

KAPPA PEGASI — A QUADRUPLE SYSTEM OF POSSIBLE LOW TOTAL MASS

W. R. BEARDSLEY AND M. W. KING

Allegheny Observatory, University of Pittsburgh

RESUMEN

El análisis de los espectros del Observatorio de Lick y de recientes espectros de alta dispersión del Observatorio de Kitt Peak indican que κ Pegasi es un sistema cuádruple con sus cuatro espectros visibles bajo condiciones favorables. Se presentan elementos orbitales espectroscópicos provisionales para el sistema Aab, para el AB y para el Bb. Se ha encontrado que el sistema Bab era el conocido previamente como un sistema espectroscópico de 5.97 días de período. Se ha encontrado que la masa total está solamente entre 2 y 3 masas solares. De esta manera, la más brillante de las cuatro estrellas: Ba (F5IV) —o posiblemente todas las cuatro estrellas— deben tener una masa anormalmente pequeña para su luminosidad. Este sistema parece ser similar a otros tantos que tienen componentes aparentemente superluminosas. Tales sistemas son de interés respecto a la evolución estelar.

ABSTRACT

Analyses of early Lick Obs. spectra and recent high dispersion Kitt Peak National Obs. spectra indicate that κ Peg is a quadruple system having all four spectra visible under favorable conditions. Preliminary spectroscopic orbital elements are presented for the AB system, and for Bb. The Bab system has been found to be the previously known 5.97-day spectroscopic system. The total mass is found to be between 2 and 3 solar masses only. Thus the brightest of the four stars Ba(F5IV) —or possibly all four of the stars— must have an abnormally small mass for its luminosity. This system appears to be similar to several others which have apparent overluminous components. Such systems are of interest in regard to stellar evolution.

Kappa Pegasi (ADS 15281; $\alpha = 21^{\text{h}}40^{\text{m}}.1$, $\delta = 25^{\circ}11' < 1900 >$, $m_v = 4.14$, F5 IV) was discovered to be double by Burnham (1883). Since then, it has been well observed as a visual binary. Three definitive visual orbits exist by Luyten (1934), by Couteau and Morel (1972), and by Beardsley and Breakiron (unpublished) in which the elements were computed using Herschel's method. The three orbits are in close agreement. Astrometric orbits exist by van de Kamp (1947) using Sproul Observatory material, by Gatewood (unpublished) using Allegheny Observatory Series I¹) and by Hayes (1974) using Allegheny Observatory Series I and II. Hayes was unsuccessful in his attempt to combine Series I and

II into a single solution, presumably due to a troublesome magnitude term. The authors are presently deriving a spectroscopic orbit for the 11.54-year period from the center-of-mass motions of star A and star B; each star being also a spectroscopic binary (Beardsley and King 1975). The final spectroscopic orbits will permit a parallax to be derived independent of the trigonometric values. The authors also intend to remeasure both Allegheny Series on SAMM at the U.S. Naval Observatory and reduce the measures using modern reduction techniques. It is hoped that a parallax derived in this way, together with the parallax derived from the spectroscopic orbit, will permit a significant improvement of the overall parallax accuracy and thus also improve the accuracy of the masses.

¹ Kappa Pegasi, was sectored to 10th magnitude in Series I and to 12th magnitude in Series II.

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF VISUAL ORBITS

	P(yrs.) (s.e.)	T (s.e.)	e (s.e.)	a (s.e.)	i (s.e.)	ω (s.e.)	Ω (s.e.)
Luyten	11.53	1909.86	0.30	0".21	109°	131°	111°
Couteau and Morel	11.558	1979.13	0.288	0".255	108°4	126°9	111°1
Beardsley and Breakiron	11.544	1909.703	0.280	0".230	107°7	126°4	112°1
	±0.009	±0.085	±0.009	±0".003	±0°6	±2°4	±0°6
Adopted Mean	11.54 ± 0.01			0".23 ± 0".01			

TABLE 2
SUMMARY OF ASTROMETRIC RESULTS

	α (s.e.)	π _{x_{abs}} (s.e.)
v. d. Kamp ... (α _{vis})	0".0426 ± 0".0056	0".039 ± 0".008
Gaewood (I) ... (α _{pg})	0".0383 ± 0".0064	0".024 ± 0".010
Yerkes Obs.		0".024 ± 0".022
McCormick Obs.		0".019 ± 0".012
Adopted Mean	0".0407 ± 0".0042	0".031 ± 0".006

Since the spectroscopic results are not final, we present only a preliminary summary of results. Assume Δm_v = 0.1 ± 0.1 (Beardsley and King 1975)

$$\Delta m_{pg} = 2.5 \log \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{1 + 10^{0.4\Delta m_v}} \frac{a^{\alpha_{vis} - \alpha_{pg}}}{a} \right) - 1 \right]$$

For Δm = 0.1, we have Δm_{pg} = 0.0 ± 0.10.

The color difference between A and B is essentially 0, hence we can adopt α = 0".0407 ± 0".0042.

Using Beardsley's and Breakiron's values:

P = 11.544 ± 0.009 and a = 0".230 ± 0.003 and our adopted π = 0".031 ± 0".006, we have

$$\Sigma M = \frac{a^3}{p^2 \pi^3} = 3.06 \pm 1.91$$

$$B = \frac{0.0407}{0.23} + 0.477 = 0.654 \pm 0.032.$$

Distance modulus = 2.54

Hence: M_B = 2.0 ± 1.2 M_⊙; M_A = 1.1 ± 0.8 M_⊙

and

$$M_{v_A} = 2.31 \pm 0.18; M_{v_B} = 2.41 \pm 0.18,$$

where m_{v_A} = 4.85; m_{v_B} = 4.95

$$M_A/M_B = 0.55.$$

It is interesting to note that B is the more massive star of the visual pair in agreement with van de Kamp (1947). Also, as we have indicated, star A is a spectroscopic binary with both spectra visible and both stars perhaps early F in spectral type. For this star the mass is certainly low. The possibility of low-mass subgiants is of increasing interest in regard to present theories of stellar evolution. As we stated before the authors will continue their efforts to improve the accuracy of the parallax.

We express our appreciation to Dr. G. Gatewood for helpful discussion and to the National Science Foundation for partial support in the obtaining of the observations.

REFERENCES

Beardsley, W. R., and King, M. W. 1975, in press. (Abstract: *Bull AAS*, 7, 477).
 Burnham, S. W. 1883, *Mem. R.A.S.*, 47, 167.
 Couteau, P., and Morel, P. J. 1972, *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.*, 5, 175.
 Hayes, J. C. 1974, *An Astrometric Study of Kappa Pegasus*, unpublished, M. S. Dissertation, University of Pittsburgh.
 Luyten, W. J. 1934, *Ap. J.*, 79, 449.
 van de Kamp, P. 1947, *A. J.*, 53, 34.

DISCUSSION

Strand: It seems to me that the quoted parallaxes in the paper are in complete agreement, when the accuracy of each is considered. I doubt that much improvement in your mean value can be obtained.