A. Kudo Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 144 (1996), 155–170

## ON p-ADIC DEDEKIND SUMS

#### AICHI KUDO

### §1. Introduction

For positive integers h, k and m, the higher-order Dedekind sums are defined by

$$S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h, k) = \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} \bar{B}_{m+1-r}(\frac{a}{k})\bar{B}_r(\frac{ha}{k}), \quad 0 \le r \le m+1,$$

where  $\bar{B}_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , are the Bernoulli functions (§2). If m is odd and (h, k) = 1, the sum  $S_{m+1}^{(m)}(h, k)$  is identical with the higher-order Dedekind sum of Apostol [1],

$$s_m(h, k) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} \frac{a}{k} \bar{B}_m \left(\frac{ha}{k}\right).$$

Recently, Rosen and Snyder [6] constructed a p-adic continuous function  $S_p(s; h, k)$  for an odd prime p, which takes the values

$$S_{p}(m; h, k) = \begin{cases} k^{m} s_{m}(h, k) - p^{m-1} k^{m} s_{m}((p^{-1}h)_{k}, k), & \text{if } (k, p) = 1, \\ k^{m} s_{m}(h, k), & \text{if } k = p, \end{cases}$$

at positive integers m such that  $m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ ; here  $(p^{-1}h)_k$  denotes the integer x such that  $0 \le x < k$  and  $px \equiv h \pmod{k}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to extend this result of them to  $k^m S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h, k)$  for every h, k and  $r \ge 1$ . To this end, we use an expression of  $k^m S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h, k)$  in terms of the Euler numbers ([2], [3]) and a p-adic continuous function which interpolates these numbers ([7], [8]).

Let p be a prime number and  $Z_p$  the ring of rational p-adic integers. Let e=p-1 or e=2 according as p>2 or p=2. In §§2-3, we shall prove the following

Received February 27, 1989.

THEOREM 1. Let h, k and r be fixed integers  $\geq 1$ . Then, there exists a continuous function  $S_b(s; r, h, k)$  on  $Z_b$ , which satisfies

$$S_p(m; r, h, k) = k^m S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h, k) - p^{m-r} k^m S_{m+1}^{(r)}(ph, k)$$

for all integers m such that  $m \ge r$  and  $m + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ .

In §4, we shall discuss about a special value and a continuity property of our function  $S_b(s; r, h, k)$ , assuming that (h, k) = 1.

### §2. Preliminaries

Let  $C_p$  be the completion of an algebraic closure of the rational p-adic number field  $Q_p$ , | the valuation on  $C_p$  normalized so that  $|p| = p^{-1}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  the ring of integers in  $C_p$  and Z the ring of rational integers. Throughout, we fix p and consider algebraic numbers to be contained in  $C_p$ .

For each root of unity  $\rho \neq 1$ , we define the numbers  $E_n(\rho)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , by

$$\frac{\rho}{e^t - \rho} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(\rho) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Here,  $\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}E_n(\rho)=H_n(\rho)$ ,  $n\geq 0$ , are the Euler numbers with the parameter  $\rho$ .

If  $\rho$  satisfies the condition that  $\rho^{p^n} \neq 1$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ , we can define a finitely additive  $\mathcal{O}$ -valued measure  $\mu_{\rho}$  on  $Z_p$  by

$$\mu_{\rho}(a+p^{N}Z_{p})=\frac{\rho^{p^{N}-a}}{1-\rho^{p^{N}}}, \quad 0 \leq a < p^{N}, \quad N \geq 0.$$

Let  $Z_{p}^{*}$  denote the group of units in  $Z_{p}$ . We know by [7], [8] that

(1) 
$$\int_{Z_{\rho}} x^{n} d\mu_{\rho}(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\rho^{N-1}} a^{n} \frac{\rho^{\rho^{N-a}}}{1 - \rho^{\rho^{N}}} = E_{n}(\rho), \quad n \ge 0$$

and

(2) 
$$\int_{Z_{p}^{*}} x^{n} d\mu_{\rho}(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{a=0}^{p^{N-1}} a^{n} \frac{\rho^{p^{N-a}}}{1 - \rho^{p^{N}}} = E_{n}(\rho) - p^{n} E_{n}(\rho^{p}), \quad n \ge 0,$$

where  $\Sigma^*$  means to take sum over all integers prime to p in the given range.

Let c be an integer > 1 and  $E_n(1) = \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1}$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , where  $B_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , are

the Bernoulli numbers defined by  $\frac{t}{e^t-1}=\sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n\frac{t^n}{n!}$ . Then, it follows at once from the identity

$$\sum_{\eta^{c}=1} \frac{\rho \eta}{e^{t} - \rho \eta} = \frac{c \rho^{c}}{e^{ct} - \rho^{c}}$$

that

(3) 
$$\sum_{n^{c}=1} E_{n}(\rho \eta) = c^{n+1} E_{n}(\rho^{c}), \quad n \ge 0$$

for every root of unity  $\rho$ . If  $\rho^c = 1$ , the formula (3) is equivalent to that

$$\sum_{\eta^{c}=1, \eta \neq 1} E_n(\eta) = (c^{n+1} - 1) \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Let  $B_n(x)=\sum\limits_{i=0}^n\binom{n}{i}B_ix^{n-i}$ ,  $n\geq 0$ , be the Bernoulli polynomials and let  $\{x\}$  denote the smallest real number  $t\geq 0$  such that  $x-t\in Z$ , for a real number x. Then we have  $\bar{B}_n(x)=B_n(\{x\})$  except for the case n=1 and  $x\in Z$  ( $\bar{B}_1(x)=0$  for  $x\in Z$ ). Therefore we get without difficulty that

(4) 
$$S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h, k) = \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} B_{m+1-r}(\frac{a}{k}) B_r(\{\frac{ha}{k}\}), \quad 1 \le r \le m$$

for all odd integers m (unless r=m=1). If r=m=1, the right hand side of (4) is equal to  $S_2^{(1)}(h,k)+\frac{1}{4}$ .

Now, by the equality

$$\frac{te^{\{\frac{a}{k}\}t}}{e^{t}-1} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\zeta^{k}=1} \left( \sum_{b=0}^{k-1} \frac{te^{\frac{b}{k}t}}{e^{t}-1} \zeta^{-b} \right) \zeta^{a},$$

we have

(5) 
$$k^{n}B_{n}\left(\left\{\frac{a}{k}\right\}\right) = n \sum_{\zeta^{k}=1} E_{n-1}\left(\zeta\right) \zeta^{a}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Therefore we obtain the formula of [2], [3],

(6) 
$$k^m S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h, k) = (m+1-r)r \sum_{r=1}^{k} E_{m-r}(\zeta^h) E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}), \quad 1 \le r \le m,$$

for all odd m (unless r = m = 1). If r = m = 1, the formula (6) holds for

$$k(S_2^{(1)}(h, k) + \frac{1}{4}).$$

# §3. Definition of $S_p(s; r, h, k)$

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1 mentioned in introduction. Let h, k and r denote positive integers and  $\zeta$  a root of unity. Let q = p or q = 4 according as p > 2 or p = 2.

Suppose first that  $\zeta^{p^n} \neq 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Let

(7) 
$$G(s; r, \zeta) = \int_{Z_p^*} \omega(x)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^s \frac{1}{x^r} d\mu_{\zeta}(x), \quad s \in Z_p,$$

where  $\omega$  is the Teichmüller character with conductor q and  $\langle x \rangle = \omega(x)^{-1}x$  for  $x \in Z_b^*$ .

Let  $\exp$  and  $\log$  denote the *p*-adic exponential and logarithm functions, respectively. Then, since  $\langle x \rangle \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  for  $x \in Z_p^*$ ,  $\log \langle x \rangle \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$  and  $\langle x \rangle^s = \exp(s \log \langle x \rangle)$ . Therefore  $G(s; r, \zeta)$  is an analytic function of s in  $Z_p$  with an expansion

(8) 
$$G(s; r, \zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n,r}(\zeta) (s+1-r)^{n},$$

$$c_{n,r}(\zeta) = \int_{Z_{p}^{*}} \omega^{-r}(x) \frac{(\log \langle x \rangle)^{n}}{n!} \frac{1}{x} d\mu_{\zeta}(x),$$

$$|c_{n,r}(\zeta)| \leq |\frac{q^{n}}{n!}| \leq (q^{-1}p^{\frac{1}{p-1}})^{n}.$$

Now, as e is the order of  $\omega$ , we have, by (2),

(9) 
$$G(m; r, \zeta) = \int_{Z_p^*} x^{m-r} d\mu_{\zeta}(x) = E_{m-r}(\zeta) - p^{m-r} E_{m-r}(\zeta^p)$$

for all integers m such that  $m \ge r$  and  $m + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ .

Next, suppose that  $\zeta^{p^n}=1$  for some  $n\geq 0$ . Choose an integer c>1 so that  $|c-1|\leq |q|$  and  $\zeta^c=\zeta$ . Let

$$F_c(s; r, \zeta) = \sum_{\eta^c = 1, \eta \neq 1} G(s; r, \zeta \eta).$$

Then, it follows from (9) and (3) that

$$F_c(m; r, \zeta) = (c^{m+1-r} - 1)(E_{m-1}(\zeta) - p^{m-r}E_{m-r}(\zeta^p))$$

for all  $m \ge r$ ,  $m + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ .

Now, we consider the power series

$$U_{c,r}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{(\log c)^{n-1}}{n!} (s+1-r)^n.$$

Since  $|B_n| \le |\frac{1}{p}|$  for all n (by the von Staudt-Clausen Theorem) and  $|\frac{(\log c)^{n-1}}{n!}|$   $\le |\frac{q^{n-1}}{n!}|$ , this power series defines an analytic function of  $s \in Z_p$  and is equal to  $\frac{s+1-r}{c^{s+1-r}-1}$  for  $s \ne r-1$ . Let  $G(s\,;\,r,\,\zeta) = \frac{1}{s+1-r} U_{c,r}(s) F_c(s\,;\,r,\,\zeta), \quad \text{for } s \ne r-1,$   $=\frac{1}{c^{s+1-r}-1} F_c(s\,;\,r,\,\zeta).$ 

Then the value of this function G is independent of the choice of c, and

(10) 
$$G(m; r, \zeta) = E_{m-r}(\zeta) - p^{m-r} E_{m-r}(\zeta^{\flat})$$

for all  $m \ge r$ ,  $m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ . We define the function  $S_{b}(s; r, h, k)$  by

$$S_p(s; r, h, k) = (s + 1 - r)r \sum_{\zeta^k=1}^{k} G(s; r, \zeta^k) E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}),$$

and show that this function  $S_p(s; r, h, k)$  satisfies the properties described in Theorem 1

The function  $S_p$  is analytic in  $Z_p$  and in particular is continuous. Further by (9), (10) and (6) we have

$$S_{p}(m;r,h,k) = (m+1-r)r \sum_{\zeta^{k}=1} (E_{m-r}(\zeta^{h}) - p^{m-r}E_{m-r}(\zeta^{ph}))E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1})$$
  
=  $k^{m}S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h,k) - p^{m-r}k^{m}S_{m+1}^{(r)}(ph,k)$ 

for all  $m \ge r$ ,  $m + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Let d be a positive integer. Since  $S_{m+1}^{(r)}(dh,\,dk)=d^{r-m}S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h,k)$  ([2]), we have

$$S_{p}(m; r, dh, dk) = (dk)^{m} S_{m+1}^{(r)}(dh, dk) - p^{m-r}(dk)^{m} S_{m+1}^{(r)}(pdh, dk)$$
$$= d^{r} k^{m} S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h, k) - p^{m-r} d^{r} k^{m} S_{m+1}^{(r)}(ph, k)$$
$$= d^{r} S_{*}(m; r, h, k)$$

for all  $m \ge r$ ,  $m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ . Hence by analyticity we obtain

$$S_{b}(s; r, dh, dk) = d^{r}S_{b}(s; r, h, k), \quad s \in Z_{b}.$$

Therefore, when we discuss the property of  $S_p(s;r,h,k)$ , it is sufficient to consider in the case where (h,k)=1. Similarly, if (k,p)>1, we can write the formula of Theorem 1 as

$$S_{p}(m; r, h, k) = k^{m} S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h, k) - k^{m} S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h, kp^{-1}),$$

for m such that  $m \ge r$ ,  $m + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ .

Remark 1. Let (h, k) = 1 and p > 2. Take an integer  $h^* > 0$  such that  $hh^* \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ . Then by the property  $S_{m+1}^{(1)}(h^*, k) = S_{m+1}^{(m)}(h, k)$  of Dedekind sums, it follows that

$$S_{p}(m, 1, h^{*}, k) = \begin{cases} k^{m} s_{m}(h, k) - p^{m-1} k^{m} s_{m}((p^{-1}h)_{k}, k), & \text{if } (k, p) = 1, \\ k^{m} s_{m}(h, k), & \text{if } k = p, \end{cases}$$

for all  $m \ge 1$ ,  $m + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ . Therefore the function  $S_p(s; 1, h^*, k)$  gives the Rosen-Snyder's  $S_p(s; h, k)$ .

Remark 2. If p = 2 or 3, then Theorem 1 holds for r = 1 and m = 1, so

$$S_{p}(1;1,h,k) = \begin{cases} k \, s(h,k) - k \, s(ph,k), & \text{if } (k,p) = 1, \\ k \, s(h,k) - k \, s(h,kp^{-1}), & \text{if } (k,p) = p, \end{cases}$$

where  $s(h, k) = S_2^{(1)}(h, k)$ , (h, k) = 1, denote the ordinary Dedekind sums.

For any integer  $\nu \geq 0$ , let  $p^{\overline{\nu}}$  be the least common multiple of q and  $p^{\nu}$ . Let  $c=1+p^{\overline{\nu}}$ . Then the function  $S_p(s;r,h,p^{\nu})$  is defined by

(11) 
$$S_{p}(s; r, h, p^{\nu}) = U_{c,r}(s) r \sum_{\zeta^{p\nu}=0} F_{c}(s; r, \zeta^{h}) E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}).$$

Let (h, k) = 1, k > 1 and let

(12) 
$$\bar{S}_{p}(s;r,h,k) = (s+1-r)r \sum_{\zeta^{k}=1,\zeta^{p^{\nu}}\neq 1} G(s;r,\zeta^{h}) E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}),$$

where  $k = k_0 p^{\nu}$ ,  $(k_0, p) = 1$ , and G on the right is the analytic one defined by (7). Then the function  $S_p(s; r, h, k)$  is separated as

$$S_{p}(s; r, h, k) = \bar{S}_{p}(s; r, h, k) + S_{p}(s; r, h, p^{\nu}).$$

Finally, if r is odd, then we see from the definition of Dedekind sums that  $S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h,1)=S_{m+1}^{(r)}(h,2)=0$  for odd  $m\geq r$ . Hence it follows from Theorem 1

and the analyticity of  $S_p$  that

$$S_h(s;r,h,1) = S_h(s;r,h,2) = 0, \quad s \in Z_h$$

if r is odd.

## §4. Properties of $S_p(s; r, h, k)$

It is the purpose of this section to estimate the p-adic absolute values  $|a_n|$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , of the coefficients of

$$S_{p}(s; r, h, k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}(s+1-r)^{n}, \quad a_{n} \in Q_{p},$$

in the case where (h, k) = 1. We write  $k = k_0 p^{\nu}$ ,  $(k_0, p) = 1$ ,  $\nu \ge 0$ , and consider separately about  $S_p(s; r, h, p^{\nu})$  and  $\bar{S}_p(s; r, h, k)$ . Let  $p^{\bar{\nu}}$  denote the least common multiple of q and  $p^{\nu}$  as before.

LEMMA. Suppose  $\zeta^{p^n} \neq 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Then,

$$\int_{Z_p^*} \omega^{-r}(x) \frac{1}{x} d\mu_{\zeta}(x) = \begin{cases} \log(1-\zeta) - \frac{1}{p} \log(1-\zeta^p), & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ \frac{\tau(\omega^{-r})}{q} \sum_{a=0}^{q-1} \omega^r(a) \log(1-\zeta\zeta_q^a), & \text{if } r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\zeta_q$  is a primitive q-th root of unity, and  $\tau(\omega^{-r}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \omega^{-r}(i) \zeta_q^i$ .

*Proof.* Let f(X) be the unique power series in  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$  such that

$$f(X) \equiv \sum_{a=0}^{p^n-1} \mu_{\zeta}(a+p^n Z_p) (1+X)^a \pmod{P_n(X)}$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ , where  $P_n(X) = (1+X)^{p^n} - 1$ . Then it follows immediately from the above congruences that  $f(X) = \frac{\zeta}{1+X-\zeta}$ . Therefore, we can calculate the value of this integral following the theory of  $\Gamma$ -transform, namely, e.g. along the argument of [5] (pp. 45-48). This completes the proof. The assertion for the case where  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$  is obtained also in [9].

Let  $c=1+p^{\overline{\nu}}$ , and let  $F_c(s\,;\,r,\,\zeta)$  and  $U_{c,r}(s)$  be the functions defined in §3. In the sequel we write  $F^{(\nu)}(s\,;\,r,\,\zeta)$  and  $U_r^{(\nu)}(s)$  for the functions  $F_c$  and  $U_c$ , respectively.

Proposition 1. For each root of unity  $\zeta$  such that  $\zeta^{p^{\nu}} = 1$ , let

$$F^{(\nu)}(s;r,\zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta)(s+1-r)^n, \quad b_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta) \in C_p.$$

(a) When  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ ,

$$b_{0,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \log c, & \text{if } \zeta = 1, \\ -\frac{1}{p} \log c, & \text{if } \zeta^p = 1, \zeta \neq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$

(b) when  $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ .

$$b_{0,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau(w^{-r})}{q} \, \omega^{r}(i) \log c, & \text{if } \zeta = \zeta_{q}^{-1}, \ (i, p) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$

and

(c) 
$$b_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta) = \sum_{a=0}^{p^{\overline{\nu}}-1} \omega^{-r}(a) \zeta^{-a} \left( \frac{(\log a)^n}{n!} + \frac{q^n}{n!} q^{-1} p^{\overline{\nu}} \xi_a^{(n)} \right), \quad n \ge 1,$$

where  $\xi_a^{(n)}$  are rational p-adic integers independent of  $\zeta$ .

Proof. Since

(13) 
$$b_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta) = \sum_{p^c = 1, n \neq 1} \int_{Z_p^*} \omega^{-r}(x) \frac{(\log \langle x \rangle)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{x} d\mu_{\zeta_n}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

the assertions (a), (b) for n=0 immediately follow from Lemma and the fact that

$$\sum_{\eta \neq 1} \log (1 - \zeta \eta) = \begin{cases} \log c, & \text{if } \zeta = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } \zeta \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

for any  $p^{\nu}$ -th root of unity  $\zeta$ . Let  $n\geq 1$ . In order to prove the assertion (c), we write

$$b_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta) = \sum_{\eta \neq 1} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{a=0}^{p^{\overline{\nu}+N}-1} \omega^{-r}(a) \frac{(\log a)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{a} \frac{(\zeta\eta)^{p^{\overline{\nu}+N}-a}}{1 - (\zeta\eta)^{p^{\overline{\nu}+N}}}$$

$$= \sum_{\eta \neq 1} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{a=0}^{p^{\overline{\nu}-1}} \sum_{b=0}^{p^{N}-1} \omega^{-r}(a) \frac{(\log (a + p^{\overline{\nu}}b))^n}{n!(a + p^{\overline{\nu}}b)} \frac{\zeta^{-a}\eta^{-a}(\eta^{-1})^{p^{N}-b}}{1 - (\eta^{-1})^{p^{N}}}$$

so that

$$b_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta) = \sum_{a=0}^{p^{\overline{\nu}}-1} \omega^{-r}(a) \zeta^{-a} \sum_{\eta \neq 1} \eta^{a} \int_{Z_{p}} \frac{(\log (a + p^{\overline{\nu}}x))^{n}}{n! (a + p^{\overline{\nu}}x)} d\mu_{\eta}(x), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Since the sum on the right over  $\eta \neq 1$  ( $\eta^c = 1$ ) is a rational p-adic integer independent of  $\zeta$ , it is sufficient to show that this sum is congruent to  $\frac{(\log a)^n}{n!}$  modulo  $\frac{q^{n-1}}{n!} p^{\overline{\nu}}$ , for each a. Now since  $\log (a + p^{\overline{\nu}}x) \equiv \log a \pmod{p^{\overline{\nu}}}$ ,  $\frac{1}{a + p^{\overline{\nu}}x} \equiv \frac{1}{a} \pmod{p^{\overline{\nu}}}$  and  $\log a \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , we have

$$\frac{(\log (a+p^{\overline{\nu}}x))^n}{a+p^{\overline{\nu}}x} \equiv \frac{(\log a)^n}{a} \pmod{q^{n-1}p^{\overline{\nu}}}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

On the other hand by making use of (1) and (5), we obtain

$$\sum_{\eta \neq 1} \eta^a \int_{Z_{\bar{p}}} d\mu_{\eta}(x) = \sum_{\eta \neq 1} \eta^a E_0(\eta) = c B_1 \left(\frac{a}{c}\right) - B_1$$
 (because  $0 \le a \le p^{\overline{\nu}} - 1 < c$ ) 
$$= a - \frac{p^{\overline{\nu}}}{2} \equiv a \pmod{p^{\overline{\nu}-1}}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\eta \neq 1} \eta^a \int_{Z_p} \frac{(\log (a + p^{\overline{\nu}}x))^n}{n! (a + p^{\overline{\nu}}x)} d\mu_{\eta}(x) \equiv \frac{(\log a)^n}{n!} \left( \operatorname{mod} \frac{q^{n-1}}{n!} p^{\overline{\nu}} \right), \quad n \geq 1,$$

as desired. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

Now, for  $\nu \geq 1$ , let

$$T_r^{(\nu)}(s) = r \sum_{\zeta^{p\nu}=1} F^{(\nu)}(s; r, \zeta^h) E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}),$$

where (h, p) = 1. Then, by (11), we have  $S_p(s; r, h, p^{\nu}) = U_r^{(\nu)}(s) T_r^{(\nu)}(s)$ .

Let  $B_{n,\omega^{-r}}$ ,  $n\geq 0$ , denote the generalized Bernoulli numbers for the character  $\omega^{-r}$ , defined by

$$\sum_{a=0}^{q-1} \frac{\omega^{-r}(a) t e^{at}}{e^{qt} - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\omega^{-r}} \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Proposition 2. Let  $\nu \geq 1$  ( $\nu \geq 2$  if p = 2,  $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ ) and

$$T_r^{(\nu)}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(s+1-r)^n, \quad t_{n,r}^{(\nu)} \in Q_p.$$

Then,

(a) 
$$t_{0,r}^{(\nu)} = \begin{cases} (1 - p^{r-1}) B_r \log c, & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ \omega^r(h) B_{r,\omega^{-r}} \log c, & \text{if } r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e} \end{cases}$$

and

(b) 
$$t_{n,r}^{(\nu)} \equiv \frac{(\log (1+q))^n}{n!} h^r \sum_{a=0}^{p^{\overline{\nu}}-1} v(a)^n (1+q)^{rv(a)} \left( \mod \frac{q^n}{n!} q^{-1} p^{\overline{\nu}} \right), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where v(a) belongs to  $Z_p$  and determined uniquely by  $\langle a \rangle = (1+q)^{v(a)}$ , for each integer a prime to p.

*Proof.* By the definition of  $T_r^{(\nu)}$ , we have

$$t_{n,r}^{(\nu)} = r \sum_{\zeta^{p^{\nu}}=1} b_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta^h) E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}), \quad n \ge 0.$$

(a) Let  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ . Then, by Proposition 1(a),

$$t_{0,r}^{(\nu)} = r \sum_{\zeta^{p}=1, \zeta \neq 1} \left(-\frac{1}{p} \log c\right) E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}) + r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \log c E_{r-1}(1).$$

The right hand side reduces to  $(1 - p^{r-1})B_r \log c$  by making use of the formula (3). Next, let  $r \neq 0 \pmod{e}$ . Then by Proposition 1(b),

$$t_{0,r}^{(\nu)} = r \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} b_{0,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta_q^{-ih}) E_{r-1}(\zeta_q^i)$$

$$= r \frac{\tau(\omega^{-r})}{q} \omega^r(h) \log c \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \omega^r(i) E_{r-1}(\zeta_q^i).$$

Now, from the equality

$$\frac{\tau(\omega^{-r})}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \omega^{r}(i) \frac{\zeta_{q}^{i}}{e^{t} - \zeta_{r}^{i}} = \sum_{a=0}^{q-1} \frac{\omega^{-r}(a) e^{at}}{e^{qt} - 1}$$

we have

$$\frac{\tau(\omega^{-r})}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \omega^{r}(i) \ E_{r-1}(\zeta_q^i) = \frac{1}{r} B_{r,\omega^{-r}}.$$

Hence  $t_{0,r}^{(\nu)} = \omega^r(h) B_{r,\omega^{-r}} \log c$ , as claimed.

(b) Let  $n \ge 1$ , then it follows from Proposition 1(c) that

$$t_{n,r}^{(\nu)} = \sum_{a=0}^{p^{\overline{\nu}}-1} \omega^{-r}(a) \left( \frac{(\log a)^n}{n!} + \frac{q^n}{n!} q^{-1} p^{\overline{\nu}} \xi_a^{(n)} \right) r \sum_{r^{p^{\nu}}=1} \zeta^{ha} E_{r-1}(\zeta).$$

By (5) and the von Staudt-Clausen Theorem, we have

$$r\sum_{\zeta}\zeta^{ha}E_{r-1}(\zeta)=p^{\nu r}B_r\Big(\Big\{\frac{ha}{p^{\nu}}\Big\}\Big)\equiv h^ra^r\pmod{p^{\nu-1}},$$

and hence

$$t_{n,r}^{(\nu)} \equiv h^r \sum_{a=0}^{p^{\overline{\nu}-1}} \langle a \rangle^r \frac{(\log a)^n}{n!} \left( \mod \frac{q^n}{n!} q^{-1} p^{\overline{\nu}} \right)$$
  
= 
$$\frac{(\log (1+q))^n}{n!} h^r \sum_{a=0}^{p^{\overline{\nu}-1}} v(a)^n (1+q)^{rv(a)}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

Now, let  $p^{\nu}>q$ , so we write  $\nu$  for  $\bar{\nu}$ . Let  $A_{\mu}^{(n)}=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{\mu}-1}i^n(1+q)^{ri},\,\mu\geq 1,$   $n\geq 1$ . Then,

$$\sum_{a=0}^{p^{\nu}-1} v(a)^{n} (1+q)^{rv(a)} \equiv e A_{\mu}^{(n)} \pmod{p^{\mu}},$$

where  $q^{-1}p^{\nu}=p^{\mu}$ ,  $\mu\geq 1$ . By induction on  $\mu$  it follows that

$$A_{\mu}^{(n)} \equiv \begin{cases} p^{\mu} B_n \pmod{p^{\mu}}, & \text{if } p > 2, \\ 0 \pmod{p^{\mu-1}}, & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

for all  $\mu \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Hence we have

$$\sum_{a=0}^{p^{\nu}-1} v(a)^{n} (1+q)^{rv(a)} \equiv \begin{cases} -q^{-1} p^{\nu} B_{n} \pmod{q^{-1}} p^{\nu}, & \text{if } p > 2, \\ 0 \pmod{q^{-1}} p^{\nu}, & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 2(b) and the von Staudt-Clausen Theorem, we therefore obtain

$$(14) \quad t_{1,r}^{(\nu)} \equiv 0 \; (\text{mod } p^{\nu}), \quad t_{n,r}^{(\nu)} \equiv 0 \; (\text{mod } \frac{p^{n-2+\nu}}{n!}), \quad n \ge 2, \qquad \text{if } p > 2, \; \nu \ge 2,$$

(15) 
$$t_{n,r}^{(\nu)} \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{p^n}{n!}}, \quad n \ge 1,$$
 if  $p > 2, \nu = 1,$ 

(16) 
$$t_{n,r}^{(\nu)} \equiv 0 \left( \text{mod} \frac{q^{n-1}}{n!} p^{\nu} \right), \quad n \ge 1,$$
 if  $p = 2, \nu > 2.$ 

For p = 2,  $0 \le \nu \le 2$ , we see, more exactly,

(17) 
$$b_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta) = \sum_{a=0}^{q-1} \omega^{-r}(a) \zeta^{-a} \frac{q^n}{n!} \xi^{(n)}, \quad (\zeta^{2^{\nu}} = 1, \nu \leq 2),$$

where  $\xi^{(n)}$  is a 2-adic integer independent of both  $\zeta$  and a. Indeed, we can see, by a little calculation, that

$$\eta^{3} \int_{Z_{2}} \frac{\left(\log \left(3+4x\right)\right)^{n}}{3+4x} d\mu_{\eta}(x) = \eta^{-1} \int_{Z_{2}} \frac{\left(\log \left(1+4x\right)\right)^{n}}{1+4x} d\mu_{\eta^{-1}}(x),$$

for all  $\eta \neq 1$ ,  $\eta^5 = 1$ , and hence

$$\xi^{(n)} = \sum_{\eta^{5}=1, \eta \neq 1} \eta \int_{Z_{2}} \frac{(\log (1+qx))^{n}}{q^{n}(1+qx)} d\mu_{\eta}(x).$$

From this expression of  $b_{n,r}^{(\nu)}(\zeta)$  we obtain, in the same manner as in the proof of Proposition 2(b),

(18) 
$$t_{n,r}^{(\nu)} \equiv 0 \left( \text{mod } \frac{2q^n}{n!} \right), \quad n \ge 1, \quad \text{if } p = 2, \ \nu = 1, 2.$$

By these results obtained above, we can now prove the following

Proposition 3. Let

$$S_{p}(s; r, h, p^{\nu}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}(s+1-r)^{n}, \quad a_{n} \in Q_{p},$$

where  $\nu \geq 1$  ( $\nu \geq 2$  if p = 2,  $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ ) and (h, p) = 1. Then,

(a) 
$$a_0 = \begin{cases} (1 - p^{r-1})B_r, & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ \omega^r(h)B_{r,\omega^{-r}}, & \text{if } r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \end{cases}$$

(b) 
$$|a_1| \le 1, |a_n| \le |\frac{p^{n-2}}{n!}|, n \ge 2, \text{ if } p > 2,$$
  $|a_n| \le |\frac{q^{n-1}}{n!}|, n \ge 1,$  if  $p = 2.$ 

In particular,

(c) 
$$|S_p(s; r, h, p^{\nu}) - S_p(s'; r, h, p^{\nu})| \le |s - s'|, \quad s, s' \in Z_p.$$

*Proof.* Let 
$$U_r^{(\nu)}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n (s+1-r)^n$$
. Then,

(19) 
$$u_0 = \frac{1}{\log c} (c = 1 + p^{\overline{\nu}}) \text{ and } |u_n| = |B_n \frac{p^{\overline{\nu}(n-1)}}{n!}|, \quad n \ge 0,$$

so the assertion (a) is obvious from Proposition 2(a). We further know by Proposition 2(a) and the von Staudt-Clausen Theorem for the Bernoulli (resp. generalized Bernoulli) numbers, that  $|t_{0,r}^{(\nu)}| = |p^{\overline{\nu}-1}|$ . Thus, the assertion (b) follows from (14)-(16), (18) and (19), by taking the power series product of  $U_r^{(\nu)}$  and  $T_r^{(\nu)}$ . The last assertion (c) is an immediate consequence of the fact that  $|a_n| \le 1$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 3.

PROPOSITION 4. Let (h, k) = 1 and k > 1. Then, for  $\bar{S}_p(s; r, h, k)$ , we have

$$\bar{S}_p(s;r,h,k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_n(s+1-r)^n, |\bar{a}_n| \le |r \frac{q^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}|, n \ge 1,$$

and hence

$$|\bar{S}_{b}(s; r, h, k) - \bar{S}_{b}(s'; r, h, k)| \le |r| |s - s'|, \quad s, s' \in Z_{b}.$$

Moreover, if p=2 and r>1, we see  $|\bar{a}_n|\leq |2r\frac{q^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}|$ ,  $n\geq 1$ , and

$$|\bar{S}_2(s;r,h,k) - \bar{S}_2(s';r,h,k)| \le |2r||s-s'|, s, s' \in Z_2.$$

*Proof.* Recalling that  $(1-\zeta)^{n+1}E_n(\zeta)\in Z[\zeta]$ ,  $n\geq 0$ , we have  $|E_n(\zeta)|\leq 1$ , if  $|\zeta-1|=1$ . Let  $k=k_0p^{\nu}$ ,  $(k_0,p)=1$ . Then by the definition (12) of  $\bar{S}_p$ ,

$$\bar{a}_n = r \sum_{\zeta^k = 1, \zeta^{p^{\nu}} \neq 1} c_{n-1,r}(\zeta^h) E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}), \quad n \ge 1.$$

Hence, by (8), the first half of this proposition is obvious.

Now, in general, it follows from the definition of  $E_n(\zeta)$  that

(20) 
$$E_0(\zeta^{-1}) = -E_0(\zeta) - 1; \quad E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}) = (-1)^r E_{r-1}(\zeta), \ r > 1,$$

for every root of unity  $\zeta$ . On the other hand, we can see by a little calculation that

(21) 
$$c_{n,r}(\zeta^{-1}) = (-1)^r c_{n,r}(\zeta), \quad n \ge 0, \quad r \ge 1,$$

for all  $\zeta$ ,  $|\zeta - 1| = 1$ . Let p = 2 and r > 1. Then, by cupling the terms for  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^{-1}$  in the above expression of  $\bar{a}_n$  (note that  $\zeta \neq \zeta^{-1}$ ), we get the second half. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.

Since  $S_p(s;r,h,1)=0$  for r odd (§3),  $\bar{S}_p(s;r,h,k)=S_p(s;r,h,k)$  if (h,k)=(k,p)=1 and  $r\not\equiv 0 \pmod 2$ . In this case, Proposition 4 describes the property of  $S_p(s;r,h,k)$ . For r even, we obtain the following

PROPOSITION 5. For even positive integer r, let

$$S_p(s; r, h, 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_n(s+1-r)^n, \quad a'_n \in Q_p.$$

Then,

$$a'_{0} = \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) B_{r}, & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ 0, & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ |a'_{1}| \leq |\frac{1}{p}|, & |a'_{n}| \leq |\frac{p^{n-3}}{n!}|, & n \geq 2, & \text{if } p > 2, r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ |a'_{1}| \leq |r|, & |a'_{n}| \leq |\frac{rp^{n-2}}{n!}|, & n \geq 2, & \text{if } p > 2, r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ |a'_{1}| \leq |\frac{1}{p}|, & |a'_{n}| \leq |\frac{2q^{n-2}}{n!}|, & n \geq 2, & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (11), we obtain

$$S_p(s; r, h, 1) = U_r^{(0)}(s) F^{(0)}(s; r, 1) B_r$$

If we let  $F^{(0)}(s; r, 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n,r}^{(0)}(s+1-r)^n$ , then Proposition 1(a)(b), (13) and (17) lead, respectively, to

$$b_{0,r}^{(0)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{p} \end{pmatrix} \log (1 + q) & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ 0, & \text{if } r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ b_{n,r}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{p^n}{n!}}, \ n \ge 1, & \text{if } p > 2, \\ b_{n,r}^{(0)} = \frac{2q^n}{n!} \xi^{(n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{2q^n}{n!}}, \ n \ge 1, & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand if we let  $U_r^{(0)}$  (s)  $=\sum_{n=0}^\infty u_n (s+1-r)^n$ , then

$$u_0 = \frac{1}{\log (1+q)}, \quad |u_n| = |B_n \frac{q^{n-1}}{n!}|, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Since, moreover,  $|\frac{B_n}{n}| \le 1$  if  $1 < n \ne 0 \pmod{e}$  and  $|B_n| = |\frac{1}{p}|$  if  $0 < n \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ , in the same manner as in the proof of Proposition 3, the result follows.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that 
$$(h, k) = 1$$
 and  $(k, p) > 1$ .  
(a) If  $p = 2$ ,  $k = 2k_0$ ,  $(k_0, 2) = 1$  and  $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ , then

$$S_2(r-1; r, h, k) = 0,$$
  
 $|S_2(s; r, h, k) - S_2(s'; r, h, k)| \le |q| |s-s'|, s, s' \in Z_2.$ 

(b) Otherwise,

$$S_{p}(r-1; r, h, k) = \begin{cases} (1-p^{r-1})B_{r}, & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \\ \omega^{r}(h)B_{r,\omega^{-r}}, & \text{if } r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e}, \end{cases}$$
$$|S_{p}(s; r, h, k) - S_{p}(s'; r, h, k)| \leq |s-s'|, \quad s, s' \in Z_{p}.$$

*Proof.* Let p=2 and  $r\not\equiv 0 \pmod 2$ . Since  $S_2(s;r,h,2)=0$ , the function  $S_2(s;r,h,2k_0)=\bar{S}_2(s;r,h,2k_0)$  has the expansion

$$S_2(s; r, h, 2k_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (s+1-r)^n, \quad a_n = r \sum_{\zeta^k=1, \zeta^2 \neq 1} c_{n-1,r}(\zeta^k) E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}).$$

Now, since

$$\mu_{-\zeta}(a+2^{N}Z_{2})=rac{(-\zeta)^{2^{N}-a}}{1-(-\zeta)^{2^{N}}}=-\mu_{\zeta}(a+2^{N}Z_{2}),\ 0\leq a<2^{N},\ (a,\ 2)=1,$$

we have  $d\mu_{-\zeta}(x) = -d\mu_{\zeta}(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^*$ , so that

$$c_{n,r}(-\zeta) = -c_{n,r}(\zeta), \quad n \ge 0, \quad r \ge 1.$$

Hence

$$a_n = r \sum_{\zeta^k_{0=1,\zeta \neq 1}} c_{n-1,r}(\zeta^h) (E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}) - E_{r-1}(-\zeta^{-1})), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Write  $d_n(\zeta)$ ,  $\zeta \neq 1$ , for the summand on the right. Then, since

$$E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-1}) - E_{r-1}(-\zeta^{-1}) = 2^r E_{r-1}(\zeta^{-2}) - 2 E_{r-1}(-\zeta^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

we have  $|d_n(\zeta)| \leq |\frac{2q^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}|$ . On the other hand, it follows from (20) and (21) that  $d_n(\zeta) = d_n(\zeta^{-1})$ . Now the order of  $\zeta$  is odd ( $\neq$  1), so clearly  $\zeta \neq \zeta^{-1}$ . Hence we have

$$|a_n| \le |\frac{q^n}{(n-1)!}| \le |q|, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Therefore the assertion (a) is proved. The assertion (b) is obvious from Propositions 3 and 4. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Since  $S_p(s;r,h,k) = \bar{S}_p(s;r,h,k) + S_p(s;r,h,1)$  if (k,p) = 1, we similarly obtain from Propositions 4 and 5 the following

THEOREM 3. Suppose that (h, k) = 1 and (k, p) = 1.

(a) If  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ , then

$$S_{p}(r-1; r, h, k) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) B_{r},$$

$$|S_{p}(s; r, h, k) - S_{p}(s'; r, h, k)| \le |\frac{1}{p}| |s - s'|, \quad s, s' \in Z_{p}.$$

(b) If  $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{e}$ , then

$$\begin{split} S_{p}(r-1\,;\,r,\,h,\,k) &= 0, \\ \mid S_{p}(s\,;\,r,\,h,\,k) - S_{p}(s'\,;\,r,\,h,\,k) \mid \, \leq \, \mid \, r \, \mid \, \mid \, s-s' \, \mid, \quad s,\,s' \in Z_{p}. \\ & ( \leq \, \mid \, 2r \, \mid \, \mid \, s-s' \, \mid \, if\,\, p=2,\,\,r>1). \end{split}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Apostol, Generalized Dedekind sums and transformation formulae of certain Lambert series, Duke Math. J., 17 (1950), 147-157.
- [2] L. Carlitz, Some theorems on generalized Dedekind sums, Pacific J. Math., 3 (1953), 513-522.
- [3] H. Lang, Über Anwendungen höherer Dedekindscher Summen auf die Struktur elementar-arithmetischer Klassenivarianten reell-quadratischer Zahlkörper, J. reine angew. Math., **254** (1972), 17-32.
- [4] S. Lang, Cyclotomic fields, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [5] S. Lang, Cyclotomic fields II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [6] K. H. Rosen and W. M. Snyder, p-adic Dedekind sums, J. reine angew. Math., 361 (1985), 23-26.
- [7] K. Shiratani, On Euler numbers, Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., 27 (1973), 1–5.
- [8] K. Shiratani and S. Yamamoto, On a p-adic interpolation function for the Euler numbers and its derivatives, Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyushu Univ., 39 (1985), 113-125.
- [9] T. Uehara, On p-adic continuous functions determined by the Euler numbers, Rep. Fac. Sci. Engrg., Saga Univ., 8 (1980), 1-8.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Liberal Arts Nagasaki University Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki 852 Japan