Dietary protein and energy supplies differentially affect resistance to parasites in lactating mammals
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Abstract
Periparturient relaxation of immunity (PPRI) to parasites in mammals results in higher worm burden and worm egg excretion and may have a nutritional basis. Nippostrongylus brasiliensis re-infected lactating rats fed low-crude protein (CP) diets show an augmented degree of PPRI compared with their high CP-fed counterparts. However, such effects of CP scarcity have been confounded by metabolisable energy (ME) scarcity due to increased intake of the high-CP foods. Here, we independently assessed the effects of dietary CP and ME scarcity on the degree of PPRI. Second, parity rats were infected with N. brasiliensis larvae before mating. Upon parturition, dams were allocated to one of six feeding treatments (1–6), consisting of two levels of dietary ME supply, each with three levels of CP supply. On day 2 of lactation, dams were either re-infected with 1600 N. brasiliensis larvae or sham-infected with PBS, while litter size was standardised at ten pups. Dams and litters were weighed daily until either day 8 or 11 of lactation, when worm burdens were assessed as a proxy for PPRI. Increased CP and ME supply independently improved lactational performance. While ME supply did not affect parasitism, increasing CP supply reduced worm burden and the percentage of female worms in the small intestine; the latter was especially pronounced at the lower level of ME supply. The present results support the view that PPRI to parasites may be sensitive to CP scarcity, but not to moderate ME scarcity.
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During their life cycle, mammals acquire immunity towards gastrointestinal nematode (GIN) parasites, as they are continuously exposed to their infective forms. However, the effective expression of this acquired immunity can break down during certain points in time, e.g. during the periparturient period 2). Such a periparturient relaxation of immunity (PPRI) plays an important role in the epidemiology of GIN infections. PPRI is associated with a higher GIN burden and nematode egg excretion 2,3, and as such the periparturient host is a major source of infection for its parasite-naive offspring.

A nutrient partitioning framework has identified the hypothesis that PPRI has a nutritional basis: scarce nutrients may be preferentially used to meet the elevated nutritional demands of the reproductive effort at the expense of the immune response during the periparturient period 4,5. Consequently, at times of nutrient scarcity, increased nutrient supply would be expected to reduce the degree of PPRI to parasites and lead to reduced worm burden and worm egg excretion. There is indeed a significant body of evidence to support the view that protein supplementation in mammals, such as small ruminants, reduces the degree of PPRI 5). Similarly, feeding foods with higher crude protein (CP) content to lactating rodents exposed to the GIN Nippostrongylus brasiliensis has also resulted consistently in reduced worm burden 7,8). However, in the above studies, the animals were fed ad libitum and the increased dietary protein contents resulted in increased feed intake per se, which confounded the effects of protein supply with other dietary factors such as energy supply. It has been suggested that dietary protein and energy deficiency may have different effects on host defence mechanisms 10, while evidence from ruminant studies.
suggests that moderate protein, but not energy deficiency, may increase the degree of periparturient parasitism\(^{(11)}\). In ruminants, however, the effects of energy and protein nutrition may be difficult to assess separately due to the modifying role of the rumen\(^{(11)}\). Since such confounding effects can be readily avoided in non-ruminants, our objective was to dissect, for the first time in a monogastric model, the effects of moderate energy and protein restriction on PPRI to GIN parasites. We hypothesised that, at times of dietary protein and energy scarcity, increasing protein supply would be more effective in reducing the degree of PPRI than increasing energy supply.

**Experimental methods**

**Animals, housing and feeding strategy during gestation**

The experiment described below was approved by the Scottish Agricultural College Ethics Review Committee (ED AE 24/2007) and carried out under Home Office authorisation (PPL 60/3626). One hundred and sixteen second-parity female rats were housed in a room, where ambient temperature was maintained at 21°C, relative humidity ranged from 45 to 65% and artificial lighting was provided between 08:00 and 18:00 hours. The rats were individually housed in solid-bottomed cages, with fresh sawdust provided weekly. Shredded plastic bubble wrapping for nesting material was provided 3 d before the expected parturition date. Wire-bottomed cages were used during mating and for faeces collection during the primary infection, as described previously\(^{(7–9)}\). For mating, female rats were placed with a proven male breeder and mating was confirmed through the presence of a vaginal plug.

Until mating was confirmed, the rats were given *ad libitum* access to standard rat chow (Rat and Mouse no. 3; Special Diet Services, Witham, Essex, UK). After mating was confirmed, the rats were given *ad libitum* access to a high-protein food, with 210 g digestible CP and 16.4 MJ metabolisable energy (ME)/kg DM until 10 d into gestation to allow for establishment of pregnancy and placental development. The rats were then fed with a low-protein food, containing 60 g CP and 17.3 MJ ME/kg DM, which was continued until parturition. This feeding protocol was used to reduce body protein reserves during the second half of gestation in order to maximise the degree of protein scarcity during lactation when the rats would be fed low-protein foods\(^{(7,10)}\).

**Feeding treatments**

Six feeding treatments\(^{(1–6)}\) were designed, consisting of restrictedly feeding one of two levels of predetermined ME supply at one of three levels of predetermined CP supply (Fig. 1). Feeding treatments 1–3 and 4–6 were calculated to supply 1.05 and 1.40 MJ ME/kg parturition body weight (PBW) per d, respectively. These planes of ME nutrition were 90% of the previously observed mean ME intakes on low- and high-protein foods, respectively\(^{(8)}\). In addition, CP supply was calculated to increase incrementally from scarce to more than adequate at each level of ME supply. This was

![Fig. 1. Planned (○) and achieved (●, with SE) dietary supply of metabolisable energy (ME, MJ/kg parturition body weight (PBW) per d) and crude protein (CP, g/kg PBW per d) from six experimental feeding treatments over the first 11 d of lactation in rats, re-infected with *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis*.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/landingpage/50007114511001565)
The rats were weighed daily throughout the experiment, and daily weights taken post-parturition was used to calculate lactational dam weight gain. The pups were counted and the whole litter was weighed daily from day 0 of lactation. Litter size was standardised at twelve pups on day 1 and this was further reduced to ten pups on day 2 of lactation to ensure pup survival and to have equal initial nutrient demands. Litter weight from parturition was used to calculate lactational dam weight gain. The pups were counted and the whole litter was assessed for worm burden assessment (number, sex and stage of maturity according to worm morphology). The contents of the large intestine were weighed and assessed for worm eggs, as described for FEC above. This was then multiplied by the weight of the large intestinal contents to obtain the total number of nematode eggs in the colon (EIC). The latter measure is preferred over the FEC equivalent of the colon contents, as feeding treatments used could have biased the weight of the large intestinal contents volume, and thus the concentration of EIC contents, as feeding treatments used could have biased EIC contents volume, and thus the concentration of EIC contents.

**Calculations and statistical analysis**

Data were analysed using restricted maximum likelihood, to account for the incomplete 4 × 2 factorial design of the CP–ME combinations used. The effects of CP supply, ME supply, endpoint and their two and three-way interactions were assessed on dam body weight gain, litter weight gain, worm burden, percentage of female worms and EIC. In order to investigate for the effect of infection per se, a separate restricted maximum likelihood analysis was used to assess the effects of CP supply, ME supply, infection status and their two and three-way interactions on dam body weight gain and litter growth until day 8 of lactation. For both statistical assessments, FEC was performed on day 23 to confirm that the expected parasite expulsion had taken place. To this effect, faeces were collected through overnight housing on bottom-wired cages and FEC were performed using a modified saturated salt flotation method.

**Worm burden and nematode eggs in the colon.** The rats were killed through gradually increasing ambient CO₂ concentration, followed by CO₂ asphyxiation for parasitological assessment (see later) and immune responses. The small intestine was removed and stored in formaldehyde for subsequent worm burden assessment (number, sex and stage of maturity according to worm morphology). The contents of the large intestine were weighed and assessed for worm eggs, as described for FEC above. This was then multiplied by the weight of the large intestinal contents to obtain the total number of nematode eggs in the colon (EIC). The latter measure is preferred over the FEC equivalent of the colon contents, as feeding treatments used could have biased EIC contents volume, and thus the concentration of EIC contents.

### Table 1. Composition and analysis of the experimental food used during lactation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental foods</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ingredients (g/kg fresh)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casein</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize oil</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize starch</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecithin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alphacel</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysed chemical composition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM (g/kg fresh matter)</td>
<td>715.2</td>
<td>656.5</td>
<td>604.0</td>
<td>696.6</td>
<td>644.4</td>
<td>612.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME (MJ/kg DM)*</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP (g/kg DM)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (g/kg DM)</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid-detergent fibre (g/kg DM)</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl ether extract (g/kg DM)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ME, metabolisable energy; CP, crude protein.

*Food ME contents was calculated by multiplying its content of protein (casein), digestible carbohydrates (starch, sucrose and maize starch) and fat (maize oil) with the ME contents of protein (17 MJ/kg), carbohydrates (17 MJ/kg) and fat (38 MJ/kg)\(^{16}\).
interactions that did not approach significance at \( P<0.05 \) were omitted from the final models used.

Because of their skewed nature, the EIC and worm burden were transformed according to \( \log(n+1) \) to normalise data before statistical analysis. These data are reported as back-transformed means with their 95% CI, as described previously(7). All statistical analyses were performed using Genstat 11 for Windows release 11.1, 2008 (VSN International, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, UK).

Results

Faecal egg counts during the primary infection and performance until parturition

FEC taken on day –37 and –30 averaged 3563 (95% CI 351, 319) eggs/g and 0 (95% CI 0, 0) eggs/g, respectively. Initial mean body weight was 345 (SE 4.0) g. During the first 10 d of gestation, the rats grew from 355 (SE 3.6) to 383 (SE 4.3) g and had an average DM intake of 20.6 (SE 0.4) g/d. From then onwards and until parturition, the pregnant rats continued to gain weight to a mean of 451 (SE 5.1) g and had an average DM intake of 7.1 (SE 0.6) g/d just before parturition.

Dam mean PBW averaged 360 (SE 4.6) g, mean litter size averaged 14.2 pups (SE 0.27) g and mean litter weight averaged 74.1 (SE 0.99) g.

Achieved metabolisable energy and crude protein intake

Fig. 1 shows the expected and achieved least squares mean intake of ME and CP over the 11 d lactating period/kg PBW per d. Small numbers of feed refusal were observed for each feeding treatment. Consequently, target CP and ME supply were not exactly met. However, as intended, feeding treatment did not significantly affect achieved ME intake, within the low \( (P=0.130) \) and high level of ME supply \( (P=0.897) \). Infection did not affect mean achieved ME intake \( (P=0.290) \) and CP intake \( (P=0.738) \).

Dam weight gain

The effects of feeding treatments (Fig. 2(a)) and infection for each feeding treatment (Fig. 2(b)) on daily dam weight gain were shown. Dam weight gain was significantly affected by dietary CP supply \( (P<0.001) \) and ME supply \( (P<0.001) \), but not by the endpoint \( (P=0.401; \text{data not shown}) \). Dams at a low level of ME supply lost more weight than dams at a high level of ME supply. An increasing level of CP supply resulted in increased dam weight gain at both levels of ME supply (Fig. 2(a)). Infection did not affect dam weight gain (Fig. 2(b), \( P=0.494 \)), while no significant interactions among any of the factors involved were observed \( (P>0.10) \).

Litter weight gain

The effects of feeding treatments (Fig. 3(a)) and infection for each feeding treatment (Fig. 3(b)) on daily litter weight gain are shown. Litter weight gain was affected by CP supply \( (P<0.001) \) and ME supply \( (P=0.011) \), but not by the endpoint \( (P=0.247; \text{data not shown}) \). Litters from low ME dams gained less weight than litters from high ME dams. An increasing level of CP supply resulted in higher litter weight gain at both levels of ME supply (Fig. 3(a)). Infection status (Fig. 3(b)) significantly affected litter weight gain \( (P=0.015) \). Although the interaction between CP supply, ME supply and infection status was not formally significant \( (P=0.113) \), litters of infected dams allocated to feeding treatments 1 and 2 showed lower weight gain than their non-infected counterparts.

Total worm burden, eggs in colon and worm burden composition

The effects of feeding treatments on total worm burden for day 8 (Fig. 4(a)) and day 11 of lactation (Fig. 4(b)) were shown, while Fig. 5 shows such effects for EIC on the same
days. Total worm burden was significantly affected by CP supply ($P = 0.023$) and endpoint ($P = 0.015$), but were not influenced by ME supply ($P = 0.155$). Worm burden decreased with increasing level of CP supply at both levels of ME supply and was lower on day 11 than on day 8 of lactation (Fig. 4(a) and (b)). Although Fig. 4 suggests that these effects of CP supply were more pronounced on day 8 than on day 11, this interaction was not formally significant ($P = 0.248$). EIC were not affected by dietary CP ($P = 0.204$) and ME ($P = 0.720$) supply, which were significantly higher on day 11 than on day 8 (Fig. 5(a) and (b); $P = 0.004$). There were no significant interactions between any of the above factors for EIC ($P > 0.10$). Fig. 6 shows the percentage of female worms in the worm burden. ME supply ($P = 0.470$) and endpoint ($P = 0.161$) did not affect worm burden composition. However, increasing CP supply reduced the percentage of female worms ($P = 0.049$), while the magnitude of this effect was greater at the lower level of ME supply ($P = 0.022$; Fig. 6).

Discussion

Previous studies carried out with this rodent–nematode parasite model have consistently shown that reducing nutrient scarcity through increasing dietary CP content during lactation results in a reduced degree of parasitism\(^{7-9}\). In these studies, \textit{ad libitum} feeding of high-CP foods resulted in reduced worm burden, but because food intake of high-CP foods increased, improved resistance could have arisen from the increased intake of any nutrient and/or energy. The present experiment aimed to account for this uncertainty by testing the hypothesis that parasitism during lactation is sensitive to CP supply alone by increasing levels of dietary CP supply at constant levels of ME supply. At the same time, the design allowed us to assess whether a moderate dietary ME restriction compromises lactating host resistance to parasites. We discuss our result that CP supply, but not ME supply, affects lactational resistance to \textit{N. brasiliensis}. It is unlikely that the incomplete $4 \times 2$ factorial design used (Fig. 1) biased our conclusions; re-analysis of the
data omitting those arising from feeding treatments 1 and 6, thus addressing our hypothesis with a balanced 2 £ 2 factorial design, confirmed that worm burden was affected by increased CP supply (\(P = 0.035\)) and not by ME supply (\(P = 0.174\)), and although the effects of CP supply on worm burden were again more pronounced on day 8 than on day 11, as in the incomplete factorial design, there was no formally significant interaction between CP supply and time (\(P = 0.063\)).

The experimental design created the required conditions in order to test our hypotheses. In the present experiment, restricted feeding at 90 % of the achieved feed intake observed in previous experiments avoided increased feed intake, which has been observed when animals are offered foods with higher CP content. The success of the experimental design is further evidenced by the small numbers of food refusal that occurred across feeding treatments and the consequently similar level of ME intake at increasing levels of CP intake, within each of the two different planes of ME supply (Fig. 1). With regard to performance data, the lower level of ME supply resulted in higher dam weight loss and lower litter gain. This is consistent with previous findings on the effects of energy nutrition on lactational performance of rats\(^{18,19}\). At the same time, increased CP supply resulted in smaller dam weight loss and larger litter weight gain. Since the last increment of CP supply did not further increase lactational performance, the range of CP supply achieved ranged from scarce to more than adequate. However, it cannot be discounted that the ME supply was still limiting at the highest level of ME supply used, as only two levels of ME were used.

Lactation imposes significant protein demands on mammals\(^{14}\). At times of dietary protein scarcity, additional protein may be derived from labile body protein. However, the amount of labile body protein that can be mobilised is limited\(^{15}\), and this limitation was further exacerbated by feeding low-protein food during late gestation. At the same time, parasitised hosts would be expected to have additional protein requirements to activate or maintain an effective protective response to parasites\(^{16}\). This is because the effector mechanisms of the immune response are highly proteaceous in nature and inflammation and immune system activation are characterised by the synthesis of specific proteins that play crucial roles in the defence of the host against pathogens and the modulation of the immune response\(^{20,21}\). Thus, feeding foods with a sufficiently low CP content to lactating parasitised hosts, as in the present experiment, would be expected to result in protein scarcity, with penalties on both lactational performance and expression of immunity to parasites. We considered changes in the number of adult nematodes in the small intestine and EIC to be the result of changes in the degree of expression of immunity to \textit{N. brasiliensis}, as they provide the ultimate measure on how the host copes with the challenge\(^{22}\). Increasing dietary CP supply resulted in
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... that the high energetic demand for milk synthesis in lactating mammals can, at least to some degree, be met through mobilisation of maternal adipose tissue. An energy restriction during lactation results in increased body fat mobilisation in many species of mammals, including rats. It cannot be excluded that the effects of dietary ME supply on worm burden were not observed because the likely higher rate of body fat mobilisation in the low-ME dams may have provided sufficient ME to overcome ME scarcity for the expression of immunity. The effects of energy (caloric) restriction on resistance to parasites have also been assessed in growing rodents. In contrast to our findings, energy restriction was consistently associated in these studies with higher worm burden. Careful consideration of the extent and the duration of the energy restriction imposed in these experiments suggests that these were substantially greater and longer than those used in the present study. For example, Koski et al. applied an energy restriction of up to 25% for 6 weeks; Kristan imposed an energy restriction of 40% for almost 7 months, and Lunn et al. used an energy restriction of 40% for 3 weeks. In contrast, we imposed a moderate ME restriction of 25% for no longer than 11 d. Growing animals, when nutritionally restricted, mobilise fat while growing protein or retaining their protein reserves, while severe and prolonged feed restriction leads to combined fat and protein losses. Thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that a more severe ME restriction could also have affected host resistance to parasites in our model system.

Re-infection with *N. brasiliensis* did not affect achieved DM intake and maternal body weight gain but reduced litter body weight gain (a proxy for milk production) during lactation with the consequence of infection on litter body weight being more pronounced for feeding treatments 1 and 2 (Fig. 3(b)). This suggests that infection can reduce lactational performance provided that the level of protein-energy malnutrition is sufficiently low. Indeed, at higher levels of ME intake, re-infection with *N. brasiliensis* did not affect litter weight gain. Anorexia has not been observed in the aforementioned studies using the same host–parasite model, which is consistent with the view that it mainly characterises primary infections. The absence of a systematic effect of infection on litter weight in the rats during lactation supports the view that scarce nutrient allocation to milk production takes priority over expression of immunity in parasite-immunised periparturient mammals. This is because in the converse situation, infection would have been expected to penalise litter growth in any nutritional environment, and not only when both CP and ME supply are sufficiently low (treatments 1 and 2) to reduce resilience. Variable effects of nematode infection on food intake and/or dam and pup performance have been observed in other host–parasite systems. However, these experiments are not considered comparable to the present experiment, as they involved parasite-naive animals. Such hosts are expected to prioritise resource allocation to cover the nutritional requirements of expression of immunity towards parasites over those of maintenance and growth.

In conclusion, the present results support the view that the degree of PPRI to *N. brasiliensis* is sensitive to changes...
in protein but not moderate energy supply. This is shown by a decrease in the worm burden, which was achieved in the present experiment with increasing levels of protein intake. Future work may focus on the involvement of specific, essential amino acids in these responses, as there is an increasing body of evidence for amino acid-specific effects on host immune responses. Increasing CP intake resulted in a reduction of the percentage of female worms in the remaining worm burden, which could suggest a more effective expression of immunity towards female worms. This could be beneficial to hosts, as female worms are larger and heavier than male worms and may cause more damage to parasitised hosts. The performance data suggest that secondary parasitic infections may have significant effects on host lactational performance, especially at times of protein-energy malnutrition.
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