Authors’ reply: Our rationale for investigating suicides in England & Wales jointly was twofold. First, although the male suicide rate has been somewhat higher in Wales than in England in recent years, the difference was not nearly as marked as that for Scotland v. England, as shown in Fig.1. The pattern of change in trends over the past two decades was also more similar for England v. Wales than when compared with Scotland. During the same period, there was little difference in the female suicide rate between England and Wales, while the female rate in Scotland was significantly higher than in the other two countries.

Our second reason was a technical one. At the beginning of our study, we sought advice from the General Register Office for Scotland and the Office for National Statistics about the comparability of their routinely reported suicide data. Because of differences in how suicide data were typically extracted by the two organisations, based on the usual residence and place of death of the deceased, it was concluded that using data from England & Wales combined would give us the best comparison with the Scottish data.

The cultural and ascertainment explanations of higher rates of suicide by drowning in Scotland proposed by Evans are interesting and plausible. An investigation of suicides in Scotland by Platt et al has reported that drowning as a method of suicide is more common in the Highlands and the Islands than other local areas in the country. This method of suicide, however, would not have accounted for much of the overall differential between Scotland and England & Wales, for two reasons. First, it is a relatively rare method, accounting for 5% of all suicide cases in England & Wales, and 10% of those in Scotland, between 2000 and 2008, and therefore would have made only a minor contribution to the overall between-country differential in risk. Second, although Scotland may contain the great bulk of all the standing water in Great Britain, most Scottish people who died by suicide lived in large urban areas located a considerable distance from the Highlands, where access to such a suicide method was unlikely to be any greater than was the case in England & Wales.
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Clinimetrics and psychometrics: never the twain shall meet?
Meta-analysis is upheld as a higher order analysis but it is not without fault as is evident in the conundrums raised by Hegeman et al’s article. Although the methodology was rigorously applied, even the final list of 11 studies included in the meta-analysis were not entirely comparable, particularly in the area of medical comorbidity, a point highlighted by the authors. Our own clinical experience and findings (possibly shared by other psychiatrists) have been that the elderly do have significant medical comorbidity that affect clinical presentations. In one study, all but one elderly patient had a medical condition and 60% had two medical conditions. The meta-analysis also does not take into account the significant role socioeconomic and cultural factors have in depressive symptom development and progression in the elderly. Socioeconomic issues play an important part given the changes in occupation, lifestyle and other roles in the elderly. This paper also serves to highlight the recently resurfaced distinction between clinimetrics and psychometrics. The relevance and applicability of psychometrically driven research is sometimes difficult to translate for the psychiatrist in clinical settings. Clinicians cannot rely entirely on rating instruments to arrive at a diagnosis and to devise management care plans. Clinicians will sieve through the history and presentations in detail and make global judgements on information presented, a process that goes beyond the one- dimensional nature of checklists and rating scales. Fava et al alluded to the ‘sophisticated thinking that underlies clinical decisions’ and that is a point that deserves consideration even as we review journal articles on research which is largely psychometrically driven to glean benefit for our clinical practice.