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Epidemiological investigation of a Mycobacterium avium subsp. hominissuis outbreak in swine
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SUMMARY

Mycobacterium avium subsp. hominissuis (MAH) infection in swine may cause granulomatous lesions in lymph nodes that must undergo differential diagnosis with those caused by M. tuberculosis complex members. Moreover, MAH outbreaks can lead to severe economic losses due to condemnation of carcasses. A number of potential sources of infection for animals can usually be identified in contaminated farms. This report describes the application of several molecular characterization techniques in order to identify the possible environmental sources of MAH infection in an outbreak involving four breeding farms and six fattening farms. Molecular profiles obtained from MAH strains suggested a likely epidemiological link between clinical and environmental isolates cultured from sawdust and cooling systems from one breeding farm. These results highlight the potential risk posed by these environmental elements in the spread of infection and the need for implementation of adequate management practices in order to minimize this risk.
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Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC) comprises eight bacterial species and a number of subspecies with a different degree of pathogenicity, host preference and environmental distribution [1]. Among them, Mycobacterium avium subsp. hominissuis (MAH) [2] is the most widely distributed and it has been isolated from many host species and environmental samples [3]. MAH is an opportunistic pathogen that has acquired an increasing importance in public health in recent decades due to its ability to cause pulmonary disease, lymphadenitis in children and disseminated infections in immunocompromised patients [4].

Although MAH can infect a wide variety of animals, swine is its primary animal host species, causing granulomatous lesions mainly in lymph nodes of the digestive tract [5] which can reduce the value of carcasses. MAH has been recovered from swine samples worldwide [6–8], although due to the absence of clinical disease, it is normally detected during meat inspection in abattoirs. This results in economic losses due to condemnation of meat of animals with macroscopical lesions (Regulation 2004/854/EC) and possible restrictions on the sale and movement of animals from infected farms [9]. Differential diagnosis with M. tuberculosis complex infection should be performed when granulomatous lesions in lymph nodes are observed at slaughterhouses. Finally, the potential risk of infection of immunocompromised patients with this zoonotic emerging pathogen...
through consumption of insufficiently cooked pork meat remains to be determined [10]. In spite of the fact that Spain is currently one of the main pork producers in the European Union and holds 16.3% of the total European swine census [11], we are unaware of any reports regarding incidence of infection in this animal species. Official statistics only revealed MAH involvement in 16 samples with lesions out of 27 investigated samples in 2008; in 2007 no MAH isolation was achieved from 218 samples with lesions (Source: Spanish Ministry of the Environment and Rural and Marine Affairs).

Due to the pathogen’s wide environmental distribution many possible sources of infection for swine can be often identified, usually making epidemiology of MAH infections complex. For this reason the application of molecular characterization techniques in order to compare clinical and environmental isolates is a powerful epidemiological tool that can sometimes clarify the origin of infection, and has also demonstrated that MAH is the most variable subspecies of MAC [2, 12, 13]. Among typing methods, restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis (RFLP) using insertion sequence IS\(^{1245}\) has been one of the most widely applied tools [8, 9]. However, the existence of MAH strains that harbour low numbers (or none) of this element [7, 8, 14] can impair the discriminatory power of this test. An alternative characterization technique, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), which is independent of insertion sequences, has also been widely applied on MAH isolates [15]. Still, both techniques share the common disadvantage that large amounts of DNA are required for their performance. For this reason PCR-based tools have been developed for identification and typing of MAC isolates, these include \(hsp65\) sequencing [13], detection of long sequence polymorphisms [16] and the study of variable-number tandem repeats (VNTRs) [17]. These techniques are fast and more convenient to perform but can have lower discriminatory power. Some of these techniques have reported close genetic relatedness between human and porcine MAH isolates [8, 10] suggesting either a common source of infection or a possible transmission from pigs to humans, although this possibility has never been demonstrated.

The current study describes the application of several molecular characterization techniques to describe the epidemiology of an outbreak involving 10 related pig farms in order to identify the sources of infection and to introduce corrective measures. From November 2007 to March 2008 granulomatous lesions in submandibular and mesenteric lymph nodes were detected at abattoir inspection in pigs from six fattening farms (1–6) located in central Spain. All these animals were born and weaned on four breeding farms (I–IV) sharing the same veterinary team and food suppliers. Heads and in some instances whole carcasses were condemned, causing severe economic losses to the farmers [i.e. up to 27% (60/220) of the carcasses sent to the slaughterhouse were rejected].

Fifteen animals coming from the affected fattening farms were sampled at the abattoir, and samples were submitted to our laboratory to identify the causative agent of the outbreak. Samples were collected from affected lymph nodes and were processed for culture as described previously [18] and inoculated onto blood agar, Coletsos, Löwestein–Jensen and Herrold’s egg-yolk media (bioMérieux España, Spain). Isolates were identified by acid-fast staining and amplification of \(Mycobacterium\) genus and MAC-specific DNA targets [19] and insertion sequences IS\(^{901}\) [20] and IS\(^{1245}\) [21].

After incubation for a period of up to 3 months, acid-fast rod growth was observed in 13/15 cultured clinical samples (Table 1). All isolates were identified as MAH by detection of specific DNA fragments of 16S rDNA, absence of IS\(^{901}\) and presence of the IS\(^{1245}\) element.

Once all isolates from animal samples were identified, an environmental sampling was performed in one breeding farm (farm I) and one fattening farm (no. 4) that received piglets from this breeding farm in order to evaluate potential sources of infection for animals. Samples collected \((n = 15)\) included feed, sawdust and water from different locations, and from several humidified cellulose sheets acting as filters in cooling systems. Samples and isolates were analysed as described above. Positive cultures were obtained from four samples collected at breeding farm 1: three were MAH isolates from sawdust \((n = 2)\) and cooling system \((n = 1)\) samples; the fourth isolate, cultured from a drinking trough sample, was identified as an \(M.\ chelonae\) based on sequencing of the 16S rDNA gene [19] and \(hsp65\) gene [22]. All samples from farm 4 were negative.

The 3' region of the \(hsp65\) gene was amplified and sequenced on all MAH isolates as described previously [13]. Tandem repeats (VNTRs) analysis was performed on a subset of clinical \((n = 11)\) and environmental \((n = 3)\) isolates (Table 1) as described by Frothingham & Meeker-O’Connell with slight
modifications [23] using four loci (X3, 25, 32, 292) that had been previously described as polymorphic in *M. avium* isolates [17]. The resulting PCR amplicons were analysed by direct visualization on a 2.5% agarose gel to analyse polymorphisms in the number of tandem repeats, and four of the PCR amplicons were sequenced to confirm the number of repetitions present in the different amplicons.

In addition all clinical (*n* = 13) and environmental (*n* = 3) MAH isolates were subcultured on broth (Middlebrook 7H10 Agar, Becton Dickinson and Company, Spain) and subjected to PFGE analysis as described previously [14] using the restriction enzyme *Xba*I. The profiles obtained were visualized in gels stained with SYBR green (Invitrogen S.A., Spain) and interpreted according to the criteria proposed by Tenover *et al.* [24]: profiles were considered closely related if differences between them involved no more than 2–3 bands.

*hsp65* sequencing performed on clinical isolates revealed two sequevars (Table 1): code 1 was sequenced from isolates of all fattening farms and two isolates from different farms were code 2 sequevar. All three environmental isolates presented a code 1 sequevar. MAH strains containing *hsp65* sequevars codes 1 and 2 have already been isolated from human, swine and environmental samples [13, 14], highlighting their wide distribution.

In VNTR analysis, all isolates showed the same number of repetitions at loci 292 (two repetitions) and 32 (eight repetitions). Therefore all polymorphisms were limited to loci 25 and X3 (three different profiles in each one, yielding eight different possible patterns in combination). In three isolates double profiles were obtained (Table 1). VNTR analysis showed good discriminatory power, as previously reported [17], although no variability was observed in two loci. Although VNTR technique is fast and easy to perform, it has not been much applied on MAH strains, making it difficult to interpret the results in some cases.

From the 16 isolates analysed by PFGE, readable patterns were obtained for nine (Table 1), as due to the necessity of large amounts of high-quality bacterial DNA it was impossible to type five of the isolates. From the six different profiles identified (patterns A–F), only two were present in more than one isolate: pattern E was observed in three clinical samples from two different farms and pattern C in one clinical and one environmental strain from the cooling system. PFGE was able to discover differences in isolates belonging to the same VNTR group (MI08/00249 and

### Table 1. Molecular characterization results from the 16 isolates cultured from clinical (*n* = 13) and environmental (*n* = 3) samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Fattening farm</th>
<th>Breeding farm</th>
<th><em>hsp65</em> code*</th>
<th>VNTR-25†</th>
<th>VNTR-X3†</th>
<th>PFGE‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI07/13928</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/04119</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/00249</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/00250</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 + 2</td>
<td>1 + 3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/00252</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/01257</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/01258</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/00815</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/00816</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/00817</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/00818</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/00911</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/00910</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/03262</td>
<td>Sawdust</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 + 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/03267</td>
<td>Cooling system</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI08/03268</td>
<td>Sawdust</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 + 2</td>
<td>0 + 1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d., Not determined.

* According to Turenne *et al.* [13].
† Number of repetitions found at each loci where more than one pattern was found.
‡ Unrelated profiles were defined according to Tenover *et al.* [24].
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on the presence of these genes in both environmental and clinical strains would be necessary in order to determine if MAH isolates cultured from clinical samples show some potential virulence markers. The implication of sawdust in the origin of outbreaks due to MAC members has already been described [6]. Our results are in agreement with that report, as in the current study MAH was isolated from two different batches of stored sawdust that was later used for the bedding of newborn piglets. Therefore piglets were exposed to environmental MAH on their first days of life, when they are more susceptible to bacterial infection. Moreover, the isolation of another strain from the cellulose used in one of the cooling systems reveals the importance of this kind of equipment in the dissemination of the disease, since the fan could spread this strain to an entire group of piglets. This represents an important risk factor, as coolers are used in many areas of Spain due to the high temperatures during summer months. Finally, the isolation of another strain of \textit{M. chelonae}, a conditionally pathogenic mycobacterium, from one drinking trough revealed another possible risk for animals. \textit{M. chelonae} has been reported as an occasional causative agent of granulomatous lesions in pigs [6], and its presence in the drinking water reveals insufficient disinfection of the water distribution system or an environmental contamination of the drinking troughs.

The current report describes an outbreak due to MAH infection affecting ten swine farms in central Spain. Lack of data regarding involvement of this bacterial species in large outbreaks in Spain (causing severe economic losses) made this case unusual. Application of different molecular characterization techniques suggests a large number of strains circulating in these pig farms, some of which could cause macroscopical lesions, and excluded a possible role of zoonotic \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex members in the causation of granulomatous lesions. Comparison with environmental isolates cultured from one of the breeding farms involved in the outbreak revealed the potential sources of mycobacterial infection for piglets, as environmental isolates shared certain genetic features with clinical strains, therefore highlighting their possible implication in the epidemiology of the outbreak. The identification of these potential sources of infection allowed their removal or disinfection, this enabled the control of the outbreak, as no more lesions were subsequently observed in pigs from these farms at abattoir inspection. Our results show the need for implementation of good hygiene measures on pig farms in order to minimize
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contamination of the environment due to MAH, and therefore decrease the risk of infection for animals.
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