a good encounter, the healthcare personnel facilitated a familiar and trusting relationship for close relatives. This familiar and trusting relationship gave close relatives support, comfort, and relief, and was seen as an expression of being met as a team. This strengthened them and made it easier to be a good supporter for the ill person. Conversely, encountering nonchalance and ignorance caused feelings of mistrust in the care of the ill person, and the care of the ill person suffered (cf. Eriksson, 2006).

Close relatives described a good encounter as when the ill person was treated as a valuable person and the close relatives were important. The encounter was experienced as an expression of confirmation from the perspective of close relatives. To be acknowledged as important enhanced their feelings of being confirmed. Buber (1997) claimed that the foundation of human life lies in togetherness with other people: all humans wish to be confirmed by others for what they are and what they may become, and they need to confirm the other person in the same way. Further, it is necessary and a privilege for us, as humans, to

confirm each other in our individual existence through authentic encounters. Human beings become real in authentic encounters with others. People feeling accepted in meeting with others depends on their experiences of being confirmed with mutual understanding. Söderström *et al.* (2003) explained that confirmation is closely connected to viewing a family member as important, and when nurses confirm the family member in the interaction they are listening and offering them comfort by being present. Severinsson (2001) showed that confirmation is a concept that includes being seen as a human being. Close relatives needed to be confirmed as part of a team with the ill person. Illness is claimed to be a family affair and confirms the family as a unit. If families are informed about the care of the ill person then optimal care for the patient has been provided (Fast Braun and Foster, 2011).

Close relatives expressed that a close connection with healthcare personnel was important for building a trusting relationship. This is consistent with Gustafsson *et al.* (2013) descriptions of closeness between close relative and the caregiver. Being in a close and familiar fellowship with the caregiver brings coherence in a difficult situation. According to Buber (1994 [1923]), a relationship is based on a mutual understanding of each other. Relations in its very existence which are true, affects the one who stands in the relation to one another. Having the opportunity to develop a relationship and continuity in encounters with healthcare personnel facilitated good communication and strengthened this connection in a trusting way. Pennbrant (2013) showed that a trustful relationship is when relatives are welcomed to participate in the dialogue with the healthcare provider based on a respectful encounter. According to Söderström *et al.* (2003), a trusting relationship can influence the contact with the family member as well as facilitate the nursing care for the patient. It takes time to create a trusting relationship, but a good relationship makes it possible to support family members in emotionally charged situations by being close and comforting.

Close relatives experienced feelings of comfort, confidence, and meaning when healthcare personnel invited them to be informed about the care of the ill person. We interpreted information about the care for the ill person as part of a good encounter. Pennbrant (2013) showed that it is important that healthcare personnel invite close relatives to participate in the encounter, as their experiences can be a tool for knowledge exchange. In this study, close relatives mentioned that a good dialogue with the healthcare personnel was important because it gave them a feeling of being met with compassion and warmth in the encounter. Andersen *et al.* (2008) showed that warmth and openness are critical to a person feeling satisfaction and well-being. Warmth expresses that the other person belongs and is part of a team. Close relatives described it as positive when they had the chance to ask questions and were given answers and explanations about the care of the ill person. Pennbrant (2013) showed that relatives with healthcare experiences are better prepared and more confident about asking questions and capable to have a genuine dialogue with the healthcare provider. Healthcare personnel need to create conditions for a conversation in congruence with the needs of the relatives. According to Buber (2011 [1954]), the depth of one's meaning is realized in a true conversation with another person. For a true conversation to be realized, each person must contribute. When the essence of the dialogue is accomplished, togetherness between the conversation's partners arises.

Close relatives described encounters as highly important and could increase their feeling of being met with dignity. To be

encountered with respect and understanding gave them possibilities to support the ill person and a sense of being a human, which was mentioned, as significant. Dignity is important to all people, as well as in a healthcare setting (Matiti *et al.*, 2007). According to Edlund *et al.* (2013), dignity is a concept only applicable to human beings and implies being whole as a human being as an entity of body, soul, and spirit. Hofmann (2002) stated that 'dignity refers to the quality or state of being honored or esteemed.' According to Jacobson (2009), a violation of one's dignity can contribute to loss of respect and loss of confidence for oneself. It is more common that a violation of dignity occurs in asymmetric relationships when one person has more power, authority, and knowledge, for example, in a healthcare setting. Therefore, it is, according to Gustafsson *et al.* (2013), important to encounter relatives and care for them in a meaningful way based on support, engagement, attention, and respect for their dignity.

### Methodological considerations

The findings from this study can be transferred to similar situations if the findings are recontextualized to the current context. In this study, we chose a phenomenological hermeneutical interpretation because our aim was to elucidate meanings of encounters for close relatives of people with a long-term illness within a primary healthcare setting. According to Ricoeur (1976: 73), interpretation is a particular case of understanding – it is understanding applied to the written expressions of life. The interpretation in this study is the one we found as the most probable. According to Ricoeur (1976: 79), an interpretation must not only be probable, it must also be more probable than another interpretation. The participants in this study were chosen by purposive sampling, that is, the researchers selected the participants that fulfill the needs of the study. A major criticism of this type of sampling is that the sample is biased by the selection process, the method encourages a certain type of informant with a certain type of knowledge. This criticism however, does not consider that this is the intent in using this method. In qualitative research 'bias' is used in a positive way, as a tool to provide a theoretical richness in seeking to elucidate the experiences as richly and accurately as possible (cf. Morse, 1991). The participants in this study varied in age and experiences, such as the symptoms and disease of the ill person and how often they visited primary healthcare settings. All close relatives invited to participate voluntarily agreed to participate in the interviews, and we believe that the sample size of 10 close relatives was sufficient to gain richness in data. As registered nurses and researchers, we have a pre-understanding concerning the phenomenon of encounters within healthcare, and throughout the whole study process we were aware of this and used it with sensitivity and openness to alternative interpretations in discussion with others (cf. Dahlberg *et al.*, 2001).

### Conclusion and clinical implications

In conclusion, this study suggests that meanings of encounters for close relatives implicate being met with engagement and to receive help in their support of the ill person as a close relative, as this can facilitate their sense of being informed. To be encountered with respect and dignity as a family gave close relatives strength to manage their life situation. An encounter based on confirmation, compassion, and trust entailed feelings of being

truly cared for, which enhanced them in their supportive role of the ill person. It is important that healthcare personnel truly listen to the close relatives' experiences and invite them to be informed of the person with a long-term illness. The healthcare personnel must support and empower close of the ill person to promote health and well-being for the whole family. Healthcare personnel need to be aware of the close relatives' knowledge and view them as an important resource in the care of people with a long-term illness. The findings of this study indicate a need of further research about how close relative's role should be defined when not being a caregiver for a person with long-term illness.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank the close relatives who participated in this study and shared their experiences.

**Financial Support.** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Conflicts of Interest.** None.

**Ethical Standards.** The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of relevant national and institutional guidelines and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised 2008.

## References

- Andersen SM, Saribay SA and Thorpe JS (2008) Simple kindness can go a long way. Relationships, social identity and engagement. *Social Psychology* **39**, 59–69.
- Berg L, Skott C and Danielsson E (2007) Caring relationship in a context: fieldwork in a medical ward. *International Journal of Nursing Practice* **13**, 100–106.
- Brännström M, Ekman I, Boman K and Strandberg G (2007) Being a close relative of a person with severe, chronic heart failure in palliative advanced home care – a comfort but also a strain. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences* **21**, 338–344.
- Buber M (1994 [1923]) *Jag och Du [Ich und Du] [I and Thou]*. Ludvika, Sweden: Dualis Förlag AB.
- Buber M (1997) *Distans och relation [Distance and relation]* Ludvika: Dualis Förlag AB (Original German work published 1951).
- Buber M (2011 [1954]) *Det Mellanmänskliga [Elemente des Zwischenmenschlichen]*. Ludvika: Dualis Förlag AB.
- Dahlberg K, Drew N and Nyström M (2001) *Reflective Lifeworld Research*. Lund, Sweden: Studentlitteratur.
- Edlund M, Lindvall L, Von Post I and Lindström UÅ (2013) Concept determination of human dignity. *Nursing Ethics* **20** (8), 851–860.
- Eriksson K (2006) *The suffering human being*. Chicago, IL: Nordic Study Press.
- Fast Braun V and Foster C (2011) Family nursing: walking the talk. *Nursing Forum* **46**, 11–21.
- Gustafsson C, Gustafsson L-K and Snellman I (2013) Trust leading to hope – the signification of meaningful encounters in Swedish healthcare. The narratives of patients, relatives and healthcare staff. *International Practice Development Journal* **3**, 1–12.
- Gustafsson L-K, Snellman I and Gustafsson C (2013) The meaningful encounter: patient and next-of-kin stories about their experience of meaningful encounters in healthcare. *Nursing Inquiry* **20**, 363–371.
- Hofmann B (2002) Respect for patients' dignity in primary healthcare: a critical appraisal. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care* **20**, 88–91.
- Hsiao CJ and Boulton C (2008) Effects of quality on outcomes in primary care: A review of the literature. *American Journal of Medical Quality* **23**, 302–310.
- Jacobson N (2009) A taxonomy of dignity: a grounded theory study. *BMC International Health and Human Rights* **9**, 1–9.
- Jonasson LL, Liss PE, Westerlind B and Berterö C (2010) Ethical values in caring encounters on a geriatric ward from the next of kin's perspective: an interview study. *International Journal of Nursing Practice* **16**, 20–26.
- Jumisko E, Lexell J and Söderberg S (2007) The experiences of treatment from other people as narrated by people with moderate or severe traumatic brain injury. *Disability and Rehabilitation* **19**, 1535–1543.
- Lindseth A and Norberg A (2004) A phenomenological hermeneutical method for researching lived experience. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences* **18**, 145–153.
- Matiti M, Cotrel-Gibbons E and Teasdale K (2007) Promoting patient dignity in healthcare settings. *Nursing Standard* **21**, 46–52.
- Mishler E (1986) *Research interviewing: context and narrative*. London: Harvard University Press.
- Morse JM (1991) *Qualitative nursing research*. Newbury Park, CA, London and New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Nygren Zotterman A, Skär L, Olsson M and Söderberg S (2016) Being in togetherness: meanings of encounters within primary healthcare setting for patients living with long-term illness. *J Clin Nurs* **25**, 2854–2862.
- Öhman M and Söderberg S (2004) The experiences of close relatives living with a person with serious chronic illness. *Qualitative Health Research* **14**, 396–410.
- Olsson Ozanne O-A, Graneheim U-H, Persson L and Strang S (2012) Factors that facilitate and hinder manageability of living with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in both patients and next of kin. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* **21**, 1364–1373.
- Pennbrant S (2013) A trustful relationship – the importance for relatives to actively participate in the meeting with the physician. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-Being* **8**, 1–12.
- Pennbrant S, Pilhammar Andersson E and Nilsson K (2013) Elderly patients' experiences of meeting with the doctor: a sociocultural study in a hospital setting in Sweden. *Research on Aging* **35**, 163–181.
- Ricoeur P (1976) *Interpretation theory: discourse and the surplus of meaning*. Fort Worth, TX: Texas Christian University Press.
- Sandelowski M (1991) Telling stories: narrative approaches in qualitative research. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship* **23**, 166–166.
- Severinsson EI (2001) Confirmation, meaning and self-awareness of the nursing supervision mode. *Nursing Ethics* **8**, 36–44.
- Söderström I-M, Benzein E and Saveman B-I (2003) Nurses' experiences of interactions with family members in intensive care units. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences* **17**, 185–192.
- Starfield B (1998) *Primary care. Balancing health needs, services and technology*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Westin L, Öhrn I and Danielson E (2009) Visiting a nursing home: relatives' experiences of encounters with nurses. *Nursing Inquiry* **16**, 318–325.