

ON THE DIMENSION OF MODULES AND ALGEBRAS, II

(FROBENIUS ALGEBRAS AND QUASI-FROBENIUS RINGS)

SAMUEL EILENBERG* and TADASI NAKAYAMA

In this paper we study Frobenius algebras and quasi-Frobenius rings with particular emphasis on their cohomological dimensions. For definitions of these cohomological dimensions we refer the reader to Cartan-Eilenberg [3] or Eilenberg [4].

We consider (in §2) symmetric and Frobenius algebras in a setting more general than that of Brauer and Nesbitt [2], [13], and show (in §3) that for such algebras the cohomological dimension is either 0 or ∞ .

These results are applied (§4) to the group ring $A = K(H)$ where H is a finite group of order r and K is a commutative ring. It is shown that A is symmetric and that $\dim A = 0$ if $rK = K$ and that $\dim A = \infty$ if $rK \neq K$.

The phenomenon that the cohomological dimension is either 0 or ∞ is again encountered (§5) in a ring A which is *left self-injective* i.e. a ring A which when regarded as a left A -module is injective. Such rings, under different terminologies have been considered recently in Ikeda [7], Nagao-Nakayama [10] and Ikeda-Nakayama [9] in connection with quasi-Frobenius algebras and rings. We further refine these results by showing (§§6, 7) that the notions “quasi-Frobenius ring” and “left self-injective ring” are equivalent for rings which are (left and right) Noetherian, or satisfy minimum condition for left or right ideals.

All rings considered have a unit element which operates as the identity on all modules considered.

§1. Duality

Let K be a commutative ring. For each K -module A we define the *dual* K -module

$$A^\circ = \text{Hom}_K(A, K).$$

Received June 24, 1954.

* This work was done while S. Eilenberg was engaged under contract AF-18(600)-562.

A K -homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ induces a dual homomorphism $f^\circ: B^\circ \rightarrow A^\circ$, in the well-known natural fashion.

Given K -modules A and C we define

$$\tau: A \otimes_K C \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(A^\circ, C)$$

by setting

$$[\tau(a \otimes c)]f = (fa)c, \quad a \in A, \quad c \in C, \quad f \in A^\circ.$$

Clearly τ is an isomorphism if $A = K$. Therefore, by a simple direct sum argument it follows that τ is also an isomorphism if A is K -projective and finitely K -generated.

Taking $C = K$ we obtain a natural homomorphism

$$\tau: A \rightarrow A^{\circ\circ}$$

which is again an isomorphism if A is K -projective and finitely K -generated.

For each K -homomorphism

$$\varphi: A \rightarrow C^\circ$$

the *transposed* homomorphism

$$\varphi': C \rightarrow A^\circ$$

is defined by composition

$$C \xrightarrow{\tau} C^{\circ\circ} \xrightarrow{\varphi^\circ} A^\circ.$$

It is easily seen that

$$(\varphi'c)a = (\varphi a)c.$$

This shows that $\varphi'' = \varphi$. If C is K -projective and finitely K -generated and if φ is an isomorphism then φ' is an isomorphism.

Now assume that A is a K -algebra and that A is a left (right) A -module. Then A° is a right (left) A -module and it follows from the naturality of τ that τ is a A -homomorphism. If A is a left A -module, C is a right A -module and φ above is a A -homomorphism then φ' also is a A -homomorphism.

As usual we shall regard A as a two-sided A -module and consequently A° is also a two-sided A -module. It should however be noted that in defining the structure of A° as a left (right) A -module we utilize the structure of A as a right (left) A -module.

§ 2. Frobenius algebras

DEFINITION Let A be a K -algebra which is K -projective and finitely K -generated. We shall say that A is a *Frobenius algebra* if there exists a left A -module isomorphism

$$\theta : A \approx A^\circ.$$

If there exists a two-sided A -module isomorphism $\theta : A \approx A^\circ$ then A is called a *symmetric algebra*.

Starting with a left A -module isomorphism θ as above we obtain a right A -module isomorphism

$$\theta' : A \approx A^\circ,$$

by taking the transposed

$$[\theta'\lambda](\gamma) = [\theta\gamma](\lambda), \quad \lambda, \gamma \in A.$$

Since $\theta\lambda = \lambda\theta 1$ and $\theta'\lambda = (\theta' 1)\lambda$ it follows readily that

$$(*) \quad [\theta\gamma](\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda\gamma) = [\theta'\lambda](\gamma)$$

where

$$\varphi = \theta 1 = \theta' 1 : A \rightarrow K.$$

Conversely we may begin with a K -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow K$ and define θ (and θ') using (*). Then θ is a left A -homomorphism $A \rightarrow A^\circ$. The conditions that θ be a monomorphism and epimorphism are respectively

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(\lambda\gamma) = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in A \text{ implies } \gamma = 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \text{for each } f \in A^\circ, \text{ there is a } \gamma \in A \text{ such that } f\lambda = \varphi(\lambda\gamma).$$

Applying the same reasoning to θ' we find the conditions

$$(r.1) \quad \varphi(\lambda\gamma) = 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \in A \text{ implies } \lambda = 0,$$

$$(r.2) \quad \text{for each } f \in A^\circ \text{ there is a } \lambda \in A \text{ such that } f\gamma = \varphi(\lambda\gamma).$$

The two sets of conditions are thus equivalent.

If A is symmetric and $\theta : A \approx A^\circ$ is a two-sided A -isomorphism, then the relation $\theta 1 = \theta' 1$ implies $\theta = \theta'$, or equivalently

$$(s) \quad \varphi(\lambda\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma\lambda).$$

Conversely if φ satisfies this condition (in addition to conditions (1.1), (1.2) or

(r.1), (r.2)) then $\emptyset = \emptyset'$ is a two-sided isomorphism $A \approx A^\circ$, and A is symmetric.

Remark. Condition (1.1) asserts that the “hyperplane” in A given by $\varphi = 0$ does not contain any left ideals except zero.

Remark. If K is a field, then A and A° are vector spaces of the same finite degree over K . Thus if \emptyset is a monomorphism, it is necessarily an isomorphism. Consequently in this case condition (1.2) is a consequence of (1.1). Similarly (r.2) follows from (r.1). Conditions (1.1) and (r.1) are then equivalent.

PROPOSITION 1. Let A_1, A_2 be K -algebras and $A = A_1 + A_2$ their direct product. Then A is a Frobenius (or a symmetric) algebra if and only if the same holds for A_1 and A_2 .

Proof. Clearly A is K -projective and finitely K -generated if and only if A_1 and A_2 are. The rest follows from the isomorphism $(A_1 + A_2)^\circ \approx A_1^\circ + A_2^\circ$ for the direct sum of any K -modules A_1 and A_2 .

PROPOSITION 2. If A_1 and A_2 are Frobenius (or symmetric) K -algebras, then so is $A = A_1 \otimes_K A_2$.

Proof. Clearly A is K -projective and finitely K -generated.

For any K -modules A_1 and A_2 consider the mapping

$$\zeta : A_1^\circ \otimes_K A_2^\circ \rightarrow (A_1 \otimes_K A_2)^\circ$$

given by

$$[\zeta(f_1 \otimes f_2)](a_1 \otimes a_2) = f_1 a_1 \otimes f_2 a_2.$$

Clearly ζ is an isomorphism for $A_1 = A_2 = K$. It follows that ζ is an isomorphism also if A_1 and A_2 are K -projective and finitely K -generated. Thus

$$\zeta : A^\circ \approx A_1^\circ \otimes_K A_2^\circ$$

and, since ζ is natural, this is an isomorphism of two-sided A -modules. This yields the conclusion.

PROPOSITION 3. A full matrix algebra A over a commutative ring K is symmetric.

Proof. The K -homomorphism $A \rightarrow K$ given by the trace is easily seen to satisfy (1.1), (1.2) and (s).

PROPOSITION 4. A division algebra A over a field K with $(A : K) < \infty$ is symmetric.

Proof. For each K -algebra A let $[A, A]$ denote the subgroup generated by the commutators $\lambda\gamma - \gamma\lambda$, for $\lambda, \gamma \in A$. Automatically $[A, A]$ is a K -module.

Assume now that A is a division algebra over the field K with $(A : K) < \infty$. Any non-zero K -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow K$ satisfies (1.1), so that A is a Frobenius algebra. In order that φ satisfy also condition (s) it is necessary and sufficient that $\varphi [A, A] = 0$. Thus it suffices to prove that $[A, A] \neq A$. This last statement being independent of the ground-field we may assume that K is the center of A . Let L be an extension of K which is a splitting field for A . Then the L -algebra $A_L = A \otimes_K L$ is a full matrix algebra over L and thus, by Prop. 3, A_L is a symmetric L -algebra. It follows that $[A_L, A_L] \neq A_L$. Since $[A_L, A_L] = [A, A]_L$, we deduce that $[A, A] \neq A$.

Combining Prop. 1, 2, 3 and 4 we obtain (cf. [11], footnote 51):

PROPOSITION 5. A semi-simple algebra A over a field K with $(A : K) < \infty$ is symmetric.

PROPOSITION 6. Let A be an algebra over a field K with $(A : K) < \infty$, and let L be an extension field of K . The algebra $A_L = A \otimes_K L$ over L is a Frobenius (or a symmetric) algebra if and only if A is so.

Proof. This follows easily from our definition of Frobenius and symmetric algebras and the fact (E. Noether's lemma) that two (left, right or two-sided) A -modules A_1, A_2 with $(A_1 : K) < \infty, (A_2 : K) < \infty$ are isomorphic if and only if the A_L -modules $(A_1)_L = A_1 \otimes_K L$ and $(A_2)_L = A_2 \otimes_K L$ are isomorphic (cf. e.g. M. Deuring, *Galoissche Theorie und Darstellungstheorie*, Math. Ann. 107 (1933), p. 144).

§ 3. Dimension in Frobenius algebras

PROPOSITION 7. If A is a Frobenius algebra, over a commutative ring K , then we have a natural isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Ext}_K^q(A, A \otimes_K C) \approx \text{Ext}_K^q(A, C)$$

for each left A -module A and each K -module C .

Proof. Assuming a fixed isomorphism $\theta' : A \approx A^\circ$ (of right A -modules) and

utilizing the map τ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(A, A \otimes_K C) &\approx \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(A, \operatorname{Hom}_K(A^\circ, C)) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(A, \operatorname{Hom}_K(A, C)) \\ &\approx \operatorname{Hom}_K(A \otimes_\Lambda A, C) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_K(A, C). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired isomorphism (3.1) for $q=0$. Now replace A by a Λ -projective resolution X . Since Λ is K -projective, X also is a K -projective resolution of A . Passing to homology yields (3.1) in virtue of the definitions of $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda$ and Ext_K .

Remark. The isomorphism (3.1) although “natural” is not necessarily unique, as it depends upon the choice of ϑ' . With $\vartheta' : \Lambda \approx \Lambda^\circ$ fixed, the isomorphisms (3.1) obtained, commute properly with maps induced by Λ -homomorphisms of A and K -homomorphisms of C .

COROLLARY 8. If Λ is a Frobenius K -algebra and C is a K -module, then $l.\operatorname{inj. dim}_\Lambda(A \otimes_K C) \leq \operatorname{inj. dim}_K C$.

COROLLARY 9. If Λ is a Frobenius algebra and K is self-injective then Λ is both left and right self-injective.

THEOREM 10. If Λ is a Frobenius K -algebra and A is a left Λ -module satisfying $l.\operatorname{dim}_\Lambda A < \infty$, then

$$l.\operatorname{dim}_\Lambda A = l.\operatorname{dim}_K A.$$

Proof. Let $l.\operatorname{dim}_\Lambda A = n < \infty$, and let C be a left Λ -module such that $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, C) \neq 0$. Consider an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ with F Λ -free. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^{n+1}(A, B) = 0$, it follows from exactness that $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, F) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, C)$ is an epimorphism and therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^n(A, F) \neq 0$. Since $F \approx \Lambda \otimes_K H$ where H is a free K -module, it follows from Prop. 7 that $\operatorname{Ext}_K^n(A, H) \neq 0$. Thus $l.\operatorname{dim}_\Lambda A \leq \operatorname{dim}_K A$. The opposite inequality is trivial since Λ is K -projective.

THEOREM 11. If Λ is a Frobenius K -algebra then $\dim \Lambda = 0, \infty$.

Proof. Clearly Λ^* (the algebra opposite to Λ) also is a Frobenius K -algebra. Thus it follows from Prop. 2 that $\Lambda^e = \Lambda \otimes_K \Lambda^*$ also is a Frobenius K -algebra. Consequently, if $\dim \Lambda < \infty$, we have, by Theorem 10,

$$\dim \Lambda = l.\operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda^e} \Lambda = l.\operatorname{dim}_K \Lambda = 0.$$

The special case of Theorem 11 with K a field has been established in [8]

(§5, Corollary); cf. also Corollary 21 (and Corollary 19) below. A further particular case is a result of Hochschild [6] (see also [4]) that if A is a semi-simple algebra over a field K with $(A:K) < \infty$ then either A is separable (i.e. $\dim A = 0$) or $\dim A = \infty$.

§ 4. The algebra of a finite group

Let Π be a finite group of order r and let K be a commutative ring. The group algebra $A = K(\Pi)$ is defined as usual. This is a supplemented algebra under the map $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow K$ given by $\varepsilon x = 1$ for all $x \in \Pi$. Using this map, we may regard K as a (right or left) A -module.

We first show that $A = K(\Pi)$ is symmetric. To this end we define a K -homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow K$ by setting $\varphi 1 = 1$, $\varphi x = 0$ for $x \in \Pi$, $x \neq 1$. If $\gamma = \sum_{x \in \Pi} a(x)x$ for $a(x) \in K$, then $\varphi(x^{-1}\gamma) = a(x)$. Thus $\varphi(\lambda\gamma) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in A$ implies $\gamma = 0$, so that (1.1) is satisfied. Next let $f: A \rightarrow K$ be a K -homomorphism and let $\gamma = \sum f(x)x^{-1}$, $x \in \Pi$. Then for $y \in \Pi$

$$\varphi(y\gamma) = \varphi(\sum f(x)yx^{-1}) = f(y)$$

so that (1.2) also is satisfied. Finally condition (s) holds trivially. Thus A is symmetric.

Note that the map φ defined above yields an isomorphism and in consequence, as in the proof of Prop. 7 yields an isomorphism

$$\rho: \text{Hom}_K(A, C) \approx \text{Hom}_A(A, A \otimes_K C)$$

for a left A -module A and a K -module C . This isomorphism ρ may be written directly as

$$(\rho f)a = \sum_{x \in \Pi} x \otimes f(x^{-1}a), \quad f \in \text{Hom}_K(A, C), \quad a \in A.$$

We may now apply the results of the preceding section. First we observe that since each K -module may also be regarded as a A -module (using $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow K$), Corollaries 8 and 9 may be strengthened as follows

COROLLARY 8'. $1. \text{inj. dim}_A(A \otimes_K C) = 1. \text{inj. dim}_K C$

COROLLARY 9'. A is left (or right) self-injective if and only K is self-injective.

THEOREM 12. *If $rK = K$ (where r is the order of the group Π), then*

$$\dim A = l, \dim_{\Delta} K = 0.$$

If $rK \cong K$, then

$$\dim A = l, \dim_{\Delta} K = \infty.$$

Proof. The equality $\dim A = l, \dim_{\Delta} K$ has been proved in [3] (Ch. X, § 6) without any case subdivision and is valid also for infinite groups Π . Since $\dim_K K = 0$ it follows from Theorem 10 that $l, \dim_{\Delta} K$ is either 0 or ∞ . Thus everything reduces to the question as to when K is A -projective. Clearly this is the case if and only if there exists a A -homomorphism $\psi : K \rightarrow A$, such that $\varepsilon\psi = \text{identity}$. Such a ψ must satisfy $\psi 1 = \sum_{x \in \Pi} \alpha x$ for some $\alpha \in K$. The condition $\varepsilon\psi 1 = 1$ then yields $x\alpha = 1$. The existence of ψ is thus equivalent with $rK = K$.

Remark. The above argument shows that if Π is an infinite group then K is not A -projective.

Remark. The proof above utilized the equality $\dim A = l, \dim_{\Delta} K$ established by general methods. Actually, in the case considered here an ad hoc argument can be applied. Indeed, since A is symmetric, it follows from Theorem 11 that $\dim A = 0, \infty$. This we must find out when $\dim A = 0$ i.e. when A is A^e -projective, where $A^e = A \otimes_K A^*$. We have the A^e -epimorphism $\eta : A^e \rightarrow A$ given by $\eta(x \otimes y^*) = xy$, $x, y \in \Pi$. Thus A is A^e -projective if and only if there is a A^e -homomorphism $\psi : A \rightarrow A^e$ with $\eta\psi = \text{identity}$. The map ψ has the form

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{y, z} k(x, y, z)y \otimes z^* \quad k(x, y, z) \in K$$

with summation extended over all $y, z \in \Pi$. The condition that ψ is a A^e -homomorphism becomes

$$k(xz, y, 1) = k(x, y, z) = k(yx, 1, z)$$

so that setting $\gamma(x) = k(x, 1, 1)$ we have

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{y, z} \gamma(yxz)y \otimes z^*.$$

Conversely any map $\gamma : \Pi \rightarrow K$ defines a A^e -homomorphism ψ .

Then

$$\eta\psi(1) = \sum_{y, z} \gamma(yz)yz = r \sum_x \gamma(x)x$$

and the condition $\eta\psi = \text{identity}$ is equivalent with

$$r\gamma(1) = 1, \quad \gamma(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \neq 1.$$

Hence A is A^e -projective if and only if $rK = K$.

As a corollary of Theorem 12 we obtain the following known result (see [6], p. 948):

COROLLARY 13. Let K be a field of characteristic p . If $p = 0$ or $(p, r) = 1$ then $A = K(\Pi)$ is separable. If $(p, r) \neq 1$ then $\dim A = 1, \dim_\Lambda K = \infty$.

In the case $rK = K$ we have a more detailed result which may be of interest.

PROPOSITION 14. If $rK = K$ then for each pair of left A -modules A and C the K -module $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^q(A, C)$ is isomorphic with a direct summand of $\text{Ext}_K^q(A, C)$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \dim_\Lambda A &= \dim_K A, \\ 1. \text{inj. dim}_\Lambda C &\leq \text{inj. dim}_K C, \\ 1. \text{gl. dim } A &= \text{gl. dim } K. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in K$ be such that $r\alpha = 1$. Consider the homomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, C) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Hom}_K(A, C) \xrightarrow{i} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, C)$$

where i is the inclusion, while

$$(jf)a = \alpha \sum_x xf(x^{-1}a).$$

If f is a A -homomorphism then $xf(x^{-1}a) = f(a)$ and $jf = f$. Thus $ji = \text{identity}$. Now replace A by a projective resolution X of A and pass to homology. There result homomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^q(A, C) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_K^q(A, C) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^q(A, C)$$

whose composition is the identity. This yields the desired conclusion.

Incidentally, the case $rK = K$ with K not semi-simple gives an example of an algebra $A = K(\Pi)$ with $\dim A = 0$ and $1. \text{gl. dim } A = \text{gl. dim } K > 0$.

§ 5. Self-injective rings

Let again K be a commutative ring.

THEOREM 15. Let A be a K -algebra which is K -projective, finitely K -generated

and left self-injective. Then each K -projective and finitely K -generated left A -module A such that $\text{l. dim}_A A < \infty$ is projective and injective.

Proof. Since A is left self-injective, every free (left) A -module on a finite base is injective. Therefore every finitely generated projective left A -module is injective.

Assume $\text{l. dim}_A A = n$, $0 < n < \infty$ and assume the result already proved for $n - 1$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow A \otimes_K A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\alpha(\lambda \otimes a) = \lambda a$, $B = \text{Ker } \alpha$. Since A is K -projective, $A \otimes_K A$ is A -projective and therefore $\text{l. dim}_A B = n - 1$. The K -homomorphism $\zeta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_K A$ given by $\zeta a = 1 \otimes a$ satisfies $\alpha \zeta = \text{identity}$ and shows that the exact sequence splits over K . Since both A and A are K -projective and finitely K -generated, the same is true for $A \otimes_K A$ and therefore also for B which is a K -direct summand of $A \otimes_K A$. Thus by the inductive assumption B is A -projective and A -injective. Therefore the exact sequence splits (over A !) and thus A is A -projective, and hence also A -injective.

THEOREM 16. *Let A be a left Noetherian ring (i.e. a ring satisfying maximum condition for left ideals) which is left self-injective. Then each left A -module A such that $\text{l. dim}_A A < \infty$ is projective and injective. In particular $\text{l. gl. dim } A = 0, \infty$.*

Proof. Since A is left Noetherian the direct sum of injective left A -modules is injective (see [3], Ch. I, Exer. 8). Therefore every free A -module is injective and consequently every projective left A -module is injective.

Now let $\text{l. dim}_A A = n < \infty$. As in the proof of Theorem 10 we have $\text{Ext}_A^n(A, F) = 0$ for some free A -module F . Since F is injective it follows that $n = 0$ and A is projective.

PROPOSITION 17. *Let A be a left Noetherian, left self-injective and non-semisimple ring. If A is an algebra over a semisimple commutative ring K then $\text{dim } A = \infty$.*

Proof. Since K is semisimple we have $\text{l. gl. dim } A \leq \text{dim } A$ (see [3] or [4]), and by the preceding theorem $\text{l. gl. dim } A = \infty$.

PROPOSITION 18. Let A be a left Noetherian ring which is left self-injective. Then for a left ideal I of A the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i) $l.\dim_A A/I < \infty$,
- (ii) $l.\dim_A I < \infty$,
- (iii) I is projective,
- (iv) I is injective,
- (v) $I = Ae$ with an idempotent element e in A ,
- (vi) A/I is projective.

Proof. The equivalences (i) \Leftrightarrow (vi) and (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) follow from Theorem 16. Thus it suffices to establish the equivalence of (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) follows from Theorem 16.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v). Since I is injective there exists a projection $p : A \rightarrow I$, $p\lambda = \lambda$ for $\lambda \in I$. Then $\lambda = p(\lambda) = p(\lambda 1) = \lambda p(1)$ for $\lambda \in I$. Thus $e = p(1)$ is idempotent and $I = Ae$.

(v) \Rightarrow (vi). If $I = Ae$ then $A/I \approx A(1-e)$ and thus A/I is isomorphic to a direct summand of A .

(vi) \Rightarrow (iii) follows from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$ which splits if A/I is projective.

§ 6. Quasi-Frobenius rings

For each subset X of a ring A we denote by $l(X)$ (or $r(X)$) the set of all left (or right) annihilators of X . Clearly $l(X)$ is a left ideal while $r(X)$ is a right ideal. If X is a two-sided ideal then so are $l(X)$ and $r(X)$.

Quasi-Frobenius rings were defined by Nakayama [12] p. 8. For the purposes of this paper we adopt the following alternative definition ([12], p. 9, Th. 6): A ring A is a quasi-Frobenius ring if it satisfies minimum conditions for left and right ideals and if the relations

$$(\alpha) \quad l(r(I)) = I, \quad r(l(r)) = r$$

hold for all left ideals I and all right ideals r .

Actually, in the presence of (α) it suffices to assume that A is left (or right) Noetherian, i.e. that A satisfies maximum condition for left (or right) ideals. The minimum conditions for both left and right ideals then follow.

THEOREM 18. For each ring A the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i) A is a quasi-Frobenius ring.
 (ii) A is left Noetherian and the relations

$$r(I_1 \cap I_2) = r(I_1) + r(I_2), \quad r(I(r)) = r$$

hold for left ideals I_1, I_2 and all right ideals r .

- (iii) A is (left and right) Noetherian and left self-injective.
 (iv) A satisfies minimum condition for right ideals and is left self-injective.
 (v) A satisfies minimum condition for left ideals and is left self-injective.

Since (i) is symmetric with respect to “left” and “right,” it follows that conditions obtained from (ii)–(v) by interchanging “left” and “right” may be added to the list.

The theorem may be looked upon as a generalization of the main theorem of Ikeda [7], Ikeda-Nakayama [9]. The proof of the theorem is postponed to the next section.

Combining the theorem with Corollary 9 we obtain:

COROLLARY 19. If A is a Noetherian Frobenius K -algebra over a self-injective (commutative) ring K then A is a quasi-Frobenius ring.

If K is Noetherian and A is finitely K -generated then also A is Noetherian. Thus we obtain:

COROLLARY 20. A Frobenius K -algebra A over a quasi-Frobenius (commutative) ring K is a quasi-Frobenius ring.

As a further application we obtain the following result in [8] (Corollary to Main Theorem):

COROLLARY 21. Let A be an algebra over a field K with $(A : K) < \infty$. If A is a quasi-Frobenius ring then either A is separable (i.e. $\dim A = 0$) or $\dim A = \infty$.

Proof. Assume $\dim A < \infty$. Then from [4] we know that $\dim A = 1 \cdot \dim_{\Lambda} (A/N)$ where N is the radical of A . Since, by Theorem 18, A is left self-injective, it follows from Theorem 16 that $1 \cdot \dim_{\Lambda} (A/N) = 0, \infty$. Thus $\dim A = 0$.

§7. Proof of Theorem 18

It is known ([3]; cf. also [1]) that a ring A is left self-injective if and

only if the following condition holds:

- (a) If $\varphi: I \rightarrow A$ is a A -homomorphism of a left ideal I then there exists an element $\lambda \in A$ such that $\varphi\xi = \xi\lambda$ for all $\xi \in I$.

We shall denote by (a^*) the same condition restricted to finitely generated left ideals. We shall also consider conditions

- (b) $r(I_1 \cap I_2) = r(I_1) + r(I_2),$
 (c) $r(I(r)) = r$

concerning respectively pairs I_1, I_2 of left ideals of A and right ideals r of A . We shall designate by (c^*) condition (c) restricted to finitely generated right ideals.

In a recent paper Ikeda-Nakayama [9] (cf. also Ikeda [7]) the following implications were proved

$$(I-N) \quad (a) \Rightarrow [(b) \ \& \ (c^*)] \Rightarrow (a^*).$$

These will be used in the sequel; otherwise our proof of Theorem 18 will be self-contained except for basic and well known facts from the theory of rings with minimum conditions.

To prove the theorem we shall establish implications

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i), \\ (i) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (iii).$$

$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. We only need to establish (b). Since r establishes an anti-isomorphism of ordered sets, lattice operations must be dualized, so that (b) holds.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. From (I-N) we deduce (a^*) . Since A is left Noetherian, we obtain (a); thus A is left self-injective. Since A satisfies maximum condition for left ideals the condition $r(I(r)) = r$ implies the minimum condition for right ideals. Thus A is also right Noetherian.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$. From (I-N) we deduce (c^*) , and since A is right Noetherian, we have also (c). Since A is left Noetherian it follows from $r(I(r)) = r$ that A satisfies also minimum condition for right ideals.

$(i) \Rightarrow (v)$. Clearly A satisfies minimum condition for left ideals. Further in view of the already proved implications $(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$, A is left self-injective.

$(v) \Rightarrow (iii)$. Since A satisfies minimum condition for left ideals it is left

Noetherian. From (I-N) we deduce (c^*) , so that $r(I(r)) = r$ for finitely generated right ideals. It follows that maximum condition holds for finitely generated right ideals. This implies that each right ideal is finitely generated i.e. that A is right Noetherian.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). It suffices to establish the annihilator conditions (α) , as left minimum condition follows automatically. From (I-N) we have (c^*) , and since A is right Noetherian we have also (c), i.e. the annihilator condition for right ideals. This implies that A satisfies condition

(d) If $r_1 \cong r_2$ are right ideals, then $I(r_1) \cong I(r_2)$.

To prove the annihilator condition for left ideals, consider a (left) A -homomorphism $\varphi : I(r(I)) \rightarrow A$ such that $\varphi(I) = 0$. Since A is left self-injective, condition (a) holds and therefore there exists an element $\lambda \in A$ such that $\varphi\xi = \xi\lambda$ for all $\xi \in I(r(I))$. Since $\varphi(I) = 0$ we have $I\lambda = 0$ so that $\lambda \in r(I)$. This implies $I(r(I)) \subset I(\lambda)$ so that $\varphi = 0$. We have thus proved that

$$\text{Hom}_A(I(r(I))/I, A) = 0.$$

The remainder of the argument then follows from the following lemma.

LEMMA 22. *Let A be a ring satisfying minimum condition for right (or left) ideals in which (d) holds. Then for each non zero left A -module A we have $\text{Hom}_A(A, A) \cong 0$.*

Proof. Let N denote the radical of A . Since N is nilpotent we have $A \cong NA$; set $B = A/NA$. Since $NB = 0$ it follows that B is completely reducible and thus admits an epimorphism $B \rightarrow C$ onto some irreducible left A -module C . We thus obtain an epimorphism $A \rightarrow C$. This reduces the proof of the lemma to the case when A is irreducible.

Consider the semisimple ring $\Gamma = A/N$ which we shall regard as a two-sided A -module. Let

$$1 = E_1 + \dots + E_k$$

be a decomposition of the unit element in A into mutually orthogonal idempotents such that

$$\Gamma_i = E_i\Gamma = E_i\Gamma E_i = \Gamma E_i$$

are the simple components of Γ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$). Then

$$F_i = N + (1 - E_i)A = N + A(1 - E_i)$$

are maximal two-sided ideals in A . we have

$$\begin{aligned} l(F_i) &= l(N + (1 - E_i)A) = l(N) \cap l(1 - E_i) \\ &= l(N) \cap AE_i = l(N)E_i \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$r(F_i) = E_i r(N).$$

Thus $l(N)E_i$ and $E_i r(N)$ are two-sided ideals.

If \mathfrak{a} is any two-sided ideal with $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq E_i r(N)$ then by condition (d)

$$l(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq l(E_i r(N)) = l(r(F_i)) \supset F_i.$$

Since F_i is a maximal two-sided ideal, it follows that $l(\mathfrak{a}) = A$ i.e. $\mathfrak{a} = 0$. Thus the two-sided ideal $E_i r(N)$ is either minimal or is zero.

Consider a composition series

$$A \supseteq F_i \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq 0$$

of two-sided ideals in A . Then by (d)

$$0 = l(A) \supseteq l(F_i) \supseteq l(\mathfrak{a}_1) \supseteq l(\mathfrak{a}_2) \supseteq \dots \supseteq A.$$

Since the lengths of the series are equal, the latter also is a composition series and therefore $l(F_i) = l(N)E_i$ is a minimal two-sided ideal. Since N is nilpotent we have $Nl(N)E_i \subseteq l(N)E_i$ and therefore $Nl(N)E_i = 0$. Thus $l(N)E_i \subseteq r(N)$, and since this holds for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, it follows that $l(N) \subseteq r(N)$. Now consider the direct sum decompositions

$$l(N) = \sum_{i=1}^k l(N)E_i, \quad r(N) = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i r(N).$$

In the first, the two-sided ideals are minimal, while in the second one they are minimal or 0. Thus $l(N) \subseteq r(N)$ implies that

$$l(N) = r(N)$$

and that each of the two-sided ideals $E_i r(N)$ is minimal (and not zero). (Moreover, the two decompositions coincide, i.e. there exists a permutation π of the indices $1, \dots, k$ such that $E_i r(N) = r(N)E_{\pi(i)}$ (or equivalently $E_i r(N)E_{\pi(i)} \neq 0$)).

Since $NE_i r(N) = 0$ it follows that $E_i r(N)$ is completely reducible as a left A -module. Let B_i be a minimal left subideal of $E_i r(N)$. Then $B_i \approx \Gamma e_i$ for some primitive idempotent e_i of Γ . Since $B_i \subset E_i r(N)$ we have $B_i = E_i B_i \approx E_i \Gamma e_i$. Thus $e_i \in \Gamma_i$. It follows that A contains an isomorphic image of every irreducible left A -module. This concludes the proof.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] R. Baer, Abelian groups that are direct summands of every containing abelian group, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1940), 800-806.
- [2] R. Brauer-C. Nesbitt, On the regular representations of algebras, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **23** (1937), 236-240.
- [3] H. Cartan-S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton Univ. Press, 1955.
- [4] S. Eilenberg, Algebras of cohomological finite dimension, Comment. Math. Helv. **28** (1954), 310-319.
- [5] S. Eilenberg-M. Ikeda-T. Nakayama, On the dimension of modules and algebras, I, Nagoya Math. J. **8** (1955).
- [6] G. Hochschild, Cohomology and representations of associative algebras, Duke Math. J. **14** (1947), 921-948.
- [7] M. Ikeda, A characterization of quasi-Frobenius rings, Csaka Math. J. **4** (1952), 203-210.
- [8] M. Ikeda-H. Nagao-T. Nakayama, Algebras with vanishing n -cohomology groups, Nagoya Math. J. **7** (1954).
- [9] M. Ikeda-T. Nakayama, On some characteristic properties of quasi-Frobenius and regular rings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 15-19.
- [10] H. Nagao-T. Nakayama, On the structure of (M_o) - and (M_u) -modules, Math. Zeits. **59** (1953), 164-170.
- [11] T. Nakayama, On Frobeniusean algebras, I, Ann. Math. **40** (1939), 611-633.
- [12] T. Nakayama, On Frobeniusean algebras, II, Ann. Math. **42** (1941), 1-21.
- [13] C. Nesbitt, On the regular representation of algebras, Ann. of Math. **39** (1938), 634-658.

Columbia University

Nagoya University