Religious attendance moderates the environmental effect on prosocial behavior in Nigerian adolescents
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Abstract

There is a growing body of literature linking religious attendance to prosocial behavior (PB). The main purposes of the present study were to estimate genetic and environmental influences on the frequency of religious attendance (FRA) and to explore whether and how FRA moderates genetic and/or environmental influences on PB. As part of the Nigerian Twin and Sibling Study, 2860 (280 monozygotic male, 417 monozygotic female, 544 dizygotic male, 699 dizygotic female, and 920 opposite-sex dizygotic) twins (mean age = 14.2 years; SD = 1.7 years; age range = 12–18 years) completed a questionnaire regarding FRA and a PB scale. Similar to the findings from western twin samples, FRA showed substantial shared environmental influences of 74% (95% CI = 69%, 78%), with absence of genetic effects. The phenotypic correlation between FRA and PB was modest but positive and significant (r = .12; p < .01), suggesting that PB is higher among more frequent attenders than among less frequent attenders. The results of gene–environment (G × E) interaction model-fitting analysis revealed that FRA changed individual environmental experiences rather than genetic effects on PB such that while genetic variance was stable, non-shared environmental variance declined, leading the total phenotypic variance of PB to decrease with increasing levels of religious attendance.
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The ‘religious prosociality hypothesis’ states that religious belief or concept facilitates prosocial behavior (PB) such as giving to charity, volunteering or helping strangers (Norenzayan & Shariff, 2008). Since the publication of the religious prosociality hypothesis, the relationship between religiousness and PB has been hotly debated in psychology and the general public. Although a few researchers have found negative relationships between religiousness and PB (e.g., Decety et al., 2015), most correlational studies have shown modest but positive relationships between the two (Benson et al., 2006; Furrow et al., 2004). Experimental research that induced religious thinking and subsequently measured PB of subjects yielded positive relationships as well. For example, a recent meta-analysis of religious priming studies has shown that religious reminders increased PB, with an average effect size of Hedges’ g = 0.27 (95% CI = 0.15, 0.40) (Shariff et al., 2016).

While the relationship between religiousness and PB has been relatively well established, how genetic and environmental effects combine to influence this relationship remains poorly understood. In an attempt to understand the causal mechanism of the relationship, Koenig et al. (2007) have applied a bivariate genetic model to adult male twin data for altruistic behavior and religiousness and found that both common genetic and shared environmental variances explained the association.

Twin studies of PB on the basis of western and Asian samples have shown that about 30–50% of the variance in children and adolescents were attributable to genetic influences, with the remaining variance being predominantly due to non-shared environmental influences and measurement error (Gregory et al., 2009; Hur & Rushton, 2007; Knafo & Plomin, 2006; Scourfield et al., 2004). In contrast, most twin studies of religious attendance have indicated substantial influence of shared environmental factors with the absence of genetic factors in childhood and adolescence (Boomsma et al., 1999; Bradshaw & Ellison, 2008; Truett et al., 1992), although some studies have found reverse patterns in adulthood (Hvidtjørn et al., 2013; Kendler & Myers, 2009; Kirk et al., 1999; Koenig et al., 2005). For example, in the Kendler and Myers study (2009), shared environmental factors explained about 50% of the variance of frequency of church attendance in childhood and adolescence. In adulthood, however, these factors declined dramatically to a statistically non-significant amount. In contrast, genetic and non-shared environmental factors progressively increased with increasing age, accounting for about 60% and 40%, respectively, of the variance in adulthood. These age-related changes may be attributable to a decreasing family influence as children become older, and there are increasing influences from individual life events (e.g., marriage/divorce, birth of own child, accidents, illness). Results on sex difference in genetic
and environmental influences on the frequency of church attendance are mixed: while some studies (e.g., Truett et al., 1992, 1994) have reported that females had greater genetic and smaller shared environmental influences on church attendance than did males, others have found the opposite pattern (e.g., Eaves et al., 2008; Winter et al., 1999).

Twin studies of PB and religious attendance have rarely been undertaken among Africans. Recently, using a sample of Nigerian public school twins as used in the present study, we demonstrated that the magnitudes of genetic and environmental influences on PB were within the range of those found in European and East Asian samples. Specifically, genetic and non-shared environmental influences on PB were 0.38 (95% CI = 0.31, 0.46) and 0.62 (95% CI = 0.54, 0.69), respectively (Hur et al., 2017). These findings were surprising given the large differences in environments and genetic variations between African and non-African populations (Campbell & Tishkoff, 2008).

Previous studies have demonstrated that religiousness modified the genetic and/or environmental effects on adolescents’ behaviors. For example, using the gene-environment (G × E) interaction model-fitting approach (Purcell, 2002), Button et al. (2010) have shown that genetic variance in problem alcohol use decreased significantly with increasing levels of religiosity in both males and females during adolescence. The authors suggested that reduced genetic variance in problem alcohol use in adolescence might be the consequence of large social control in adolescence. Consistent with the Button et al. study, Koopmans et al. (1999) have also found higher heritability (40%) in alcohol use initiation among females within a religious upbringing compared with females without a religious upbringing (0%). Based on the sample that Koopmans et al. have used, Boomsma et al. (1999) have shown that religious upbringing reduced the influence of genetic factors on disinhibition in males but not in females, suggesting that religious upbringing can moderate the genetic effects on disinhibition at least in males. However, all of these studies have focused on the moderating role of religiousness in problem behaviors and related traits, with little consideration of the moderating role in positive character traits such as PB. Frequent exposures to religious teachings that emphasize the value of PB may serve to activate specific genetic mechanisms underlying PB or restrict environmental variabilities in religious followers due to the influence that religious organizations may exert. Using the dopamine D4 receptor (DRD4) susceptibility variants, Sasaki et al. (2013) have investigated whether religion priming harbors G × E interaction effects to influence PB. The authors have found that participants with DRD4 susceptibility variants were more prosocial when primed with religion than when not primed with religion, whereas participants without DRD4 susceptibility variants were not affected by religious priming. Although the Sasaki et al. study suffered from a small sample size, the results suggested that there may be a G × E interaction in the relationship between religiousness and PB.

Using a G × E interaction modeling (Purcell, 2002), the present study aimed to examine whether and how the frequency of religious attendance (GRA) moderates genetic and environmental influences on PB in Nigerian adolescents. Nigeria is a very religious nation. Over 98% of the Nigerian population is known to be either Christian or Muslim, with the remainder having African traditional religions or no religion (Pew Research Center, 2012). It has been estimated that whereas 16.4% of the US population and 42.1% of the Dutch population are religiously unaffiliated, only about 0.4% of the Nigerian population are unaffiliated (Pew Research Center, 2012). Thus, the results of the present study may have an implication in understanding the processes of how religious attendance contributes to the development of PB, especially in a highly religious environment.

**Methods**

**Sample**

The sample included 2860 adolescent twins aged 12–18 years. These were 280 (128 pairs and 24 individuals) monozygotic males (MZM), 417 (197 pairs and 23 individuals) monozygotic females (MZF), 544 (246 pairs and 52 individuals) dizygotic males (DZM), 699 (328 pairs and 43 individuals) dizygotic females (DZF), and 920 (418 pairs and 84 individuals) opposite-sex dizygotic (OSDZ) twins drawn from the Nigerian Twin and Sibling Registry (Hur et al., 2013). The mean (SD) age of these twins was 14.2 (1.7) years. In terms of religious affiliation, 64.3% of our twins identified themselves as Christian, 35.6% as Muslim and 0.1% as others. An excess of Christians found in the present sample was in line with the demographic characteristics of the population in Lagos state in Nigeria (Pew Research Center, 2012).

Twins were recruited from 272 public junior and senior secondary schools in Lagos state. The details of the recruitment procedures of the present sample can be found in Hur et al. (2013, 2017). Briefly, we first obtained approvals to conduct this research from the Ministry of Education in Lagos state and the Health Research and Ethics Committee of the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital in Nigeria. Then, we visited each of the 272 schools and administered the questionnaires to the twins in a library or a special classroom. During the testing session, a saliva sample was taken and analyzed to determine twins’ zygosity. Eighteen micro-satellite markers of DNA, including amelogenin, were analyzed to test zygosity of twins. A much larger number of dizygotic than monozygotic twins in the present sample likely reflected twin birth rates in Nigeria (MacGillivray, 1986).

**Measures**

**Prosocial behavior (PB) scale**

The PB scale of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; Goodman, 1997) was used to assess PB. The PB scale includes five prosocial items regarding sharing, helping and being kind and considerate of others. The PB scale of the SDQ has consistently emerged from factor analysis of the SDQ items across several countries in Europe, South Asia and Africa (Stevanovic et al., 2015). Twins were instructed to rate themselves on a three-point scale (0 = not true to 2 = certainly true) for each of the five items. The responses to the five items were summed to create the score of the PB scale, where higher scores represent higher levels of PB. Cronbach α reliability of the five items was 0.63 for the present twin sample.

**Frequency of religious attendance**

Self-report data on the FRA were obtained from a single question: ‘How often do you attend religious service?’ Twins were asked to choose one of the six response options: (1) not at all, (2) 1–2 times a year, (3) about once a month, (4) 2–3 times a month, (5) about once a week, (6) more than once a week. Frequencies of responses of the six options were 2.5%, 2.9%, 3.4%, 7.1%, 13.4% and 70.8%, respectively. As the distribution of FRA was very skewed and kurtotic with a skewness of −2.2 and a kurtosis of 4.1, FRA was operationalized as a categorical variable. We combined the first four options to one category, which resulted in three categories: non-frequent attenders (2–3 times per month or less; 15.8%),
frequent attenders (about once a week; 13.4%) and very frequent attenders (more than once a week; 70.8%) (Figure 1).

**Statistical analysis**

Polychoric correlations of FRA were estimated separately for MZM, DZM, MZF, DZF and OSDZ twins by using the raw ordinal data option in Mx (Neale et al., 2003). The general sex limitation model-fitting analyses were conducted to determine additive genetic (A), shared environment (C) and non-shared environment plus measurement error (E) for FRA.

As there were no significant shared genetic effects in FRA and PB, the univariate G X E interaction model (Figure 2; Purcell, 2002) was applied to the data to determine whether genetic and environmental contributions to PB vary as a function of the moderator, FRA. The univariate G X E interaction model includes the standard paths, that is, additive genetic (a), shared environment (c), non-shared environmental influences and measurement error (e) and the magnitudes of moderation effects related to A, C and E, respectively, that are allowed to vary across the levels of the moderator (M). Thus, the phenotypic variance (Vp) in this model can be expressed as: Vp = (a + βaM)^2 + (c + βcM)^2 + (e + βeM)^2. In this equation, βa, βc and βe represent the magnitude of the moderating effects associated with A, C and E, respectively. Additionally, we allowed μ + βεM to represent the moderating effect of FRA on the mean of PB. To determine the best-fitting, most parsimonious model, we used the likelihood ratio test (LRT) and Akaike’s information criterion (AIC = −2LL − 2df). Models having lower AIC are considered more parsimonious and thus preferred (Akaike, 1987).

**Results**

**Descriptive statistics and twin correlations**

There were modest but significant age and sex effects on PB (older > younger; female > male). PB was significantly higher in Christians than in Muslims (8.1 vs. 7.8). However, the mean difference was trivial (Cohen’s d = 0.15) and appeared to attain statistical significance due to a large sample. The details of the descriptive statistics for PB can be found in Hur et al. (2017). FRA was not significantly different between males and females (Δχ^2 = 5.0; p = .08) in our sample. We divided the total sample into junior and senior secondary school students to examine the main effect of age on FRA. However, no significant difference was found between the two age groups (Δχ^2 = 4.2; p = .12).

Maximum likelihood correlations for PB were 0.28 (95% CI = 0.11, 0.44) for MZM, 0.26 (95% CI = 0.13, 0.38) for DZM, 0.45 (95% CI = 0.32, 0.56) for MZF, 0.14 (95% CI = 0.02, 0.26) for DZF and 0.19 (95% CI = 0.09, 0.29) for OSDZ twins. The difference between monozygotic and dizygotic correlations for PB was larger in females than in males, suggesting that genetic effects on PB may be larger in females than in males. However, model-fitting analysis indicated that the magnitudes of genetic and environmental effects were not significantly different between the two sexes (Hur et al., 2017). Polychoric correlations for FRA were 0.78 (95% CI = 0.63, 0.88) for MZM, 0.64 (95% CI = 0.51, 0.74) for DZM, 0.75 (95% CI = 0.64, 0.84) for MZF, 0.76 (95% CI = 0.67, 0.83) for DZF and 0.76 (95% CI = 0.68, 0.82) for OSDZ twins. In both sex groups, twin correlations were very high and similar across monozygotic and dizygotic twins, suggesting the presence of substantial shared environmental influences on FRA. The OSDZ twin correlation was not lower than the correlation for DZM or DZF, providing little evidence for the presence of sex-specific genetic effects on FRA.

**General sex limitation model-fitting analysis for FRA**

The general sex limitation model-fitting analysis for FRA showed that sex-specific genetic effects were not significant (Δχ^2 = 2.2; p = .14). Furthermore, the magnitudes of additive genetic and shared and non-shared environmental influences were not significantly different between the two sexes (Δχ^2 = 3.9; p = .42). While dropping shared environmental effects from the full model significantly worsened the model fit (Δχ^2 = 95.6; p = .00), dropping additive genetic effects did not (Δχ^2 = 4.4; p = .50). From these model comparisons, we concluded that the model that included shared and non-shared environmental influences alone was the best. In the best-fitting model, shared and non-shared environmental influences were 74% (95% CI = 69%, 78%) and 26% (95% CI = 22%, 31%), respectively, for both sexes.
Table 1. Results of the univariate \( G \times E \) interaction models testing for the moderating effects of the frequency of religious attendance on genetic and environmental influences on prosocial behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>(-2LL)</th>
<th>(df)</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>(\Delta \chi^2 (\Delta df))</th>
<th>(p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Full model</td>
<td>6274.3</td>
<td>2304</td>
<td>1666.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Drop the main effect of moderator ((p_m))</td>
<td>6298.2</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>1688.2</td>
<td>23.8 (1)</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Drop all moderation ((p_m, p_e, p_c))</td>
<td>6291.7</td>
<td>2307</td>
<td>1677.7</td>
<td>17.4 (3)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drop moderation on A ((p_e))</td>
<td>6277.3</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>1667.3</td>
<td>3.0 (1)</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Drop moderation on C ((p_c))</td>
<td>6273.8</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>1668.4</td>
<td>4.07 (1)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Drop moderation on E ((p_e))</td>
<td>6278.4</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>1668.3</td>
<td>4.05 (1)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Drop A and moderation on A ((p_e, p_m))</td>
<td>6287.5</td>
<td>2306</td>
<td>1675.6</td>
<td>13.3 (2)</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Drop C and moderation on C ((p_c))</td>
<td>6284.7</td>
<td>2306</td>
<td>1666.4</td>
<td>4.1 (2)</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Drop C and moderation on C ((p_m, p_c)) and on A ((p_e))</td>
<td>6278.9</td>
<td>2307</td>
<td>1664.9</td>
<td>4.6 (3)</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Drop C and moderation on C ((p_m, p_c)) and on E ((p_e))</td>
<td>6282.8</td>
<td>2307</td>
<td>1668.8</td>
<td>8.5 (3)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(-2LL = -2\) log-likelihood. A = additive genetic variance, C = shared environmental variance, E = non-shared environmental variance including measurement error. The best-fitting model is indicated in bold.

**G × E model-fitting analysis**

The phenotypic correlation between FRA and PB was modest but positive (\(r = .12\); \(p < .01\)), suggesting that PB is higher in more frequent attenders than in less frequent attenders. This association was not significantly different between Muslims and Christians (\(r = .13\) in Christians; \(r = .12\) in Muslims).

Table 1 presents the results of univariate \( G \times E \) interaction analysis. When all of the moderation effects were removed from the full model simultaneously, a significant reduction in model fit occurred (model 3: \(\Delta \chi^2 = 17.4; p = .001\)), indicating the presence of the effects of moderation. When we removed the effect of moderation individually from the full model (models 4–6), only moderation on A (\(p_e\)) was not significant, suggesting that the genetic effects on PB were stable across all levels of FRA. While removing C and moderation on C simultaneously produced a non-significant change in chi-square (model 8: \(\Delta \chi^2 = 4.1; p = .13\)), removing A and moderation on A yielded a significant difference in chi-square (model 7: \(\Delta \chi^2 = 13.3; p = .00\)). From model 8, we further eliminated moderation on A (\(p_e\); model 9) and on E (\(p_e\); model 10), respectively. These procedures yielded a significant chi-square change in model 10 but not in model 9, providing evidence for the presence of moderation on E. From these model comparisons using LRT, we concluded that model 9 was the best fitting one. AIC also suggested that model 9 was the best because it showed the lowest AIC.

**Discussion**

Using a genetically informative design, the present study explored the role of religious attendance in the development of PB in public school twins in Nigeria. We first examined genetic and environmental influences on religious attendance in Nigerian adolescents. While genetic influence on FRA was not significant, the estimate of shared environmental influence was substantial (74%), replicating the findings from the western adolescent twin samples (Boomsma et al., 1999; Bradshaw & Ellison, 2008; Truett et al., 1992). However, the finding that there was no significant sex difference in FRA in the present sample was not consistent with the general finding that females are more religious than males (Trzебiatsowska & Bruce, 2012). In the questionnaire given to twins, we included an item asking their parents’ religious affiliation. Over 98% of our twins reported that they had the same religious affiliation that their parents had. Thus, the sources of shared environment in religious attendance in the present sample were likely to be parental factors such as parents’ religious attendance, expectations, values and assortative mating for religious attendance (Truett et al., 1994).

The phenotypic correlation between religious attendance and PB in the present sample was significant but modest (\(r = .12\)), which was in line with the findings from Stavrova and Siegers (2014). This correlation was also close to the finding from the Donahue and Benson study (1995) where the authors have reported a correlation of .11 (\(p < .01\)) between altruism and church attendance in a large national sample of US adolescents. The result of \( G \times E \) model-fitting analysis using FRA as an environmental moderator showed environment–environment (\( E \times E \)) rather than \( G \times E \) interaction; environmental experiences explained more of the variance in PB in less frequent religious attenders. Adolescents at the low end of the FRA were likely from non-religious households and learned PB from various sources rather than religious organizations. A large non-shared environmental variance found in adolescents at the low end of the FRA suggests that there were great variabilities in the prosocial characteristics of the peers and experiences of socialization, perhaps because these adolescents were relatively free from religious constraints. Due to the large number of non-frequent attenders, while the corresponding estimates were 38% (95% CI = 33%, 44%) and 62% (95% CI = 56%, 67%) among very frequent attenders.
non-shared environmental influences, the relative influence of genetic factors on PB was small among adolescents at the low end of the FRA. In contrast, adolescents at the high end of the FRA were from very religious families and were likely associated with religious peers who share and promote prosocial values. These adolescents were very frequently exposed to religious teachings that encourage practices of PB. We speculate that these religious atmospheres substantially attenuated the variation of the non-shared environment among adolescents at the high end of the FRA. Due to the reduction in non-shared environment, the relative influence of genetic factors in this group was high. The implication of our finding is that frequent religious attendance can change individual environmental experiences rather than genetic effects on PB, which may result in an increase in the mean level and a decrease in the total variability of PB.

The lack of a significant moderating effect on genetic variation in PB in our study is in contrast to the results of the Sasaki et al.’s study (2013) and previous quantitative G × E studies that have examined the moderating role of religiousness (Boomsma et al., 1999; Button et al., 2010; Koopmans et al., 1999). However, the Sasaki et al. study was based on the candidate gene approach, which has been criticized for a high rate of false-positives (Sullivan, 2000). Third, the present sample consisted of adolescents aged 12–18 years. As prior studies have shown that genetic and environmental influences on religious attendance change with age, the patterns of G × E interaction found in the present study may differ in adulthood. For instance, given an increase in genetic influences on religious attendance with age, gene–environment correlation between religious attendance and PB may be found to be significant in adulthood. Finally, our sample only comprised adolescent twins attending public schools in Lagos state, Nigeria. Thus, it remains to be seen whether or not the present findings extend to other ethnic groups or regions in Nigeria.

The present study has several limitations. First, we used FRA as a proxy measure of religiousness. However, it has been suggested that the measure of FRA underestimates the complexity of the religiousness construct (Hills & Pargament, 2003). It is, therefore, important in future studies to investigate whether and how other aspects of religiousness interact with genetic and environmental influences on PB. Prior studies have shown that personal forms of religiousness such as praying to God showed higher genetic influences than do social forms of religiousness such as church attendance (Hvidtjørn et al., 2013). Thus, the pattern of G × E may be different from our findings if we employ measures of a personal form of religiousness. Second, as our measures of FRA and PB are both self-reported, twins’ responses may have been contaminated by social desirability because more religious individuals tend to inflate self-ratings of prosocial characteristics (Galen, 2012). However, several researchers have indicated that the relationship between religiousness and PB remained significant even when social desirability was controlled (e.g., Lewis, 1999, 2000; Saroglou et al., 2005). Third, the present sample consisted of adolescents aged 12–18 years. As prior studies have shown that genetic and environmental influences on religious attendance change with age, the patterns of G × E interaction found in the present study may differ in adulthood. For instance, given an increase in genetic influences on religious attendance with age, gene–environment correlation between religious attendance and PB may be found to be significant in adulthood. Finally, our sample only comprised adolescent twins attending public schools in Lagos state, Nigeria. Thus, it remains to be seen whether or not the present findings extend to other ethnic groups or regions in Nigeria.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by the Charles Darwin Research Institute (USA) and the Ulster Institute for Social Research (UK) to the first author (Y.M.H.). I would like to thank the twins who participated in this study, and school teachers and principals in the public schools in Lagos state, the staff members in the Ministry of Education in Lagos state and many research assistants who kindly assisted this study. Special thanks are given to Human Pass, Korea, who assisted us with twins’ zygosity testing.

References

Hills, P. C., & Pargament, K. I.

Kendler, K. S., & Myers, J.


