SMALL ZEROS OF QUADRATIC L-FUNCTIONS

ALI E. ÖZLÜK AND C. SNYDER

We study the distribution of the imaginary parts of zeros near the real axis of quadratic L-functions. More precisely, let K(s) be chosen so that $|K(1/2 \pm it)|$ is rapidly decreasing as t increases. We investigate the asymptotic behaviour of

$$F(\alpha, D) = \left(\frac{1}{2\zeta(2)}K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)D\right)^{-1}\sum_{d\in\mathcal{F}(D)}\sum_{\rho(d)}K(\rho)D^{i\alpha\gamma}$$

as $D \to \infty$. Here $\sum_{\rho(d)}$ denotes the sum over the non-trivial zeros $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$ of the Dirichlet *L*-function $L(s, \chi_d)$, and $\chi_d = \begin{pmatrix} d \end{pmatrix}$ is the Kronecker symbol. The outer sum $\sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)}$ is over all fundamental discriminants *d* that are in absolute value $\leq D$. Assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we show that for

$$0<|lpha|<rac{2}{3},\ F(lpha,\,D)=-1+o(1)$$
 as $D o\infty.$

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known (see [3, 4, 8, 11]) that the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions $L(s, \chi)$ close to the real axis contain significant number-theoretic information. For example if χ is a quadratic character with $\chi(-1) = -1$, then zeros of $L(x, \chi)$ close to s = 1/2have an effect on the class numbers of complex quadratic fields. In another direction, if χ is the non-principal character (mod 4) then the "first" zero of $L(s, \chi)$ in the critical strip has a bearing on how primes are distributed in residue classes 1 and 3 (mod 4), respectively, and in particular on a phenomenon first observed by Chebysev [5] concerning discrepancies in the distribution of primes into different residue classes.

Shanks [9] has given heuristic arguments for the predominance of primes in residue classes of non-quadratic type. He conjectured that if a_1 is a quadratic residue and a_2 is a quadratic non-residue (mod q), then there are "more" primes congruent to a_2 than those congruent to $a_1 \mod q$. Obviously the sense in which this predominance occurs needs to be specified. Bentz [4] and Bentz and Pintz [3] have made progress in

Received 14 April 1992

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/93 \$A2.00+0.00.

[2]

this direction. Their work clearly displays the significance of "small" zeros of Dirichlet L-functions in comparative prime number theory. (See also [10].)

In this paper, we study under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis the distribution of "small" zeros of quadratic *L*-functions $L(x, \chi_d)$ for all fundamental discriminants *d* that are in absolute value less than or equal to a given constant *D*. More specifically, if $\sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)}$ denotes a summation over all such *d*, we investigate the asymptotic properties of $\sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{\rho(d)} K(\rho) D^{i\alpha\gamma}$ as a function of α as $D \to \infty$. Here *K* is a suitable kernel, $\sum_{\rho(d)}$ denotes the sum over the non-trivial zeros $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$ of $L(s, \chi_d)$ and $\chi_d = \begin{pmatrix} d \end{pmatrix}$ is the Kronecker symbol.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND RESULTS

Let x and D be positive real numbers and define

$$F_1(x, D) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{\rho(d)} K(\rho) x^{i\gamma}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(D)$ is the set of fundamental discriminants of quadratic number fields which are in absolute value less than or equal to D; $\sum_{\rho(d)}$ denotes the sum over the nontrivial zeros $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$ of the *L*-series $L(s, \chi_d)$ where $\chi_d = \binom{d}{2}$, the Kronecker symbol. (Notice that we are assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis.) Also we assume that K(s) is analytic in the strip $-1 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 2$ such that $\int_{c-\infty i}^{c+\infty i} K(s) x^{-s} ds$ is absolutely convergent for -1 < c < 2 and all x > 2, K(1/2 + it) = K(1/2 - it), and where $a(x) = 1/(2\pi i) \int_{c-\infty i}^{c+\infty i} K(s) x^{-s} ds$ is real valued and of compact support on the interval $(0, \infty)$. As is well-known, we have

$$K(s) = \int_0^\infty a(t) t^s \frac{dt}{t}$$

Since we are interested in zeros which are near the real axis we can choose K(s) so that $|K(1/2 \pm it)|$ is rapidly decreasing as t increases. However for now we shall not specify any particular K(s). As we derive properties of $F_1(x, D)$ we shall need to impose further restrictions on a(x), and thus on K(s), but we shall do so as we proceed.

We start by making use of the explicit formula

$$\sum_{\rho(d)} K(\rho) x^{\rho} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n) \left(\frac{d}{n}\right) + a\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \log \frac{|d|}{\pi} + O(1).$$

where

and

and

This can be derived as in [6].

Consequently

$$F_1(x, D) = A + B + O\left(x^{-1/2}D\right)$$
$$A = -x^{1/2} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n)\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)$$
$$B = x^{-1/2} a\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \log \frac{|d|}{\pi}.$$

Now let $A = A_1 + A_2$ where

$$egin{aligned} A_1 &= -x^{-1/2} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n= \square}}^\infty aigg(rac{n}{x}ig) \Lambda(n)igg(rac{d}{n}igg) \ A_2 &= -x^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)}} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n
eq \square}}^\infty aigg(rac{n}{x}igg) \Lambda(n)igg(rac{d}{n}igg) \,; \end{aligned}$$

here $\sum_{n=\Box}$ denotes the sun over those integers which are perfect squares.

Consider first A_1 . Since $\left(\frac{d}{n}\right) = 1$ if n and d are relatively prime and $\left(\frac{d}{n}\right) = 0$ if not, we see that

$$A_1 = -x^{-1/2} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \equiv \square \ (d,n) = 1}} a\left(rac{n}{x}
ight) \Lambda(n).$$

We now write $A_1 = A_{11} + A_{12}$ where

$$A_{12} = x^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{F}(D) \\ n=\square \\ (d,n)>1}} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n=\square \\ n=\square}}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n).$$
$$A_{11} = -x^{-1/2} |\mathcal{F}(D)| \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n=\square}}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n)$$

 $A_{11} = -x^{-1/2} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n)$

and

But notice

where $|\mathcal{F}(D)|$ denotes the cardinality of $\mathcal{F}(D)$. We now seek an asymptotic expansion of A_{11} . To this end we have

LEMMA 1. If the Riemann Hypothesis (R.H.) holds and

$$\int_0^\infty v^{1/4} \log^2 v |a'(v)| \, dv \text{ exists and is finite,}$$
$$\sum_{\substack{n=1\\n=\square}}^\infty a\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n) = \frac{1}{2} K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) x^{1/2} + O\left(x^{1/4} \log^2 x\right) \quad (x \to \infty).$$

then

PROOF: We use Riemann-Stieltjes integration to write

$$\sum_{n=\square} a\Big(rac{n}{x}\Big) \Lambda(n) = \int_0^\infty a\Big(rac{u}{x}\Big) d\psi(\sqrt{u})$$

where $\psi(u) = \sum_{n \leq u} \Lambda(n)$. Then under R.H. $\psi(u) = u + E(u)$ with $E(u) \ll u^{1/2} \log^2 u$. Consequently

$$\int_0^\infty a\Big(rac{u}{x}\Big)d\psi(\sqrt{u}) = \int_0^\infty a\Big(rac{u}{x}\Big)d\sqrt{u} + \int_0^\infty a\Big(rac{u}{x}\Big)dE(\sqrt{u}). \ \int_0^\infty a\Big(rac{u}{x}\Big)d\sqrt{u} = rac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty a\Big(rac{u}{x}\Big)u^{-1/2}du$$

But

and changing variable v = u/x,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty a\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) u^{-1/2} du = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty a(v) x^{-1/2} v^{-1/2} x \, dv$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} x^{1/2} \int_0^\infty a(v) v^{-1/2} dv = \frac{1}{2} K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) x^{1/2} dv$$

On the other hand, by using integration by parts we get

$$\int_0^\infty a\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) dE\left(\sqrt{u}\right) = a\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) E\left(\sqrt{u}\right) \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty E\left(\sqrt{u}\right) da\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)$$
$$= -\int_0^\infty E\left(\sqrt{u}\right) da\left(\frac{u}{x}\right)$$

since a(x) has compact support in $(0, \infty)$. By using $E(\sqrt{u}) \ll u^{1/4} \log^2 u$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty E\left(\sqrt{u}\right) d\, a\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) \ll \int_0^\infty u^{1/4} \log^2 u \, d\, a\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) = x^{-1} \int_0^\infty u^{1/4} \log^2 u \, a'\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) du.$$

Changing variable v = u/x leads to

$$x^{-1} \int_0^\infty u^{1/4} \log^2 u \, a' \left(\frac{u}{x}\right) du = x^{-1} \int_0^\infty x^{1/4} v^{1/4} \log^2 (xv) a'(v) x \, dv$$
$$= x^{1/4} \int_0^\infty v^{1/4} \left(\log^2 x + 2\log x \log v + \log^2 v\right) a'(v) dv \ll x^{1/4} \log^2 x$$

Quadratic L-functions

by the hypothesis. This establishes the lemma.

LEMMA 2.
$$|\mathcal{F}(D)| = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)}D + O\left(\sqrt{D}\right) \quad (D \to \infty).$$

PROOF: As is well-known, see for example, Davenport [6], a fundamental discriminant is a product of relatively prime factors of the form -4, 8, -8, $(-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$ (p any odd prime). Then we have

where

$$\mathcal{F}_1(D) = \{d: d \text{ is an odd fund. disc. and } |d| \leq D\}$$
$$\mathcal{F}_4(D) = \{d: d \text{ is a fund. disc., } d \equiv 4(8), |d| \leq D\}$$
$$\mathcal{F}_8(D) = \{d: d \text{ is a fund. disc., } d \equiv 0(8), |d| \leq D\}.$$

 $\mathcal{F}(D) = \mathcal{F}_{1}(D) \cup \mathcal{F}_{2}(D) \cup \mathcal{F}_{2}(D)$

Notice that in all cases the odd part of d is square-free. Also notice that if $m, \neq \pm 1$, is odd or $\equiv 4(8)$ and that the odd part of m is square-free, then precisely one of m or -m is a fundamental discriminant. On the other hand, if $m = 8m_0$ where m_0 is odd and square-free, then both m and -m are fundamental discriminants. Thus we see

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_1(D)| &= \sum_{\substack{1 < m \leq D \\ m \text{ odd}}} \mu^2(m), \\ |\mathcal{F}_4(D)| &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \frac{D}{4} \\ m \text{ odd}}} \mu^2(m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{F}_{8}(D)| = 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq \frac{D}{8} \\ m \text{ odd}}} \mu^{2}(m).$$

We now use the asymptotic formula,

$$M_{2}(x): = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu^{2}(n) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)}x + O(x^{1/2})$$

see for example, Ellison [7].

From this we show that

$$M_0(\boldsymbol{x}): = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{n} \leq \boldsymbol{x} \\ \boldsymbol{n} \text{ odd}}} \mu^2(\boldsymbol{n}) = \frac{2}{3\zeta(2)} \boldsymbol{x} + O\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{1/2}\right).$$

For notice that

0

[5]

 $M_2(x) = M_0(x) + M_0\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$

 $M_2\left(rac{x}{2}
ight) = M_0\left(rac{x}{2}
ight) + M_0\left(rac{x}{4}
ight)$

and so

$$M_2\left(\frac{x}{2^{\nu}}\right) = M_0\left(\frac{x}{2^{\nu}}\right) + M_0\left(\frac{x}{2^{\nu+1}}\right)$$
$$M_0(x) = \sum_{0 \le \nu \le N} (-1)^{\nu} M_2\left(\frac{x}{2^{\nu}}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad N = \left[\frac{\log x}{\log 2}\right]$$
$$M_1(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 \le \nu \le N} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\nu} x}{2^{\nu}} + O\left(\frac{(-1)^{\nu} x}{2^{\nu}}\right)\right)$$

$$\begin{split} M_0(x) &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\nu} x}{2^{\nu}} + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{2^{\nu}} \right)^{1/2} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{x}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{2^{\nu}} - \frac{x}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{\nu>N} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{2^{\nu}} + O\left(x^{1/2}\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{3\zeta(2)} x - \frac{x}{\zeta(2)} O\left(\frac{1}{x} \right) + O\left(x^{1/2} \right) = \frac{2}{3\zeta(2)} x + O\left(x^{1/2} \right). \end{split}$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(D)| &= |\mathcal{F}_{1}(D)| + |\mathcal{F}_{4}(D)| + |\mathcal{F}_{8}(D)| \\ &= M_{0}(D) - 1 + M_{0}\left(\frac{D}{4}\right) + 2M_{0}\left(\frac{D}{8}\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{3\zeta(2)}\left(D + \frac{D}{4} + \frac{2D}{8}\right) + O\left(\sqrt{D}\right) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)}D + O\left(\sqrt{D}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Combining Lemmas 1 and 2, we have proved

PROPOSITION 1.

$$A_{11} = -\frac{1}{2\zeta(2)}K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)D + O\left(\sqrt{D}\right) + O\left(Dx^{-1/4}\log^2 x\right) \quad \begin{pmatrix} x \to \infty \\ D \to \infty \end{pmatrix}$$

We next consider A_{12} .

PROPOSITION 2. Under the assumptions of Lemma 1,

$$A_{12} \ll x^{-1/2} (\log x) D \log \log D \quad \begin{pmatrix} x \to \infty \\ D \to \infty \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF:

$$A_{12} = x^{-1/2} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{\substack{n = \square \\ (d,n) > 1}} a\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n) = x^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{F}(D) \\ p \text{ prime} \\ m \ge 1 \\ p \mid d}} a\left(\frac{p^{2m}}{x}\right) \log p.$$

We first show that for a fixed prime p,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{p^{2m}}{x}\right) \log p = O(\log x).$$

Since a(x) has compact support in $(0, \infty)$, there exist positive constants $c_1 < c_2$ such that a(x) = 0 if $x \notin [c_1, c_2]$, and suppose $M = \max$ of |a(x)|. Then $a(p^{2m}/x) \neq 0$ implies that $c_1 \leq p^{2m}/x \leq c_2$ or equivalently that $(\log(c_1x))/(2\log p) \leq m \leq (\log(c_2x))/(2\log p)$. But then $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a(p^{2m}/x) \log p \ll M(\log x)/(\log p) \cdot \log p \ll \log x$. Notice that the implied constant is independent of p. Now

$$A_{12} = x^{-1/2} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{\substack{p,m \\ p \text{ prime} \\ p \mid d}} a\left(\frac{p^{2m}}{x}\right) \log p \ll x^{-1/2} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{p \mid d} \log x$$
$$\ll x^{-1/2} \log x \sum_{d \leq D} \sum_{p \mid d} 1.$$

But, as is known, see [2], $\sum_{d \leq D} \sum_{p|d} 1 \sim D \log \log D$. This establishes Proposition 2.

Combining the two propositions yields

PROPOSITION 3. Under the assumptions in Lemma 1, as $x \to \infty$, $D \to \infty$

$$A_{1} = -\frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) D + O\left(D^{1/2}\right) + O\left(Dx^{-1/4}\log^{2}x\right) \\ + O\left(x^{-1/2}(\log x)D\log\log D\right).$$

Now consider A_2 .

PROPOSITION 4. If the Riemann Hypothesis holds and

$$\int_0^\infty \left| v^{3/2} \log^{5/2} v \, a'(v) \right| \, dv < \infty, \ \text{then} \ A_2 \ll D^{1/2} x^{3/4} \log^{1/2} x.$$

PROOF: By the arguments in Ayoub [1], we have for n not a square, that $\sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} (d/n) = O(D^{1/2}n^{1/4}\log^{1/2}n)$ where the implied constant is independent of

n. Hence

$$A_2 \ll x^{-1/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n) D^{1/2} n^{1/4} \log^{1/2} n.$$

Now we consider

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \Lambda(n) n^{1/4} \log^{1/2} n = \int_{0}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) u^{1/4} \log^{1/2} u d\psi(u)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) u^{1/4} \log^{1/2} u du + \int_{0}^{\infty} a\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) u^{1/4} \log^{1/2} u dE(u)$$

where $\psi(u) = u + E(u)$ and again by R.H. $E(u) \ll u^{1/2} \log^2 u$. We consider the first integral, change variable v = u/x, use $\sqrt{x+y} \leqslant \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$ and obtain

$$\int_0^\infty a\left(\frac{u}{x}\right) u^{1/4} \log^{1/2} u \, du = \int_0^\infty a(v) x^{1/4} v^{1/4} \log^{1/2} (xv) x \, dv$$

= $x^{5/4} \int_0^\infty a(v) v^{1/4} (\log x + \log v)^{1/2} dv$
< $x^{5/4} \int_0^\infty a(v) v^{1/4} \left(\log^{1/2} x + \log^{1/2} v \right) dv \ll x^{5/4} \log^{1/2} x$.

Next we consider the second integral and integrate by parts, and changing variable as usual:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} a\Big(\frac{u}{x}\Big)u^{1/4}\log^{1/2} u\,dE(u) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} E(u)d\Big(a\Big(\frac{u}{x}\Big)u^{1/4}\log^{1/2} u\Big) \\ &\ll \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{1/2}(\log^{2} u)u^{1/4}\log^{1/2} u\,da\Big(\frac{u}{x}\Big) + \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{1/2}(\log^{2} u)a\Big(\frac{u}{x}\Big)d\Big(u^{1/4}\log^{1/2} u\Big) \\ &\ll \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{3/4}\Big(\log^{5/2} u\Big)a'\Big(\frac{u}{x}\Big)\frac{1}{x}du \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{1/2}\log^{2} u\,a\Big(\frac{u}{x}\Big)\Big(u^{-3/4}\log^{1/2} u + u^{-3/4}\log^{-1/2} u\Big)du \\ &\ll \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{3/4}v^{3/4}\log^{5/2}(xv)a'(v)dv + \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{-1/4}\log^{5/2} u\,a\Big(\frac{u}{x}\Big)du \\ &\ll x^{3/4}\log^{5/2} x\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{3/4}\log^{5/2} v\,a'(v)dv \\ &+ x^{-5/4}\log^{5/2} x\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{-1/4}\log^{5/2} v\,a(v)dv \\ &\ll x^{3/4}\log^{5/2} x. \end{split}$$

Combining the results establishes the proposition.

From Proposition 3 and 4 we obtain

۵

[8]

PROPOSITION 5. Under the assumptions of Proposition 4,

. .

$$A = -\frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) D + O\left(Dx^{-1/4}\log^2 x\right) + O\left(x^{-1/2}(\log x)D\log\log D\right) + O\left(D^{1/2}x^{3/4}\log^{1/2}x\right).$$

Now we consider B.

PROPOSITION 6.

$$B = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \boldsymbol{x}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{a} \left(\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) D \log D + O\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{a} \left(\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) D\right).$$

PROOF: We have

$$B = x^{-1/2} a\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \log \frac{|d|}{\pi}$$
$$= x^{-1/2} a\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \log |d| - x^{-1/2} a\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \log \pi |\mathcal{F}(D)|$$

First consider

[9]

$$\sum_{d\in\mathcal{F}(D)}\log|d|=\int_1^D\log u\,d\mathcal{F}(u)=\int_1^D\log u\,d\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}u+E_1(u)\right)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(u) = \zeta(2) + E_1(u)$ and $E_1(u) \ll u^{1/2}$ by Lemma 2. Now $(1/\zeta(2)) \int_1^D \log u \, du = (1/\zeta(2)) D \log D + O(D)$ by evaluation. On the other hand,

$$\int_{1}^{D} \log u \, dE_{1}(u) = E_{1}(u) \log u \Big|_{1}^{D} - \int_{1}^{D} E_{1}(u) d\log u$$
$$\ll \sqrt{D} \log D + \int_{1}^{D} u^{-1/2} du \ll \sqrt{D} \log D$$
$$B = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} x^{-1/2} a \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) (D \log D + O(D)),$$

Thus

as desired.

Combining Propositions 5 and 6, we have

THEOREM 1. Under the assumptions of Proposition 4,

$$F_1(x, D) = -\frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) D + \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} x^{-1/2} a\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) D \log D + O\left(x^{-1/2} (\log x) D \log \log D\right) + O\left(D^{1/2} x^{3/4} \log^{1/2} x\right).$$

We now normalise $F_1(x, D)$ by taking $x = D^{\alpha}$ and dividing by

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}D\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$
Hence let
$$F(\alpha, D) = \left(\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)D\right)^{-1}F_1(D^{\alpha}, D).$$

Then we have

THEOREM 2. Under the assumptions of Proposition 4, as $D \to \infty$

$$F(\alpha, D) = -1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1}a(D^{\alpha})D^{-\alpha/2}\log D$$
$$+ O\left(D^{-\alpha/2}\log D^{\alpha}\log\log D\right) + O\left(D^{(3/4)\alpha-1/2}\log^{1/2}D^{\alpha}\right).$$

In particular if $0 < |\alpha| < 2/3$, then

$$F(\alpha, D) = -1 + o(1).$$

We are now in a position of using Theorem 2 to investigate the distribution of the zeros of these L-functions.

THEOREM 3. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Suppose that $r(\alpha)$ is an even function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ with $\hat{r}(\alpha)$ existing and such that $\hat{r}(\alpha)$ is supported in [-2/3, 2/3]. Moreover suppose $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha r(\alpha) d\alpha$ converges. Then

$$\left(\frac{D}{\zeta(2)}\right)^{-1} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \left(\frac{1}{2}K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1} \sum_{\rho(d)} K(\rho)r\left(\frac{\gamma \log D}{2\pi}\right)$$
$$= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\sin 2\pi\alpha}{2\pi\alpha}\right)r(\alpha)d\alpha + o(1),$$

where the implied constant depends only on the kernel K.

PROOF: Consider $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\alpha, D) \hat{r}(\alpha) d\alpha$ which by Theorem 2 is equal to

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left(-1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1} a(D^{-\alpha})D^{-\alpha/2}\log D \right) \widehat{r}(\alpha)d\alpha + o(1)$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\chi_{[-1,1]}(\alpha) + \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1} a(D^{\alpha})D^{-\alpha/2}\log D \right) \widehat{r}(\alpha)d\alpha + o(1)$$

where $\chi_{[-1,1]}$ is the characteristic function of [-1, 1]. But

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{[-1,1]}(\alpha) \widehat{r}(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\chi}_{[-1,1]}(\alpha) r(\alpha) d\alpha = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi\alpha)}{2\pi\alpha} r(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D^{-\alpha/2} a(D^{-\alpha}) \widehat{r}(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D^{-\alpha/2} a(D^{-\alpha}) r(\alpha) d\alpha.$$
$$D^{-\alpha/2} a(D^{-\alpha}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D^{-\beta/2} a(D^{-\beta}) e^{-2\pi i \alpha \beta} d\beta$$

But

and by the change of variable $t = D^{-\beta}$, this integral equals

$$\frac{1}{\log D} \int_0^\infty a(t) t^{1/2 + 2\pi i \alpha/\log D} \frac{dt}{t} \\ = \frac{\alpha}{\log D} \int_0^\infty a(t) t^{1/2} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{\log D} \int_0^\infty a(t) t^{1/2} \left(t^{2\pi i \alpha/\log D} - 1 \right) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Notice that

$$t^{2\pi i \alpha/\log D} - 1 = e^{2\pi i (\log t/\log D)\alpha} - 1 = 2\pi i \frac{\log t}{\log D} \alpha e^{2\pi i (\log t/\log D)\theta_\alpha}$$

for some θ_{α} between 0 and α , whence

and
$$t^{2\pi i \alpha/\log D} - 1 \ll \frac{\log t}{\log D} \alpha$$
$$\frac{1}{\log D} \int_0^\infty a(t) t^{1/2} \left(t^{2\pi i \alpha/\log D} - 1 \right) \frac{dt}{t} \ll \frac{\alpha}{\log^2 D}$$

where the constant is independent of α and D. Consequently,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D^{-\alpha/2} a(D^{-\alpha}) r(\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{1}{\log D} K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(\alpha) d\alpha + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha r(\alpha) d\alpha\right)$$
$$= \frac{K(\frac{1}{2})}{\log D} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(\alpha) d\alpha + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 D}\right).$$
Thus
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\chi_{[-1,1]}(\alpha) + \left(\frac{1}{2} K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1} a(D^{-\alpha}) D^{-\alpha/2} \log D\right) d\alpha$$
$$= -2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2\pi \alpha}{2\pi \alpha} r(\alpha) d\alpha + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(\alpha) d\alpha + O\left(\frac{1}{\log D}\right)$$
$$= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\sin 2\pi \alpha}{2\pi \alpha}\right) r(\alpha) d\alpha + O\left(\frac{1}{\log D}\right).$$
Therefore
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\alpha, D) \widehat{r}(\alpha) d\alpha = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\sin 2\pi \alpha}{2\pi \alpha}\right) r(\alpha) d\alpha + o(1).$$

Т

[11]

On the other hand, by the definition of $F(\alpha, D)$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\alpha, D)\widehat{r}(\alpha)d\alpha$$

$$= \left(\frac{D}{\zeta(2)}\frac{1}{2}K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{\rho(d)} K(\rho) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\gamma\alpha\log D}\widehat{r}(\alpha)d\alpha$$

$$= \left(\frac{D}{\zeta(2)}\frac{1}{2}K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(D)} \sum_{\rho(d)} K(\rho) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{r}(\alpha)e^{\frac{2\pi i\alpha\gamma\log D}{2\pi}}d\alpha$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{r}(\alpha)e^{\frac{2\pi i\alpha\gamma\log D}{2\pi}}d\alpha = \widehat{r}\left(-\frac{\gamma\log D}{2\pi}\right) = r\left(\frac{\gamma\log D}{2\pi}\right).$$

 $\left(\frac{D}{\zeta(2)}\frac{1}{2}K\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{-1}\sum_{d\in\mathcal{F}(D)}\sum_{\rho(d)}K(\rho)r\left(\frac{\gamma\log D}{2\pi}\right)$

 $=2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\sin 2\pi\alpha}{2\pi\alpha}\right)r(\alpha)d\alpha+o(1).$

But

Thus

[12]

References

- [1] R.G. Ayoub, An introduction to the analytic theory of numbers 10 (Math. Surveys, Providence, R.I., 1963).
- [2] R. Bellman, Analytic number theory, an introduction (Benjamin Cummings Pub. Co., 1980).
- [3] H.-J. Bentz and J. Pintz, 'Quadratic residues and the distribution of prime numbers', Monatshefte für Mathematik 90 (1982), 91-100.
- [4] H.-J. Bentz, 'Discrepancies in the distribution of prime numbers', J. Number Theory 15 (1982), 252-274.
- [5] P.L. Chebysev, 'Lettres de M. le professeur Tchebychev á M. Fuss sur un nouveau théorème relative aux nombres premiers contenus dans les formes 4n + 1 et 4n + 3', Bull. Classe Phys. de l'Acad. Imp. Sciences St. Petersburg 11 (1853), 208.
- [6] H. Davenport, Multiplicative number theory, 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980).
- [7] F. Ellison and W. Ellison, Prime numbers (John Wiley, New York, 1985).
- [8] H.L. Montgomery and P.J. Weinberger, 'Notes on small class numbers', Acta Arith. 24 (1974), 529-542.
- [9] D. Shanks, 'Quadratic residues and the distribution of primes', Math. Tables and other Aids to Comp. 13 (1959), 272-284.
- [10] P. Turán, On a new method of analysis and its applications (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1984).

[11] P.J. Weinberger, 'On small zeros of Dirichlet L-functions', Math. Comp. 29 (1975), 319-328.

Department of Mathematics University of Maine Neville Hall Orono ME 04469 0122 United States of America _