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Background
Depression is common and the efficacy of antidepressants is suboptimal. High plasma homocysteine has been consistently associated with depression, and treatment with certain B vitamins demonstrably reduces its concentration.

Aims
To determine whether vitamins B<sub>6</sub>, B<sub>12</sub> and folic acid enhance response to antidepressant treatment over 52 weeks.

Method
Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of citalopram (20–40 mg) together with 0.5 mg of vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 2 mg of folic acid and 25 mg of vitamin B<sub>6</sub> for 52 weeks (Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry: 12609000256279). Participants were community-dwelling adults aged 50 years or over with DSM-IV-TR major depression. We measured severity of symptoms with the Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS). The primary outcome was remission of the depressive episode after 12, 26 and 52 weeks. Secondary outcomes included reduction of MADRS scores over time and relapse of major depression after recovery by week 12.

Results
In total, 153 people were randomised (76 placebo, 77 vitamins). Remission of symptoms was achieved by 78.1 and 79.4% of participants treated with placebo and vitamins by week 12 (OR = 0.840), by 76.5 and 85.3% at week 26 and 75.8 and 85.5% at week 52 (effect of intervention over 52 weeks: odds ratio (OR) = 2.49, 95% CI 1.12–5.51). Group differences in MADRS scores over time were not significant (P = 0.739). The risk of subsequent relapse among those who had achieved remission of symptoms at week 12 was lower in the vitamins than placebo group (OR = 0.33, 95% CI 0.12–0.94).

Conclusions
B vitamins did not increase the 12-week efficacy of antidepressant treatment, but enhanced and sustained antidepressant response over 1 year. Replication of these findings would mandate that treatment guidelines adopt the adjunctive use of B vitamins as a safe and inexpensive strategy to manage major depression in middle-aged and older adults.
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Antidepressant medications are at the forefront of the management of depressive disorders in adults. Their use reduces the severity of symptoms and promotes the remission of depressive episodes, but as many as 50% of patients fail to respond satisfactorily to first-line antidepressant treatment. Attempts to augment response to antidepressants with other agents have produced inconsistent results, and findings have been difficult to generalise because of the characteristics of study samples (predominantly participants with treatment-resistant illness) and diversity of the approaches tested. This lack of success in enhancing the efficacy of antidepressant treatment is, in part, because of our fragmented understanding of the physiological pathways that lead to the development and maintenance of depressive symptoms. Nonetheless, advances have been made. A handful of clinical investigations in the 1960s reported that mental disorders, including depression, were associated with folate deficiency, a finding that was later confirmed by Reynolds and colleagues in a convenience sample of 101 British adults with depression. Subsequently, it became apparent that a large proportion of people with megaloblastic anaemia showed evidence of clinically significant depressive symptoms, either in association with deficiency of folate (vitamin B<sub>9</sub>) or vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, leading to suggestions that these B vitamins could potentially lie on the causal pathway that leads to depression. Folate is a co-substrate of various cell reactions involved in methylation and synthesis of nucleic acid and neurotransmitters, such as serotonin, dopamine and noradrenaline. The intracellular concentrations of folate and vitamin B<sub>12</sub> can be inferred, indirectly, through the total plasma concentration of homocysteine (tHcy), which can be converted to methionine through an enzymatic reaction that uses 5-methyltetrahydrofolate as the methyl donor group. Consequently, the plasma concentration of homocysteine falls as the intracellular concentration of various folates and vitamin B<sub>12</sub> rises. Numerous observational studies have since confirmed that depression, particularly in later life, is associated with relatively low concentrations of folate and vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, as well as with high tHcy, although vitamin supplementation has negligible effects on the mood of adults and older adults free of clinically significant depressive symptoms.

A meta-analysis of genetic association studies showed that a common polymorphism of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) enzyme that increases the basal concentration of tHcy also increases the risk of depression, suggesting that high tHcy lies on the causal pathway that leads to depression in later life. The findings of four small double-blind clinical trials published in the mid 1980s and 1990s were generally consistent with a causal relationship between high tHcy and depression: they reported that folate supplementation improves response to antidepressant treatment, albeit inconsistently. More recently, a secondary analysis of a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial of folate, vitamin B<sub>12</sub> and vitamin B<sub>6</sub> to prevent cardiovascular events among stroke survivors showed that participants assigned
vitrins had lower risk of experiencing a depressive episode over 7 years than those treated with placebo.\textsuperscript{26} Data from a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled augmentation trial of 75 adults with major depression resistant to treatment with a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) showed that 15 mg/day of 1-methylfolate decreased the severity of symptoms and increased remission over 60 days.\textsuperscript{27} These results suggest that the use of folate and vitamin B\textsubscript{12} may increase the efficacy of standard antidepressant treatment in an unselected sample of adults with major depression and, potentially, prevent relapse over time.\textsuperscript{28} However, supportive trial evidence is not currently available. B-VITAGE was a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial designed to assess the short- and long-term efficacy of antidepressant treatment associated with B vitamins or placebo for 1 year (registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ANZCTR): 12609000256279). We hypothesised that a greater proportion of adults aged 50 years or over with major depression assigned treatment with citalopram and vitamins B\textsubscript{6}, B\textsubscript{12} and folate would experience remission of symptoms after 12 and 52 weeks than would controls treated with citalopram and placebo. We also hypothesised that relapse after remission of symptoms by week 12 would be more frequent among participants treated with placebo than with vitamins.

### Method

#### Trial design

B-VITAGE was a 1-year parallel, randomised, double-blind, controlled trial of citalopram and adjunctive treatment with vitamins B\textsubscript{6}, B\textsubscript{12} and folate or placebo. The allocation ratio was 1:1. Three weeks after the trial commenced, the lower age limit of participants was decreased from 60 to 50 years to facilitate recruitment and increase the generalisability of findings. The Human Ethics Committee of the Royal Perth Hospital approved the study protocol\textsuperscript{29} and all participants provided written informed consent.

#### Participants

Eligibility criteria included: (a) age 50 years or over, (b) major depressive episode in the context of a major depressive disorder (single episode or recurrent) according to DSM-IV-TR criteria;\textsuperscript{30} (c) a Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) score \( \geq 20;\textsuperscript{31} \) (d) fluency in written and spoken English; (e) Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) \( \leq 15;\textsuperscript{32} \) (f) Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score \( \geq 24;\textsuperscript{33} \) (g) negative clinical history for stroke or neurodegenerative diseases (such as Parkinson’s disease); (h) negative clinical history of allergic reactions to citalopram or escitalopram; (i) negative clinical history for stroke or neurodegenerative diseases (such as asthma or emphysema), diabetes, hearing impairment and history of past depression. More specifically, we asked: ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor that you had depression?’ Possible answers to this question were ‘yes’ or ‘no’. Participants maintained a medication diary for the duration of the study, where they recorded all medications consumed each day of the year (including changes of antidepressants). In addition, bottles containing the study tablets (citalopram for 12 weeks and vitamins/placebo for 52 weeks) were returned to the pharmacy at each assessment (4, 8, 12, 26 and 52 weeks) and the number of pills dispensed, consumed and returned were recorded. Individuals who had consumed at least 75\% of the study tablets prescribed were considered to have adhered to treatment.

#### Interventions

Eligible participants were randomly assigned to treatment with citalopram plus 0.5 mg of vitamin B\textsubscript{12}, 2 mg of folic acid and 25 mg of vitamin B\textsubscript{6} or citalopram plus placebo. The prescribed dosages of vitamins are effective in reducing tHcy by about 20\%.\textsuperscript{36} Citalopram was introduced at a daily dosage of 10 mg and, 2 weeks later, it was increased to 20 mg. Flexible adjustment of the daily dosage of citalopram occurred at 4 and 8 weeks up to a maximum of 40 mg. These adjustments were guided by the persistence of depressive symptoms and side-effects of treatment, as determined by a certified psychiatrist. After 12 weeks, the management of antidepressant treatment was devolved to the GP of participants, who could choose to maintain the citalopram or change antidepressants. We advised GPs to maintain treatment with citalopram for an additional 9 months for patients whose depressive episode had remitted after 3 months. Participants were actively encouraged to maintain treatment with vitamins or placebo for 52 weeks. The Royal Perth Hospital Pharmacy dispensed the vitamins/placebo at baseline, 4, 8, 12 and 26 weeks. Vitamins and placebo were administered in the form of one daily capsule consumed after breakfast.

Participants of this trial were explicitly instructed not to use vitamin supplements for the duration of the study.

#### Outcomes

The primary outcome of interest of this study was remission of DSM-IV-TR major depressive episode after 12, 26 and 52 weeks of treatment, as assessed by the MINI.\textsuperscript{35} A reduction of 50\% or more in the MADRS scores over the same period was another outcome of interest.\textsuperscript{31} Secondary outcomes included reduction of MADRS scores over time (4, 8, 12, 26 and 52 weeks), relapse of major depressive symptoms following remission by 12 weeks (26 and 52 weeks) and change from citalopram to another antidepressant.

We collected data on age (in years), gender, place of birth, marital status, past self-reported clinical history of cardiovascular diseases (such as coronary heart disease), chronic respiratory diseases (such as asthma or emphysema), diabetes, hearing impairment and history of past depression. More specifically, we asked: ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor that you had depression?’ Possible answers to this question were ‘yes’ or ‘no’. Participants maintained a medication diary for the duration of the study, where they recorded all medications consumed each day of the year (including changes of antidepressants). In addition, bottles containing the study tablets (citalopram for 12 weeks and vitamins/placebo for 52 weeks) were returned to the pharmacy at each assessment (4, 8, 12, 26 and 52 weeks) and the number of pills dispensed, consumed and returned were recorded. Individuals who had consumed at least 75\% of the study tablets prescribed were considered to have adhered to treatment.

During the face-to-face assessments (weeks 4, 8, 12, 26 and 52) participants were asked about adverse effects experienced since their last visit, and rated their presence as ‘not at all or a little’ or ‘quite a bit or a lot’ for: tremor of the hands, muscle stiffness, involuntary muscle contractions, muscle cramps, pins and needles in the body, difficulty concentrating, agitation or restlessness, irritability, dizzy of faint, headache, other pain, arthritis or pain in the joints, nausea, diarrhoea, constipation, vomiting, anorexia, weight loss, weight gain, skin rash, nightmares, excessive
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somnolence, poor sleep, palpitations, dry mouth, other such as delayed ejaculation or anorgasmia. Complaints that were present at baseline (i.e. before the start of treatment) and that persisted at the same level of intensity during follow-up were not attributed to treatment.

Randomisation and masking

The pharmacy of the Royal Perth Hospital carried out the independent randomisation of participants according to a list of random numbers generated by computer in random permuted blocks of 6 to 16 (1:1 allocation). Vitamins and placebo were dispensed in the form of daily capsules that had the same size, shape, colour, texture, smell and taste. Blackmores Australia manufactured all capsules. Investigators and participants remained masked to treatment assignment until all participants had completed all assessments. In addition, both investigators and study participants remained masked to the results of biochemical analyses until the final collection of end-points in September 2013.

Statistical analyses

The data were managed and analysed using the statistical software package Stata version 13.0 for Mac. We used descriptive statistics to describe the sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of participants, and Pearson’s chi-squared tests, Mann–Whitney ranked sum tests and Student’s t-tests to compare their distribution among those assigned to placebo and vitamins. Outcomes were analysed as panel data and made use of all data available at each time point. (That is, all data, including available information for participants lost to follow-up, were entered in these models and contributed to its final intention-to-treat results. In this case, no assumptions were made about missing data that could potentially bias the study findings). We used xtabit to analyse binary outcomes such as remission of depressive episode (yes/no) (effect estimate expressed as odds ratio (OR) and respective 95% confidence intervals) and multilevel mixed-effects linear regression (xtmixed) to analyse changes of MADRS scores over time (effect estimate expressed as mean change of score). These analyses were adjusted for gender and baseline tHcy imbalances. Finally, we used contingency tables to calculate the number needed to treat (NNT) for one person to benefit from vitamin treatment, taking into account the number of participants lost to follow-up. The NNT is the reverse of the absolute risk reduction.

Results

Figure 1 shows the flow of participants from screening to analysis. The first participant entered the study on the 31 March 2009 and the last exited the study on the 11 September 2013. The age of the 153 participants ranged from 50 to 85 years and 86 of them (56.2%) were women. Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants randomly allocated to treatment with placebo and vitamins at their baseline assessment. Treatment groups were well balanced for most measured factors, except for a non-significant excess of women in the placebo group and significantly higher tHcy among those assigned vitamins. None of the participants showed evidence of low concentration of red cell folate (<260 nmol/L) or of serum vitamin B<sub>12</sub> (<140 pmol/L).

Intention-to-treat analysis using xtabit showed that more people treated with vitamins than placebo experienced remission over 52 weeks (OR = 2.49, 95% CI 1.12–5.51, after adjustment for gender and baseline tHcy, Table 2). The interaction between time and intervention grouping was not statistically significant. Treatment with vitamins was associated with 6.5% absolute risk reduction of major depression by 52 weeks (taking into account loss to follow-up), indicating that 16 people would require treatment for one to benefit. These calculations assumed that loss...
to follow-up had occurred at random. If we assume that all participants lost to follow-up had negative outcomes (worst possible scenario), then the number needed to treat would have been 33. We also assessed the effect of treatment without adjusting the analyses for the gender and tHcy imbalance. The non-adjusted analyses showed a diminished effect of the intervention (OR = 1.76, 95% CI 0.81–3.82), mostly because women had significantly lower tHcy at baseline than men (mean difference 1.4, 95% CI 1.0–1.8, \(P = 0.840\), Table 2). The odds of remission in the vitamin group compared with placebo and vitamins. There were no differences between the study groups in any of these measures. Moreover, there were no breaches of protocol or unmasking during the study. Finally, treatment with vitamins was associated with a significant reduction in tHcy (−1.1 µmol/L, 95% CI −1.4 to −0.7 µmol/L; adjusted for gender and baseline tHcy), and increased red cell folate (608.4 nmol/L, 95% CI 487.8 to 729.1 nmol/L; adjusted for gender and baseline red cell folate) and serum B12 (196.0 µmol/L, 95% CI 147.2 to 244.9 µmol/L; adjusted for gender and baseline serum B12), indicating that treatment had the expected effect on these measures (Table 4).

We also completed post hoc analyses to ascertain whether the benefits of treatment varied according to basal tHcy. The median basal tHcy for the sample was 10.4 µmol/L (IQR 9.1–12.3 µmol/L). Restriction of the analyses to the subgroup of 76 participants (32 placebo, 44 vitamins) with tHcy > 10.4 µmol/L confirmed that the use of vitamins was associated with greater odds of remission of symptoms over 52 weeks compared with placebo (OR = 3.47, 95% CI 1.22–9.84). Conversely, those with tHcy ≤10.4 µmol/L at baseline showed no evidence of benefitting from treatment with vitamins (OR = 1.09, 95% CI 0.32–3.73).

**Discussion**

**Main findings**

This placebo-controlled randomised trial showed that the adjunctive use of folate acid, vitamin B6 and vitamin B12 is safe and more effective than placebo at enhancing response to treatment with placebo and vitamins. There were no differences between the study groups in any of these measures. Moreover, there were no breaches of protocol or unmasking during the study.
Table 2  Clinical outcomes over time of participants with major depression treated with placebo or vitamins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n/N (%)</th>
<th>Placebo group</th>
<th>Vitamins group</th>
<th>Effect of time, a within group</th>
<th>Effect of vitamins, b between group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major depressive episode in remission c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12</td>
<td>57/73 (77.1)</td>
<td>58/73 (78.4)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>2.49 (1.12–5.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 26</td>
<td>52/68 (76.5)</td>
<td>58/68 (85.3)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.60–2.29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 52</td>
<td>50/66 (75.8)</td>
<td>53/62 (85.5)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.57–2.26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50% reduction in MADRS score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>38/74 (51.3)</td>
<td>34/73 (46.6)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.28–1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>56/73 (76.7)</td>
<td>46/72 (63.9)</td>
<td>4.04 (2.16–7.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12</td>
<td>56/73 (76.7)</td>
<td>47/73 (64.4)</td>
<td>4.07 (2.18–7.61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse after week 12 d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 26</td>
<td>10/57 (17.5)</td>
<td>5/58 (8.6)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.12–0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 52</td>
<td>10/57 (17.5)</td>
<td>5/58 (8.6)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.44–2.37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MADRS, Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale score.

a. \( n/N \) number of participants in the cell/number of participants available in the group.
b. Analyses adjusted for gender and baseline total plasma homocysteine.
c. Major depressive episode according to DSM-IV-TR criteria. Number needed to treat (NNT) for 1 person to benefit by 52 weeks is 16. If all individuals lost to follow-up were still clinically depressed, then the NNT is 33.
d. A total of 57 and 58 participants treated with placebo and vitamins, respectively, were in remission by week 12 – this subgroup was followed up to investigate relapse of symptoms at weeks 26 and 52.
e. Baseline total plasma homocysteine not included in these analyses, as relapse could only occur at or after week 26.

antidepressant treatment over 52 weeks, but not over the initial 12 weeks. The effect of treatment with vitamins on symptom severity was not significant compared with placebo. In addition, relapse of major depression for participants who had experienced remission of symptoms by 12 weeks was less frequent among those treated with vitamins than with placebo. The beneficial effects of vitamins on mood was apparent among those in the highest 50th percentile of tHcy, but not among those in the bottom half of tHcy values.

Strengths and limitations

This single-site trial had a relatively small sample size and had to stop recruitment before the planned number of participants had been reached. The possible loss of power associated with decreased sample size was mitigated, in part, by the availability of repeated measures, which allowed us to analyse all available data and minimise the introduction of bias (intention-to-treat analyses). The randomisation of participants was centralised and independent of the investigators, and masking was maintained throughout the trial. The sample consisted of volunteers who consented to screening and who were subsequently diagnosed with a major depressive episode. The use of the electoral roll to approach participants living in the community might have yielded a sample that differs from samples recruited from specialised mental health services, and this might explain the relatively high proportion of participants who responded well to treatment. In addition, the outcome measures that we used in this trial to establish the presence of major depression and to monitor changes in the severity of symptoms have well-established validity. This, together with the background characteristics of our sample, gives us confidence that our results are most likely applicable and generalisable to middle-aged and older adults with major depression living in the community.

Contamination caused by the use of vitamins not prescribed by the study could represent another potential source of error in our trial, although participants were explicitly instructed not to use any other B vitamins. The consequence of such contamination would have been loss of power to declare as statistically significant differences between the groups (because some placebo-treated patients would have benefited from treatment with B vitamins). In that case, our findings could be considered conservative. However, we did have objective measures of adherence with the treatment protocol that also included blood tests. Total plasma homocysteine remained unchanged in the placebo group throughout the trial, but declined (as expected) among actively treated participants. Similarly, changes in the concentration of red cell folate and of vitamin B12 increased markedly among participants randomised to treatment with vitamins but not with placebo (Table 4). For these reasons, we are inclined to conclude that contamination is unlikely to have significantly affected the results of our trial.

Given the apparent beneficial results of the intervention, it might seem surprising that we did not find a significant difference in MADRS scores over time between the groups. We would suggest that this might have been as a result of the marked beneficial effect of the interventions on scores, which left little room to explore differences between the groups over time. We have also assumed that loss to follow-up in this trial occurred at random. Although our results are consistent with this interpretation, it is conceivable that those who did not return for assessment could have all done poorly. If that were the case,
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The absolute risk reduction associated with vitamins compared with placebo could be as low as 3%, and the NNT = 33. In this worst possible scenario, the use of vitamins would still enhance response to antidepressant treatment, but benefits would be small.

We also acknowledge that we cannot be certain about the effects of ongoing treatment with these vitamins beyond 52 weeks. Existing data suggest that extended treatment with these dosages of B vitamins is generally safe and that long-term consumption might contribute to preventing depressive episodes among those at risk.

Implications

Existing observational data indicate that high tHcy and low folate increase the risk of depression and are associated with more frequent symptom relapse and treatment resistance. A
recent trial showed that folate supplementation increases response to antidepressant treatment in adults with treatment-resistant depression, and our results now extend these findings to a community-derived unsampled selection of middle-aged and older adults. In this trial, the use of vitamins B6, B12 and folate did not increase the 12-week efficacy of antidepressant treatment, but enhanced and sustained antidepressant response over 1 year. Participants in the highest 50th percentile of plasma tHcy benefited the most from the use of these vitamins. Replication of these findings would mandate that treatment guidelines adopt the additive use of B vitamins as a safe and inexpensive strategy to manage major depression in middle-aged and older adults.
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In a coma

Cyrus Abbasian

The Los Angeles-based hard-rock band Guns N’ Roses released Use Your Illusion albums (I and II) at the height of their fame. Their lesser known but no less monstrous song Coma, from album I, has no chorus, is more than 10 minutes long and is in five distinct parts. It provides interesting insight about its writers, Axl Rose (lead vocalist) and Slash (lead guitarist), who struggled with mental illness and drug and alcohol misuse.

The song begins with four regular heartbeats before the bass, drums and guitars join following the heart rhythm. Throughout the song more heartbeats and beeps of an ECG machine are heard. The initial lyrics include ‘kinda like it in a coma’ and on two occasions a man yells ‘get the crash cart!’ Four minutes into the song Axl sings more blissfully; but then the drums beat rapidly, perhaps indicating ventricular tachycardia as the defibrillator is applied for the second time. Subsequent to again being ‘zapped’ the song becomes more melodramatic before turning sinister. A woman’s voice is heard talking to Axl, in the second person, mainly with a derogatory content. In the final part there is a dreaded sense of destiny with lyrics that include ‘gotta one way ticket to your suicide’.

Acute confusional state, or delirium, is not uncommon in patients who have been in comatose states. It can present with confusion and surreal fluctuating states of mind sequentially not dissimilar to the five parts of this song. These include being in and out of tranquil states, hallucinations and out of body experiences; the song makes four references to floating or sailing.

Axl Rose was diagnosed ‘manic-depressive’ and prescribed lithium. He started writing Coma after impulsively overdosing and being treated in hospital. Slash, in his autobiography, confesses to having used heroin, ecstasy and cocaine. He experienced drug-induced psychosis with episodes of acute paranoia, once running away naked from little versions of the aliens from the film Predator. Slash described the period 1999 to 2001 as his darkest, when ‘I started drinking from first thing in the morning’. He was comatose with cardiomyopathy, at one stage was given 6 weeks to live, and eventually had a defibrillator implanted.

Axl and Slash are currently well and abstinent but have not spoken for years.