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#### Abstract

In 1947 I. E. Segal proved that to each non-degenerate $\sim$-representation $R$ of $L^{1}\left(=L^{1}(G)\right.$ for a compact group $G$ ) with representation space $\mathscr{H}$, there corresponds a continuous unitary representation $W$ of $G$, also with representation space $\mathscr{H}$, which satisfies $$
\langle R(f) h, k\rangle=\int_{G}\langle\boldsymbol{W}(x) h, k\rangle f(x) d x
$$ for each $f \in L^{1}$ and $h, k \in \mathscr{H}$. This was extended to $L^{p}, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, in 1970 by E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross. We now generalize this result to any symmetric homogeneous convolution Banach algebra of pseudomeasures on $G$. Further we prove that the correspondence preserves irreducibility.


1980 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 22 D 20; secondary 43 A 65.

Throughout $G$ will denote a compact group with dual object $\Sigma$. As usual $\sigma$ will denote an arbitrary element of $\Sigma$, and $U_{\sigma}$ a representation in $\sigma$ acting on the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}$. For each $p, 1 \leqslant p<\infty, L^{p}$ will denote the Banach space of $p$-integrable functions on $G$ with respect to Haar measure. It is well known that $L^{p}$ is a symmetric Banach algebra if multiplication is convolution and the involution $\sim$ is defined by $\tilde{f}(x)=\overline{f\left(x^{-1}\right)}$ for all $x \in G$ and $f \in L^{p}$.

For any Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ will denote the space of bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{H}$, and $\|\|$ the usual operator norm. If $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is involuted with the adjoint map, then it also is a symmetric Banach algebra.

We define a $\sim$-representation $R$ of $L^{p}$ on $\mathscr{H}$ to be any representation which preserves involution; it is non-degenerate if for each non-zero $h \in \mathscr{H}$ there exists $f \in L^{p}$ such that $R_{f} h \neq 0$, where $R_{f}$ denotes $R(f)$.

[^0]Segal [4] proved that to each non-degenerate $\sim$-representation $R$ of $L^{1}$ there corresponds a unique continuous unitary representation $W$ of $G$, both $R$ and $W$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, such that

$$
\left\langle R_{f} h, k\right\rangle=\int_{G}\left\langle W_{x} h, k\right\rangle f(x) d x
$$

for all $h, k \in \mathscr{H}$ and $f \in L^{1}$. Hewitt and Ross [2] extend this result in (38.21) to $L^{p}$ for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$.

We shall derive a corresponding result for all symmetric convolution Banach algebras of pseudomeasures on $G$. Our results will include those of Segal, Hewitt and Ross since each $L^{p}, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, is such a Banach algebra.

We will denote by $A$ the space of continuous functions on $G$ which possess absolutely summable Fourier transforms, and by $P$ its continuous dual. The elements of $P$ are called pseudomeasures.

Ward [5], [6] detail the properties of $P$ and its elements. However, for convenience we provide a short summary. The Fourier transform $\hat{S}(\sigma)$ of an element $S$ of $P$ at the point $\sigma$ of $\Sigma$ is defined to be the operator $S_{\sigma}$ in $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}\right)$ which satisfies

$$
S(f)=\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} d_{\sigma} \operatorname{tr}\left(S_{\sigma} \hat{f}(\sigma)^{*}\right)
$$

for all $f \in A$. We note that $\sup _{\sigma \in \Sigma}\left\|S_{\sigma}\right\|$ is finite and that $\|S\|_{\mathbf{P}}=\sup _{\sigma \in \Sigma}\left\|S_{\sigma}\right\|$, so that $S$ can be identified with the sequence $\left(S_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$. For each $x \in G$, the left $x$-translate ${ }_{x} S$ of $S$ is defined by ${ }_{x} S(f)=S\left(x_{x^{-1}} f\right)$ for all $f \in A$. The involution $\sim$ and convolution product $*$ are defined on $\mathbf{P}$ by $(\tilde{S})_{\sigma}=S_{\sigma}^{*}$ and $(S * T)_{\sigma}=$ $S_{\sigma} T_{\sigma}$, respectively, for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Throughout $B$ will denote a symmetric homogeneous convolution Banach algebra of pseudomeasures, and $\left\|\|_{B}\right.$ its norm. The homogeneity means that $B$ is left translation invariant, that each left translation operator is continuous on $B$, and that the map $x \rightarrow_{x} b$ is continuous on $G$ for each $b \in B$. It is further assumed that the injection of $B$ into $P$ is continuous.

As already noted each $L^{p}, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, is homogeneous. Further examples are $A, C$ (the space of continuous functions on $G$ ) and, for $1 \leqslant p<\infty, U^{p}$ (the space of $L^{1}$-functions with $p$-summable Fourier transforms). For a discussion of these and other examples see Section 5 of [5]. It is, in fact, shown in [5, (3.1)] that for any subset $F$ of $\Sigma$

$$
B_{F}=\{b \in B: \operatorname{supp}(\hat{b}) \subseteq F\}
$$

is a closed symmetric subalgebra of $B$, and so is also homogeneous. This provides us with a method of producing an abundance of non-trivial examples.

It is an important consequence of 2.4 and 2.6 of [5] that there exists a subset $F$ of $\Sigma$ such that $T_{F}$ (the set of trigonmetric polynomials with Fourier transforms supported by $F$ ) is a dense subspace of $B$. Consequently, for each $\sigma \in F, B_{(\sigma)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}\right)$.

We now let $R$ denote a non-degenerate $\sim$-representation of $B$ acting on some Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$. Then, for each $\sigma \in F, R$ induces a $\sim$-representation $Q_{\sigma}$ of $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}\right)$ on $\mathscr{H}$ by

$$
Q_{\sigma}(T)=R\left(x \rightarrow d_{\sigma} \operatorname{tr}\left(T U_{\sigma}(x)^{*}\right)\right)
$$

for each $T \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}\right)$.
Naimark [3] has completely determined the structure of ~ -representations of finite dimensional operator algebras $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{K})$. It follows from [3, (22.2)] that, for each $\sigma \in F$, there exists a family of mutually orthogonal $Q_{\sigma}$-invariant closed subspaces $\mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{0}, \mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}, a \in \mathscr{A}$, of $\mathscr{H}$ satisfying
(i) $\mathscr{H}=\mathscr{K}_{o}^{0} \oplus \underset{a \in \mathscr{A}}{ } \mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}=\stackrel{a \in \mathscr{A}}{\operatorname{dim}} \mathscr{H}_{a} \stackrel{\mathrm{dI}}{=} d_{\sigma}$ for each $a \in \mathscr{A}$, and
(iii) $\mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{0}=\cap\left\{\operatorname{ker} Q_{\sigma}(T): T \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}\right)\right\}$,
and a family, indexed by $\mathscr{A}$, of unitary operators $V_{\sigma}^{a}: \mathscr{H}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}$ such that, for each $T \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}\right), Q_{o}(T)$ can be identified with the direct sum

$$
\bigoplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} T V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}
$$

That is, for each $h \in \oplus_{a \in \infty} \mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}$, regarded as a subset of $\mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\sigma}(T) h=\left(\underset{a \in \mathscr{A}}{ } V_{\sigma}^{a} T V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}\right) h \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that if $P_{\sigma}^{a}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $\mathscr{H}$ onto $\mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}$, then the series $\sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} T V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a}$ is convergent in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ in the strong operator topology.

We shall use this decomposition of the $Q_{\sigma}$ to obtain a decomposition of $R$ which will suggest an 'obvious' choice for $W$. First, however, we require a preliminary result concerning the orthogonality of the set of projections $\left\{P_{\sigma}^{a}: a \in \mathscr{A}, \sigma \in F\right\}$.

Lemma 1. $\left\{P_{\sigma}^{a}: a \in A, \sigma \in F\right\}$ is orthogonal.

Proof. Since $\mathscr{H}$ is the direct sum of $\mathscr{K}_{0}^{0}$ and the $\mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}$ 's it is clear that for each $\sigma \in F,\left\{P_{\sigma}^{a}: a \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ is orthogonal. So it is sufficient to show that, provided $\eta \neq \sigma$, we have $\oplus_{a \in \mathscr{\infty}} \mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a} \subseteq \mathscr{K}_{\eta}^{0}$.

Assume now that $\eta \neq \sigma$. Observe that for each $b_{1} \in B_{\{\eta\}}$ and $b_{2} \in B_{\{\sigma\}}$, we have $R\left(b_{1} * b_{2}\right)=R(0)=0$, and so $Q_{\eta}\left(T_{1}\right) Q_{\sigma}\left(T_{2}\right)=0$ for each $T_{1} \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\eta}\right)$ and $T_{2} \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}\right)$. We observe that if $T_{2}=I_{\sigma}=$ the identity map on $\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}$, then $Q_{\sigma}\left(I_{\sigma}\right)$ $=\sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}} P_{\sigma}^{a}$, and so $Q_{\eta}\left(T_{1}\right) h=0$ for all $T_{1} \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\eta}\right)$ and $h \in \oplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} \mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}$. Hence $\oplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} \mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a} \subseteq \mathscr{K}_{\eta}^{0}$.

We observe that, for each $\sigma \in F$ and $a \in \mathscr{A}$, the operator $V_{a}^{a} T V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}$ is an operator in $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}\right)$ with $\left\|V_{\sigma}^{a} T V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}\right\| \leqslant\|T\|$. It follows from Lemma 1 that if $\left(T_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma \in F}$ denotes a set of operators, $T_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{\sigma}\right)$, with $\sup _{\sigma \in F}\left\|T_{\sigma}\right\|<\infty$, then $\oplus_{\sigma \in F} \oplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} T_{\sigma} V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}$ is a bounded operator in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$. We can now prove that the representation $R$ can be reconstructed using the decomposition of the $Q_{\sigma}$ 's.

Theorem 1. For each $b \in B$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(b)=\bigoplus_{\sigma \in F} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} \hat{b}(\sigma) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $b$ is a trigonometric polynomial, then the support of $\hat{b}$ is a finite subset $F_{0}$ of $F$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(b) & =\sum_{\sigma \in F_{0}} R\left(x \rightarrow d_{\sigma} \operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{b}(\sigma) U_{\sigma}(x)^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in F_{0}} Q_{\sigma}(\hat{b}(\sigma)) \\
& =\bigoplus_{\sigma \in F_{0}} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} \hat{b}(\sigma) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} \\
& =\bigoplus_{\sigma \in F} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} \hat{b}(\sigma) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $\left(k_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ denote an approximate identity in $L^{1}$. It follows from [5, (2.5)] and from the continuity of $R$ that, for each $b \in B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left\|R(b)-R\left(k_{\Lambda^{*}} b\right)\right\|=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if each $k_{\lambda}$ is a trigonometric polynomial then $k_{\lambda} * b \in T_{F}$ and so

$$
R\left(k_{\lambda} * b\right)=\bigoplus_{\sigma \in F} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a}\left(k_{\lambda} * b\right)^{\wedge}(\sigma) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}
$$

We note that for each $b \in B$, we have

$$
\sup _{\sigma \in F}\|\hat{b}(\sigma)\|=\|b\|_{P} \leqslant C\|b\|_{B}
$$

and so, as our discussion following Lemma 1 indicates, $\oplus_{\sigma \in F} \oplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} \hat{b}(\sigma) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}$ is an operator in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$. Therefore, it follows from (3) that (2) holds, provided that

$$
\lim _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left\|\bigoplus_{\sigma \in F} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a}\left(\hat{b}(\sigma)-\left(k_{\lambda} * b\right)^{\wedge}(\sigma)\right) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}\right\|=0
$$

That this limit exists and is equal to 0 is a consequence of the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a}\left[\hat{b}(\sigma)-\left(k_{\lambda} * b\right)^{\wedge}(\sigma)\right] V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a} h\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2} \\
&=\sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}}\left\|V_{\sigma}^{a}\left[\hat{b}(\sigma)-\left(k_{\lambda} * b\right)^{\wedge}(\sigma)\right] V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a} h\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sup _{\sigma \in \Sigma, a \in \mathscr{A}}\left\|V_{\sigma}^{a}\left[\hat{b}(\sigma)-\left(k_{\lambda} * b\right)^{\wedge}(\sigma)\right] V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}\right\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}}\left\|P_{\sigma}^{a} h\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left\|b-k_{\lambda} * b\right\|_{B}^{2}\|h\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $b \in B$.
Theorem 1 together with Equation (1) establishes that for each $b \in B$,

$$
R(b)=\sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} \hat{b}(\sigma) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a}
$$

the series being convergent in the strong operator topology on $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$.
If we recall our intention to generalize Segal's result, this suggests that the sums $\sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} U_{\sigma}(x) V_{\sigma}^{a *} P^{a}$ may be useful. Each is convergent in the strong operator topology on $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ since, for each $x \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} U_{\sigma}(x) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a} h\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2} & =\sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}}\left\|V_{\sigma}^{a} U_{\sigma}(x) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a} h\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2}  \tag{4}\\
& \leqslant \sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}}\left\|P_{\sigma}^{a} h\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2}=\|h\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $h \in \mathscr{H}$.
For each $x \in G$ we define $W(x)$ by

$$
W(x)=\bigoplus_{\sigma \in F} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} U_{0}(x) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}
$$

which is in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ because $\sup _{\sigma \in F, a \in \mathscr{A}}\left\|V_{\sigma}^{a} U_{\sigma}(x) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}}\right\| \leqslant 1$. Then, for each $h \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
W(x) h=\sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} U_{\sigma}(x) V_{\sigma}^{a *} P_{\sigma}^{a} h
$$

where, by (4), the series must be uniformly convergent in $\mathscr{H}$ over $G$.
Lemma 2. The map $W: G \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$, defined by $x \rightarrow W(x)$, is a continuous unitary representation of $G$ on $\mathscr{H}$.

Proof. First observe that since $R$ is non-degenerate we have

$$
\bigoplus_{\sigma \in F} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathscr{A}} \mathscr{K}_{\sigma}^{a}=\mathscr{H},
$$

and so $\Sigma_{\sigma \in F} \Sigma_{a \in \mathscr{A}} P_{\sigma}^{a}=I$, the identity map on $\mathscr{H}$. Consequently each operator $W(x)$ is unitary. Furthermore, by Lemma $1, W$ must be multiplicative, and so it remains only to prove that $W$ is continuous. In fact, as $W$ is unitary it is sufficient to prove that it is weakly continuous.

Now, for each $\sigma \in F, a \in \mathscr{A}, h \in \mathscr{H}$ and $x, y \in G$, we have

$$
\left\|V_{\sigma}^{a}\left[U_{\sigma}(x)-U_{0}(y)\right] V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{0}^{a} h\right\|_{\mathscr{H}} \leqslant\left\|U_{\sigma}(x)-U_{0}(y)\right\|\|h\|_{\mathscr{H}}
$$

so that the functions $x \mapsto V_{\sigma}^{a} U_{\sigma}(x) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a} \hbar$ are continuous from $G$ to $\mathscr{H}$. Since the series $\sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a} U_{\sigma}(x) V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a} \nprec$ must be uniformly convergent in $\mathscr{H}$ over $G$, this guarantees weak continuity.

The theory of vector-valued integrals, as presented in [1, Sections 8.14 and 8.15], can be used to demonstrate that $R$ can be recovered from $W$. To this end, we again let $\left(k_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ denote an approximate identity, consisting of trigonometric polynomials of $L^{1}$. We then prove:

Theorem 2. For each $b \in B, R(b)=\lim _{\lambda \in \Lambda} \int_{G} k_{\lambda} * b(x) W(x) d x$ in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$.
Proof. We first take $b \in T_{F}$ and use some familiar properties of vector-valued integrals to prove that $\int_{G} b(x) W(x) d x$ is an element of $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$. By $[1,8.15 .2$ and 8.14.4], it is sufficient to prove that the function $g: G \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}), x \rightarrow b(x) W(x)$, is measureable and that the real integral $\int_{G}\|g(x)\| d x$ is finite. However, observe that the first of these conditions follows from Lemma 2, and the second from Lemma 2 and the compactness of $G$.

We now prove that for such $b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(b)=\int_{G} b(x) W(x) d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that, for each $h \in \mathscr{H}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
R(b) h & =\sum_{\sigma \in F} Q_{\sigma}(\hat{b}(\sigma)) h  \tag{6}\\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in F} \sum_{a \in \mathscr{A}} V_{\sigma}^{a}\left[\int_{G} b(x) U_{\sigma}(x) d x\right] V_{\sigma}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a} h,
\end{align*}
$$

and that each of the functions $x \rightarrow V_{\sigma}^{a} b(x) U_{\sigma}(x) V_{0}^{a^{*}} P_{\sigma}^{a} h$ is continuous from $G$ to $\mathscr{H}$. Since the series is uniformly convergent in $\mathscr{H}$ over $G$, we can interchange the order of summation and integration in (6), giving (5).

To extend the result to the whole of $B$, let $b$ denote an arbitrary element of $B$ and $\left(k_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ an approximate identity, consisting of trigonometric polynomials, of $L^{1}$. Then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda, k_{\lambda} * b$ is a trigonometric polynomial, and so it
follows from (3) and (5) that

$$
\lim _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left\|R(b)-\int_{G} k_{\lambda} * b(x) W(x) d x\right\|=0
$$

In particular we obtain the obvious extension of Segal's result.

Corollary. For each $b \in B$ and $h, k \in \mathscr{H}$,

$$
\langle R(b) h, k\rangle=b[x \rightarrow\langle W(x) h, k\rangle],
$$

where $b$ acts as a continuous linear functional on $A$. Moreover, if $b \in L^{1}$ then

$$
\langle R(b) h, k\rangle=\int_{G}\langle W(x) h, k\rangle b(x) d x
$$

We also obtain the result of Hewitt and Ross as a corollary.

Corollary. If $B=L^{p}$ for some $p, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, then for all $b \in B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(b)=\int_{G} b(x) W(x) d x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$.

Proof. It follows from $[1,8.14 .6]$ that for each $b \in B$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$
\left\|\int_{G}\left(k_{\lambda} * b-b\right)(x) W(x) d x\right\| \leqslant\left\|k_{\lambda} * b-b\right\|_{L^{p}} \sup _{x \in G}\|W(x)\| .
$$

Therefore, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|R(b)-\int_{G} b(x) W(x) d x\right\| \leqslant & \left\|R(b)-\int_{G} k_{\lambda} * b(x) W(x) d x\right\| \\
& +\left\|\int_{G}\left(k_{\lambda} * b-b\right)(x) W(x) d x\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2 ensures (7).

In the case of either group or of algebra representations, of fundamental importance are the so-called irreducible representations. In either case, a representation is called irreducible if the only subspaces of $\mathscr{H}$ which are invariant under the action of the representation are the trivial ones $\{0\}$ and $\mathscr{H}$. In other words, the only projections commuting with the action of the representation are
the 0 and the identity operators. The continuous irreducible unitary representations of a group $G$ are identified, up to equivalence, with $\Sigma$. We now prove that the continuous irreducible unitary representations of $B$ are identified, up to equivalence, with $F$.

Theorem 3. $R$ is irreducible if and only if $W$ is irreducible.

Proof. Assume that $R$ is reducible and let $\mathscr{K}$ denote a proper closed subspace of $\mathscr{H}$ which is invariant under the action of $R$. For each finite subset $E$ of $F$ define the complex-valued map $\omega_{E}$ on $G$ by $\omega_{E}(x)=\Sigma_{\sigma \in E} d_{\sigma} \operatorname{tr}\left(U_{\sigma}(x)^{*}\right)$. Then $\omega_{E} \in T_{F}$, and by Theorem 1 we have

$$
\lim _{E}\left\|W(x) h-R\left({ }_{x} \omega_{E}\right) h\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}=0
$$

for each $h \in \mathscr{H}$. But $R\left({ }_{x} \omega_{E}\right) \mathscr{K} \subseteq \mathscr{K}$ for each $x \in G$ and finite subset $E$ of $F$, and so $W(x) \mathscr{K} \subseteq \mathscr{K}$ for all $x \in G$. Hence $W$ is reducible. On the other hand, if $W$ is reducible, and $P$ denotes a non-trivial projection commuting with $W$, then $P W(x)=W(x) P$ for all $x \in G$. Hence, by Theorem $2 P R(b)=R(b) P$ for each $b \in B$, and so $R$ is also reducible.

So if $R$ is an irreducible unitary representation of $B$, then Theorem 3 ensures that $W=U_{0}$ for some $\sigma \in F$.
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