### RADIOCARBON DATING OF OSTRICH EGGSHELLS

# JÜRGEN C FREUNDLICH, RUDOLPH KUPER, PETER BREUNIG

Institut für Ur- und Frühgeschichte

### and

## HANS-GEORG BERTRAM

Geologisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, West Germany

ABSTRACT. Unlike wood charcoal, as found admixed to other cultural remains, ostrich eggshells can be of more direct significance in <sup>14</sup>C dating, especially if they were processed to form, eg, eggshell beads. Normally the time span between laying the egg and working the shell beads is short enough to be negligible for <sup>14</sup>C dating purposes. Another advantage of eggshell dating is that the carbonate of the shell seems to keep exceptionally well over the millennia, whereas, especially in surface sites in a desert environment, organic material such as wood, charcoal or bone protein tends to decompose. With few comparative test samples, we thought ostrich egg samples would yield <sup>14</sup>C dates somewhat too young. The deviation is, however, balanced by performing <sup>13</sup>C analyses and a correction for isotope fractionation of ca 350yr.

Within the scope of diverse investigations on African prehistory (Kuper, 1988; Breunig, 1986; Gabriel, 1984) the question arose whether ostrich eggshells are as reliable as other customary materials for accurate <sup>14</sup>C dating (see also, Wendorf & Schild, 1984). This is an important consideration as, especially in desert environments, many samples come from surface sites where charcoal, bone, wood or other organic remains easily decay, whereas ostrich eggshells used in prehistoric settlements for manufacturing beads or water containers, have endured.

Along with a considerable number of <sup>14</sup>C dates from eight field seasons from 1980–1987 in the Eastern Sahara of Egypt and Northern Sudan (*cf*, Kuper, 1988), we analyzed >25 eggshell samples. By comparing the apparent ostrich eggshell dates (uncorrected for isotopic fractionation) with the dates of other concurrent material, the eggshell dates were ca 400 years too young (Table 1). We concluded that this may be due to an isotopic shift and reviewed our <sup>13</sup>C measurements which indeed established this effect (Table 2).

We then compared this deviation with the results for other carbonate dates, and inferred that possibly the isotopic shifts resembled those typical for carbonate materials (ca –10% for freshwater carbonate and ca 0% for carbonates of marine origin (Mook, 1968)). Taking a closer look at the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the eggshells, we found an apparent separation of the isotopic shift values into two groups; some seem to cluster ca –0.5±1.5% and the rest ca –6.0±1.5% PDB. If there is no  $\delta^{13}$ C analysis, a tentative average correction for the <sup>14</sup>C age of 350±60yr can be applied to normalize to  $\delta^{13}$ C = –25%, based on a total  $\delta^{13}$ C range of –7.0 to +1.0% for ostrich eggshell carbonate. We also tried to classify the two separated  $\delta^{13}$ C intervals according to geographic or climatic parameters or age differences among the respective samples that failed, so far, to give a decisive clue.

The literature on isotopic effects on carbon shows that considerable interest in hens' eggs has led to the finding that the dominating factor is the  $^{13}$ C level of the hens' food stuff, mirrored by the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of their flesh.

 $\label{eq:TABLE-1} TABLE\, 1$   $^{14}C$  results on ostrich eggshell  $\nu s$  concurrent other material

		C icauita on O	C Icsuits on ostiteii eggsiieii 73 concuiteiit otilei materiai	s concarrent	MICI MAICHAI				
		E	Eggshell dating				Other material		
Location	Site	KN no.	¹⁴Cage*	Mean	Age difference	Mean	<sup>14</sup> Cage	KN no.	Material
= 4	2020 1 10	2026	05+010	7210+70	150±140	7450±120	∫ 7550±160	-3720	Charcoal
Abu Ballas	Mudpans &2/20	-30%5	/310±/0	0/±01¢/	130±140	, 400±120 100±120	<b>\</b> 7370±170	-3721	Charcoal
							J550±80	-3634	Charcoal
Abu Ballas	Mudpans 85/56	-3692	7160±70	7160±70	360±90	7520±55	2 7500±80	-3635	Charcoal
Gilf Kebir	Wadi Akhdar 80/14	-2925	3860±60 ⟩				(7500±1000**	-3722	Charcoal
Gilf Kebir	Wadi Akhdar 80/14	-2926	3950±55	3990±40	$210\pm1100$	4200±1100**	$4200\pm1100**$	-3085	Charcoal
Gilf Kebir	Wadi Akhdar 80/14	-3173	4150±55				07+0227	2001	
Ladniva	Wadi Shaw 82/33	-3188	4010±60	4010±60	570±80	4720±40	432UIDU	-3091	Charcoal
n (mhn)							4850±55	-3138	Charcoal
	00/001 1 011 111	010	V 35-1-05-05				09∓089†	-3081	Charcoal
Laquiya	Wadi Sanai 82/38	-3189	<b>4</b> 3/0±/3	4300±45	420±70	4720±55	t990±150	-3144	Charcoal
Laquiya	Wadi Sahal 82/38	-3190	4240±55 <b>)</b>				( 5400±700**	-3330	Charcoal
Wadi Howar	Rahib 80/73	-2938	4850±60	4850±60	$370\pm160$	5220±140	5220±140	-2939	Bone
		Weigh	Weighted mean age difference = $400\pm50$	fference = 40	0±50				

\* Uncorrected for isotopic effect \*\* Samples from surface sites in desert environments where charcoal, wood or bones are poorly preserved but eggshells keep well

TABLE 2

KN no. -3754 -3755	Location Qattara/Siwa Qattara/Siwa Sand-Sea	Site Sitra 85/05 Sitra 85/05 Abu Minqar 81/55	8 <sup>13</sup> C % PDB -5.86 -7.01	Eggshell ''-Cage* 8070±80 8150±60 6520±60	Other material <sup>14</sup> Cage	KN no.	Material
-3142 -3709 -3710 -3711 -3552 -3198 -3783 -3836 -3836 -3836	Sand-Sea Sand-Sea Sand-Sea Sand-Sea Sand-Sea Sand-Sea Sand-Sea Sand-Sea Sand-Sea Sand-Sea Sand-Sea	Abu Minqar 81/55 Abu Minqar 81/56 Abu Minqar 85/24 Abu Minqar 85/28		6380±60 6740±100 6830±100 6830±100 6530±100 6600±60 6500±70 8450±70 8210±70 6410±100	6350±500†	-3140	Charcoal
-3793 -3636 -3525 -3526	Giif Kebir Giif Kebir Selima Sandsheet Selima Sandsheet	Wadi Akhdar 80/32 Wadi Akhdar 83/33 Djebel Kamil 83/27 Djebel Kamil 83/27	-0.11 (-3) (-3) -7.13	540±60 6320±100 8170±110 8140±70	6510±320†	-3191	Charcoal
-3143	Laqiya	Wadi Shaw 82/31	+1.37	3830±55	360±55 3670±55 3820±55 3820±55	-3105 -3169 -3362 -3439	Charcoal Charcoal Charcoal Charcoal
-3755 -3672 -3642 -3775	NE Tibesti/Libya Gr Sandsee/Libya Brandberg/Namibia Brandberg/Namibia	Dj Eghei GA72/38 S Calanscio GA70/26 Riesenhöhle RH9 Amis 11/12	-3.81 -7.73 (-3) -5.32	5060±60 6790±70 5630±140 1800±120	4600±600∱	-3674	Bone

\* Normalized to  $\delta^{13}$ C = -25% PDB \*\* Values in parentheses are estimates † See \*\* Table 1

 $\label{eq:table 3} Table \, 3 \\ \delta^{13} C \ values \ of \ chicken \ protein \ carbon$ 

<sup>13</sup> C (PDB)	Country
-15.9‰	USA
-14.4%	Japan
-19.6‰	Germany

TABLE 4
Ostrich eggshell values quoted from the literature

Lab no	Ref	δ <sup>13</sup> C ‰ PDB	Eggshell carbonate <sup>14</sup> C age*	Other material <sup>14</sup> C age*	δ <sup>13</sup> C ‰ PDB	Lab no.	Material
A-2515	1	-3.4	6270±50	6660±320	-19.8	A-2516	Organic residue
-2517	1	-4.4	4780±50				
-2518	1	-4.4	$6290 \pm 150$				
-3106	1	-5.6	$8280 \pm 60$	$8680 \pm 450$	-21.8	A-3105	Organic residue
SMU-74	2		$4510\pm70$				
-734	2		$7860 \pm 90$				
-326	2	-3.7	· 7890±70				
-741	2		5450±80				
-257	2	-7.3	8270±80				
-191	2		7710±70 )	8130±60		SMU-255	Charcoal
-202	2	-4.6	$8020\pm110$	8040±90		-249	Charcoal
-189	2	-7.2	7890±100)	8080±90		-252	Charcoal
				<b>〈</b> 7970±70		-240	Charcoal
				7930±40		-208	Charcoal
				8010±80		-203	Charcoal
				\ 8120±100		-199	Charcoal
SMU-273	2	-7.3	6980±80				
-494	3		$8740 \pm 70$	$8740 \pm 90$		SMU-489	Charcoal
-352	4	-4.6	6935±90	$7120 \pm 150$		-242	Charcoal

<sup>\*</sup> Normalized to  $\delta^{13}C$  = –25‰ PDB

#### References

- 1. Long, Hendershott and Martin (1983, Table 2)
- 2. Haas & Haynes (1980, Table A7.1, p 374/5)
- 3. Haas & Haynes (1980, p 376)
- 4. Wendorf & Schild (1984, footnote 1, p 411)

Hodges and Lörcher (1967) showed by infusion experiments with tracer-labeled NaH<sup>14</sup>CO<sub>3</sub> and <sup>45</sup>CaCl<sub>2</sub> that the carbonate of the eggshells is not derived from the hen's blood bicarbonate. They found an uptake of <sup>45</sup>Ca ions from the blood serum during shell formation but not the adequate bicarbonate uptake. More detailed studies (Lörcher & Hodges, 1969) suggest that the necessary CO<sub>2</sub> is taken from metabolic CO<sub>2</sub> generated in the hen's shell gland at the actual site of egg formation. The  $\delta^{13}$ C values of this metabolic CO<sub>2</sub> are subject to the hen's diet. Table 3 shows the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the protein

carbon of chicken flesh from different countries (Nakamura *et al*, 1982). The higher values from the United States and Japan are due to higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values of C4 plants (especially maize) or fish-derived feed, respectively. In Germany, the diet contains more C3 plant material, hence, the chicken flesh is  $^{13}$ C-depleted. In this case, the  $\delta^{13}$ C value also of the hen's shell gland CO<sub>2</sub> would be ca –14% (Metzler *et al*, 1983). The CO<sub>2</sub> with  $\delta^{13}$ C = –14% (Sharma & Pillai, 1971) is in equilibrium at 30°C with HCO $_{3}^{-}$  ions of  $\delta^{13}$ C = –6.5% (Mook, 1968); carbonates formed from these HCO $_{3}^{-}$  ions show a  $\delta^{13}$ C value of ca –5%.  $\delta^{13}$ C values of ca –6% can be readily explained by this mechanism. The higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values close to 0% seem to point towards a higher amount of carbon derived from C4 plants.

<sup>14</sup>C dates on ostrich eggshells have been reported by Haas and Haynes (1980), Wendorf and Schild (1984), and Long, Hendershott and Martin (1983) (Table 4). They normalized <sup>14</sup>C ages to  $\delta$ <sup>13</sup>C = -25‰, and did not find significant age differences with concurrent samples of other materials.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are due the staff of the BOS Project, especially Erwin Cziesla and Werner Schuck, as well as the laboratory staffs of the <sup>14</sup>C and the <sup>13</sup>C laboratories. Part of the work was funded by DFG, Bonn and by Stiftung Volkswagenwerk, Hannover.

#### REFERENCES

- Breunig, P, 1986, Archaeological research in the upper Brandberg: Nyame Akuma (Windhoek, SW Africa), v 27, p 26–27.
- Gabriel, B, 1982, Arbeitsberichte aus der Forschungsstation Badai/Tibesti, part 5: Abschlussbericht: Berliner Geogr Abh, no. 32.
- Haas, H and Haynes, C V, 1980, Discussion on radiocarbon dates from the Western Desert, in Wendorf, F, and Schild, R, eds, Prehistory of the Eastern Sahara: New York, Academic Press, p 373–378.
- Hodges, R D and Lörcher, K, 1967, Possible sources of the carbonate fraction of the egg shell calcium carbonate: Nature, v 216, p 609-610.
- Kuper, R, in press, The Eastern Sahara from north to south. Data and dates from the BOS-Project, *in* Korbusiewicz, M and Krzyzaniak, L, eds, Internatl symposium (1988), Environmental change and human culture in the Nile Basin and North Africa through 2nd millennium BC: Posen, Polish Acad Science.
- Long, A, Hendershott, R B and Martin, P S, 1983, Radiocarbon dating of fossil eggshell, *in* Stuiver, M, and Kra, R S, eds, Internatl <sup>14</sup>C conf, 11th, Proc: Radiocarbon, v 25, no. 2, p 533–539.
- Lörcher, K and Hodges, R D, 1969, Some possible mechanisms of formation of the carbonate fraction of egg shell calcium carbonate: Comp Biochem Physiol, v 28, p 119–128.
- Metzler, S, Stobbe, E, Kranz, C, Schmidt, H L, Winkler, F J and Wolfram, G, 1983, Einfluss des natürlichen Isotopengehalts von Nährstoffen auf den Untergrund bei <sup>13</sup>C-Atemtests: Zeitschr Ernährungswiss, v 22, p 107-115.
- Mook, W G, (ms), Geochemistry of the stable carbon and oxygen isotopes of natural waters in the Netherlands: Ph D dissert, Univ Groningen.
- Nakamura, K, Schweller, D A, Winkler, F J and Schmidt, H L, 1982, Geographical variations in the carbon isotope composition of the diet and hair in contemporary man: Biomed Mass Spectrometry, v 9, p 390–394.
- Sharma, T and Pillai, N V, 1971, Oxygen and carbon isotope composition of chicken egg shell carbonate: Indian Jour Chem, v 9, p 456–458.
- Wendorf, F and Schild, R, 1984, Chap 17, Conclusions, *in Close*, A E, ed, Cattle keepers of the Eastern Sahara. The Neolithic of Bir Kiseiba: Dept Anthropol, Southern Methodist Univ, Dallas, p 404–428.