# COMPARISON THEOREMS FOR THE EIGENVALUES <br> OF THE LAPLACIAN IN THE UNIT BALL IN $R^{N}$ 
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AbSTRact. We obtain inequalities relating the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet and the Neumann problems for the Laplacian in the unit ball in $R^{n}$.

In this paper we will compare the eigenvalues of radial symmetric eigenfunctions of the Laplacian in the unit ball $B(0,1)$ in $R^{n}$. More specifically we compare the eigenvalues of the following two problems:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{cases}\Delta \phi+\lambda \rho \phi=0 & \text { in } B(0,1) \\
\left.\phi\right|_{\partial B(0,1)}=0 & \phi \text { is radial }\end{cases}  \tag{1}\\
& \begin{cases}\Delta \psi+\mu \rho \psi=0 & \text { in } B(0,1) \\
\left.\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial N}\right|_{\partial B(0,1)}=0 & \psi \text { is radial }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

or in polar coordinates,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(r^{n-1} \phi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda r^{n-1} \rho \phi=0 \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
\phi^{\prime}(0)=\phi(1)=0
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(r^{n-1} \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\mu r^{n-1} \rho \psi=0 \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
\psi^{\prime}(0)=\psi(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho(x)=\rho(|x|)>0$ for $x \in B(0,1), n \in Z^{+}$. It is well-known that the eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty},\left\{\mu_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of (3), (4) are discrete with $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\cdots \rightarrow \infty, 0<\mu_{1}<$ $\mu_{2}<\cdots \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that we have the inequality $\mu_{k} \leq \lambda_{k}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$.

In a recent paper of C. Bandle and G. Philippin [1], they proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k} \leq \lambda_{k}-2 \lambda_{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=2,3, \ldots$ where $\lambda_{k}, \mu_{k}$ are the $k$ th eigenvalue of (1) and (2) when $n=1$ and $\rho(x)$ is a decreasing function $[0,1]$. We shall show that (5) remains valid for eigenvalues of (3) and (4) when $n \in Z^{+}$and $\rho(x)=\rho(|x|)$ is a decreasing function of $|x|$ on $[0,1]$.

The proof is a modification of the proof [1], [5]. We will assume without loss of generality that $\phi_{1}>0$ in $r \in(0,1)$ throughout the paper and we will also assume $\rho \in C^{2}([0,1])$ in the following three lemmas.

[^0]LEMMA 1. Let $\phi_{k}$ be the kth eigenfunction of (3). Let $v_{k}=\phi_{k} / \phi_{1}$. Then $v_{k}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{n-1} v^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{2}\right)^{\prime}+\nu r^{n-1} \rho \phi_{1} \phi_{k}=0 \text { in }(0,1), \quad v^{\prime}(0)=v^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu=\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{1}$.
Proof. A straightforward computation shows that $v_{k}$ satisfies (6) with $\nu=\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{1}$. By [2], $\phi_{1}(0)>0$. So

$$
v_{k}^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\phi_{1} \phi_{k}^{\prime}-\phi_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{k}}{\phi_{1}^{2}}=0
$$

Since $\phi_{1}(r)=\phi_{1}^{\prime}(1)(r-1)+O\left((r-1)^{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{1}(r)>0$ for $r \in(0,1), \phi_{1}^{\prime}(1) \neq 0$. From (3) $\phi_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime \prime}=-\left(\frac{n-1}{r} \phi^{\prime}+\lambda_{k} \rho \phi\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So by l'Hôpital's rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{k}^{\prime}(1) & =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} v_{k}^{\prime}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\phi_{1} \phi_{k}^{\prime}-\phi_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{k}}{\phi_{1}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \phi_{1}^{\prime}(1)} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\phi_{1} \phi_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{k}}{\phi_{1}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \phi_{1}^{\prime}(1)} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{-\phi_{1}\left(\frac{n-1}{r} \phi_{k}^{\prime}+\lambda_{k} \rho \phi_{k}\right)+\phi_{k}\left(\frac{n-1}{r} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+\lambda_{1} \rho \phi_{1}\right)}{\phi_{1}} \\
& =\frac{n-1}{2 \phi_{1}^{\prime}(1)} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{\phi_{k} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-\phi_{k}^{\prime} \phi_{1}}{\phi_{1}} \\
& =\frac{n-1}{2 \phi_{1}^{\prime}(1)^{2}} \lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\{-\phi_{k}\left(\frac{n-1}{r} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+\lambda_{1} \rho \phi_{1}\right)+\phi_{1}\left(\frac{n-1}{r} \phi_{k}^{\prime}+\lambda_{k} \rho \phi_{k}\right)\right\} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

LEMMA 2. Let $v_{k}$ be as in Lemma 1. Then $w_{k-1}=r^{n-1} v_{k}^{\prime} \phi_{1}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\left(\frac{w^{\prime}}{r^{n-1} \rho}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{w}{r^{n-1}}\left\{\frac{2 \phi_{1}^{2}}{\rho \phi_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime} r^{n-1}}{\phi_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{r^{n-1} \rho}\right)^{\prime}\right\}+\nu \frac{w}{r^{n-1}}=0 \text { in }(0,1)
$$

where $\nu=\lambda_{k}-2 \lambda_{1}$ with $w_{k-1}(0)=w_{k-1}(1)=0$ and $w_{k-1}$ has a zero of order $n$ at $r=0$.

Proof. Since $v_{k}^{\prime}(0)=v_{k}^{\prime}(1)=0, w_{k-1}(0)=w_{k-1}(1)=0$ and $w_{k-1}$ has a zero of order $n$ at $r=0$. By (6), $w_{k-1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w \phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}+\nu r^{n-1} \rho \phi_{1} \phi_{k}=0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{w^{\prime}}{r^{n-1} \rho} \phi_{1}+\frac{w}{r^{2 n-2} \rho}\left(r^{n-1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\nu \phi_{1} \phi_{k}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating with respect to $r$, we get
(9) $\left(\frac{w^{\prime}}{r^{n-1} \rho}\right)^{\prime} \phi_{1}+2 \frac{w^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}}{r^{n-1} \rho}+\frac{w\left(r^{n-1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{r^{2 n-2} \rho}+r^{n-1} w \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2 n-2} \rho}\right)^{\prime}+\nu\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{k}^{\prime}+\phi_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{k}\right)=0$

By substituting into (9) the expression for $w^{\prime}$ from (8) and simplifying the resulting equation, the lemma follows.

Lemma 3. Let $\nu$ be a positive constant. If $u$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{r^{n-1} \rho}\right)^{\prime}+\nu \frac{u}{r^{n-1}}=0 \text { in }(0,1), \quad u(0)=u(1)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u$ has a zero of order natr $=0$, then $\psi=u^{\prime} /\left(r^{n-1} \rho\right)$ is a solution of (4). Conversely, if $\psi$ is a solution of (4), then

$$
u(r)=\int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \rho(t) \psi(t) d t
$$

is a solution of (10) and $u$ has a zero of order $n$ at $r=0$.
Proof. Suppose first that $u$ is a solution of (10) and has a zero of order $n$ at $r=0$. Let $\psi=u^{\prime} /\left(r^{n-1} \rho\right)$. Then by (10) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime}+\nu \frac{u}{r^{n-1}}=0 & \Rightarrow r^{n-1} \psi^{\prime}+\nu u=0 \\
& \Rightarrow\left(r^{n-1} \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\nu u^{\prime}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow r^{n-1} \psi^{\prime}+\nu r^{n-1} \rho \psi=0
\end{aligned}
$$

By (11) we have $\psi^{\prime}(1)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(-\nu u(r) / r^{n-1}\right)=0$. Since $u$ has a zero of order $n$ at $r=0, \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} u(r) / r^{n-1}=0$. Hence $\psi^{\prime}(0)=0$ by (11).

Conversely, suppose $\psi$ satisfies (4). Let

$$
u(r)=\int_{0}^{r} t^{n-1} \rho(t) \psi(t) d t
$$

Then $u(0)=0, u^{\prime}(r)=r^{n-1} \rho(r) \psi(r) \Rightarrow \psi=u^{\prime} / r^{n-1} \rho$. Substituting into (4) we get

$$
\left(r^{n-1} \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\nu u^{\prime}(r)=0
$$

Integrating with respect to $r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{n-1} \psi^{\prime}+\nu u(r)=0 \Rightarrow\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{r^{n-1} \rho}\right)^{\prime}+\nu \frac{u}{r^{n-1}}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (12), $\nu u(r)=r^{n-1} \psi^{\prime}(r)$. Hence $u(1)=0$ and $u$ has a zero of order $n$ at $r=0$.
Before stating the main theorem, we need to recall the Sturm-Liouville Theorem ([2], [4]):

Sturm-Liouville Theorem. Let $f \in C([0,1]), f>0$. If $\nu_{k}$ and $\tilde{\nu_{k}}$ are the $k$ th eigenvalues of the equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{w^{\prime}}{r^{n-1} \rho}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{w}{r^{n-1}} f(r)+\nu \frac{w}{r^{n-1}}=0 \text { in }(0,1) \\
w(0)=w(1)=0, \\
w \text { has a zero of order } n \text { at } r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{u^{\prime}}{r^{n-1} \rho}\right)^{\prime}+\nu \frac{u}{r^{n-1}}=0 \text { in }(0,1), u(0)=u(1)=0 \\
u \text { has a zero of order } n \text { at } r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

respectively. Then $\nu_{k} \geq \tilde{\nu_{k}}$.
We are now ready to state the main theorem:

Theorem 1. If $\rho(r)>0$ for $r \in(0,1)$ and $r^{n-1} \rho(r)$ is a decreasing function in $(0,1)$, then $\lambda_{k}-2 \lambda_{1} \geq \mu_{k}$ for $k=2,3, \ldots$.

Proof. Since $\lambda_{k}, \mu_{k}$ depend continuously on $\rho$ [2], we may assume without loss of generality that $\rho \in C^{2}([0,1])$. Then $\left(1 / r^{n-1} \rho\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$. So

$$
\left\{\frac{2 \phi_{1}^{\prime 2}}{\rho \phi_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime} r^{n-1}}{\phi_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{r^{n-1} \rho}\right)^{\prime}\right\} \geq 0 \text { for } r \in(0,1)
$$

since $\phi_{1}>0$ in $(0,1) \Rightarrow \phi_{1}^{\prime}<0$ in $(0,1)$ by [3]. By combining Lemmas $1,2,3$ and using the Sturm-Liouville theorem, the result follows.
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