GRB Orphan Afterglows in Present and Future Radio Transient Surveys
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Abstract

Orphan Afterglows (OA) are slow transients produced by Gamma Ray Bursts seen off–axis that become visible on timescales of days/years at optical/NIR and radio frequencies, when the prompt emission at high energies (X and γ rays) has already ceased. Given the typically estimated jet opening angle of GRBs θjet ≈ 3°, for each burst pointing to the Earth there should be a factor ~ 700 more GRBs pointing in other directions. Despite this, no secure OAs have been detected so far. Through a population synthesis code we study the emission properties of the population of OA at radio frequencies. OAs reach their emission peak on year-timescales and they last for a comparable amount of time. The typical peak fluxes (which depend on the observing frequency) are of few μJy in the radio band with only a few OA reaching the mJy level. These values are consistent with the upper limits on the radio flux of SN Ib/c observed at late times. We find that the OA radio number count distribution has a typical slope −1.7 at high fluxes and a flatter (−0.4) slope at low fluxes with a break at a frequency–dependent flux level. Our predictions of the OA rates are consistent with the (upper) limits of recent radio surveys and archive searches for radio transients. Future radio surveys like VAST/ASKAP at 1.4 GHz should detect ∼ 3 × 10−3 OA deg−2 yr−1, MeerKAT and EVLA at 8.4 GHz should see ∼ 3 × 10−3 OA deg−2 yr−1. The SKA, reaching the μJy flux limit, could see up to ∼ 0.2 – 1.5 OA deg−2 yr−1. These rates also depend on the duration of the OA above a certain flux limit and we discuss this effect with respect to the survey cadence.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In the standard external shock model of Gamma Ray Bursts (GRBs) the afterglow emission is produced when the ultra relativistic jet is decelerated by the interstellar medium (Meszaros & Rees 1997). During this phase the bulk Lorentz factor Γ decreases with time while the beaming angle of the emitted radiation Ω(t) ∝ 1/Γ(t)2 increases. Moreover, there is a large consensus both for theoretical and observational reasons, that GRBs are jetted sources. The estimate of the jet opening angle can be derived from the time of the afterglow light curve steepening (the jet break time tbreak) due to the Lorentz factor becoming ∼ 1/θjet (Rhoads et al. 1997). Typical jet opening angles derived from the afterglow light curve breaks (Frail et al. 2001; Ghirlanda et al. 2004) are clustered around θjet = 0.05 radians.

Therefore, a GRB which is observed off–axis, with a viewing angle θview > θjet, will be undetected as a prompt burst of γ–ray photons because the prompt emission, produced by material moving with a bulk Lorentz factor Γ0 ~ 102 – 103, is beamed within an angle 1/Γ0 < θjet. However, the afterglow emission (in the optical/NIR and radio band) can be detected when the beaming angle of the radiation intercepts the line of sight, i.e. 1/Γ ~ θview. After this time the emission for an off-axis observer is the same that would be seen if θview < θjet.

Orphan Afterglows (OA) are GRBs seen off–axis detectable at any frequency, without the high energy γ–ray
counterpath. For this reason their study follows a different path than normal GRBs (where it is the high energy trigger to initiate a follow up campaign to monitor the afterglow emission at different frequencies). OAs can be detected as transients through wide field deep surveys and they could be a considerable fraction of the population of detected transients (Rohads 1997, 2003; Nakar, Piran, & Granot 2002; Totani & Panaitescu 2002). Considering the typical jet opening angles measured in GRBs (e.g. Frail et al. 2001; Ghirlanda et al. 2007) \( \theta_{jet} \sim 3^\circ \), for each GRB detected in the \( \gamma \)-ray band there should be \( \sim 730 \) (i.e. \( \sim 2/\theta_{jet}^2 \)) bursts pointing in any other direction. These are orphan afterglows.

Upper limits \( \theta_{jet} \lesssim 22^\circ \) (Levinson et al. 2002) or lower limits \( \theta_{jet} \gtrsim 0.8^\circ \) (Soderberg et al. 2006) on the typical opening angle of GRBs were inferred from OA searches. However, to date no orphan afterglow has been confirmed. Some transients have been identified as possible OA candidates through archival searches both at radio frequencies (e.g. see Murphy et al. 2012; Bell et al. 2011 for a summary of radio OA search results) and in the optical band (e.g. Rau, Greiner, & Schwarz 2006; Malacrino et al. 2007; Zou, Wu, & Dai 2007) but none of these has been confirmed as an orphan GRB afterglow. Even the very recent discovery (Cenko et al. 2013) of an optical transient by the Palomar Transient Survey (PTF) seems favour a “dirty fireball” or an untriggered bursts origin (i.e. both scenarios related to a GRB pointing towards the Earth) rather than to an orphan afterglow (Cenko et al. 2013).

A possible strategy (Soderberg et al. 2006; Bietenholz et al. 2013) for identifying off-axis GRBs is to observe a considerable radio emission from type Ib/c SNe at late times (i.e. years after the SN explosion). The estimate of the size of the SN event at late epochs allows us to verify if the SN had a relativistic jet. A handful of SNe were found to have a bright radio emission: SN 2001em - (Granot & Ramirez Ruiz 2004), SN 2007gr (Paragi et al. 2010) and SN 2009bb (Soderberg et al. 2010), SN 2003gk (Bietenholz et al. 2013). However either subsequent revision of the radio observations (Soderberg et al. 2010a) or VLBI observations (Bietenholz, Bartel, & Rupen 2010; Bietenholz et al. 2013) showed that these events are non–relativistic SN Ib/c. Only SN 2009bb could be considered as a transition event between the class of SNe and GRBs (Soderberg et al. 2010a).

If GRBs have a jet, OA should exist and they should be detected by wide field deep surveys. Here we consider the standard model of a uniform jet for GRBs but the detection rate of OA has been explored (Rossi, Perna, & Daigne 2008) and for transients through wide field deep surveys and they could be a considerable fraction of the detected transient population (e.g. Frail et al. 2012). However, one challenge is how to disentangle the population of GRB OA from other possible sources producing similar transients. In the radio band, the detection of GRB afterglows (Chan-
The viewing angle ($\theta_{\text{view}}$) versus jet opening angle ($\theta_{\text{jet}}$) of the simulated population of GRBs (G13). The solid line of equality separates GRBs pointing to the Earth (blue symbols - with $\theta_{\text{jet}} \leq \theta_{\text{view}}$) from the bulk of the population of GRBs not pointing to the Earth (black symbols - with $\theta_{\text{jet}} > \theta_{\text{view}}$) which can be detected as Orphan Afterglows.

One of our aims is to derive the detectability of OAs with current and future radio surveys. We consider here the flux at the brightest phase of the OA emission. This happens at a characteristic time $t_v$ when the bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma(t_v) = 1/\sin \theta_{\text{view}}$. Although the flux starts to rise before this time, when the edge of the jet (that we assume here to have a sharp top-hat conical section) closer to the viewing angle becomes visible (i.e. when $\Gamma = 1/\sin(\theta_{\text{view}} - \theta_{\text{jet}})$), the peak flux of the orphans occurs:

$$R_v = \left( \frac{17E_k \sin^2 \theta_{\text{view}}}{16\pi m_p c^2 n^2} \right)^{1/3}$$

The corresponding time $t_v$ can be derived by integrating:

$$t_v = \int_{R_0}^{R_v} \frac{1 - \beta(r) \cos \theta_{\text{view}}}{\beta(r)} \, dr$$

where $E_k$ is the kinetic energy driving the expansion of the fireball into the interstellar medium of density $n$. The kinetic energy is related to the prompt emission $\gamma$–ray energy $E_{\gamma}$ through the efficiency $\eta$. We can derive the time $t_v$ when $\Gamma = 1/\sin \theta_{\text{view}}$ expressing through Equation (1) the distance $R_v$ when this transition occurs:

$$\Gamma(R)^2 = \frac{17E_k}{16\pi m_p c^2 n R^3}$$

3 ORPHAN AFTERGLOW TIMESCALES

The energetic of each simulated burst is then determined by $\Gamma_0 \left( E_p = \Gamma_0 E'_p \right)$ and the isotropic equivalent energy by $\Gamma_0 \text{ and } \theta_{\text{jet}} \left( E_{\text{iso}} = \Gamma_0 E'_p/(1-\cos\theta_{\text{jet}}) \right)$. The simulation free parameters (e.g. the parameters of the log-normal distributions of $\Gamma_0$ and $\theta_{\text{jet}}$) are determined by reproducing the observed properties of the GRB samples observed by different satellites: (a) the flux distribution and (b) the empirical $E_p/E_{\text{iso}}$ correlation of BATSE, i.e. a complete sample of bright Swift GRBs (Salvaterra et al. 2012; Nava et al. 2012), (c) the flux and fluence distributions of GRBs detected by Fermi and by BATSE–CGRO. In particular, constraint (a) is used to normalize the GRB population so that the number of simulated bursts with peak flux $> 2.6 \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ matches the rate of GRBs ($\sim$1 sr–yr$^{-1}$) detected by Swift–BAT above this flux threshold.

The GRBs used as observational constraints by PSYCHE are bursts that are pointing towards the Earth (i.e. with $\theta_{\text{jet}} > \theta_{\text{view}}$), indeed their prompt emission has been detected by different satellites (Swift, Fermi, CGRO). However, the code simulates also GRBs seen off-axis. This is because one of the scopes of G13 was to describe the parent population of bursts, of which only a minor fraction (with $\theta_{\text{jet}} > \theta_{\text{view}}$) can be detected in the $\gamma$–ray band. The simulated population of GRBs is shown in Figure 1 where the viewing angle $\theta_{\text{view}}$ is plotted against the jet opening angle $\theta_{\text{jet}}$. It is evident that the jet angle distribution $\theta_{\text{jet}}$ is log–normal, while the clustering of the population towards large $\theta_{\text{view}}$ values is the effect of the probability function of $\theta_{\text{view}}$.

The population is composed by a minor fraction ($\sim 2.4\%$) of GRBs that are “pointing” towards the Earth (i.e. with $\theta_{\text{jet}} > \theta_{\text{view}}$) which can be detected by $\gamma$–ray detectors (blue symbols in Figure 1) and a majority of bursts that are off–axis with $\theta_{\text{jet}} < \theta_{\text{view}}$ (black symbols in Figure 1). The latter are events that can be potentially detected at any frequency except that in the $\gamma$–ray band and are called OA. Therefore, a description of the properties of the entire GRB population should also consider the GRBs that are off–axis and are detectable only as OA. According to the results of PSYCHE, the ratio of the off–axis to on–axis bursts (i.e. black vs. blue dots in Figure 1) is $\sim 40$, smaller than the typical value obtained by assuming that all GRBs have $\theta_{\text{jet}} = 3^\circ$. This is due to the distribution of $\theta_{\text{jet}}$ that we find with PSYCHE to be a log–normal (see G13 for details). Through our simulation we find that the rate of OA is $\sim 3.3 \times 10^4 \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ (i.e. $\sim 10 \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ deg}^{-2}$).

\[ \theta_{\text{jet}} \] probability density function. The starting assumption of PSYCHE is that all GRBs have a standard comoving frame energy $E''_p = 1.5 \times 10^{58} \text{ erg}$ and a unique comoving frame prompt emission peak energy $E''_p = 1.5 \text{ keV}$. This assumption is motivated by the clustering of these quantities found when correcting for the beaming factor $\Gamma_0$, estimated from the peak of the afterglow light curve (Liang et al. 2010; Ghirlanda et al. 2012; Lu et al. 2012).

The energetic of each simulated burst is then determined by $\Gamma_0 \left( E_p = \Gamma_0 E'_p \right)$ and the isotropic equivalent energy by $\Gamma_0$ and $\theta_{\text{jet}} \left( E_{\text{iso}} = \Gamma_0 E'_p/(1-\cos\theta_{\text{jet}}) \right)$. The simulation free parameters (e.g. the parameters of the log-normal distributions of $\Gamma_0$ and $\theta_{\text{jet}}$) are determined by reproducing the observed properties of the GRB samples observed by different satellites: (a) the flux distribution and (b) the empirical $E_p/E_{\text{iso}}$ correlation of BATSE, i.e. a complete sample of bright Swift GRBs (Salvaterra et al. 2012; Nava et al. 2012), (c) the flux and fluence distributions of GRBs detected by Fermi and by BATSE–CGRO. In particular, constraint (a) is used to normalize the GRB population so that the number of simulated bursts with peak flux $> 2.6 \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ matches the rate of GRBs ($\sim 15 \text{ sr}–\text{yr}^{-1}$) detected by Swift–BAT above this flux threshold.

The GRBs used as observational constraints by PSYCHE are bursts that are pointing towards the Earth (i.e. with $\theta_{\text{jet}} > \theta_{\text{view}}$), indeed their prompt emission has been detected by different satellites (Swift, Fermi, CGRO). However, the code simulates also GRBs seen off–axis. This is because one of the scopes of G13 was to describe the parent population of bursts, of which only a minor fraction (with $\theta_{\text{jet}} > \theta_{\text{view}}$) can be detected in the $\gamma$–ray band. The simulated population of GRBs is shown in Figure 1 where the viewing angle $\theta_{\text{view}}$ is plotted against the jet opening angle $\theta_{\text{jet}}$. It is evident that the jet angle distribution $\theta_{\text{jet}}$ is log–normal, while the clustering of the population towards large $\theta_{\text{view}}$ values is the effect of the probability function of $\theta_{\text{view}}$.

The population is composed by a minor fraction ($\sim 2.4\%$) of GRBs that are “pointing” towards the Earth (i.e. with $\theta_{\text{jet}} > \theta_{\text{view}}$) which can be detected by $\gamma$–ray detectors (blue symbols in Figure 1) and a majority of bursts that are off–axis with $\theta_{\text{jet}} < \theta_{\text{view}}$ (black symbols in Figure 1). The latter are events that can be potentially detected at any frequency except that in the $\gamma$–ray band and are called OA. Therefore, a description of the properties of the entire GRB population should also consider the GRBs that are off–axis and are detectable only as OA. According to the results of PSYCHE, the ratio of the off–axis to on–axis bursts (i.e. black vs. blue dots in Figure 1) is $\sim 40$, smaller than the typical value obtained by assuming that all GRBs have $\theta_{\text{jet}} = 3^\circ$. This is due to the distribution of $\theta_{\text{jet}}$ that we find with PSYCHE to be a log–normal (see G13 for details). Through our simulation we find that the rate of OA is $\sim 3.3 \times 10^4 \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ (i.e. $\sim 10 \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ deg}^{-2}$).
The lower boundary of the integrals \( R_p, t_p \) correspond to the distance/time from which the BM solution is valid. Although the transition from the coasting phase (when the fireball is moving with constant velocity \( \Gamma_0 \)) to the deceleration phase is smooth (see e.g. Nava et al. 2013), we derive \( R_p \) by extrapolating backwards the BM solution to the end of the coasting phase, i.e. \( R_p = (17E_{\theta e}/16\pi m_p c^2 n_0^2)^{1/3} \). For the typical parameters of our synthetic GRB population \( (R_p, t_p) \ll (R_v, t_v) \) so that the estimate of \( t_v \) is dominated by \( R_v \).

Following G13 (see also Section 4), we assume the ISM density \( n \) uniformly distributed between 1 and 30 cm\(^{-3}\) and a typical value of the radiative efficiency \( \eta = 20\% \). Figure 2 shows the differential (main panel) and cumulative (inset) distribution of the time when the peak of the OA, \( t_v \), of the simulated population of GRBs seen off–axis (solid blue line). The OA emission peaks on average a few years after the GRB event.

However, the relevant timescale for OA studies and detection (Section 5) is their duration because there is no starting reference time coincident with the GRB prompt emission (which is undetected for OA). The time when the OA emission starts to be visible \( t_\text{jet} \), at a very low flux level, is when \( \Gamma = 1/\sin(\theta_\text{view} - \theta_\text{jet}) \). Then the flux rises reaching a peak at \( t_v \) and decays afterwards in the same way as it would if seen from an on–axis observer. In order to define a duration, we consider the difference between the time when the jet becomes non–relativistic \( t_{\text{NR}} \) (i.e. when \( \Gamma = 1 \), e.g. Livio and Waxman 2000) and the time when the OA starts to be visible \( t_\text{jet} \). The OA duration distribution is shown by the dashed (red) histograms in Figure 2 (differential and cumulative in the main plot and inset, respectively). The duration of the OA emission is slightly smaller than the typical timescales corresponding to the peak of the same emission confirming that OA are slow transients.

**4 ORPHAN AFTERGLOW FLUXES**

To characterise the flux distribution of OA we have coupled the population synthesis code (G13) with an emission model for the afterglow. We use the afterglow Hydrodynamic Emission (HE) code of van Eerten & MacFadyen (2012a, 2012b) obtaining a code (PSYCHE) which has already been used to study the radio emission properties of on–axis GRBs (Ghirlanda et al. 2013b). The HE code is based on a set of jet hydrodynamic 2D simulations that describes the evolution of the jet expansion into a constant density medium from the ultra–relativistic phase to the sub–relativistic one. This code assumes synchrotron emission with self absorption from a population of electrons accelerated at the shock front with a power law energy distribution with slope \( p \). The fraction of the shock energy shared between electrons and magnetic field is parametrised by the \( \epsilon_e \) and \( \epsilon_B \) parameters.

In addition to the GRB parameters (redshift \( z \), jet opening angle \( \theta_\text{jet} \), viewing angle \( \theta_\text{view} \), isotropic equivalent kinetic energy \( E_k \)) PSYCHE requires to set \( n, \epsilon_e \) and \( \epsilon_B \). The values of these parameters will differ from burst to burst. Ghirlanda et al. (2013b) shows that with typical values of \( \epsilon_e = 2 \times 10^{-2} \) and \( \epsilon_B = 8 \times 10^{-3} \) and \( p = 2.5 \) (see also Ghisellini et al. 2009), PSYCHE can reproduce the radio flux distribution of the BAT6 Swift sample.

Through PSYCHE we can compute the flux density of the population of OA at typical characteristic frequencies: considering the current radio facilities, we choose three GHz frequencies (1.4, 5 and 8.4 GHz) and two MHz frequencies (200 and 600 MHz). Figure 3 shows the cumulative peak flux distribution of OA radio afterglows at these frequencies.

The distributions of Figure 3 show the rate of OAs in deg\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\). The bright end of the flux distribution extends to the mJy level at higher frequencies, although the rate of these bright events is very small. For instance, at 8.4 GHz (blue line in Figure 3), there are \( \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \) events deg\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) brighter than 1 mJy. This rate is smaller by a factor 10–50 with respect to the predictions of Levinson et al. (2002) rescaled for our different assumptions. This could be due to the assumptions of that work (e.g. a unique beaming factor and energetic of GRBs and the description of the OA flux at the trans–relativistic transition). Our model instead assumes the proper distributions of the jet opening angles and GRB energetics (as derived through the population synthesis code of G13) and uses the HE code to describe the OA emission throughout the relativistic to non–relativistic phase.

The flux distributions shown in Figure 3 are consistent with a slope \(-0.7\) at high fluxes and assume a flatter slope \(-0.4\) at lower flux levels (grey dashed lines in Figure 3). The bright end of the flux distribution (i.e. above 0.1 mJy) is consistent with the prediction of Frail et al. (2012) for the same flux interval (shown by the shaded grey thick line in Figure 3). However, we note that our code extends the flux energy range far below this limit where there is a considerable flattening of the flux distribution. This is a relevant point for the prediction of the rate of OA detectable by future deep radio surveys. The
high end of the flux distribution is dominated by GRBs with small opening angles $\theta_{\text{jet}}$ observed at small viewing angles $\theta_{\text{view}}$ (low left corner of the $\theta_{\text{view}}$-$\theta_{\text{jet}}$ plane in Figure 1). In G13 we have shown that small $\theta_{\text{jet}}$ correspond to GRBs with large $\Gamma_0$, which have large energetics. The break of the flux distribution corresponds to a viewing angle $\theta_{\text{view}} \approx 10^\circ$ (as shown by the dot–dashed cyan line in Figure 3). The slope of the flux distribution below the break is due to the superposition of the flux distributions of progressively more GRBs with larger $\theta_{\text{view}}$.

5 ORPHAN AFTERGLOWS RADIO DETECTION RATES

5.1 Present limits

Searches of transients in radio archival observations or radio surveys (Levinson et al. 2002; Gal-Yam et al. 2006; Bannister et al. 2011; Bell et al. 2011; Bower and Saul 2011; Bower et al. 2007, 2010; Croft et al. 2010; Frail et al. 2012; Carilli et al. 2003; Matsumura et al. 2009; Lazio et al. 2010) set upper limits on the sky density of transients.

Detection of radio transients is, however, only the first step towards the identification of OA, because many other astronomical objects can produce radio transients (see e.g. Murphy et al. 2012 for a recent review) and the absence of any $\gamma$–ray trigger for OA prevents their classification as events related to GRBs seen off–axis. Of the nine candidate radio transients identified by comparing the NVSS (Condon et al. 1998) and FIRST (White et al. 1997) radio surveys at 1.4 GHz (Levinson et al. 2002), five were rejected as false triggers and two were classified as a radio SN and as an artefact in the data by Gal-Yam et al. (2006) through extensive follow up and multi wavelength observations. Similarly, the detection rates (10 transients at 8.4 and 4.8 GHz) originally reported by Bower et al. (2007) through the analysis of archival VLA observations, were later rejected by an independent analysis of the same data sets (Frail et al. 2012). The fields of the VLA (at 1.4, 4.8 and 8.4 GHz), recently analysed by Bell et al. (2011), are distributed over more than 20 years and have typical separations of few days or a month but do not show any radio transient detected down to a limiting flux of 8 mJy. There were also works that used extensive observations of a single field (e.g. the archival VLA observations of the 3C 286 field - Bower & Saul 2011 or the Lockman Hole - Carilli et al. 2003) all giving upper limits on the detection of radio transients at some flux level. Murphy et al. (2012) and Bell et al. (2011) summarised these results.
Table 1. Detection rates of OA by future radio telescopes. For each survey the observing frequency (col.2) and the 5σ sensitivity limit (col.3) is reported. The rates (col.4) are derived from the flux density distributions shown in Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescope name</th>
<th>ν [GHz]</th>
<th>S_{lim} [mJy]</th>
<th>Rate [deg^{-2} yr^{-1}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASKAP</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeerKAT/Ph1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeerKAT/Ph2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>3 × 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKA/Ph1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>6 × 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKA/Ph2</td>
<td>1.4(8.4)</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>1.5(2 × 10^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSRT/AperTIF</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVLA</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>3 × 10^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOFAR</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWA</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMRT</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMRT</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 shows the upper limits derived from Table 3 of Murphy et al. (2012) at the corresponding flux limit of the survey. The limits on the radio transients density have been converted into detection rate limits considering the typical timescales of the surveys. All the current upper limits are consistent with the flux distribution of the population of OA derived with PSYCHE. The different colours of the upper limits in Figure 3 correspond to the characteristic radio frequency of the survey and should be compared with the corresponding line (same colour coding) of the flux distribution. All the current limits correspond to relatively high flux levels, larger than 0.1 mJy.

5.2 Future surveys

Considering the main future surveys that will be performed by the SKA and its pathfinders we report in Table 1 the detection rates (col.4) expected considering a 5σ flux limit (col.3). We are aware that the continuum sensitivity limits are still somewhat uncertain, but we adopt fiducial values from the available literature.

We also note that the future survey design is still on its way and the two leading parameters, i.e. the field of view and the sensitivity, should be considered in estimating the rate of AO detectable by a given survey at a given frequency. Here (Table 1) we give the detection rates in units of OA yr^{-1} deg^{-2} at fiducial 5σ sensitivity limits, so that if the sensitivity will remain almost unchanged the rate can be obtained multiplying for the field of view. If these numbers will change substantially for a given survey, Figure 3 should be used to derive the OA rate at a different sensitivity limit for surveys operating at different frequencies. As far as the MHz telescopes are concerned, we predict that the peak OA fluxes will be 50–100 times fainter than the ~mJy sensitivity provided.

In order to explore the population of OA at radio frequencies it is fundamental to go deeper than current limits. Considering the slopes of the flux distributions (Figure 3) one should go deeper in sensitivity above the break of the flux distribution and instead consider a wider field of view at fluxes below the break in order to maximise the rate of detected OA.

Current searches for radio transients have used available radio observations (mostly archival) which are not homogeneously spaced in time. In general these searches are sensitive to radio transients which are present in some observation and then disappear (or the other way around). The timescale of the transients that are detectable is therefore related to the timescale separating subsequent observations (i.e. the survey cadence). Radio OA are long lived transients and it is important to estimate how long they last. While we have defined their duration in Section 3 considering the separation between two characteristic “dynamical” timescales, here we want to describe the time interval during which the OA is above a certain flux threshold S_{lim} corresponding to a given survey limit.

The number of OA above threshold and their average duration depends on S_{lim}. Decreasing S_{lim} (i.e. for a deeper survey): (i) the fraction of OA that can be detected with flux F ≥ S_{lim} increases and (ii) their “duration above threshold” = T increases. We have computed for all the simulated OA the time interval during which their emission (at a given frequency) is above S_{lim}. Figure 4 shows the average duration T above a certain S_{lim} as a function of S_{lim}. The reported numbers are the sall sky number of OA in units of yr^{-1} which can be detected given that S_{lim}. The average duration T above S_{lim} increases when deeper survey limits are considered. We note that future surveys (shown by the vertical dotted lines in Figure 4) can detect a large number of OA per year (full sky) provided that their cadence is smaller or similar to the typical duration of OA (as shown in Figure 4). For example, considering the ASKAP (or WSRT) flux limit of 50μJy at 1.4 GHz, there are ~125 OA yr^{-1} on all the sky. Out of these, ~0.1 yr^{-1} could be detected in the VAST survey field of view of 30 deg^2. These sources could be detected as on–off transients in subsequent exposures separated at least by one week up to one month.

In G13 we derived that ~0.3% of SN Ib/c can produce a GRB event. This percentage refers to all GRBs, i.e. those pointing to the Earth (i.e. detected as γ–ray events) and those pointing in other directions (detectable as OAs). If SN Ib/c are GRBs oriented away from the observer line of sight, they should be detected at late times when the afterglow emission has decelerated enough to encompass, with its beaming angle, the observer viewing angle ϑ_{view}. Soderberg et al. (2006 - S06) and Bietenholz et al. (2013 - B13) performed radio surveys of a sample of SN Ib/c, the putative progenitors of long–duration GRBs. Since their combined sample consists of 112 SN, according to the finding of G13 we should expect...
Figure 4. Average OA duration above $S_{\text{lim}}$ as a function of the survey limiting flux. Blue asterisks are for the 8.4 GHz and red circles for 1.4 GHz (the latter are slightly displaced along the abscissa for clarity). The reported numbers correspond to the total number of OA that are above $S_{\text{lim}}$ at their peak (in units of yr$^{-1}$ all sky). The 5$\sigma$ limiting fluxes of the current and future surveys are reported.

Figure 5. Density contours (1, 2 and 3$\sigma$ as labelled) representing the distribution of the flux (at 8.4 GHz) of the OA population versus the time when their light curve peaks. The 3$\sigma$ upper limits of the SNIb/c observed in the radio band by Soderberg et al. 2006 (green triangles) and by Bietenholz et al. 2013 (red triangles) are shown. Filled circles are the two detections at radio frequencies, i.e. SN 2001em and SN 2003gk.

6 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Orphan afterglows are GRBs whose emission is detectable only during the afterglow phase (at optical/NIR and radio frequencies). Their prompt $\gamma$–ray emission is unobservable because the viewing angle $\theta_{\text{view}}$ is larger than the jet opening angle $\theta_{\text{jet}}$ (off–axis GRBs). In these events the afterglow emission becomes observable when the bulk Lorentz factor, which is decreasing during the afterglow phase, becomes $\Gamma \sim 1/\theta_{\text{view}}$. After this time, which represents the peak of the OA light curve, the emission is similar to that for an observer within the jet opening angle.

OA make up a majority of the population of GRBs. However, none have been observed so far, do to their lack of a prompt emission trigger. Their detection is possible as transients in deep/wide field surveys. However, so far no detection of OA has been confirmed by searches in archival
optical/radio observations. In current and future surveys OA might represent a considerable fraction of detected transients. In this paper we have used the results of a population synthesis code for GRBs (G13) that simulates the entire population of GRBs including off-axis events and is anchored to reproduce some observational constraints of the population of GRBs detected *Fermi* and *CGRO* with particular emphasis on the constraints given by the BAT6 complete *Swift* sample (Salvaterra et al. 2012).

We have explored the properties of the population of off–axis GRBs (see Figure 1 - black symbols) in terms of their radio emission. We have computed the radio flux density of the OA population (representing ∼97% of the entire GRB simulated population) at the time when the OA light curve reaches its peak (Figure 2) which is of the order of few years after the prompt trigger. However, the lack of any prompt emission (i.e. γ–ray trigger) in OA, requires to compute the timescale of their duration which, given the typical rise/decay long–term evolution of the afterglow flux, can be of the same order of the peak time. This suggest that OA in the radio band should be slow transients.

We have constructed the cumulative flux distribution at different radio frequencies (GHz and MHz) that shows a high flux tail with a slope consistent with −1.7 (Figure 3) and a break at a frequency dependent flux below which the slope becomes flatter (−0.4). This is due to the combination of the $\theta_{\text{jet}}$ distribution of the population of simulated bursts with the viewing angle $\theta_{\text{view}}$ probability function: at approximately 10° the product of the $\theta_{\text{jet}}$ log–normal distribution (resulting from the population code of G13) and of the probability density of $\theta_{\text{view}}$ is maximised. This accounts for the slope change of the flux distributions in Figure 3, the break of the flux distribution is shifted to lower fluxes at lower frequencies because the radio emission is in the self absorbed regime of the synchrotron spectrum.

In general, from Figure 3 we note that very bright OA with flux at the 1 mJy level are rare. This is the central flux of the current radio searches/surveys that have been searching for OAs. Our population is consistent with the limits given by these surveys. Totani & Panaitescu (2002) derived the flux distribution of orphan afterglows based on 10 bright GRB afterglows. Our estimates are consistent in the bright flux end with their but we predict a lower number of OA at low fluxes (below the break of our flux distribution). This is due to the modelling, in our case, of the GRB jet opening angle distribution (G13).

In G13 we derived that ∼0.3% of SN Ib/c may harbour a GRB, i.e. in other words the great majority of the putative progenitors of GRBs do not produce a relativistic jet. When compared to the combined samples of S06 and B13, which comprise 112 SN Ib/c, there were indeed only two detections and we statistically expect none of them to be an off–axis GRB. Both SN 2001em and SN 2003gk were successively not shown to expand at relativistic velocity, arguing against their being genuine OAs. Finally, we showed (in Figure 5) that all upper limits on SN Ib/c radio emission at late times are indeed consistent with the distribution of GRB OAs in the plane $F_{\text{peak}}$ vs. $t_{\text{peak}}$.
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