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Let $V_{m}(k)$ denote the family of all functions of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{m p+1} z^{m p+1}
$$

that are analytic in the unit disc $U, f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ in $U$ and $f$ maps $U$ onto a domain of boundary rotation at most $k \pi$. Recently Brannan, Clunie and Kirwan [2] and Aharonov and Friedland [1] have solved the problem of estimating $\left|a_{m p+1}\right|$ for all $k$, provided $m=1$. The extremal function for $V_{1}(k)$ is defined by

$$
f_{1}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{(1+z)^{(k-2) / 2}}{(1-z)^{(k+2) / 2}}
$$

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of [3, Theorem 3.1]:
Proposition. $f(z) \in V_{m}(k)$ if and only if there is a function $g(z) \in V_{1}(k)$ such that $f^{\prime}(z)=g^{\prime}\left(z^{m}\right)^{1 / m}$.

Let $f_{m}(z)$ be the function in $V_{m}(k)$ defined by

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\left(1+z^{m}\right)^{(k-2) / 2 m} /\left(1-z^{m}\right)^{(k+2) / 2 m}
$$

It is natural to conjecture that $\left|a_{m p+1}\right| \leqq A_{m p+1}$, where

$$
f_{m}(z)=z+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{m p+1} z^{m p+1}
$$

In this note we obtain a partial solution to the problem of estimating $\left|a_{n}\right|$ and show that the conjecture is false in general if $m \geqq 2$. In addition, we determine the valency of functions in $V_{m}(k)$.

The following lemma is due implicitly to Umezawa [9].
Lemma. Let $f$ be analytic in $|z| \leqq r$, with $f^{\prime} \neq 0$ in $|z| \leqq r$. Let $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}$ be the roots of $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) / f^{\prime}(z)\right\}=0$ on $|z|=r$. If

$$
\min _{1 \leqq i, j \leqq m} \int_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{j}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} d \theta>-p \pi \quad\left(z=r e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

then $f$ is at most $p$-valent in $|z| \leqq r$.
Theorem 1. Let $f(z) \in V_{m}(k)$. Then $f(z)$ is at most $p$-valent in $U$, where
$p=(k-2) / 2 m$ if $(k-2) / 2 m$ is an integer and $p=[(k-2) / 2 m]+1$ if $(k-2) / 2 m$ is not an integer.

Proof. Let $r<1$ be fixed and define

$$
h(\theta)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} .
$$

Let $N$ be the largest non-negative integer for which $\theta_{j}-\theta_{i} \geqq 2 \pi N / m$. Then since $f$ is $m$-fold symmetric,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{j}} h(\theta) d \theta & =\int_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i}+(2 \pi N / m)} h(\theta) d \theta+\int_{\theta_{i}+(2 \pi N / m)}^{\theta_{j}} h(\theta) d \theta \\
& =2 \pi N / m+\int_{\theta_{i}+(2 \pi N / m)}^{\theta_{j}} h(\theta) d \theta \\
& >\frac{2 \pi N}{m}+\left(1-\frac{k}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{m} \\
& \geqq\left(1-\frac{k}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The result now follows since $p<(k-2) / 2 m$ and $p$ must be an integer.
Note. This result was proved in [3] for the case $m=1$.
ThEOREM 2. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{m p+1} z^{m p+1} \in V_{m}(k)$ with $k \geqq 2 m+2$. Then $\left|a_{m p+1}\right| \leqq A_{m p+1}$.

Proof. Let $g(z) \in V_{1}(k)$ be defined by $g^{\prime}\left(z^{m}\right)^{1 / m}=f^{\prime}(z)$. By a result due to Noonan [7],

$$
z g^{\prime}(z)=a Q(z)^{\beta} S(z)
$$

where $\operatorname{Re} Q(z)>0, S(z)$ is starlike, $|a|=1$, and $\beta=k / 2-1$. Therefore
(1) $z f^{\prime}(z)=a^{1 / m} Q\left(z^{m}\right)^{\beta / m} S\left(z^{m}\right)^{1 / m}$.

Since $\left|a^{1 / m}\right|=1, \operatorname{Re} Q\left(z^{m}\right)>0$ and since $S\left(z^{m}\right)^{1 / m}$ is an $m$-fold symmetric starlike function, it follows from [1] and [2] that if $\beta / m \geqq 1$, the coefficients of $Q\left(z^{m}\right)^{\beta / m}$ and $S\left(z^{m}\right)^{1 / m}$ are simultaneously maximal when

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\left(1+z^{m}\right)^{(k-2) / 4} /\left(1-z^{m}\right)^{(k+2) / 4}
$$

Thus the result follows if $(k / 2-1) / m \geqq 1$ or $k \geqq 2 m+2$.
We note that the proof actually holds for the larger class of $m$-fold symmetric functions that are close-to-convex of order $(k-2) / 2 m \geqq 1$.

The following theorem is of interest only when $k<2 m+2$. It was proved by Lehto [6] if $m=1$ and the author [5] if $m=2$. The technique is essentially due to Lehto.

Theorem 3. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{m p+1} z^{m p+1} \in V_{m}(k)$. Then:
(i) $\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leqq \frac{k}{m(m+1)}$
$k \geqq 2$
(ii) $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leqq \frac{k^{2}+2 m}{2 m^{2}(2 m+1)}$
$k \geqq 2 m$
(iii) $\quad\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leqq \frac{4 m k+6 k+4}{(4 m+2-k)(2 m)(2 m+1)} \quad 2 \leqq k<2 m$.

All of the results are sharp for the indicated range of $k$.
Proof. By a result due to Lehto [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m p+1}=\frac{1}{(m p+1)(m p)} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1}(m j+1) a_{m j+1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-(p-j) i m \theta} d \mu(\theta) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(\theta)$ is of bounded variation on $[0,2 \pi]$ with

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \mu(\theta)=2 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|d \mu(\theta)| \leqq k
$$

From (2),

$$
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leqq \frac{1}{(m+1) m} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|e^{-i m \theta} d \mu(\theta)\right| \leqq \frac{k}{m(m+1)},
$$

which proves (i). From (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2 m)(2 m+1) a_{2 m+1} & =(m+1) a_{m+1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i m \theta} d \mu(\theta)+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-2 i m \theta} d \mu(\theta) \\
& =\frac{1}{m}\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i m \theta} d \mu(\theta)\right]^{2}+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-2 i m \theta} d \mu(\theta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may suppose without loss of generality that $a_{2_{m+1}} \geqq 0$ since if not we consider $e^{-i \alpha} f\left(e^{i \alpha} z\right)$ for suitably chosen $\alpha$. Then

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(2 m)(2 m+1) a_{2 m+1}= & \frac{1}{m}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos m \theta d \mu(\theta)\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{m}(
\end{array} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin m \theta d \mu(\theta)\right)^{2}\right)
$$

Suppose first that $\mu(\theta)$ is a step function with at most $N$ jumps $d_{j}$ at $\theta_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
(2 m)(2 m+1) a_{2 m+1} & \leqq \frac{1}{m}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos m \theta_{j} d_{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos 2 m \theta_{j} d_{j}  \tag{3}\\
& =\frac{1}{m}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos m \theta_{j} d_{j}\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos ^{2} m \theta_{j} d_{j}-2
\end{align*}
$$

First assume that the maximum of (3) occurs at a point where $r$ of the $\left|\cos m \theta_{j}\right| \neq 1$. We may assume $\left|\cos m \theta_{j}\right| \neq 1$ for $1 \leqq j \leqq r$. Then a differentiation of (3) with respect to $\cos m \theta_{h}, 1 \leqq h \leqq r$ yields
(4) $\frac{2}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos m \theta_{j} d_{j}=-4 \cos m \theta_{h} \quad 1 \leqq h \leqq r$

$$
\equiv-4 \cos m \alpha
$$

Substituting in (3), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{m}\left(4 m^{2} \cos ^{2} m \alpha\right)+2 \sum_{1}^{r} \cos ^{2} m \alpha \cdot d_{j}+2 \sum_{r+1}^{N} d_{j}-2 .
$$

Since $\sum_{1}^{N} d_{j}=2$ and $\sum_{1}^{N}\left|d_{j}\right| \leqq k, \sum_{1}^{r} d_{j} \geqq 1-k / 2$ and $\sum_{r+1}^{N} d_{j} \leqq 1+k / 2$, it follows from (3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 m \cos m \alpha & =-\cos m \alpha \sum_{1}^{\tau} d_{j}-\sum_{r+1}^{N} \cos m \theta_{j} d_{j} \\
|\cos m \alpha| & =\left|\sum_{r+1}^{N} \cos m \theta_{j} d_{j}\right|\left(\left|2 m+\sum_{1}^{\tau} d_{j}\right|\right)^{-1} \\
& \leqq \frac{1+k / 2}{2 m+1-k / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $k \geqq 2 m,(k+2) /(4 m+2-k) \geqq 1$ and hence there is no restriction on $|\cos m \alpha|$. Thus for $k \geqq 2 m$, (3) is less than or equal to

$$
\max \{2(1+k / 2)-2,2 m+2\}=k
$$

If $2 \leqq k<2 m,|\cos m \alpha| \leqq(k+2) /(4 m+2-k)<1$ and thus the maximum of (3) is

$$
\frac{(k+2)^{2}}{(4 m+2-k)^{2}}\left[4 m+2\left(1+\frac{k}{2}\right)\right]+2\left(1+\frac{k}{2}\right)-2=\frac{4 m k+6 k+4}{4 m+2-k}
$$

It remains to consider the case where all $\left|\cos m \theta_{j}\right|=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2 m)(2 m+1) a_{2 m+1} & \leqq \frac{1}{m}\left[\sum_{1}^{N} \cos m \theta_{j} d_{j}\right]^{2}+2 \sum_{1}^{N} d_{j}-2 \\
& \leqq \frac{k^{2}}{m}+2
\end{aligned}
$$

An elementary calculation shows that

$$
\frac{k^{2}}{m}+2<\frac{4 m k+6 k+4}{4 m+2-k}
$$

if $2<k<2 \mathrm{~m}$.
Since step functions are dense in the class of functions of bounded variation, the result follows. The function $f_{m}$ shows that (i) and (ii) are sharp. To show that (iii) is sharp we construct a step function with jumps at $\cos m \alpha$ in a manner similar to [5].

Since $(2 m)(2 m+1) A_{2 m+1}=k+2$, the conjecture is false if $k<2 m$ and $p=2$. The coefficient problem remains to be solved in the case $k<2 m+2$ for large values of $m p+1$. To this end we have the following

Theorem 4. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{m p+1} z^{m p+1} \in V_{m}(k)$, where $k>2 m-2$. Then if $f(z) \neq e^{-i \theta} f_{m}\left(e^{i \theta} z\right)$, there is an integer $p_{0}$ depending on $f$ such that if $p>p_{0}$,

$$
\left|a_{m p+1}\right|<A_{m p+1} .
$$

Proof. Since $(k+2) / 2 m>1$, the methods of [5, Theorem 4.3] show that there is a $\theta_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}(1-r)^{(k+2) / 2 m}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right| & =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}(1-r)^{(k+2) / 2 m} M\left(r, f^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ is maximal only for $f(z)=e^{-i \theta} f_{m}\left(e^{i \theta} z\right)$.
The result now follows using the major-minor arc technique of Hayman [4, Theorem 5.7] as modified by Noonan [8]. (See also [5].)
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