Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 69 (2004) [137–140]

# ON RINGS WHOSE MODULES OF FINITE LENGTH ARE ALL CYCLIC

### YASUYUKI HIRANO

We give some characterisations of rings R whose modules with composition series are all cyclic. In particular, we prove that all left R-modules of finite length are cyclic if and only if R has no nonzero Artinian factor rings.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In D-module theory, it is useful that every holonomic module over a Weyl algebra is cyclic and every holonomic module over the sheaf  $D_X$  of holomorphic differential operators on a complex analytic manifold X is locally cyclic (see [3, Corollary 2.6, Chapter 10] and [2, Proposition 3.1.5]). This follows from the fact that, if R is a simple left Noetherian, non-Artinian ring and M is a finitely generated Artinian left R-module, then M is a cyclic module. (see [3, Theorem 2.5, p. 90] and [2, Proposition 1.1.35].) In this paper, we consider when the class of cyclic modules contains all modules of finite length. Let R be a ring. We shall prove that every left R-module of finite length is cyclic if and only if R has no simple left and right Artinian factor rings. Consequently we know that every left R-module of finite length is cyclic if and only if every right R-module of finite length is cyclic. We call a ring R a finite length is cyclic-ring if R satisfies these equivalent conditions. We shall give some charactrisations of a finite ring is cyclic-ring and using those, we shall prove that if R is a finite ring is cyclic-ring, then every finite normalising extension of R is also a finite ring is cyclic-ring.

# 2. MODULES OF FINITE LENGTH

We begin with the following general considerations.

Let M be a left R-module. For any subset X of M,  $\operatorname{Ann}_R(X)$  denotes the annihilator of X in R. For each  $m \in M$  and each submodule N of M, we set  $(N : m) = \{a \in R \mid am \in N\}$ . For any positive integer n,  $M^{(n)}$  denotes the direct sum of n copies of M.

**LEMMA 2.1.** Let R be a ring and let M be a left R-module with composition series  $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_n = 0$ . If, for each  $m \in M$ , and each  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ ,  $(M_{i+1}:m) \not\subset \operatorname{Ann}_R(M_i/M_{i+1})$ , then M is cyclic.

Received 7th August, 2003

I would like to express my gratitude to the referee for his valuable comments.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/04 \$A2.00+0.00.

# Y. Hirano

[2]

**PROOF:** Take  $x \in M_0 \setminus M_1$  and  $y \in M_1 \setminus M_2$ . Then  $Rx + Ry + M_2 = M$ . Since  $(M_2 : x) \not\subset \operatorname{Ann}_R(M_1/M_2)$  and since  $Ry + M_2 = M_1$ , there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $(M_2 : x)ay + M_2 = M_1$ . Hence  $R(x + ay) + M_2 = M$ . Continuing this process, we obtain  $z \in M$  such that  $Rz = Rz + M_n = M$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** Let R be a ring and let M be a left R-module of finite length. Suppose that, for each composition factor N of M, the primitive factor ring  $R/\operatorname{Ann}_R(N)$  is not left Artinian. Then M is cyclic.

PROOF: Consider a composition series  $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_n = 0$ . We shall prove that the condition in Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. Suppose, to the contrary, that  $(M_{i+1}:m) \subset \operatorname{Ann}_R(M_i/M_{i+1})$  for some  $m \in M$  and *i*. Let  $\overline{m}$  denote the homomorphic image of *m* in  $M/M_{i+1}$ . Then  $R\overline{m} \cong R/(M_{i+1}:m)$ . Then  $R/\operatorname{Ann}_R(M_i/M_{i+1})$  is a homomorphic image of  $R\overline{m}$ , and hence it is left Artinian, a contradiction.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** Let R be a ring and let M be a simple left R-module. If the primitive factor ring  $R/\operatorname{Ann}_R(M)$  is not left Artinian, then  $M^{(n)}$  is cyclic for any positive integer n.

We give some characterisations of rings all of whose modules of finite length are cyclic, which generalise [3, Theorem 2.5].

**THEOREM 2.4.** Let R be a ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) Any left R-module of finite length is cyclic.
- (2) There is a positive integer n such that any left R-module of finite length is generated by n elements.
- (3) Every finitely cogenerated left R-module has an essential cyclic submodule.
- (4) For any simple left R-module M and any positive integer n,  $M^{(n)}$  is cyclic.
- (5) R has no left Artinian factor rings.
- (6) R has no simple left Artinian factor rings.

(1')-(6') The left-right symmetric versions of (1)-(6).

PROOF: The equivalence of (5) and (6) and the implications  $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$  and  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  are clear.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Let X be a finitely cogenerated left R-module. Then X has a finitely generated essential socle S and S is cyclic by hypothesis.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Assume that any left *R*-module of finite length is generated by *n* elements. Let *M* be a simple left *R*-module and consider the direct sum  $M^{(mn)}$  of *mn* copies of *M*. By hypothesis, there exists *n* elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in M^{(mn)}$  which generate  $M^{(mn)}$ . For any left *R*-module *X*, let L(X) denote the composition length of *X*. Then we have  $mn = L(M^{(mn)}) \leq L(Rx_1) + \cdots + L(Rx_n)$ . Hence,  $L(Rx_i) \geq m$  for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Since  $Rx_i$  is a submodule of the completely reducible module  $M^{(mn)}$ , this implies that  $Rx_i \cong M^{(m+k)}$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $M^{(m)} (\cong M^{(m+k)}/M^{(k)})$  is also cyclic.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let *M* be a simple left *R*-module. Then, by hypothesis,  $M^{(n)}$  has an essential cyclic submodule for any positive integer *n*. Since  $M^{(n)}$  is completely reducible, this implies that  $M^{(n)}$  itself is cyclic.

(6)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6'). It is well-known that a ring is a left Artinian simple ring if and only if it is a right Artinian simple ring.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Suppose that R/I is a left Artinian ring for some ideal *I*. We may assume that R/I is a simple ring. Then we can easily see that  $R/I \oplus R/I$  is not a cyclic left *R*-module.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$ . This follows from Proposition 2.2. This completes the proof.

A ring R is called a *finite ring is cyclic-ring* if R satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.4.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** Let S be a finite normalising extension of a ring R. If R is a finite ring is cyclic-ring, then S is a finite ring is cyclic-ring.

PROOF: This follows from Theorem 2.4 and [4, Corollary 10.1.11].

We note that the corollary above also follows more directly from [4, Proposition. 10.1.9(iii)].

**COROLLARY 2.6.** Let S be an extension of a ring R such that  $_RS$  is finitely generated and  $S = R \oplus I$  where I is a two-sided ideal of S. If S is a finite ring is cyclic-ring, then R is a finite ring is cycli-ring.

PROOF: Let K be a proper ideal of R. Then SKS is an ideal of S such that  $SKS \cap R = K$ . Hence S/SKS is finitely generated as a left R/K-module. Since S/SKS is not left Artinian, R/K is also not left Artinian. Therefore this follows from Theorem 2.4.

**COROLLARY 2.7.** The class of finite ring is cyclic-rings is Morita stable.

PROOF: This follows from the fact that the class of right Artinian rings are Morita stable (See [1, Corollary 21.9]).

## References

- [1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, (second edition) (Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin).
- [2] J. Björk, Analytic D-modules and Applications (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1993).
- [3] S.C. Coutinho, A primer of algebraic D-modules, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 33 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [4] J.C. McConnell and J.C. Robson, Noncommutative Noetherian rings, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 30, (Revised edition) (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001).

Department of Mathematics Okayama University Okayama 700-8530 Japan e.mail: yhirano@math.okayama-u.ac.jp