Fruit-related terms and images on food packages and advertisements affect children’s perceptions of foods’ fruit content
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Abstract

Objective: To determine whether food label information and advertisements for foods containing no fruit cause children to have a false impression of the foods’ fruit content.

Design: In the food label condition, a trained researcher showed each child sixteen different food label photographs depicting front-of-food label packages that varied with regard to fruit content (i.e. real fruit v. sham fruit) and label elements. In the food advertisement condition, children viewed sixteen, 30 s television food advertisements with similar fruit content and label elements as in the food label condition. After viewing each food label and advertisement, children responded to the question ‘Did they use fruit to make this?’ with responses of yes, no or don’t know.

Setting: Schools, day-care centres, after-school programmes and other community groups.

Subjects: Children aged 4–7 years.

Results: In the food label condition, χ² analysis of within fruit content variation differences indicated children (n 58; mean age 4–2 years) were significantly more accurate in identifying real fruit foods as the label’s informational load increased and were least accurate when neither a fruit name nor an image was on the label. Children (n 49; mean age 5–4 years) in the food advertisement condition were more likely to identify real fruit foods when advertisements had fruit images compared with when no image was included, while fruit images in advertisements for sham fruit foods significantly reduced accuracy of responses.

Conclusions: Findings suggest that labels and advertisements for sham fruit foods mislead children with regard to the food’s real fruit content.

Content analyses have repeatedly shown that foods advertised during children’s programming and prime-time hours tend to be high in energy and low in nutrients (1–4). There also is concern regarding the truthfulness of nutrition-related verbal claims and visual depictions in advertisements (5). A content analysis of Saturday morning food announcements, (6) major ingredient (e.g. product names that include ‘fruity’, ‘juicy’ or names of specific fruits) (13,14). In addition, names of foods containing little or no fruit may imply that fruit is a major ingredient (e.g. product names that include ‘fruity’, ‘juicy’ or names of specific fruits) (13,14). Visual depictions of fruit and/or product names and descriptions may imbue products with a health halo that influences individuals’ perceptions and food choices (8–11,13,14,17,18).

Subsequent content analysis of the packages (retail container or wrapping) (27) of the advertised fruit-flavoured foods revealed that pictures of fruit were commonly and prominently displayed (28). Pictures on food labels help consumers efficiently evaluate a product’s intrinsic organoleptic and health-related attributes (9,10). The relative favourableness of the information conveyed by pictures also affects attitudes towards the food and purchase intentions (11–13). Thus, pictures of fruit on food packages that contain little or no fruit may create a false impression of the food and its health benefits (14,15). In addition, names of foods containing little or no fruit may imply that fruit is a major ingredient (e.g. product names that include ‘fruity’, ‘juicy’ or names of specific fruits) (13,14). Visual depictions of fruit and/or product names and descriptions may imbue products with a health halo that influences individuals’ perceptions and food choices (8–11,13,14,17,18).

According to communication theory, food labels and advertisements are communication channels used by food
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† Fruit purée or juice concentrate contains approximately three to six times more sugar, respectively, than 100 % fruit juice (Product specifications, Tropico-Industrial Fruit Division, 2004), thereby contributing substantially fewer nutrients and more energy per gram than real fruit.
manufacturers to transmit (encode) messages to consumers who, in turn, receive and decode them to derive their meaning (19). According to Habermas’ Theory of Communicative Action, consensual understanding is reached when communications are free of distortion, coercion and ideology, and are truthful, sincere, comprehensible and legitimate (14,20). Content analyses suggest that some food advertisements and labels may not adhere to Habermasian standards (20). That is, they appear deceptive; however, the question remains as to whether they actually deceive consumers and affect their behaviours (5,6,14).

Deception analysis begins by first identifying expressed or implied claims made by advertisements, food names or packaging images. Expressed claims are made explicitly, whereas implied claims are impressions created by the textual and visual references and their relationship to each other. The second step is to determine consumers’ beliefs about the food based on the advertisement, food name or packaging image claims. The third step is to determine whether advertising, food name or packaging images affect consumers’ use of the product (21,22). The content analyses available are very useful in providing the information needed to begin the process of deception analysis. However, little published work has assessed consumer perceptions of nutrition-related information in food advertisements (18,25–27). There also is a dearth of studies examining consumer assumptions about foods’ nutritional value based on their packaging and subsequent food selection decisions (9,28,29).

Thus, the purpose of the present study was to move beyond the first step of deception analysis to describe how consumers perceive the potentially deceptive components of food advertisements, product names and packaging images identified by researchers in content analyses and how these perceptions affect food choice. In specific, the purpose of the study was to determine whether food label information (i.e. food names and images) and advertisements for foods containing no fruit cause children to have a false impression of the foods’ fruit content and, therefore, healthfulness. Children are an especially important consumer audience to study because their cognitive immaturity limits their ability to comprehend the ‘selling intent’ of advertisements (18,25,30–35). Concomitantly, their underdeveloped abilities to exercise self-control to resist temptations, like snacks advertised on television or displayed in attractive packages on grocery shelves, makes it difficult for parents to say ‘no’ to their demands to purchase the item (36). Thus, even young children can exert an important influence on family food purchases (18,25,30–34).

**Methods**

The present research had two study conditions: food labels and food advertisements. Permission to conduct the study was secured from the authors’ university Institutional Review Board prior to recruitment.

**Sample**

Children (aged 4–7 years) were recruited from schools, day-care centres, after-school programmes and other community groups. Data were collected from children who verbally assented to participate after their parents granted informed consent permission for them to participate in the study. Data collection continued until the sample quota of approximately fifty was reached in each condition.

**Procedure**

Each child participated in only one of the two study conditions. The data collection procedure for both study conditions was based on previous research (18,28,29,37). In both conditions, a trained researcher and child sat side by side at a table in a distraction-free room. The table was pre-set with stimulus materials (i.e. a deck of food label photographs or a computer for showing recorded television food advertisements), which are described below. A research assistant was seated out of the child’s line of site but near enough to accurately record the child’s responses.

**Food label condition**

This condition was designed to determine how food label information (i.e. food name and images) affects children’s perceptions of the foods’ fruit content. The stimulus material in this condition was a deck of sixteen food label photographs, which were constructed based on previous research (38). In specific, each food label photograph depicted the front of a food package. The photographs were scaled to reflect the relative size of the food package in relation to all food packages in the deck. Each photograph was printed in colour on a sheet of 21.6 cm × 27.9 cm (8.5 in × 11 in) white card stock.

To control for familiarity, labels from foods unavailable in the study area (e.g. foods sold in other regions or retired foods not marketed during the child’s lifetime) were used in the deck. As shown in Table 1, the foods varied with regard to fruit content and label elements thereby creating a two by four design. Fruit Content Variations were foods that contained either: (i) real fruit (e.g. fruit juice, fruit cocktail; fruit content >90% by weight); or (ii) sham fruit (i.e. contained no real fruit, but contained artificial fruit flavours). Fruit content was verified by the ingredient labels on the foods. There were four Label Element Variations for both Fruit Content Variations. The Label Element Variations differed with regard to informational load. The Label Element Variations in ascending order of informational load were labels from foods that had: (i) neither a fruit-related name nor fruit image; (ii) a fruit-related name but no fruit image; (iii) a fruit image but no fruit-related name; or (iv) both a fruit-related name and a fruit image. (‘Fruit-related’ was defined as having the word fruit(y), juice (juicy) and/or specific fruit name(s) in its name. Fruit images were photographs or drawings of whole fruit. An array of products, such as breakfast
scores were computed by determining the percentage of correct answers for all items answered ‘yes’ or ‘no’ by the child (i.e. the number of foods correctly identified as containing or not containing fruit were summed and divided by the total number of items answered ‘yes’ or ‘no’; ‘don’t know’ answers were not included). Thus, scores could range from 0 to 100%. Total scores and scores for each of the Label Element Variation were calculated. The three foods in the practice session were not included in the score.

**Food advertisement condition**

This condition was designed to determine how information in television food advertisements (i.e. images and verbal references) affects children’s perceptions of foods’ fruit content.Advertisements were approximately 30 s in length and were obtained from video recordings from previous research studies as well as from Internet sources. The advertised foods varied with regard to fruit content and advertising elements. The Fruit Content Variations were the same as those in the food label condition (see Table 1). Advertising Element Variations and informational load for the two Fruit Content Variations were similar to the food label condition, but adapted to account for differences in labels and television advertising. In specific, Advertising Element Variations were advertisements with: (i) neither verbal fruit references nor fruit images; (ii) verbal fruit references but no fruit images; (iii) fruit images but no verbal fruit reference; or (iv) both verbal fruit references and fruit images. An array of product categories was included for sham fruits; however, even after intensive, widespread searching and evaluation a majority of real fruit advertisements were juices. Each Fruit Content Variation had eight advertisements (two advertisements for each Advertising Element Variation), resulting in sixteen food advertisements. A panel of nutrition researchers reviewed the ingredient labels for each food and each advertisement; they unanimously agreed that the foods met the criteria for the Fruit Content Variations and Advertising Element Variations, respectively.

The procedure for this condition largely mirrored that of the food label condition. The researcher explained that she would like the child to look at some pictures of foods. The researcher then held up the first photograph in the deck and asked the child, ‘Did they use fruit to make the food?’ (The phrasing of the question was based on previous research and pilot testing outcomes.) The research assistant recorded the child’s answers as ‘yes’ (fruit was used), ‘no’ (fruit was not used) or ‘don’t know’. Given the verbal capabilities of young children, answers given as head movements (nods, shakes) and/or pointing were confirmed by repeating the child’s answer and getting confirmation as to its accuracy (e.g. ‘You are nodding your head, does that mean that fruit was used to make the food?’). This same procedure was followed for all food label photographs in the deck. Prior to asking children about the sixteen photographs in the research deck, a practice session of three foods (e.g. fruit salad, macaroni and cheese, and chocolate sandwich cookies) was conducted to ascertain that children were able to differentiate between real fruit and sham fruit foods. Inability to categorize the practice set indicated a child’s cognitive development was not yet sufficiently mature for the present study; thus data collection was terminated. For children who were able to successfully complete the practice session, the sequence in which the food label photographs were presented was randomized at each administration. All children, whether they could complete the data collection session or not, were thanked and rewarded with sheet of smiley face stickers.

**Data analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the participants’ age and gender. Means and standard deviations for each of the food label element and advertising element
variation scores were calculated for both Fruit Content Variations. For both conditions, independent $t$ tests were calculated to determine whether each food label (or advertising) element variation scores differed significantly between the real fruit and sham. A $\chi^2$ analysis was used to examine whether food label (or advertising) element variations within each Fruit Content Variation differed. Statistical significance was set at $P \leq 0.05$.

**Results**

**Food label condition**

Of the 106 children who began this condition, fifty-eight were sufficiently cognitively mature to complete it. Those who were unable to complete the condition were significantly younger than those who completed it (mean age = 4.2 vs. 4.9 years). The gender split for the completers and non-completers was similar with about half being male.

Independent $t$ tests indicated that mean values for real fruit and sham Fruit Content Variation scores differed significantly for each Label Element Variation (Table 2). The $\chi^2$ analysis of within Fruit Content Variation differences indicated children were significantly more accurate in identifying real fruit foods as the label’s informational load increased. That is, foods made with fruit were more likely to be correctly identified as being made with fruit when the food label contained both a fruit name and fruit image. Accuracy rate decreased when the food label contained a fruit image but no fruit name or when there was a fruit name but no fruit image. Children were significantly least accurate when neither a fruit name nor image was on the label.

Comparisons of Label Element Variations for sham fruit foods were the inverse of those of real fruit foods. That is, correctly identifying sham fruit foods as not being made with fruit declined significantly as the label informational load increased. Scores from lowest to highest for sham fruit food labels had these characteristics: both a fruit name and image, fruit image but no fruit name, fruit name but no image, and neither a fruit name nor image.

**Food advertisement condition**

Of the sixty-five children who began this condition, forty-nine were sufficiently cognitively mature to complete it. Those who were unable to complete the condition were significantly younger than those who completed it (mean age = 5.4 vs. 6.2 years). The gender split for the completers and non-completers was similar with about two-thirds being male.

Independent $t$ tests between the real and sham Fruit Content Variation scores indicated that participants differed significantly on all four Advertising Element Variations (Table 3). Overall, children more accurately identified real

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Accuracy of young children’s perceptions of foods’ fruit content based on foods’ front-of-package label elements ($n=58$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foods containing real fruit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Food front-of-package label elements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither fruit name‡ nor fruit image§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit name but no fruit image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit image but no fruit name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both fruit name and fruit image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All elements combined (total score)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a,b,c$Mean values within a column with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P<0.01$) as determined by $\chi^2$ test.

*Real fruit foods were composed primarily of fruit or 100% fruit juice (>90% by weight); sham fruit foods contained no real fruit, but contain artificial fruit flavours. Possible score range = 0 to 100; higher scores indicate greater accuracy with regard to whether fruit was used to make the product.

†Probability of significant differences between real fruit and sham fruit foods as determined by independent $t$ test.

‡Food name included the word fruit(y), juice (juicy) or specific fruit name(s).

§Label images included drawing(s) and/or photograph(s) of fruit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Accuracy of young children’s perceptions of foods’ fruit content based on food advertising elements ($n=49$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foods containing real fruit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Food advertising elements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither fruit name‡ nor fruit image§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit name but no fruit image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit image but no fruit name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both fruit name and fruit image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All elements combined (total score)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a,b,c$Mean values within a column with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P<0.01$) as determined by $\chi^2$ test.

*Real fruit foods were composed primarily of fruit or 100% fruit juice (>90% by weight); sham fruit foods contained no real fruit, but contain artificial fruit flavours. Possible score range = 0 to 100; higher scores indicate greater accuracy with regard to whether fruit was used to make the product.

†Probability of significant differences between real fruit and sham fruit foods as determined by two-sample unequal-variance $t$ test.

‡Verbal reference included the word fruit(y), juice (juicy) or specific fruit name(s).

§Advertisement included one or more images (i.e. drawings, photographs and/or video) of fruit.
fruit foods as containing fruit than identifying sham fruit foods as not containing fruit. Real fruit foods with advertisements that incorporated fruit images tended to be significantly more likely to be identified as containing fruit than when no image was included. In contrast, the presence of fruit images in advertisements for sham fruit foods significantly reduced accuracy of responses. When both a fruit verbal reference and image (the highest information load) were present, the mean score for identifying sham fruit foods as not containing fruit was significantly lower than for all other Advertising Element Variations.

Discussion

Findings from the present study suggest that when there are fruit images and fruit-related names for products, children perceive them as containing fruit, regardless of whether or not they actually contain fruit. In the food label condition, as the informational load on food labels increased, the accuracy of identifying real fruit foods as containing fruit also increased. Conversely, the accuracy of identifying sham fruit foods as not being made with fruit decreased as informational load on labels increased. That is, when there was neither a fruit name nor fruit image present on the package, children received no fruit-related cues and were more likely to correctly identify sham fruit foods as not being made with fruit. In both the food label and food advertising conditions, as the informational load increased, children were increasingly misled that sham fruit foods contained real fruit.

In both conditions, the presence of fruit images alone had a stronger impact on children’s perceptions about a food’s fruit content than a fruit name alone. Perhaps children recognize the fruit visually, but are less familiar with fruit-related terms (i.e. juicy or specific fruit names), or have limited reading skills thereby making the text on labels less meaningful. Additionally, the images were constantly visible while the child was viewing a label photograph, whereas the verbal terms were spoken less often. Results also suggest that the effect of a fruit image is enhanced by a fruit name.

A comparison of the accuracy rate for identifying real fruit foods across conditions suggests that children are less accurate using food labels than advertisements. However, this difference may be due to the differences in the nature of the conditions (static vs. action-oriented images and different participants for food label vs. food advertisement) and because most advertisements for real fruit foods, despite extensive searching, were for fruit juice. Given the relatively high levels of intake of fruit juice by children\(^\text{[59]}\), it is not surprising that they could correctly identify this product as containing real fruit. However, they were equally incorrect in their identification of colourful ‘sham’ fruit drinks as containing real fruit. Thus, it appears that children perceive colourful beverages as containing fruit whether or not they do.

Misleading labels and advertisements may prevent children from making informed decisions and being able to identify healthier food options and may partly explain why fruit intake remains lower than recommended, while intake of sham fruit foods and drinks continues to rise\(^\text{[40,41]}\). It appears that improved enforcement of existing statutes and regulations governing misleading packaged labels is needed.

In the USA, the Food and Drug Administration, the US Department of Agriculture and the Federal Trade Commission share responsibility for enforcing laws designed to protect consumers from deceptive claims about foods\(^\text{[21]}\). The Federal Trade Commission has statutory authority to enforce laws prohibiting deceptive or unfair advertising and, with regard to food, laws prohibiting false or misleading advertisements\(^\text{[21]}\). A deceptive or unfair advertisement includes or omits information about a product, including its health benefits, that is likely to mislead consumers\(^\text{[21]}\). For instance, the Federal Trade Commission ruled that an advertisement was deceptive because it claimed an ice cream bar was ‘99 % fat free’ because the claim applied only to the ice cream and did not include the chocolate coating (which was 40 % fat)\(^\text{[42]}\). An advertisement claiming that a low-fat soup was ‘heart healthy’ was deemed misleading because it did not disclose the soup’s high sodium content\(^\text{[42]}\). An advertisement for processed cheese slices was ruled deceptive based on a misleading visual depiction that falsely implied each slice had more calcium than it actually did\(^\text{[43]}\).

The Food and Drug Administration has the primary responsibility for regulating food labels. It enforces the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA) which prohibits false or misleading labelling of foods and drinks\(^\text{[21,44]}\). Food labelling includes textual information, such as the product name, weight, manufacturer name and address, nutrition facts label, ingredient list, and health and nutrient content claims, as well as images (e.g. drawings, photographs)\(^\text{[45,46]}\). Labelling regulations pertinent to the study reported here are those that focus on misleading product names and images. Regulations require a food’s name to accurately identify or describe its basic nature or characterizing properties or ingredients. The food’s package should include the percentage of characterizing ingredients when their proportion in a food has a material bearing on price or consumer acceptance, or if the label or food’s appearance may create an erroneous impression that certain ingredients are present in amounts greater than actually present\(^\text{[46,47]}\). Artwork on food labels is restricted only to the extent that it cannot hide or detract from the prominence and visibility of required label textual information or misrepresent the food\(^\text{[45,46]}\). Beverage labels that imply they contain juice by using pictures of fruits are required to have a percentage juice declaration\(^\text{[45,46]}\).
The Lanham Act, which governs trademark law in the USA, provides further protection against false or misleading descriptions of product qualities and characteristics on packing, advertising or product promotion. This Act was recently used to successfully prevent a company calling a food containing less than 1% pomegranate juice as “Pomegranate–Blueberry,” despite the plaintiff’s arguments that Food and Drug Administration regulations allow foods to be named by their minority contents. US Supreme Court Justice Anthony Kennedy opined that the FDCA and Lanham Act complement each other in the federal regulation of misleading labels. Congress did not intend the FDCA to preclude Lanham Act suits. This new ruling could lead to more accurate food and beverage labelling and advertising.

The present study is among the first to investigate how food label and advertisement elements affect children’s perceptions about food content. Important study strengths include controlling for familiarity of all real fruit and sham fruit product labels and advertisements; unanimous agreement among a panel of researchers that the selected real fruit and sham fruit food package labels and advertisements met the criteria set for each element variation; and presentation of food labels and advertisements in a random sequence for every participant to prevent primacy and recency effects. The practice session that was conducted with each participant at the beginning of data collection helped to ensure children were cognitively mature enough to participate in the study. A further strength was that all data collectors were trained and followed a written protocol in order to ensure that the study was conducted with fidelity during each data collection session. Results must also be considered in light of study limitations. The study sample was limited to a single geographic region of the USA and, thus, may not be reflective of those living elsewhere. Also, a limited variety of advertisements for real fruit foods could be located.

The study indicates that labels and advertisements for sham fruit foods mislead children with regard to the foods’ real fruit content. Only one other study similar to the one reported herein could be found and it was conducted three decades ago. Both the present study and the older study found that children were deceived about the real fruit content of foods advertised on television. This suggests that the use of fruit words and images to label and advertise sham fruit foods may be misleading children about the foods’ true fruit content. This also may affect children’s ability to make informed food choices and consume enough real fruit, especially those who are cognitively younger. Due to these implications, greater enforcement of existing statutes and regulations is needed to ensure that food packaging and advertisements do not deceive consumers. Future research should seek to determine whether advertising, food name or packaging images affect product choice and product use and extrapolate the potential cost of these behaviours on health and longevity.
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