

# EMBEDDING ANY COUNTABLE SEMIGROUP IN A 2-GENERATED BISIMPLE MONOID

by KARL BYLEEN

(Received 26 November, 1982)

G. B. Preston [10] proved that any semigroup can be embedded in a bisimple monoid. If  $S$  is a countable semigroup, his constructive proof yields a bisimple monoid which is also countable, but not necessarily finitely generated. The main result of this paper is that any countable semigroup can be embedded in a 2-generated bisimple monoid.

J. M. Howie [6] proved that any semigroup can be embedded in an idempotent-generated semigroup. F. Pastijn [9] showed that any semigroup can be embedded in a bisimple idempotent-generated semigroup, and that any countable semigroup can be embedded in a semigroup which is generated by 3 idempotents. Easy proofs of these results using Rees matrix semigroups over a semigroup were given by the author [3]. In this paper, as a corollary to our main result, we deduce that any countable semigroup can be embedded in a bisimple semigroup which is generated by 3 idempotents.

The proof of our main result relies on a construction of a monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ . Given any monoid  $S$ , non-empty sets  $A$  and  $B$  which are disjoint from each other and from  $S$ , and an  $A \times B$  matrix  $P$  over  $A \cup B \cup S$ , a presentation is given to define  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ . The notation  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is chosen to reflect the nature of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  both as a generalization of the notion of a Rees matrix semigroup  $\mathcal{M}(S; B, A; P)$  over  $S$ , and also as a generalization of the monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  which was constructed by R. H. Bruck [2] in order to show that any semigroup can be embedded in a simple monoid. Bruck's monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  is the monoid generated by distinct symbols  $a$  and  $b$  (not belonging to  $S$ ) and the elements of  $S$  subject to the defining relations  $ab = 1$ ,  $as = a$ ,  $sb = b$ ,  $st = s \cdot t$  for all  $s, t \in S$ . An exposition of Bruck's results and alternative descriptions of  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  may be found in [4]. Bruck's construction was generalized by N. R. Reilly [11] to determine the structure of all bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroups, and was considered in still more general form by W. D. Munn [8]. An account of these results appears in [5].

The word problem for the presentation of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is solved in the first section of this paper. It is shown that  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  contains  $S$  as a submonoid, and that congruences on  $S$  extend to  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ . In Section 2 we consider special cases in which we can elucidate the structure of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ . Necessary and sufficient conditions are given on  $S, B, A$ , and  $P$  for  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  to be regular or inverse. If all entries of  $P$  belong to  $S$ , then  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is shown to be a coextension of the bicyclic monoid by Rees matrix semigroups over  $S$ . In Section 3 appropriate choices of  $S, B, A$  and  $P$  are made to ensure that  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is a 2-generated bisimple monoid, from which the main result is obtained. Throughout the paper the symbol  $S$  is reserved to denote a monoid with identity 1.

*Glasgow Math. J.* **25** (1984) 153–161.

**1. The presentation.** Let  $S$  be a monoid with identity 1, let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-empty sets which are disjoint from each other and from  $S$ , and let  $P = (p_{ab})$  be an  $A \times B$  matrix over  $A \cup B \cup S$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  denote the monoid with presentation  $\langle A \cup B \cup S; ab = p_{ab}, as = a, sb = b, st = s \cdot t, 1 = \Lambda \forall a \in A, b \in B, s, t \in S \rangle$ . The symbol  $\Lambda$  denotes the identity (the empty word) of the free monoid  $(A \cup B \cup S)^*$  which is generated by  $A \cup B \cup S$ , and  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  denote the free submonoids generated by  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. The word problem for the presentation is solved by the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.1. *The elements of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  are the words in  $B^*SA^*$ .*

*Proof.* The defining relations may be used to reduce any word  $w \in (A \cup B \cup S)^*$  in a finite number of steps to a word  $\bar{w} \in B^*SA^*$  by the following procedure. Let  $\bar{\Lambda} = 1, \bar{a} = 1a, \bar{b} = b1, \bar{s} = s$ . If  $w = w_1w_2 \dots w_{k+1}$  has length greater than 1, we define  $\bar{w}$  by first reducing  $w_1w_2 \dots w_k$  to the element  $w_1w_2 \dots w_k$  of  $B^*SA^*$ , and then use the equations below. Let  $u, \hat{u} \in A^*, v \in B^*, s, t \in S, a \in A$ , and  $b \in B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{vtua} &= vtua; \\ \overline{vtus} &= \begin{cases} v(t \cdot s) & \text{if } u = \Lambda, \\ vtu & \text{if } u \neq \Lambda; \end{cases} \\ \overline{vtub} &= \begin{cases} vb1 & \text{if } u = \Lambda, \\ vt\hat{u}p_{ab} & \text{if } u = \hat{u}a \neq \Lambda. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $vt\hat{u}p_{ab}$  has length less than that of  $vtub$ . This inductive definition of the function  $w \rightarrow \bar{w}$  establishes that any element of  $(A \cup B \cup S)^*$  may be reduced by the defining relations to a word in  $B^*SA^*$ .

To complete the proof of the lemma we show that no two reduced words represent the same element of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ . Let  $\psi: A \cup B \cup S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{B^*SA^*}$  be the mapping from the set  $A \cup B \cup S$  into the full transformation semigroup on  $B^*SA^*$  defined by  $x\psi = (vtu \rightarrow vtux)$ . The mapping extends to a monoid homomorphism from  $(A \cup B \cup S)^*$  into  $\mathcal{T}_{B^*SA^*}$ . We use the equations above to verify that each of the five types of defining relations for  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is satisfied in  $\mathcal{T}_{B^*SA^*}$  by the elements of  $(A \cup B \cup S)\psi$ .

(1)  $(vru)[(a\psi)(b\psi)] = (\overline{vrua})b\psi = (vrua)b\psi = vruab = \overline{vru}p_{ab} = (vru)p_{ab}\psi$ ; so  $(a\psi)(b\psi) = p_{ab}\psi$ .

(2)  $(vru)[(a\psi)(s\psi)] = (\overline{vrua})s\psi = (vrua)s\psi = \overline{vruas} = vrua = \overline{vrua} = (vru)a\psi$ ; so  $(a\psi)(s\psi) = a\psi$ .

(3) If  $u = \Lambda$  then  $(vru)[(s\psi)(b\psi)] = (\overline{vrus})b\psi = [v(r \cdot s)]b\psi = vb1 = \overline{vru}b = (vru)b\psi$ . If  $u \neq \Lambda$  then  $(vru)[(s\psi)(b\psi)] = (\overline{vrus})b\psi = (\overline{vru})b\psi$ . Thus  $(s\psi)(b\psi) = b\psi$ .

(4) If  $u = \Lambda$  then  $(vru)[(s\psi)(t\psi)] = (\overline{vrus})t\psi = [v(r \cdot s)]t\psi = v((r \cdot s) \cdot t) = \overline{v(r \cdot (s \cdot t))} = \overline{vru(s \cdot t)} = (vru)[(s \cdot t)\psi]$ . If  $u \neq \Lambda$  then  $(vru)[(s\psi)(t\psi)] = (\overline{vrus})t\psi = (vru)t\psi = \overline{vru}t = vru = \overline{vru(s \cdot t)} = (vru)[(s \cdot t)\psi]$ . Thus  $(s\psi)(t\psi) = (s \cdot t)\psi$ .

(5) If  $u = \Lambda$  then  $(vru)1\psi = \overline{vru}1 = v(r \cdot 1) = vr = vru$ . If  $u \neq \Lambda$  then  $(vru)1\psi = \overline{vru}1 = vru$ . Thus  $1\psi$  is the identity of  $\mathcal{T}_{B^*SA^*}$ .

Therefore the homomorphism  $\psi$  factors through  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ , giving a representation of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{B^*SA^*}$ . Let  $w = vsu \in B^*SA^*$ . If  $v = \Lambda$  then  $1(w\psi) = 1vsu =$

$(1 \cdot s)u = su = w$ . If  $v \neq \Lambda$  then  $1(w\psi) = \overline{1vsu} = \overline{vsu} = w$ . Thus the representation is faithful (it is the right regular representation), which proves that no two reduced words represent the same element of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ .

The lemma proves that each word  $vsu \in B^*SA^*$  represents an element of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  and that two words  $vsu$  and  $v's'u'$  in  $B^*SA^*$  represent the same element of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  if and only if  $v = v', s = s',$  and  $u = u'$ . Henceforth we will denote the product in  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  of two words  $vsu$  and  $v's'u'$  in  $B^*SA^*$  simply by  $vsuv's'u'$  (instead of by  $vsuv's'u'$ ). We do not give an explicit formula for this product as an element of  $B^*SA^*$ ; however, we do note that our reduction procedure implies that  $vsuv's'u' \in vB^*SA^*u'$ . We will find it convenient to replace 1 by  $\Lambda$  in an element of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  when doing so would simplify notation; thus, for example, we will simply write  $a$  or  $b$  in place of  $1a$  or  $b1$ , respectively.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *The monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  contains  $S$  as a submonoid.*

*Proof.* The obvious mapping  $\theta: S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  defined by  $s \rightarrow s$  is the required embedding since  $(s \cdot t)\theta = (s \cdot t) = st = (s\theta)(t\theta)$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Any congruence on  $S$  extends to a congruence on  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be any homomorphism from the monoid  $S$  onto a monoid  $T$ . Let  $Q = (q_{ab})$  denote the  $A \times B$  matrix obtained from  $P$  by replacing each entry which belongs to  $S$  by its image under  $\phi$  (and leaving unchanged each entry of  $P$  which belongs to  $A \cup B$ ). Let  $\alpha: A \cup B \cup S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T; B, A; Q)$  be the mapping defined by  $a \rightarrow a, b \rightarrow b, s \rightarrow s\phi$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B, s \in S$ . The mapping  $\alpha$  extends to a monoid homomorphism from  $(A \cup B \cup S)^*$  into  $\mathcal{C}(T; B, A; Q)$ , also denoted by  $\alpha$ . We verify that the defining relations for  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  are satisfied by the elements of  $(A \cup B \cup S)\alpha$ .

- (1)  $(\alpha\alpha)(b\alpha) = ab = q_{ab} = \begin{cases} p_{ab} & \text{if } q_{ab} \in A \cup B \\ p_{ab}\phi & \text{if } q_{ab} \in T \end{cases} = p_{ab}\alpha.$
- (2)  $(\alpha\alpha)(s\alpha) = a(s\phi) = a = \alpha\alpha.$
- (3)  $(s\alpha)(b\alpha) = (s\phi)b = b = b\alpha.$
- (4)  $(s\alpha)(t\alpha) = (s\phi)(t\phi) = (s \cdot t)\phi = (s \cdot t)\alpha.$
- (5)  $1\alpha = 1\phi$ , the identity of  $\mathcal{C}(T; B, A; Q)$ .

Thus the homomorphism  $\alpha: (A \cup B \cup S)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T; B, A; Q)$  factors through  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ , yielding a homomorphism  $\bar{\phi}: \mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T; B, A; Q)$  which extends  $\phi$ . We conclude that any congruence on  $S$  extends to a congruence on  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ .

**2. Special cases.** In this section we consider several special cases of the construction of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  in which the structure of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  becomes more transparent. In this regard we note first that if the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are both singletons, and if the single entry of the matrix  $P$  is the identity 1 of  $S$  then  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is precisely the monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  constructed by R. H. Bruck [2].

We proceed to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  to be

regular or inverse. As a preliminary step we obtain a condition on the matrix  $P$  which allows an easy description of Green's relations on  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ , and implies that  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is simple.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $P$  be an  $A \times B$  matrix over  $A \cup B \cup S$  and let  $a, a' \in A$ . We say that row  $a$  of  $P$  is linked to row  $a'$  of  $P$  if there exists a finite sequence  $a = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n = a'$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) such that  $a_{i+1}$  appears as an entry in row  $a_i$  of  $P$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . Similarly, for  $b, b' \in B$ , we say that column  $b$  of  $P$  is linked to column  $b'$  of  $P$  if there exists a finite sequence  $b = b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n = b'$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) such that  $b_{i+1}$  appears as an entry in column  $b_i$  of  $P$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .

We note that according to the definition, each row (column) of  $P$  is linked to itself.

**LEMMA 2.2.** An element  $a \in A$  is right invertible in  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  if and only if row  $a$  of  $P$  is linked to a row which contains a right invertible element of  $S$ . Similarly, an element  $b \in B$  is left invertible in  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  if and only if column  $b$  of  $P$  is linked to a column which contains a left invertible element of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $a \in A$  is right invertible in  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ . Then there exists an element  $vsu \in B^*SA^*$  such that  $a(vsus) = 1$ . Since  $a(vsus) \in B^*SA^*$ , we have  $u = \Lambda$ . The assertion of the lemma is proved by induction on the length  $k$  of  $v = b_1b_2 \dots b_k$ . We note that  $k \neq 0$  since  $as = a \neq 1$ . If  $k = 1$  then  $ab_1s = 1$ ; so, since  $p_{ab_1} \in A \cup B \cup S$ , we must have  $p_{ab_1} \in S$ . Thus row  $a$  itself contains an element of  $S$  which is right invertible. Let  $k > 1$  and suppose the assertion is true whenever  $v$  has length less than  $k$ . Since  $a(b_1b_2 \dots b_k)s = 1$ ,  $p_{ab_1} \in A$ . Thus, by the induction hypothesis, row  $p_{ab_1}$  of  $P$  is linked to a row, say row  $a'$ , which contains a right invertible element of  $S$ . Since  $p_{ab_1}$  is an entry of row  $a$ , row  $a$  is linked to row  $a'$ .

Conversely, suppose the sequence  $a = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k = a'$  links row  $a$  to the row  $a'$  which contains a right invertible element of  $S$ . If  $k = 1$  then row  $a$  itself contains a right invertible element, say  $p_{ab}$  of  $S$ . In which case  $ab = p_{ab}$  is right invertible; so  $a$  is right invertible. Let  $k > 1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $a_2$  is right invertible. But  $a_2$  appears in row  $a$ , say  $a_2 = p_{ab}$ . Thus  $ab = a_2$  is right invertible; so  $a$  is right invertible.

The second sentence of the lemma is true by symmetry.

**DEFINITION 2.3.** An  $A \times B$  matrix  $P$  over  $A \cup B \cup S$  is said to be *united* if each row of  $P$  is linked to a row which contains a right invertible element of  $S$  and each column is linked to a column which contains a left invertible element of  $S$ .

**THEOREM 2.4.** If  $P$  is united then Green's relations on  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  are as follows:

- (a)  $vsu\mathcal{R}v's'u' \Leftrightarrow v = v' \text{ and } s\mathcal{R}s' \text{ in } S;$
- (b)  $vsu\mathcal{L}v's'u' \Leftrightarrow u = u' \text{ and } s\mathcal{L}s' \text{ in } S;$
- (c)  $vsu\mathcal{H}v's'u' \Leftrightarrow v = v', u = u' \text{ and } s\mathcal{H}s' \text{ in } S;$
- (d)  $vsu\mathcal{D}v's'u' \Leftrightarrow s\mathcal{D}s' \text{ in } S;$
- (e)  $\mathcal{I} = \omega$  and so  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is simple.

*Proof.* (a) Suppose  $vsu\mathcal{R}v's'u'$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  such that

$(vsu)x = v's'u'$  and  $vsu = (v's'u')y$ . By the remarks following the proof of Lemma 1.1, these equations imply that  $v$  is a prefix of  $v'$ , and  $v'$  is a prefix of  $v$ ; so  $v = v'$ . Let  $ux = v''s''u'' \in B^*SA^*$ . If  $v'' \neq \Lambda$  then  $vsux = vs(v''s''u'') = vv''s''u'' \neq v's'u'$  since  $v = v'$ . Thus  $v'' = \Lambda$ ; so  $vsux = vs(v''s''u'') = v(ss'')u''$ , and hence  $ss'' = s'$ ; so  $s' \in sS$ . Similarly  $s \in s'S$ ; so  $s\mathcal{R}s'$  in  $S$ . (We note that the assumption that  $P$  is united has not been used for this half of the result.) Conversely, suppose  $v = v'$  and  $s\mathcal{R}s'$  in  $S$ . Then there exist  $t, t' \in S$  such that  $st = s'$ ,  $s = s't'$ . Since  $P$  is united it follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $u$  and  $u'$  are right invertible in  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ , say  $ux = 1$ ,  $u'x' = 1$ . Thus  $vsu(xtu') = vstu' = vs'u' = v's'u'$  and  $v's'u'(x't'u) = v's't'u = v'su = vsu$ ; so  $vsu\mathcal{R}v's'u'$ .

(b) The proof is entirely similar to that of (a).

(c) and (d) follow from (a) and (b).

(e) Let  $vsu \in \mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ . Since  $P$  is united it follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $v$  is left invertible and  $u$  is right invertible in  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ , say  $xv = 1$ ,  $uy = 1$ . Let  $a \in A$ . Since  $a$  is right invertible, there exists  $z \in \mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  such that  $az = 1$ . Therefore  $(ax)(vsu)(yz) = asz = az = 1$ ; so 1 belongs to the ideal generated by  $vsu$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is simple.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $P$  be united. Then  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is bisimple if and only if  $S$  is bisimple.*

**THEOREM 2.6.** *The monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is regular if and only if  $S$  is regular and  $P$  is united.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is regular. Let  $s \in S$ . Then there exists  $vtu \in B^*SA^*$  such that  $s(vtu)s = s$ . Hence  $v = \Lambda = u$ ; so  $S$  is regular. Let  $a \in A$ . Then there exists  $vtu \in B^*SA^*$  such that  $a(vtu)a = a$ . By the remarks following the proof of Lemma 1.1,  $a(vtu)a \in B^*SA^*a$ ; so  $a(vtu) = 1$ . Thus  $a$  is right invertible; so, by Lemma 2.2, row  $a$  of  $P$  is linked to a row which contains a right invertible element of  $S$ . The argument is symmetrical for columns of  $P$ . Hence  $P$  is united.

Conversely, assume the conditions of the theorem hold, and let  $vsu \in B^*SA^*$ . Since  $S$  is regular, there exists  $t \in S$  such that  $sts = s$ . By the use of Lemma 2.2, there exist  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  such that  $ux = 1 = yv$ . Thus  $vsu(xty)vsu = vsu$ ; so  $vsu$  is regular. Hence  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is regular.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *The monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is inverse if and only if  $S$  is inverse,  $A$  and  $B$  are singletons, and the single entry of  $P$  is an invertible element of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is inverse. Then  $S$ , being a regular submonoid of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  by Theorem 2.6, is inverse. Let  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ . Since  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are right invertible there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  such that  $a_1x_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2x_2 = 1$ . Thus  $x_1a_1$  and  $x_2a_2$  are idempotents. Since  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is inverse,  $x_1a_1x_2a_2 = x_2a_2x_1a_1$ . But  $x_1a_1x_2a_2 \in (B^*SA^*)a_2$  and  $x_2a_2x_1a_1 \in (B^*SA^*)a_1$ ; so  $a_1 = a_2$ . Hence  $A$ , and similarly  $B$ , is a singleton. By Theorem 2.6, the matrix  $P$  is united, and thus the single entry of  $P$  must be an invertible element of  $S$ .

Conversely, if  $S$  is inverse,  $A$  and  $B$  are singletons, and the single entry  $g$  of  $P$  is an

invertible element of  $S$  then the elements of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  are  $b^n s a^m$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $ab = g \in S$  we have  $a^2 b^2 = agb = ab$ . Thus the idempotents of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  are the idempotents of  $S$  together with all elements  $b^n s a^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) for which  $sgs = s$ . We claim that any two idempotents of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  commute. Let  $s, t \in S$  with  $sgs = s$ ,  $tgt = t$ . Since idempotents commute in  $S$ , we have  $sgt = sgtgt = tgs = tgs$ . Thus  $b^n s a^n \cdot b^n t a^n = b^n sgt a^n = b^n tgs a^n = b^n t a^n \cdot b^n s a^n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . If  $1 \leq n < m$  then  $b^m s a^m \cdot b^n t a^n = b^m s a^m = b^n t a^n \cdot b^m s a^m$ . If  $e^2 = e \in S$  then  $e \cdot b^n s a^n = b^n s a^n = b^n s a^n \cdot e$ . Therefore idempotents commute in the regular monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  and so  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is inverse.

We have not given an explicit formula for the product of two elements of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  in general. However, in the special case in which all entries of the matrix  $P$  belong to  $S$ , we can give such a formula. Let  $vsu, wtx \in B^*SA^*$  and let  $u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$ ,  $w = w_m w_{m-1} \dots w_1$  (if  $u = \Lambda$  or  $w = \Lambda$ , let  $n = 0$  or  $m = 0$ , respectively). Then

$$vsu \cdot wtx = \begin{cases} vs(u_1 u_2 \dots u_{n-m} x) & \text{if } n > m, \\ v(st)x & \text{if } n = m = 0, \\ v(sp_{u,w_1} t)x & \text{if } n = m > 0, \\ (vw_{m-n} \dots w_2 w_1)tx & \text{if } n < m. \end{cases}$$

In this case  $BSA$  is clearly a subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  which is isomorphic to the Rees matrix semigroup  $\mathcal{M}(S; B, A; P)$ . Furthermore, we show that there exists a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  onto the bicyclic monoid such that pre-images of idempotents are isomorphic to Rees matrix semigroups over  $S$ .

**THEOREM 2.8.** *If each entry of  $P$  belongs to  $S$  then the monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is a coextension of the bicyclic monoid by Rees matrix semigroups over  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : A \cup B \cup S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(p, q)$  be the mapping into the bicyclic monoid such that  $a \rightarrow p$ ,  $b \rightarrow q$ ,  $s \rightarrow 1$  for all  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $s \in S$ . Then each of the five types of defining relations for  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is clearly satisfied by the elements of  $(A \cup B \cup S)\phi$ ; so  $\phi$  factors through  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ , yielding a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  onto  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ , also denoted by  $\phi$ . Thus  $(vsu)\phi = q^m p^n$  for  $vsu \in B^*SA^*$ , where  $m$  is the length of  $v$  and  $n$  is the length of  $u$ . Therefore the idempotent  $q^n p^n$  of  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  has pre-image  $B^n SA^n$ . In particular,  $\phi^{-1}(1) = S$ . From the multiplication in  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  it follows that for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $B^n SA^n$  is isomorphic to the Rees matrix semigroup  $\mathcal{M}(S; B^n, A^n; P(n))$  over  $S$ , where  $P(n) = (p(n)_{uv})$  is the  $A^n \times B^n$  matrix over  $S$  defined by  $p(n)_{uv} = p_{u_1 v_1}$  for  $u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$ ,  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$ . If  $n = 1$  then  $P(n)$  equals  $P$ , and  $BSA = \mathcal{M}(S; B, A; P)$ . If  $n > 1$  then  $P(n)$  may be viewed as an  $A \times B$  matrix of blocks  $P(n)_{ab}$ , where each block  $P(n)_{ab}$  is a constant  $A^{n-1} \times B^{n-1}$  matrix having value  $p_{ab}$ . We have shown that the pre-image of each idempotent is isomorphic to a Rees matrix semigroup over  $S$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is a coextension of  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  by Rees matrix semigroups over  $S$ .

**THEOREM 2.9.** *If  $S$  is regular and all entries of  $P$  belong to  $S$  then the regular elements of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  form a regular submonoid of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $vsu$  and  $wtx$  be regular elements of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ , where  $u = u_1u_2 \dots u_n$  and  $w = w_mw_{m-1} \dots w_1$  (if  $u = \Lambda$  or  $w = \Lambda$ , let  $n = 0$  or  $m = 0$  as above). Then there exist elements  $y, z \in \mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  such that  $(vsu)y(vsus) = vsu$  and  $(wtx)z(wtx) = wtx$ . By the remarks following the proof of Lemma 1.1, we have  $suyvs = s$  and  $txzwt = t$ .

Case 1. Suppose  $n > m$ . Let  $k = zwu_{n-m+1} \dots u_ny$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (vsuwtx)k(vsuwtx) &= (vsu_1u_2 \dots u_{n-m}x)k(vsuwtx) \\ &= (vsu_1u_2 \dots u_{n-m}tx)zwu_{n-m+1} \dots u_ny(vsuwtx) \\ &= vsuyvsuwtx \\ &= vsuwtx; \end{aligned}$$

so  $vsuwtx$  is regular.

Case 2. Suppose  $n = m = 0$ . Since  $S$  is regular, there exists  $r \in S$  such that  $(st)r(st) = st$ . Let  $k = zwtrsuy$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (vsuwtx)k(vsuwtx) &= (vstx)k(vstx) \\ &= (vstx)zwtrsuy(vstx) \\ &= vstrstx \\ &= vstx \\ &= vsuwtx; \end{aligned}$$

so  $vsuwtx$  is regular.

Case 3. Suppose  $n = m > 0$ . Since  $S$  is regular and  $p_{u_1w_1} \in S$ , there exists  $r \in S$  such that  $(sp_{u_1w_1}t)r(sp_{u_1w_1}t) = sp_{u_1w_1}t$ . Let  $k = zwtrsuy$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (vsuwtx)k(vsuwtx) &= (vsp_{u_1w_1}tx)zwtrsuy(vsp_{u_1w_1}tx) \\ &= vsp_{u_1w_1}trsp_{u_1w_1}tx \\ &= vsp_{u_1w_1}tx \\ &= vsuwtx; \end{aligned}$$

so  $vsuwtx$  is regular.

Case 4. Suppose  $n < m$ . Let  $k = zw_m \dots w_{m-n+1}suy$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (vsuwtx)k(vsuwtx) &= (vsuwtx)k(vw_{m-n} \dots w_2w_1tx) \\ &= (vsuwtx)zw_m \dots w_{m-n+1}suyvsw_{m-n} \dots w_2w_1tx \\ &= (vsuwtx)z(wtx) \\ &= vsuwtx; \end{aligned}$$

so  $vsuwtx$  is regular.

We conclude that the regular elements of  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  form a regular submonoid.

We note that the observation of D. B. McAlister [7], that the regular elements of any Rees matrix semigroup over a regular semigroup form a subsemigroup, follows easily from Theorems 2.8 and 2.9.

**3. The embedding theorem.** The main result is an application of the construction of the monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Any countable semigroup can be embedded in a 2-generated bisimple monoid.*

*Proof.* By the result of Preston [10] referred to in the introduction, any countable semigroup can be embedded in a countable bisimple monoid. So it suffices to prove the theorem for any countable bisimple monoid  $S$ . Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$  be countably infinite sets, disjoint from each other and from  $S$ . Let  $P$  be an  $A \times B$  matrix over  $A \cup B \cup S$  such that (1)  $p_{nm} = a_{n+1}$  and  $p_{n+1,n} = b_{n+1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , (2) those entries of  $P$  which belong to  $S$  generate  $S$ , and (3)  $P$  is united. These conditions can be satisfied, for example, by placing the identity 1 of  $S$  in each row and column of  $P$ , and by placing each element of  $S$  somewhere in  $P$ . By Corollary 2.5,  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is bisimple. Since  $a_n b_n = p_{nn} = a_{n+1}$  and  $a_{n+1} b_n = p_{n+1,n} = b_{n+1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , each element of  $A \cup B$  is generated by  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ . Furthermore, since each element of  $S$  is generated by elements of the form  $a_n b_m = p_{nm}$ ,  $A \cup B \cup S$  and thus  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  is generated by the elements  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ .

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Any countable semigroup can be embedded in a bisimple semigroup which is generated by 3 idempotents.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1, any countable semigroup can be embedded in a bisimple monoid  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$  which is generated by elements  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ . The Rees matrix semigroup  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P); 3, 3; Q)$  over  $\mathcal{C}(S; B, A; P)$ , where

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & a_1 \\ 1 & b_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

is bisimple and generated by the 3 idempotents  $(1, 1, 1)$ ,  $(2, 1, 2)$ ,  $(3, 1, 3)$ .

We note that the numbers of generators in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 are the best possible since any bisimple monoid generated by a single element is a finite cyclic group, and since any bisimple semigroup generated by 2 idempotents is completely simple. Semigroups which are generated by 2 idempotents have been completely classified by Benzaken and Mayr [1]. Our results indicate that semigroups generated by 3 idempotents, even those which are bisimple, can be very complicated.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Benzaken and H. C. Mayr, Notion de demi-bande: demi-bandes de type deux, *Semigroup Forum* **10** (1975), 115–128.
2. R. H. Bruck, *A survey of binary systems*, *Ergebnisse der Math.* Heft 20 (Springer, 1958).

3. K. Byleen, Regular four-spiral semigroups, idempotent-generated semigroups, and the Rees construction, *Semigroup Forum* **22** (1981), 97–100.
4. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups* (Amer. Math. Soc. 1961, 1967).
5. J. M. Howie, *An introduction to semigroup theory* (Academic Press, 1976).
6. J. M. Howie, The subsemigroup generated by the idempotents of a full transformation semigroup, *J. London Math. Soc.* **41** (1966), 707–716.
7. D. B. McAlister, Regular Rees matrix semigroups and regular Dubreil-Jacotin semigroups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **31** (1981), 325–336.
8. W. D. Munn, On simple inverse semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **1** (1970), 63–74.
9. F. Pastijn, Embedding semigroups in semibands, *Semigroup Forum* **14** (1977), 247–263.
10. G. B. Preston, Embedding any semigroup in a  $\mathcal{Q}$ -simple semigroup, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1959), 351–355.
11. N. R. Reilly, Bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroups, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* **7** (1966), 160–167.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY  
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53233