Symmetric Tessellations on Euclidean Space-Forms

With best wishes to H.S.M. Coxeter for his 90th birthday.

Michael I. Hartley, Peter McMullen and Egon Schulte

Abstract. It is shown here that, for $n \ge 2$, the *n*-torus is the only *n*-dimensional compact euclidean space-form which can admit a regular or chiral tessellation. Further, such a tessellation can only be chiral if n = 2.

1 Introduction

The study of regular (reflexible) or chiral (irreflexible) maps on closed surfaces is a classical branch of topology which has seen many applications (Coxeter & Moser [3]). Such maps on orientable surfaces of genus $g \le 6$ have been completely enumerated (Sherk [18], Garbe [7]). It is well-known that there are infinitely many regular or chiral maps on the 2-torus, but that an orientable surface of genus $g \ge 2$ can only admit finitely many such maps. Each regular or chiral map on a non-orientable surface is doubly covered by a map of the same kind on an orientable surface (Wilson [19]). However, in contrast to the 2-torus, the only non-orientable surface of Euler characteristic zero, the Klein bottle, does not admit any regular or chiral tessellation [3].

In this paper, we shall investigate tessellations on *n*-dimensional euclidean space-forms. We shall prove that the *n*-torus is the only compact euclidean space-form which can admit a regular or chiral tessellation, and that chirality can only occur if n = 2. For n = 2, this gives another proof that such tessellations cannot exist on the Klein bottle.

For $n \ge 3$, the regular toroids of rank n + 1, that is, the regular tessellations on the *n*-torus, were completely enumerated in [15]; see [3] for the case n = 2. It was also proved there that there are no chiral toroids of rank greater than 3; that is, an *n*-torus can admit a chiral tessellation only if n = 2. Together with the results of the present paper, this now completes the classification of all regular or chiral tessellations on compact euclidean space-forms.

In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about polytopes and tessellations, and discuss sparse subgroups. In Section 3, we give a detailed proof of our result for those tessellations which are regular. Chiral tessellations are then treated in Section 4.

Received by the editors August 19, 1998.

The first author wishes to thank the Mathematics Department of the University of Western Australia for its support and the use of its facilities. The third author was partially supported by NSA-Grant MDA904-96-1-0027 and Northeastern University's RSD-Fund.

AMS subject classification: 51M20.

Keywords: polyhedra and polytopes, regular figures, division of space.

[©]Canadian Mathematical Society 1999.

2 Polytopes and Tessellations

Following [15], [16], an (*abstract*) polytope of rank n, or simply an *n*-polytope, satisfies the following properties. It is a partially ordered set \mathcal{P} with a strictly monotone rank function whose range is $\{-1, 0, \ldots, n\}$. The elements of rank j are called the j-faces of \mathcal{P} ; the set of j-faces of \mathcal{P} is denoted \mathcal{P}_j . For j = 0, 1 or n - 1, we also refer to j-faces as vertices, edges or facets, respectively. The flags (maximal totally ordered subsets) of \mathcal{P} each contain exactly n + 2 faces, including the unique minimal face F_{-1} and unique maximal face F_n of \mathcal{P} . Further, \mathcal{P} is strongly flag-connected, meaning that any two flags Φ and Ψ of \mathcal{P} can be joined by a sequence of flags $\Phi = \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_k = \Psi$, which are such that Φ_{i-1} and Φ_i are adjacent (differ by one face), and such that $\Phi \cap \Psi \subseteq \Phi_i$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Finally, if F and G are a (j - 1)-face and a (j + 1)-face with F < G, then there are exactly two j-faces H such that F < H < G.

When *F* and *G* are two faces of a polytope \mathcal{P} such that $F \leq G$, we call $G/F := \{H \mid F \leq H \leq G\}$ a *section* of \mathcal{P} . The conditions ensure that this section is itself a polytope, whose rank is dim $G - \dim F - 1$. It is usually safe to identify a face *F* with the section F/F_{-1} . When *F* is a vertex, then the section F_n/F is called the *vertex-figure of* \mathcal{P} *at F*.

An *n*-polytope \mathcal{P} is *regular* if its (*automorphism*) group $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is transitive on its flags. Let $\Phi := \{F_{-1}, F_0, \ldots, F_{n-1}, F_n\}$ be a fixed or *base* flag of \mathcal{P} . The group $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ of a regular *n*-polytope \mathcal{P} is generated by *distinguished generators* $\rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-1}$ (*with respect to* Φ), where ρ_j is the unique automorphism which keeps all but the *j*-face of Φ fixed. These generators satisfy relations

(2.1)
$$(\rho_i \rho_j)^{p_{ij}} = \varepsilon \quad (i, j = 0, \dots, n-1),$$

with

(2.2)
$$p_{ii} = 1, \quad p_{ij} = p_{ji} \ge 2 \ (i \ne j), \quad p_{ij} = 2 \ (|i - j| \ge 2).$$

The numbers $p_j := p_{j-1,j}$ (j = 1, ..., n-1) determine the (*Schläfli*) *type* $\{p_1, ..., p_{n-1}\}$ of \mathcal{P} . Further, $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ has the *intersection property* (with respect to the distinguished generators), namely

$$(2.3) \qquad \langle \rho_i \mid i \in I \rangle \cap \langle \rho_i \mid i \in J \rangle = \langle \rho_i \mid i \in I \cap J \rangle \quad \text{for all } I, J \subset \{0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Observe that, in a natural way, the group of the facet of \mathcal{P} is $\langle \rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle$, while that of the vertex-figure is $\langle \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$.

By a *string C-group*, we mean a group which is generated by involutions such that (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) hold. The group of a regular polytope is a string C-group. Conversely, given a string C-group Γ , there is an associated regular polytope $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ whose automorphism group is Γ .

We denote by $\{p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}\}$ the (universal) regular *n*-polytope whose group is the Coxeter group $[p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}]$ which is abstractly defined by the relations (2.1) and (2.2).

An *n*-polytope \mathcal{P} is called (*globally*) *spherical* if it is isomorphic to the face-lattice of a convex *n*-polytope. (We shall ignore here the rather less interesting case where the Schläfli symbol has an entry 2, when the group $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is an internal direct product.) Then each facet and vertex-figure, and, more generally, proper section of \mathcal{P} is again a spherical polytope. If

a spherical polytope is regular, then it is isomorphic to a convex regular polytope ([12]). Further, we say that a polytope \mathcal{P} is *locally spherical* if all its proper sections are spherical polytopes, or equivalently, if all its facets and vertex-figures are spherical. However, we do not require here that \mathcal{P} itself be spherical. Thus, if \mathcal{P} is regular, then its facets and vertex-figures are isomorphic to convex regular polytopes.

Let \mathcal{P} be a regular *n*-polytope with group $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) = \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$, and let $N \leq \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ be any subgroup. We write \mathcal{P}/N for the poset whose elements are the orbits of the faces of \mathcal{P} under *N* (with the induced partial order); this is the *quotient* of \mathcal{P} by *N*. Under suitable conditions on *N*, this is again an *n*-polytope [14]. We are interested here in quotients which preserve the facets and vertex-figures of \mathcal{P} , so that the quotient map acts in a "global" rather than "local" fashion; this property is assured using an interesting class of subgroups *N* known as sparse (compare Lemma 2.6 below). The term "sparse" was introduced in [10], but the groups themselves occur earlier, for example in [4], [11], [14] (we are indebted to Wolfgang Kühnel and Jörg Wills for drawing our attention to the first two of these references).

A subgroup *N* of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is called *sparse* if

(2.4)
$$\varphi N \varphi^{-1} \cap \langle \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle = \{ \varepsilon \}$$
 for each $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$.

We shall establish three lemmas about sparse subgroups; our main results do not actually need them in full generality. The first describes a simple characterization in terms of the action of N on \mathcal{P} , and gives a combinatorial interpretation of sparseness.

Lemma 2.5 Let \mathcal{P} be a regular polytope, and let $N \leq \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. Then N is sparse if and only if each orbit of N meets each proper section of \mathcal{P} in at most one face.

Proof Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) = \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$. First assume that the condition on the orbits holds. Let $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, and let $\tau \in N$ be such that $\varphi \tau \varphi^{-1} \in \langle \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle$. Then $F_0 \varphi \tau \leq F_{n-1} \varphi$ in \mathcal{P} . Since $F_0 \varphi$ is the only element in its orbit which is a vertex of the facet $F_{n-1}\varphi/F_{-1}$ of \mathcal{P} , we must have $F_0\varphi\tau = F_0\varphi$. It follows that τ maps the whole vertex-figure $F_n/F_0\varphi$ of \mathcal{P} onto itself. Since an orbit meets this vertex-figure in at most one face, τ must fix each face of $F_n/F_0\varphi$. But then $\tau = \varepsilon$, as required.

It is sufficient to prove the converse for facets and vertex-figures, because every proper section of \mathcal{P} is a section of a facet or a vertex-figure. Taking duality into account, we need only consider the case of a facet $F_{n-1}\varphi/F_{-1}$, with $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. An *i*-face of this facet has the form $F_i \alpha \varphi$, for some $\alpha \in \langle \rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle$. Now, if an orbit of N meets $F_{n-1}\varphi/F_{-1}$ in two *i*-faces, then there are $\alpha, \beta \in \langle \rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle$ and $\tau \in N$ such that $F_i \alpha \varphi = F_i \beta \varphi \tau$. If necessary, we replace φ by $\beta \varphi$ and α by $\alpha \beta^{-1}$, and then we may assume that $\beta = \varepsilon$. Thus $F_i \alpha \varphi = F_i \varphi \tau$, and hence

$$\varphi \tau \varphi^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \in \langle \rho_j \mid j \neq i \rangle = \langle \rho_j \mid j > i \rangle \langle \rho_j \mid j < i \rangle \subseteq \langle \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle.$$

But $\alpha \in \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle$, and so we also have $\varphi \tau \varphi^{-1} \in \langle \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle$. Since *N* is sparse, this implies that $\varphi \tau \varphi^{-1} = \varepsilon$, so that $\tau = \varepsilon$. It follows that the two faces in the same orbit must actually coincide.

We are particularly interested in the case when $N \triangleleft \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, since the quotient is then a candidate to be a regular polytope.

Lemma 2.6 Let \mathfrak{P} be a regular *n*-polytope with group $\Gamma(\mathfrak{P}) = \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$, and let N be a normal subgroup of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{P})$ such that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{P})/N$ is a string C-group. Then N is sparse if and only if

$$N \cap \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle = \{ \varepsilon \} = N \cap \langle \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle.$$

Proof If *N* is sparse then, since $\varphi N \varphi^{-1} = N$ for each $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, the claimed property obviously holds. For the converse, let $\tau \in N \cap \langle \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle \langle \rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle$, say $\tau = \alpha \beta^{-1}$ with $\alpha \in \langle \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$ and $\beta \in \langle \rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle$. Since $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})/N$ is a string C-group, from (2.3) we have

$$N\alpha = N\beta \in \langle N\rho_1, \dots, N\rho_{n-1} \rangle \cap \langle N\rho_0, \dots, N\rho_{n-2} \rangle = \langle N\rho_1, \dots, N\rho_{n-2} \rangle,$$

so that $N\alpha = N\beta = N\gamma$ with $\gamma \in \langle \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle$. But then $\alpha\gamma^{-1} \in N \cap \langle \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$, and hence $\alpha = \gamma$. Similarly, $\beta = \gamma$, and therefore $\tau = \alpha\beta^{-1} = \varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.7 Let \mathcal{P} be a locally spherical regular *n*-polytope of type $\{p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}\}$, and let $\mathcal{T} := \{p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}\}$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{T}/N$, where *N* is a sparse normal subgroup of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$.

Proof Let $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T}) = \langle \rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$ (= $[p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}]$) and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{P}) = \langle \sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle$, with respect to appropriate distinguished generators. Then the mappings $\rho_i \mapsto \sigma_i$ ($i = 0, \ldots, n-1$) induce a homomorphism $\kappa \colon \Gamma(\mathfrak{T}) \to \Gamma(\mathfrak{P})$. Let $N := \ker(\kappa)$, so that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{P}) = \Gamma(\mathfrak{T})/N$. Then we know that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T})/N$ is a string C-group. Since the facets and vertex-figures of \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{P} are of the same kind (recall the definition of "locally spherical"; indeed, this is all what we really need of the assumptions), we must also have

$$N \cap \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n-2} \rangle = \{ \varepsilon \} = N \cap \langle \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.6 then implies that N is sparse. Clearly we also have $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{T}/N$ (see [14]).

We next discuss tessellations on real manifolds (see [16]). We shall only consider tessellations whose tiles are homeomorphic images of convex polytopes, and which thus come equipped with a natural face structure.

Let X be any *n*-dimensional real manifold; we shall always assume here that manifolds are without boundary. A family \mathcal{P} of subsets of X (including \emptyset and X itself) is called a (*locally finite*) *tessellation* in X if the following three conditions are satisfied. First, for each proper subset $F \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist a convex polytope F' and a homeomorphism $\gamma: F \to F'$ such that $G\gamma^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}$ for each face G of F'. The subsets in \mathcal{P} are called the *faces* of \mathcal{P} , and the subsets $G\gamma^{-1}$ of F the *faces* of F. In particular, F is a *j*-face of \mathcal{P} if F' is a *j*-polytope, and $G\gamma^{-1}$ is a *j*-face of F if G is a *j*-face of F'. The *n*-faces of \mathcal{P} are also called the *tiles* or *facets* of \mathcal{P} . Second, if $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{P}$, then $F_1 \cap F_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ also (possibly this is \emptyset). Third, each point in X is contained in a tile of \mathcal{P} , and has a neighbourhood which meets only finitely many tiles (this last is what is meant by local finiteness). In other words, X is the underlying polyhedron (in the topological sense) of a possibly infinite cell-complex.

We shall usually identify a tessellation \mathcal{P} with the poset consisting of its faces ordered by inclusion. This context explains why it was convenient to adjoin to \mathcal{P} the underlying manifold *X* as an (improper) (*n*+1)-face. It is then straightforward to check that \mathcal{P} becomes an abstract (n + 1)-polytope. (In the terminology of [1], the manifold X is then *associated* with the polytope \mathcal{P} .) A tessellation \mathcal{P} on X is called (*combinatorially*) regular if, as an abstract polytope, \mathcal{P} is regular.

In our applications, *X* will be a compact euclidean space-form. Recall that an *n*-dimensional *euclidean space-form* is the quotient (or orbit) space \mathbb{E}^n/N of euclidean *n*-space \mathbb{E}^n by a discrete group *N* of euclidean isometries which acts freely on \mathbb{E}^n . Spherical or hyperbolic space-forms are defined similarly, with \mathbb{E}^n replaced by the unit *n*-sphere \mathbb{S}^n or hyperbolic *n*-space \mathbb{H}^n , respectively [20].

There is a close connexion between the classes of locally spherical polytopes and of tessellations on space-forms [4], [11], [16].

Theorem 2.8 Let \mathcal{P} be a locally spherical regular (n + 1)-polytope of type $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$.

- (a) Combinatorially, \mathcal{P} is a quotient \mathcal{T}/N of the regular tessellation $\mathcal{T} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ in spherical, euclidean or hyperbolic n-space E by a (sparse) normal subgroup N of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$, which, when considered as a group of isometries of E, is discrete and acts freely on E.
- (b) Topologically, P can be viewed as a regular tessellation on the corresponding spherical, euclidean or hyperbolic space-form E/N (whose fundamental group is isomorphic to N). This tessellation is regular, in the strong sense that its symmetry group in E/N is simply flag-transitive and isomorphic to Γ(P).

Theorem 2.9 Let \mathcal{P} be a regular tessellation on an n-dimensional real manifold X (without boundary). Then X is homeomorphic to a space-form X', and \mathcal{P} can be viewed as a tessellation on X'. More precisely:

- (a) if \mathcal{P} has only two tiles, then $X' = \mathbb{S}^n$ and \mathcal{P} is a ditope (polytope with only two facets) on \mathbb{S}^n ;
- (b) if \mathcal{P} has more than two tiles, then \mathcal{P} is a locally spherical regular (n+1)-polytope (to which Theorem 2.8 applies).

In either case, X is homeomorphic to $|\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{P})|$, the underlying space of the order complex $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{P})$ of \mathcal{P} .

Recall that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P})$ is the simplicial *n*-complex whose simplices are the totally ordered subsets of \mathcal{P} which do not contain the minimal or maximal face.

By Theorem 2.9, a regular tessellation on a space-form X determines X up to homeomorphism. It is a standard result in topology that, for compact space-forms, the type of geometry is uniquely determined by the topology. (Spherical space-forms are distinguished topologically from euclidean and hyperbolic space-forms by the finiteness of their fundamental groups. The Gromov norm for manifolds distinguishes topologically between euclidean and hyperbolic space-forms (see [8]); it takes a positive value for hyperbolic space-forms of finite volume, but it is zero for all euclidean space-forms.)

Lemma 2.10 Let $n \ge 2$, and let \mathcal{P} be a regular tessellation of type $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ on an *n*dimensional compact space-form X. Let $\mathcal{T} := \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$, the universal polytope of which \mathcal{P} is a quotient. Then the geometry of X determines the geometry of the space E of \mathcal{T} ; that is, $E = \mathbb{S}^n$, \mathbb{E}^n or \mathbb{H}^n according as X is a spherical, euclidean or hyperbolic space-form.

In fact, if $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{T}/N$, we may view \mathcal{P} as a tessellation on the space-form E/N which is homeomorphic to X. Hence the two space-forms must have the same type of geometry. For example, if X is euclidean, then \mathcal{T} must be a euclidean tessellation.

3 Regular Tessellations

We prefer to work with (n + 1)-polytopes here, because it is natural to take the underlying space-forms to be *n*-dimensional. We begin with a result about sparse subgroups, which is the key to our non-existence result for regular or chiral tessellations. Its proof is geometric. For the cubical tessellation $\{4, 3^{n-2}, 4\}$, this result was also obtained in [10], with a more algebraic proof. We shall also find it convenient to assume that a regular tessellation \mathcal{T} in \mathbb{E}^n is strongly regular in the sense of Theorem 2.8(b), so that its euclidean symmetry group acts flag-transitively. We can then identify this symmetry group with the automorphism group $\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$, and write $\Gamma^+(\mathcal{T})$ for its subgroup (of index 2) consisting of all orientation preserving symmetries; the latter is the *rotation subgroup* of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$.

Theorem 3.1 Let \mathcal{T} be a regular tessellation in euclidean n-space \mathbb{E}^n , and let Λ be the translation subgroup of its symmetry group $\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$. Then a sparse subgroup N of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$ whose normalizer contains $\Gamma^+(\mathcal{T})$ is a subgroup of Λ .

Proof First observe that, if necessary, we may replace the given regular tessellation \mathcal{T} in \mathbb{E}^n by its dual. In fact, dual tessellations have the same translation subgroups and rotation subgroups, and the concept of sparseness is also invariant under duality. In particular, of a pair of dual tessellations, we shall take \mathcal{T} to be the one with a vertex-transitive translation subgroup Λ (see [2] for the reason why such a choice can always be made). We may then identify the vertex-set \mathcal{T}_0 with the translation vectors in Λ whenever it is convenient, and so write $\mathcal{T}_0 = \Lambda$; in particular, the base vertex of \mathcal{T} is the zero vector o. More precisely, $\mathcal{T} = \{4, 3^{n-2}, 4\}$ (for $n \ge 2$), $\{\infty\}, \{3, 6\}$ or $\{3, 3, 4, 3\}$, as appropriate.

Let $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T}) = \langle \rho_0, \ldots, \rho_n \rangle$, so that $\Gamma_0 := \langle \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n \rangle$ is the stabilizer of the base vertex o of \mathfrak{T} . The corresponding rotation subgroups are thus $\Gamma^+(\mathfrak{T}) = \langle \rho_0 \rho_1, \rho_1 \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \rho_n \rangle$ and $\Gamma_0^+ = \langle \rho_1 \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \rho_n \rangle$. For $t \in \mathbb{E}^n$, we write $\tau(t)$ for the translation defined by $x\tau(t) := x + t$ for $x \in \mathbb{E}^n$.

If $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{T})$, then for $x \in \mathbb{E}^n$ we have $x\varphi = x\omega + t$, with $t \in \mathbb{E}^n$ and ω a linear mapping; in fact, $t = o\varphi$, which is thus a vertex of \mathfrak{T} , and $\omega = \varphi\tau(-t)$. Since Λ is vertex-transitive, we also know that $\tau(t) \in \Lambda$, and therefore $\omega \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{T})$. Then $\omega \in \Gamma_0$, because $o\omega = o$. This proves that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T})$ is the semi-direct product of Λ by Γ_0 .

Now let *N* be a sparse subgroup of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$ which is normalized by $\Gamma^+(\mathcal{T})$. Let $\varphi \in N$, and for $x \in \mathbb{E}^n$ let $x\varphi = x\omega + t$, as above. Note that φ^{-1} is given by $x\varphi^{-1} = (x - t)\omega^{-1}$ for $x \in \mathbb{E}^n$. We claim that $\omega = \varepsilon$, the identity mapping on \mathbb{E}^n , and therefore that $\varphi = \tau(t) \in \Lambda$. Assume, if possible, that $\omega \neq \varepsilon$. We define

$$\mathcal{D}:=\{F\in\mathcal{T}_n\mid o\in F\},\$$

the set of facets of \mathcal{T} which contain the initial vertex *o*. Our strategy is to prove that, if $\Lambda' := \Lambda \cap N$ denotes the subgroup consisting of the translations in *N*, then $\mathcal{D} + \Lambda'$ covers

the vertex-set $\mathcal{T}_0 = \Lambda$. We then show that \mathcal{D} contains an image of o under an element $\varphi \in N \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$, which will contradict the assumption that N is sparse.

So, let v be any neighbouring vertex of o in \mathfrak{T} . By our assumption on Λ , we know that $\tau(v) \in \Lambda \leq \Gamma^+(\mathfrak{T})$. Set $\psi(v) := \tau(-v)\varphi^{-1}\tau(v)\varphi$. Writing this as $\psi(v) = \tau(v)^{-1}\varphi^{-1}\tau(v) \cdot \varphi$, which is a product of elements of N because N is normalized by $\Gamma^+(\mathfrak{T})$, we see that $\psi(v) \in N$. For $x \in \mathbb{E}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x\psi(v) &= x\tau(-v)\varphi^{-1}\tau(v)\varphi = (x-v-t)\omega^{-1}\tau(v)\varphi \\ &= \left((x-v-t)\omega^{-1}+v\right)\omega + t \\ &= x+(v\omega-v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\psi(v) = \tau(v\omega - v)$. Since $\omega \neq \varepsilon$, there is a neighbour *v* of *o* such that $v\omega \neq v$. We define $w := v\omega - v$; then $o\psi(v) = w$, and hence $w \in T_0$.

Since $v\omega$ is also a neighbour of o and T is centrally symmetric, w is a neighbour of -v. It follows that N contains a translation, namely $\tau(w)$, which maps o onto some second neighbour, by which we mean a neighbour (different from o) of one of its neighbours.

Now *N* is normalized by $\Gamma^+(\mathfrak{T})$, and so it is invariant under conjugation by the elements in the subgroup Γ_0^+ . It follows that $\tau(w\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}\tau(w)\sigma \in N$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_0^+$, so that, by definition, $\tau(w\sigma) \in \Lambda' (= \Lambda \cap N)$, as defined above). We perform a similar analysis to that used in [15] in the construction of the regular toroids of rank n + 1, working through the individual cases. As in [15], we define $\Lambda_a \leq \Lambda$ to be the subgroup generated by a vector $a \in \Lambda$ and its conjugates under $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T})$ (or under Γ_0).

First let $\mathfrak{T} = \{4, 3^{n-2}, 4\}$, with vertex-set $\mathfrak{T}_0 = \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$. The second neighbours of o are $\pm e_i \pm e_j$ (with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$) and $\pm 2e_i$ (with $i = 1, \ldots, n$), where e_1, \ldots, e_n are the standard unit vectors in \mathbb{Z}^n . Since the generating vector w of $\Lambda_w \leq \Lambda'$ is such a second neighbour, then we can argue as in [15] that $\Lambda' \geq \Lambda_{(1,1,0^{n-2})}$ or $\Lambda_{(2,0^{n-1})}$ (note that the case n = 2 is not exceptional, even though rotations only may be employed). In either case, $\mathcal{D} + \Lambda'$ covers \mathfrak{T}_0 .

Next let $\mathcal{T} = \{3, 3, 4, 3\}$, with vertex set $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^4 \cup \left((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) + \mathbb{Z}^4\right)$. The second neighbours of o now consist of the neighbours themselves, comprising the vectors $\pm e_i$ (with $i = 1, \ldots, 4$) and $(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2})$, the vectors obtained from $(\pm 1, \pm 1, 0, 0)$, $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, 0)$ or $(\pm \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2})$ by permutations of the coordinates, and 2v for each neighbour v. Again arguing as in [15], we see that $\Lambda' \ge \Lambda_{(1,0,0,0)}$, $\Lambda_{(1,1,0,0)}$ or $\Lambda_{(2,0,0,0)}$, as the generating vector w is a neighbour, one of the vectors $(\pm 1, \pm 1, 0, 0), (\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, 0)$ or $(\pm \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2})$, or twice a neighbour. (For the second case, note the typical calculations (0, 0, 1, 1) = (1, 1, 1, 0) - (1, 1, 0, -1) and $(0, 0, 1, 1) = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) - (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, which only use rotations. If we can employ the full symmetry, we actually obtain $\Lambda_a = \Lambda_{(1,0,0,0)}$ ($= \Lambda$) when $a = (\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, 0)$ or $(\pm \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2})$.) As before, in each case $\mathcal{D} + \Lambda'$ covers \mathcal{T}_0 .

For $\mathfrak{T} = \{\infty\}$ with vertex set \mathbb{Z} , the second neighbours are $\pm 2e_1$, and trivially $\mathcal{D} + \Lambda'$ covers \mathfrak{T}_0 .

If $\mathcal{T} = \{3, 6\}$, then Λ is generated by two unit vectors v_1 and v_2 which are inclined at an angle $\pi/3$. The second neighbours then comprise the neighbours, the six images under rotation through multiples of $\pi/3$ of $v_1 + v_2$, and twice the neighbours. Then we have

 $\Lambda' \ge \Lambda_{(1,0)}, \Lambda_{(1,1)}$ or $\Lambda_{(2,0)}$, and once again $\mathcal{D} + \Lambda'$ covers \mathcal{T}_0 . (Here, a suffix $a = (a_1, a_2)$ is shorthand for $a = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2$.)

We are now able to finish the proof. Consider the translation vector $t \in \Lambda$ of the element $\varphi \in N$ with which we began. Since $\mathcal{D} + \Lambda'$ covers $\mathcal{T}_0 = \Lambda$, there exists a translation $\tau(u) \in \Lambda' \leq N$ such that $t_0 := t\tau(u) (= t + u)$ is a vertex in \mathcal{D} . Define $\varphi_0 := \varphi\tau(u) \in N$, so that $x\varphi_0 = x\omega + t_0$ for $x \in \mathbb{E}^n$. Now o and $t_0 (= o\varphi_0)$ are vertices of some common facet $F \in \mathcal{D}$. Since they belong to the same orbit of N, we must necessarily have $t_0 = o$; here we have used Lemma 2.5. But then $\omega = \varphi_0 \in N \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$. This is a contradiction, because $N \cap \Gamma_0 = N \cap \langle \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n \rangle = \{\varepsilon\}$ when N is sparse. It therefore follows that $\omega = \varepsilon$, and hence N consists of translations alone, as was claimed.

Our main result is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2 For $n \ge 2$, the n-tori are the only (topological types of) n-dimensional compact euclidean space-forms which admit regular tessellations.

Proof Let \mathcal{P} be a regular tessellation on an *n*-dimensional compact euclidean space-form *X*, and let \mathcal{P} be of type $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$. By Theorem 2.9, $p_n > 2$ and \mathcal{P} is locally spherical. Let $\mathcal{T} := \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$. By Theorem 2.8 (or Lemma 2.7), $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{T}/N$ for some sparse normal subgroup $N \leq \Gamma(\mathcal{T})$. By Lemma 2.10, \mathcal{T} is a euclidean tessellation. In particular, we may view \mathcal{P} as a tessellation on the euclidean space-form \mathbb{E}^n/N . Since *N* is normalized by $\Gamma^+(\mathcal{T})$, Theorem 3.1 applies, and shows that *N* can only consist of translational symmetries of \mathcal{T} . It follows that \mathbb{E}^n/N is an *n*-torus and that \mathcal{P} is a regular toroid on it. Since *X* is homeomorphic to \mathbb{E}^n/N , this completes the proof.

4 Chiral Tessellations

We begin the discussion of chiral toroids with an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1 Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, if n > 2, then the sparse subgroup N is normal in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T})$.

Proof Since we now know that *N* consists only of translations, we may employ the straightforward argument of [15, Theorem 9.1]; we shall not repeat it here.

Recall that a polytope \mathcal{P} is *chiral* if its group $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ has two orbits on the flags, such that adjacent flags are in distinct orbits ([17]). Let \mathcal{P} be a chiral (n + 1)-polytope, and let $\Psi := \{G_{-1}, G_0, \ldots, G_{n+1}\}$ be a fixed or *base* flag of \mathcal{P} . For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let G'_i denote the *i*-face of \mathcal{P} with $G_{i-1} < G'_i < G_{i+1}$ and $G'_i \neq G_i$, and let β_i be the automorphism of \mathcal{P} which fixes each face G_j for $j \neq i-1, i$, and cyclically permutes consecutive *i*-faces of \mathcal{P} in the (polygonal) section G_{i+1}/G_{i-2} of rank 2, mapping G'_i to G_i . Then $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) = \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \rangle$, where the "rotations" β_j satisfy the relations

(4.2)
$$\beta_j^{p_j} = \varepsilon \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le n,$$
$$(\beta_j \beta_{j+1} \cdots \beta_k)^2 = \varepsilon \quad \text{for } 1 \le j < k \le n;$$

here, $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ is again the (*Schläfli*) *type* of \mathcal{P} .

Let $\mathfrak{T} := \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T}) = [p_1, \ldots, p_n] = \langle \rho_0, \ldots, \rho_n \rangle$, where the generators are defined with respect to the base flag $\Phi = \{F_{-1}, F_0, \ldots, F_{n+1}\}$ of \mathfrak{T} . Let $\alpha_j := \rho_{j-1}\rho_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the *rotation subgroup* $\Gamma^+(\mathfrak{T}) := \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \rangle$ has index 2 in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T})$. If \mathfrak{P} is a chiral (n + 1)-polytope with group $\Gamma(\mathfrak{P}) = \langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \rangle$, then the mappings $\alpha_j \mapsto \beta_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ induce a surjective homomorphism $\kappa \colon \Gamma^+(\mathfrak{T}) \to \Gamma(\mathfrak{P})$, so that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{P}) \cong \Gamma^+(\mathfrak{T})/N$ with $N := \ker(\kappa)$. In fact, \mathfrak{P} is isomorphic to the quotient \mathfrak{T}/N , and an isomorphism $\mathfrak{T}/N \to \mathfrak{P}$ is given by

$$F_i \varphi \cdot N \to G_i(\varphi \kappa)$$
 for $i = -1, 0, \ldots, n+1$ and $\varphi \in \Gamma^+(\mathfrak{T})$;

see [17] for the tools needed in the proof, and [14] for a similar proof for regular polytopes.

Now suppose that \mathcal{P} is a chiral tessellation of type $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ on an *n*-dimensional manifold *X* (as usual, without boundary). Then the tiles must be isomorphic to convex *n*-polytopes which are actually regular. In fact, the subgroup $\langle \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1} \rangle$ of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is now a subgroup of index 1 or 2 in the (finite) group of a tile of \mathcal{P} , and since convex polytopes cannot be chiral, it must actually have index 2. Hence the tiles have a flag-transitive group, and thus are regular.

On the other hand, the vertex-figures must also be isomorphic to convex regular polytopes. In fact, since X is a manifold, each vertex-figure of \mathcal{P} is now an *n*-polytope each of whose sections of rank at least 2 has an order complex which is topologically a sphere. But this implies isomorphism with a convex regular polytope, because the vertex-figure has a Schläfli symbol [5], [12], [13]. In particular, chirality implies that $p_i > 2$ for each *i*.

It follows that \mathcal{P} is a locally spherical chiral polytope, and that $\mathcal{T} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is a regular tessellation in $E = \mathbb{S}^n$, \mathbb{E}^n or \mathbb{H}^n (we could have obtained this directly from [4], [11]).

Now write $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{T}/N$ as above. Then we can generalize Lemma 2.7 and show that N is sparse. Indeed, since the facets and vertex-figures of \mathcal{P} are isomorphic to those of \mathcal{T} (and again, this is all that we need), we must have

$$N \cap \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \rangle = \{ \varepsilon \} = N \cap \langle \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \rangle.$$

Further, since $\Gamma^+(\mathfrak{T})/N$ is the group of a chiral polytope (namely \mathfrak{P}), it must satisfy the intersection property for such groups, and so we can conclude, as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, that

$$N \cap \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \rangle \langle \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \rangle = \{ \varepsilon \}.$$

It is now immediate that N is sparse, because the groups in (2.4) are obtained from those here by adjoining ρ_1 , and $\rho_1 N \rho_1$ is the only subgroup (distinct from N) which is conjugate to N.

Just as for regular polytopes, we can now view \mathcal{P} as a chiral tessellation on the corresponding space-form E/N, which is homeomorphic to the original manifold X (and to $|\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P})|$).

Now suppose that X is a compact euclidean space-form. Then again, $E = \mathbb{E}^n$, \mathcal{T} is euclidean and N is a sparse subgroup of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$ which is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma^+(\mathcal{T})$. By Theorem 3.1, N can only consist of translational symmetries, so that X is an *n*-torus and \mathcal{P}

1238

a chiral tessellation on it. Since by Theorem 4.1 (see also [15, Theorem 9.1]), *N* is normal in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T})$ if n > 2, then there are no chiral tessellations on an *n*-torus with n > 2, and so we must have n = 2.

Summarizing, we see that we have proved

Theorem 4.3 If an n-dimensional compact euclidean space-form X admits a chiral tessellation, then n = 2 and X is the 2-torus.

References

- [1] U. Brehm, W. Kühnel and E. Schulte, *Manifold structures on abstract regular polytopes*. Aequationes Math. **49**(1995), 12–35.
- [2] H. S. M. Coxeter, Regular Polytopes. 3rd edn, Dover, New York, 1973.
- [3] H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, Generators and Relations for Discrete Groups. 4th edn, Springer, 1980.
- [4] M. W. Davis, Regular convex cell complexes. In: Geometry and Topology (eds. C. McCrory and T. Shifrin), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 105, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 1987, 53–88.
- [5] A. W. M. Dress and E. Schulte, On a theorem of McMullen about combinatorially regular polytopes. Simon Stevin 61(1987), 265–273.
- [6] A. L. Edmonds, J. H. Ewing and R. S. Kulkarni, Regular tessellations of surfaces and (p, q, 2)-triangle groups. Ann. of Math. 116(1982), 113–132.
- [7] D. Garbe, Über die regulären Zerlegungen geschlossener orientierbarer Flächen. J. Reine Angew. Math. 237(1969), 39–55.
- [8] M. Gromov, Volume and bounded cohomology. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 56(1982), 5–99.
- [9] B. Grünbaum, Regularity of graphs, complexes and designs. In: Problèmes combinatoires et théorie des graphes, Colloq. Internat. CNRS 260 (Orsey, 1977), 191–197.
- [10] M. I. Hartley, Combinatorially Regular Euler Polytopes. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Western Australia (Nedlands, Perth. 1996).
- [11] M. Kato, On combinatorial space-forms. Sci. Papers College Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo 30(1980), 107–146.
- [12] P. McMullen, Combinatorially regular polytopes. Mathematika 14(1967), 142–150.
- [13] _____, On the Combinatorial Structure of Convex Polytopes. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Birmingham (1968).
- [14] P. McMullen and E. Schulte, Quotients of polytopes and C-groups. Discrete Comput. Geom. 11(1994), 453– 464.
- [15] _____, Higher toroidal regular polytopes. Adv. Math. 117(1996), 17–51.
- [16] _____, Abstract Regular Polytopes. Monograph in preparation.
- [17] E. Schulte and A. I. Weiss, *Chiral polytopes*. In: Applied Geometry and Discrete Mathematics (The Klee Festschrift) (eds. P. Gritzmann and B. Sturmfels), Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., 1991, 493–516.
- [18] F. A. Sherk, The regular maps on a surface of genus three. Canad. J. Math. 11(1959), 452–480.
- [19] S. E. Wilson, Non-orientable regular maps. Ars Combin. 5(1978), 213–218.
- [20] J. A. Wolf, Spaces of Constant Curvature. 5th edn, Publish or Perish, Wilmington, Delaware, 1984.

Sepang Institute of Technology 2112 Jalan Meru 41050 Klang Selangor Darul Ehsan Malaysia email: hartleym@sit.edu.my University College London Gower Street London WC1E 6BT England email: p.mcmullen@ucl.ac.uk

Northeastern University Boston, MA 02115 USA email: schulte@neu.edu