Nitrogen balance studies in apparently healthy elderly people and those who are housebound
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1. Metabolic balance studies (5 d) for nitrogen were carried out in twenty-four apparently healthy elderly people (age 69.7–85.6 years) and a heterogeneous group of twenty housebound elderly people (age 69.9–85.1 years) with chronic diseases. During the study all subjects ate self-selected diets, lived in their own homes and continued their normal daily activities. Seven of the housebound received meals-on-wheels 5 d/week.

2. Healthy men and women had mean metabolizable energy intakes of 8.7 and 6.6 MJ/d respectively compared with 6.3 and 4.8 MJ/d in the housebound. The average energy content of the meals-on-wheels as delivered was 2.4 MJ per meal, of which 2.1 MJ were consumed.

3. The healthy men and women had average daily protein intakes of 69.4 and 59.7 g respectively compared with 46.3 and 39.1 g in the housebound. Meals-on-wheels as supplied provided 19.4 g protein per meal, of which 16.2 g were consumed.

4. Healthy subjects were in equilibrium for N balance (0 mmol/d) with a daily intake of 733 mmol, which was equivalent to 11.04 mmol N (0.97 g mixed protein)/kg body-weight per d. Housebound individuals were in negative N balance (−95 mmol/d) with an intake of 475 mmol/d, corresponding to 7.59 mmol N (0.67 g mixed protein)/kg body-weight per d.

5. We were unable to determine in the present study whether the negative N balance observed in the housebound people was due to the relatively low N intake or the underlying disease condition.

In the UK, 12% (6000000) of the total population are aged 65 years or over (Morgan, 1983), of whom about 8% are housebound (Exton-Smith, 1980). The latter have been identified as being particularly vulnerable to malnutrition. Relatively little is known about the nutritional needs of the elderly, the existing information being extrapolated mainly from studies in healthy younger people. In particular, there is little information about the effect of the common chronic diseases of old age on these requirements.

Ageing affects body composition, tissue function, metabolism and nutrient requirements (Munro, 1981). There is wide individual variation (Durnin, 1983), but, in general, as people age there is a decline in the basal metabolic rate and physical activity with a corresponding reduction in energy requirement. There is a decrease in total body protein with age (Munro, 1983), with the skeletal muscle being primarily affected. Whether dietary protein requirements alter with age is not established, although Chinn et al. (1956) using labelled albumin were unable to show any significant difference in protein digestion and absorption between young and old. Protein requirements may be assessed by the factorial method which measures obligatory nitrogen loss while subjects are sustained on a protein-free diet, or the minimum amount of protein needed in the diet to produce N balance may be determined. Results obtained using the former method tend to suggest that requirements of young and old are similar (Calloway & Margen, 1971; Scrimshaw et al. 1972, 1976; Uauy et al. 1978a; Zanni et al. 1979). Recent work has suggested that the amount of protein required to achieve N balance ranges from 0.57 (Zanni et al. 1979) to over 0.8 g egg protein/kg body-weight
Table 1. Characteristics of healthy and housebound subjects studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>Housebound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males (n 11)</td>
<td>Females (n 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>70.1–85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt (kg)</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>57.9–86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.58–1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quetelet index*</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>19.9–27.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Body-weight/height².

per d (Gersovitz et al. 1982). These investigations have generally been carried out in specialized metabolic units whilst the subjects ate defined formula diets. Some studies have also included subjects with chronic disease (Uauy et al. 1978; Gersovitz et al. 1982), many of them receiving therapeutic drugs. These are all factors which may in themselves affect N balance.

The objective of the present investigation was to study the dietary intake and requirement of protein in old people by carrying out metabolic balance studies for N in a group of housebound people with chronic diseases and comparing the results with those obtained in a group of apparently healthy active individuals.

**SUBJECTS, MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Details of the two groups of subjects are given in Table 1.

The healthy group was free from any apparent disease, although one woman was subsequently shown to have mild hyperglycaemia. They lived unsupported in their own homes, and purchased and prepared their own food. Preliminary information for ten of these subjects has been published previously (Bunker et al. 1982) and is included in the present study.

Subjects in the second group were classified as housebound if they were unable to go out unaccompanied. This was generally due to physical infirmity, although two people were suffering from severe depression. The subjects were suffering from various stable chronic disorders such as osteoarthritis and chronic obstructive airways disease. Individuals known to have hepatic, renal or gastrointestinal disease, malignancies or acute illness were not included. The subjects were taking various medications including tranquilizers, diuretics and anti-inflammatory drugs. Sixteen of them received home-help once or twice a week. Food was bought by friends, relatives, home help or the subjects themselves when they were taken out. Three men and four women received meals-on-wheels 5 d/week and another woman ate at a luncheon club 1 d/week.

The study was approved by the Joint Ethical Sub-Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Southampton, and Southampton and South-west Hampshire Health Authority.
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Sample collection and analysis

Balance material. Metabolic balanced studies (5 d) were carried out as previously described (Bunker et al. 1982, 1984). Where a subject received meals-on-wheels two portions were delivered, these were combined and divided, any of the food not eaten being saved separately. Seven subjects received such meals; the five meals corresponding to each subject were homogenized and analyzed. Therefore although thirty-five different meals were collected, the means and standard deviations quoted for the meals-on-wheels refer to these seven batches each containing five meals.

It was necessary to spend a great deal of time with the subjects, particularly those who were housebound, to ensure reliable collection of samples. Great care was taken however not to encourage the subjects to alter their normal eating habits.

Analysis. Analyses were performed in triplicate.

Gross energy was determined in freeze-dried samples using a bomb calorimeter (Gallenkamp, London). The mean within and between batch precisions for analysis were 3.1 and 4.2\%, respectively. Metabolizable energy was calculated using the formula of Miller & Payne (1959) converted to SI units:

Metabolizable energy (kJ) = (gross energy (kJ) × 0.95) − (N (mmol × 0.0044)).

All results are given as metabolizable energy.

Samples were analyzed for N using a semiautomated Kjeldahl technique (Tecator, Bristol). The mean within batch precisions for analysis of N in dietary, faecal and urinary homogenates were 1.6, 1.5, and 0.9\%, with mean recoveries of N (as ammonium sulphate) added to the samples before analysis being 97.5, 98.0 and 99.0\% respectively. The daily protein intake was calculated from the N value:

protein (g/d) = N (mmol/d) × 0.0875.

Statistical analysis

Multiple regression analysis was used to assess the independent effects of health, sex and body-weight on the data, association between variables was determined using Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) and a paired t test was used to assess the significance of the retention values obtained (Armitage, 1971). A probability level of 0.05 was accepted as significant.

RESULTS

Energy

Table 2 compares the energy intakes expressed in MJ/d and kJ/kg. Men consumed more energy than women even when differences in body-weight were taken into account. The daily energy intake of the housebound elderly was less than that of the healthy people. The dietary intake of energy (independent of health, sex and body-weight) correlated with protein intake (P < 0.001). The meals-on-wheels as served provided a mean energy intake (sd) of 2.4 (0.3), with a range of 1.9 to 2.7 MJ/d. A varying proportion of the meal was discarded and the meals-on-wheels as eaten provided 2.1 (0.3) MJ/d, this quantity averaging 40 (13)\% of the total daily energy intake.

Within the housebound group of people there was no difference between the energy intakes of the subjects who received meals-on-wheels and those who did not.

Protein

Table 2 compares the daily protein intake expressed in g/d, g/kg body-weight and g/MJ. Men consumed more protein than women on a daily basis but the difference disappeared
Table 2. Daily intakes of energy and protein in healthy and housebound men and women

(Mean values and standard deviations; ranges given in parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Energy (kJ/kg body-wt per d)</th>
<th>Protein (g/kg/body-wt per d)</th>
<th>Protein (g/MJ)</th>
<th>Percentage energy from protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5.2-10.3)</td>
<td>(85-148)</td>
<td>(50-82.4)</td>
<td>(0.79-1.24)</td>
<td>(6.80-9.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.6-8.3)</td>
<td>(69-142)</td>
<td>(28.7-82.1)</td>
<td>(0.55-1.25)</td>
<td>(6.01-11.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5.2-10.3)</td>
<td>(61-148)</td>
<td>(28.7-82.1)</td>
<td>(0.55-1.25)</td>
<td>(6.01-11.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housebound:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.6-8.4)</td>
<td>(61-128)</td>
<td>(31-62.8)</td>
<td>(0.53-0.85)</td>
<td>(6.33-8.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0-6.1)</td>
<td>(49-146)</td>
<td>(29.6-50.1)</td>
<td>(0.44-1.08)</td>
<td>(5.88-9.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.0-6.1)</td>
<td>(29.6-50.1)</td>
<td>(0.44-1.08)</td>
<td>(5.88-9.93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probability values for the effect of sex and health on the measured variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>0.05 &lt; P &lt; 0.10</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>NS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, not significant.
Table 3. *Daily intake, excretion and retention of nitrogen (mmol/d) in healthy and housebound men and women*  
(Mean values and standard deviations; ranges given in parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intake</th>
<th>Total excretion</th>
<th>Urinary excretion</th>
<th>Faecal excretion</th>
<th>Apparent absorption</th>
<th>Net retention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sd</td>
<td>sd</td>
<td>sd</td>
<td>sd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(576–942)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(407–1048)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(328–938)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(651–991)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(594–1208)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(561–1138)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housebound:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(357–717)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(188–796)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(338–573)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(353–792)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(395–634)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(337–749)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probability values for the effect of sex, health and body-wt on the measured variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt</td>
<td>&lt; 0.10</td>
<td>&lt; 0.10</td>
<td>&lt; 0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, not significant.
when expressed in terms of body-weight and was reversed when considered in terms of nutrient density (i.e. women ate a more protein-dense diet). The housebound elderly consumed less protein and ate a slightly \((0.05 < P < 0.10)\) less protein-dense diet than those who were healthy.

Meals-on-wheels as delivered provided a mean (SD) protein content of 19.4 (1.6) g, with a range of 17–21 g. The meals actually consumed provided 16.2 (2.7) g, which was 43 (12)\% of the mean total daily protein intake. There was no difference in the daily protein intakes of the subjects who received meals-on-wheels and those who did not.

In both groups of subjects protein provided a fairly constant percentage of the total energy intake (Table 2).

\textit{N balance}

Table 3 gives the results of the N balances and shows the individual effects of health, sex and body-weight on the results. Fig. 1 shows the scatter of points for the two groups of subjects about the line of equilibrium \((y = x)\). The overall mean N intake and retention of the healthy group was 733 and 0 mmol/d (11.04 and 0.05 mmol/kg body-weight per d) respectively. The scatter of points about the line of equilibrium was not significant, i.e. the group as a whole was in balance for N. The mean N intake in the housebound group was 475 mmol/d (7.59 mmol/kg body-weight per d) with a balance of \(-95 \text{ mmol/d} \) \((-1.37 \text{ mmol/kg body-weight per d})\), a value which did differ from equilibrium \((P < 0.01)\). Table 3 shows that health and sex had a marked effect on intake, excretion, absorption and retention of N, and body-weight a lesser effect. Multiple regression analysis showed that all the differences in excretion and absorption resulted from variations in N intake. There was no correlation between N retention and the dietary intake of either energy or N.

Within the housebound group the N retentions of those subjects (three men, three women) whose N intake exceeded the Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health
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Table 4. Daily protein and energy intakes of subjects in the present study compared with other similar studies in the UK
(Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Daily intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exton-Smith &amp; Stanton, 1965</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macleod et al. 1974</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonergan et al. 1975</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vir &amp; Love, 1979</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHSS, 1979b</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housebound:</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exton-Smith et al. 1972</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHSS, Department of Health and Social Security.

Organization (1973) recommendation of 9.14 mmol kg/d (0.8 g mixed protein/kg per d), were compared with those who consumed less than this amount. There was no difference in the N retentions of the two groups.

A major difficulty in balance studies, particularly in the elderly, is the separation of faecal and urine samples. Urine is the main excretory pathway of N and, as the stool sample collection continues after the urine collection is complete, if these faecal samples are contaminated with urine then falsely high faecal N excretions will be measured. Obviously this need not be a problem in male subjects. As there was no difference between the N retentions of men and women in either group we conclude that any contamination of faecal samples was negligible.

DISCUSSION

The mean energy intakes of 8.7 and 6.6 MJ/d found for the healthy men and women respectively approached the British recommended daily amount (RDA) (Department of Health and Social and Social Security (DHSS), 1979a) although the individual intakes of eight women and seven men fell below the recommended level. The mean intakes of 6.3 and 4.8 MJ/d for the male and female housebound were significantly lower than those of the healthy group with no subject having a daily energy intake in excess of the RDA. The housebound had severely restricted mobility and presumably a decreased requirement for energy. Table 4 shows our findings with the calculated results of other comparable studies.
Table 5. Comparison of studies on the dietary protein level at which positive nitrogen balance is achieved in elderly subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Type of diet</th>
<th>Predicted mean protein intake to achieve N balance (g/kg body-wt per d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watkin et al. 1950</td>
<td>Rice and fruit</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kountz et al. 1947</td>
<td>Mixed protein</td>
<td>1.4 - 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kountz et al. 1948</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kountz et al. 1951</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kountz et al. 1953</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albanese et al. 1957</td>
<td>Self selected, institutional</td>
<td>0.6 - 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng et al. 1978</td>
<td>Wheat-soya-bean-milk</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanni et al. 1979</td>
<td>Egg protein</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uauy et al. 1978b</td>
<td>Egg protein</td>
<td>0.7 - 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gersovitz et al. 1982</td>
<td>Egg protein</td>
<td>&gt; 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>Healthy people</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housebound people</td>
<td>&gt; 0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of the elderly carried out in Britain. A true comparison is not really possible because of the different methodologies employed. Calculated energy intakes are thought to overestimate the true value by 7-20% (Stock & Wheeler, 1972; Acheson et al. 1980). In addition, our metabolizable energy intakes do not give strictly accurate values, since unavailable carbohydrate should be taken into account (Southgate & Durnin, 1970).

The meals-on-wheels as delivered contained on average 2.4 MJ, which although a little lower than calculated by Davies et al. (1974) is similar to that calculated in other studies (Exton-Smith & Stanton, 1965; Stanton, 1971; Turner & Glew, 1982; Kipps & Thomson, 1984). This value complies with the recommendation that the meals should provide one-third of the RDA for energy (DHSS, 1972). A varying amount of the delivered meal was left uneaten so that a mean of 2.1 MJ was actually consumed.

There was no relation between age and energy intake in either of the two groups of people studied. This is consistent with the findings of other workers (Stanton & Exton-Smith, 1970; Exton-Smith et al. 1972) and shows that ageing per se is not necessarily accompanied by reduced energy intakes, but that the important factor is probably reduced physical activity which is a consequence of a deterioration in health.

The mean daily protein intakes for the healthy men and women were 64.9 and 59.7 g respectively, similar to those calculated for comparable groups (see Table 4) and in excess of the RDA (DHSS, 1979a). The housebound individuals ate less protein ($P < 0.001$) than the healthy people, with the mean daily intakes for men and women of 46.3 and 39.1 g/d both below the RDA (DHSS, 1979a). The meals-on-wheels as supplied provided an average of 19.4 g protein of which a mean of 16.2 g was actually consumed. The value of 19.4 g is lower than that found in other comparable studies (Exton-Smith & Stanton, 1965; Stanton, 1971; Davies et al. 1975; Turner & Glew, 1982; Kipps & Thomson, 1984) and also fails to meet the recommendation that meals-on-wheels should provide a minimum of 25 g protein/meal (Exton-Smith & Stanton, 1965).
The healthy people as a group were in equilibrium for N with a mean daily intake of 733 mmol (equivalent to 0.97 g protein/kg per d). Previous balance studies in old people eating various diets have provided conflicting results, with daily protein intakes ranging from as little as 0.35 to 2.0 g/kg body-weight being found necessary to maintain N equilibrium (see Table 5). All these studies have their limitations; the earlier ones were not always properly controlled and some of the recent ones included chronically sick subjects. Our subjects were healthy and were eating their usual diets. Therefore it would appear that for healthy elderly people eating a mixed, self-selected diet containing adequate energy, a protein intake of 0.97 g/kg body-weight per d (11.04 mmol N/kg body-weight per d) is sufficient to achieve N balance. This is not a minimum requirement and lower intakes may also be adequate. These results are in contrast to studies on rats (Widdowson & Kennedy, 1962) and suggest that a negative N balance is not an inevitable consequence of ageing.

The housebound subjects were not in equilibrium for N, the over-all mean loss of 95 mmol/d significantly differing from zero retention. The daily N intake of 475 mmol (0.67 g protein/kg body-weight per d) was only 65% of that found in the healthy group. We were not able to distinguish whether this amount was inadequate because it was too low to maintain N balance or if the housebound people have increased requirements for N. The apparently low energy intake did not appear to be a major factor as shown by the lack of correlation between energy intake and N balance in these subjects. When only the N retentions of those housebound subjects eating in excess of 0.8 g protein/kg body-weight per d were compared with those consuming less than this amount, no difference in the retentions was observed. This suggests that in the housebound an apparently adequate protein intake alone may not be sufficient to maintain N balance and is in keeping with two earlier studies (Uauy et al. 1978b; Gersovitz et al. 1982) which included subjects with chronic disease. It is known that immobilization for long periods with decreased muscular activity will lead to wasting and breakdown of tissue protein (Exton-Smith, 1980); this may be a major factor in the negative N balance observed in our subjects.

No allowance has been made in the balance calculations for body surface losses of N. It has been estimated that such losses lie in the range of 11–32 mmol/d (Calloway et al. 1971; Zanni et al. 1979; Gersovitz et al. 1982). It is very difficult to estimate an appropriate value as so many factors, including amount of sweating (Zanni et al. 1979) and daily protein intake (Calloway et al. 1971), affect the body surface losses of N. Therefore we have made no estimate of additional miscellaneous losses but accept that our values represent maximal N balance.

The DHSS (1979b) have stated that the housebound are particularly at risk of being malnourished. The results obtained here confirm this. The housebound subjects studied were a heterogeneous group but had over-all low dietary intakes of both energy and protein, and a tendency towards a less protein-dense diet than the healthy people. The cause of the observed negative N balance in the housebound elderly is not known. Decreased dietary intake of protein and possibly of other nutrients, inactivity and reduction in muscle mass, underlying diseases and medications may all be contributing factors. Although the body has a large capacity for adaptation during short periods of deprivation (Food and Nutrition Board, 1980), continued negative N balance will not allow the body to meet the extra demands of trauma and illness, and deterioration in health will ensue.

The housebound people in our study were not representative of this group of people as a whole. The more poorly nourished could not be included because the technique demanded a high degree of co-operation, which the frail, confused and very depressed could not give. It is pertinent to note that when our housebound subjects were recontacted 6–12 months after the initial investigation nearly all had deteriorated and were unable to take part in any more studies. We can only speculate as to the extent to which this deterioration might have been delayed if dietary supplements had been given.
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