

## ON POSITIVELY COMPLEMENTED SUBSPACES OF $c_0$

by PANAYOTIS C. TSEKREKOS

(Received 18th June 1980)

### 1. Introduction

It has been proved, see [1], that a closed infinite dimensional subspace of  $c_0$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$  if and only if it is the range of a bounded linear projection. In [6] we proved half of the order-theoretic analogue of this result. In fact we showed that an infinite dimensional subspace of  $c_0$  which is the range of a positive projection is order-isomorphic to  $c_0$ . We left open the question whether the converse holds also true. In this paper we answer this question negatively by providing an example in Section 4. In Section 3 we give necessary and sufficient conditions in order that an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$  be the range of a positive projection.

### 2. Terminology

By  $c_0$  we denote the linear space of all real sequences  $x = (x(1), x(2), \dots)$  which converge to zero, ordered by the natural coordinatewise ordering which makes  $c_0$  a Banach lattice ( $|x| \leq |y|$  implies  $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ , where  $|x| = x \vee (-x)$  denotes the supremum of  $x$  and  $-x$ ). The positive cone of  $c_0$  defined by this ordering is denoted by  $c_0^+$  and the unit ball by  $U$ . By an *ordered-subspace* of  $c_0$  we mean a closed, infinite dimensional subspace  $X$  of  $c_0$  such that  $X = X_+ - X_+$ , where  $X_+ = X \cap c_0^+$ . Such a subspace is considered everywhere in this paper to be ordered by the cone  $X_+$ . The closed unit ball of  $X$  is denoted by  $U_X$ . A bipositive topological isomorphism between two ordered topological linear spaces is called an *order-isomorphism* and then the spaces are said to be *order-isomorphic*. By a *projection* we mean a continuous linear idempotent operator. We write *U-basis* for an unconditional basis. The ordering associated with a basis  $(x_n)$  is given by the cone  $X_+ = \{x \in X: x = \sum \lambda_n x_n, \lambda \geq 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For terminology and notation used here and not defined here we refer to [3], [4] and [6].

### 3. The main results

Our first theorem concerns those ordered-subspaces of  $c_0$  which are order-isometric to  $c_0$ . Unlike the situation for  $l_p$  spaces (see [6]) these are not necessarily sublattices. For example, the subspace

$$X = \{x \in c_0: x(1) = \frac{1}{2}(x(2) + x(3))\}$$

is order-isometric to  $c_0$  without being a sublattice.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$ . Then,  $X$  is order-isometric to  $c_0$  if and only if  $X$  is the range of a positive contractive projection.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $X$  is order-isometric to  $c_0$  and let  $(x_n)$  be the sequence in  $X$  which corresponds to  $(e_n)$  under the order-isometry  $T$ . Evidently  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a minimum natural number  $k_n$  such that  $x_n(k_n) = 1$ . Since  $T$  is an isometry

$$\|x_n \pm x_m\| = 1 \quad \text{for all } n \neq m, n, m = 1, 2, \dots$$

which implies that

$$x_n(k_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = m \\ 0 & n \neq m. \end{cases}$$

Now, for every  $x \in c_0$ ,  $x(k_n) \rightarrow 0$ , and since  $(e_n)$  and  $(x_n)$  are equivalent,  $\sum x(k_n)x_n \in X$ .

The mapping  $P$  from  $c_0$  into  $X$  defined by

$$Px = \sum x(k_n)x_n$$

is linear,  $Px_n = x_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $Px = x$  for every  $x \in X$ . Also

$$\|P\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Px\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x(k_n)| \leq \|x\|.$$

This implies that  $\|P\| \leq 1$  and, since  $P$  is a projection, finally that  $\|P\| = 1$ .

To prove the converse, suppose that  $X$  is an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$  so that it is the range of a positive contractive projection. By virtue of Theorem 6 of [6],  $X$  is order-isomorphic to  $c_0$ , and more precisely the order of  $X$  is induced by a  $U$ -basis. So, let  $(x_n)$  be a normalised  $U$ -basis defining the ordering of  $X$ . If  $z_1 \nabla z_2$  denotes the supremum of two elements of  $X$  in the ordering defined by  $X_+$ , then, since  $\|P\| = 1$  and  $P(z_1 \vee z_2) = z_1 \nabla z_2$ , we have that  $\|z_1 \nabla z_2 \nabla \dots \nabla z_n\| \leq 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in U_X$ . Now, it can be easily proved (see also Theorem 2 of [5]) that for the order-isomorphism  $T$  from  $X$  to  $c_0$  defined by

$$T(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq \|x\|.$$

This implies that  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  real numbers, we have

$$T(\lambda_1 x_1 \nabla \dots \nabla \lambda_n x_n) = \lambda_1 e_1 \vee \dots \vee \lambda_n e_n,$$

which implies

$$|\lambda_1| \vee \dots \vee |\lambda_n| = \|\lambda_1 e_1 \vee \dots \vee \lambda_n e_n\| \leq \|T\| \|\lambda_1 x_1 \nabla \dots \nabla \lambda_n x_n\| \leq \|\lambda_1 x_1 \nabla \dots \nabla \lambda_n x_n\|. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, the relation

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda_1| \vee \dots \vee |\lambda_n|} |\lambda_1 x_1 \nabla \dots \nabla \lambda_n x_n| \leq |x_1 \nabla \dots \nabla x_n|$$

implies, since  $c_0$  is a Banach lattice, that

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda_1|V\dots V|\lambda_n|} \|\lambda_1 x_1 \nabla \dots \nabla \lambda_n x_n\| \leq \|x_1 \nabla \dots \nabla x_n\| \leq 1$$

or

$$\|\lambda_1 x_1 \nabla \dots \nabla \lambda_n x_n\| \leq |\lambda_1 V \dots V \lambda_n|. \tag{2}$$

Now, relations (1), (2) imply that

$$\|\lambda_1 x_1 \nabla \dots \nabla \lambda_n x_n\| = |\lambda_1|V\dots V|\lambda_n|.$$

Let  $x = \sum \lambda_n x_n = \nabla \lambda_n x_n$  be an arbitrary element of  $X$ . Clearly,  $\left\| \bigvee_1^n \lambda_i x_i \right\| \xrightarrow{n} \|x\|$ , so,

$$\|x\| = \bigvee_1^\infty |\lambda_i|. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand

$$\|Tx\| = \|\sum \lambda_i e_i\| = \bigvee_1^\infty |\lambda_i|. \tag{4}$$

Relations (3) and (4) imply  $\|x\| = \|Tx\|$  for every  $x \in X$ , which completes the proof.

Before stating the next results we need first a definition.

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$  and let  $1 \leq \lambda < \infty$ . We say that  $X$  has the  $\lambda$ -positive extension property ( $\lambda$ -P.E.P. in short) if  $\lambda$  is the least real number for which every positive linear functional  $x^*$  on  $X$  with  $\|x^*\| = 1$  has a positive extension  $y^*$  on  $c_0$  with  $\|y^*\| \leq \lambda$ . An ordered-subspace  $X$  of  $c_0$  is said to have the bounded positive extension property (B.P.E.P. in short) if it has the  $\lambda$ -P.E.P. for some  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$  order-isomorphic to  $c_0$ . Then  $X$  is the range of a positive projection if and only if it has the B.P.E.P.

**Proof.** If  $X$  is the range of a positive projection, then it clearly has the B.P.E.P. For the converse, suppose that  $X$  has the B.P.E.P. Now, let  $(x_n)$  be the basis of  $X$  which corresponds to the natural basis  $(e_n)$  of  $c_0$  under the order-isomorphism,  $(x_n^*)$  the functionals associated with  $(x_n)$  with  $m \leq \|x_n^*\| \leq M$ , and  $y_n^*$  a positive extension of  $x_n^*$  on  $c_0$  with  $\|y_n^*\| \leq \lambda M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

We can also suppose that  $\text{supp } y_n^* \subseteq \text{supp } x_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\text{supp } z = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : z(i) \neq 0\}$ , for otherwise we can take another extension  $y_n'^*$  of  $x_n^*$  with  $y_n'^* \leq y_n^*$  and satisfying the above condition. Indeed, suppose that  $\text{supp } y_n^* \not\subseteq \text{supp } x_n$ . Since  $y_n^*(x_m) = x_n^*(x_m) = \delta_{nm}$ ,  $\text{supp } y_n^* \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{n\}} \text{supp } x_m$ . By nullifying those coordinates of  $y_n^*$  which do not belong to  $\text{supp } x_n$  we get another extension  $y_n'^*$  of  $x_n^*$  with the required property. Notice that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \leq \|y_n'^*\| \leq \lambda M$  and also that  $\text{supp } y_n'^* \cap \text{supp } y_m'^* = \emptyset$  for all  $n \neq m$ ,  $n, m \neq 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows now easily that  $y_n^* \rightarrow 0$  with respect to the

weak-star topology  $\sigma(c_0)$ . The mapping  $P$  from  $c_0$  onto  $X$  defined by

$$Px = \sum y_n^*(x)x_n$$

is clearly a positive projection.

The following theorem has been also proved in [2] in much greater generality, although I was not aware of this fact. However, I cite it here in the following form, for I think the explicit mention of the constants serves the purpose of this paper better.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$ . If  $X$  has the  $\lambda$ -P.E.P., then  $X \cap (U - c_0^+) \subseteq \lambda \overline{U_X - X_+}$ . Conversely such a relation implies that  $X$  has the  $\mu$ -P.E.P. with  $\mu \leq \lambda$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $X$  has the  $\lambda$ -P.E.P. but the given inclusion does not hold. Then we can find an element  $u - p \in X \cap (U - c_0^+)$ , with  $u \in U$  and  $p \in c_0^+$ , such that  $u - p \notin \lambda \overline{U_X - X_+}$ . By the separation theorem, there exists an  $x^* \in X^*$  with  $\|x^*\| = 1$  such that

$$x^*(u - p) > \sup x^*(\lambda \overline{U_X - X_+}) \geq \lambda.$$

Take an extension  $y^* \geq 0$  of  $x^*$  with  $\|y^*\| \leq \lambda$ . Then,

$$\lambda < x^*(u - p) = y^*(u - p) \leq y^*(u) \leq \|y^*\| \leq \lambda$$

which of course cannot be true.

To prove the converse, take a positive linear functional  $x^*$  on  $X$  with  $\|x^*\| = 1$ . If  $q$  denotes the Minkowski functional of  $U - c_0^+$ , then, since  $X \cap (U - c_0^+) \subseteq \lambda \overline{U_X - X_+}$ , we have that  $x^*(x) \leq \lambda q(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem  $x^*$  can be extended to a linear functional  $y^*$  such that  $y^*(x) \leq \lambda q(x)$  for every  $x \in c_0$ . It follows that  $y^*$  is positive and, since  $q(x) \leq \|x\|$  for all  $x \in c_0$ ,  $\|y^*\| \leq \lambda$ .

A simple calculation shows that an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$  which is order-isomorphic to  $c_0$  and has the  $\lambda$ -P.E.P. is the range of a positive projection  $P$  with  $\|P\| \leq \lambda$ . So, recalling that a closed, infinite-dimensional sublattice  $X$  of  $c_0$  is lattice-isometric to  $c_0$  and has the 1-P.E.P., [4, prop. 33.15], we immediately conclude that  $X$  is the range of a positive contractive projection. It is also tempting to see how the ‘‘only if’’ part of Theorem 1 follows from Theorems 2 and 3. To this end it is enough to show that  $X \cap (U - c_0^+) \subseteq \overline{U_X - X_+}$ . Notice that the unit ball  $U_X$  of  $X$  is an upward directed subset of  $c_0$ . Suppose then that there is  $u - p \in X \cap (U - c_0^+)$  such that  $u - p \notin \overline{U_X - X_+}$ . Then, according to Theorem 3.1.12 [3], there exists an  $y^* \in c_0^*$  with  $\|y^*\| = 1$  and  $y^*(u - p) > \sup y^*(U_X - X_+) \geq 1$ . Then,  $1 < y^*(u - p) \leq y^*(u) \leq 1$  which cannot be true. Whence,  $X$  has the 1-P.E.P. and consequently it admits a positive projection of norm one.

Before stating the next lemma, we explain some of the terminology and notation used in it.

Given an ordered-subspace  $X$  of  $c_0$ , a lattice in its own ordering, and a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , we denote by  $\nabla A$  the set  $\{x \in X : \text{there exist } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in A \text{ such that } \alpha_1 \nabla \dots \nabla \alpha_n = x\}$ .

We say that  $A$  admits finitely many suprema, if for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in A$ ,  $\alpha_1 \nabla \dots \nabla \alpha_n \in A$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$ , order-isomorphic to  $c_0$ . Then, the following relations hold true:*

- ( $\alpha$ )  $X \cap (U - c_0^+) \subseteq \{\nabla[X \cap (U - c_0^+)]\} \cap X_+ - X_+ = \nabla K - X_+$  where  $K = X \cap (U - c_0^+)$ ;
- ( $\beta$ ) *the sets  $\nabla U_X - X_+$ ,  $\overline{\nabla U_X - X_+}$  admit finitely many suprema;*
- ( $\gamma$ ) *the sets  $(\nabla U_X - X_+) \cap X_+$ ,  $\overline{\nabla U_X - X_+} \cap X_+$  are bounded.*

**Proof.** ( $\alpha$ ) Take  $u - p \in X \cap (U - c_0^+)$ . Since  $0 \in X \cap (U - c_0^+)$ ,

$$(u - p)^+ = (u - p)\nabla 0 \in K$$

$$(u - p)^- = (p - u)\nabla 0 \in X_+$$

Hence,  $u - p = (u - p)^+ - (u - p)^- \in K - X_+$

( $\beta$ ) Let  $u_1 - x_1, u_2 - x_2 \in \nabla U_X - X_+$ . Then,  $u_1 \nabla u_2 - x_1 \Delta x_2 \in \nabla U_X - X_+$ . On the other hand  $(u_1 - x_1)\nabla(u_2 - x_2) \subseteq u_1 \nabla u_2 - x_1 \Delta x_2$ . So,  $[u_1 \nabla u_2 - x_1 \Delta x_2] - (u_1 - x_1)\nabla(u_2 - x_2) = p \in X_+$ , and finally

$$(u_1 - x_1)\nabla(u_2 - x_2) = u_1 \nabla u_2 - (x_1 \Delta x_2 + p) \in \nabla U_X - X_+.$$

To prove that the second set has the required property, take  $x_1, x_2$  from  $\nabla U_X - X_+$ . There exist sequences  $(u_n^1 - x_n^1), (u_n^2 - x_n^2)$  from the set  $\nabla U_X - X_+$  such that

$$u_n^1 - x_n^1 \rightarrow x_1, \quad u_n^2 - x_n^2 \rightarrow x_2.$$

It follows that  $(u_n^1 - x_n^1)\nabla(u_n^2 - x_n^2) \rightarrow x_1 \nabla x_2$ , and consequently,  $x_1 \nabla x_2 \in \overline{\nabla U_X - X_+}$ .

( $\gamma$ ) It is clear.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$ , order-isomorphic to  $c_0$  and  $K = X \cap (U - c_0^+)$ . Then,  $X$  has the B.P.E.P. if and only if  $M(K) < +\infty$ . where  $M(K) = \sup \{\|x\| : x \in \nabla K \cap X_+\}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $M(K) < +\infty$ . Then, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\nabla K \cap X_+ \subseteq \lambda U_X.$$

Hence,  $\nabla K \cap X_+ \subseteq \lambda U_X - X_+$ , and by Lemma 1

$$X \cap (U - c_0^+) \subseteq \nabla K \cap X_+ - X_+ \subseteq \lambda U_X - X_+.$$

This implies, by Theorem 3, that  $X$  has the B.P.E.P.

Suppose now that  $X$  has the B.P.E.P. By Theorem 3, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$X \cap (U - c_0^+) \subseteq \overline{\lambda U_X - X_+} \subseteq \overline{\lambda(\nabla U_X) - X_+}$$

Hence,  $\{\nabla[X \cap (U - c_0^+)]\} \cap X_+ \subseteq \overline{\lambda(\nabla U_X) - X_+} \cap X_+$ .

By Lemma 1, the set at the right side of the above inclusion is bounded, so  $M(K) < +\infty$ .

**4. The example**

We are going to construct a sequence  $(x_n)$  of positive elements of  $c_0$  such that

- (i)  $(x_n)$  is an unconditional basic sequence;
- (ii)  $X = [x_n]$ , the closed linear span of  $(x_n)$ , is an ordered-subspace of  $c_0$  with

$$X_+ = \left\{ \sum_1^\infty \lambda_n x_n : \lambda_n \geq 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\};$$

(iii)  $\|x_1 + \dots + x_n\| < M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some positive real  $M$ . These conditions and Theorem 2 of [5] will imply that  $[x_n]$  is order-isomorphic to  $c_0$ . However,  $X$ , as we shall see, cannot be the range of a positive projection. Consider the element

$$x_1 = \left( 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots \right).$$

Now, to each prime number  $p_n, p_n < p_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , we correspond the element

$$x_n = \left( 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_n^2}}, 0, \dots \right),$$

where the only non-zero coordinates are those corresponding to the positions  $p_n, p_n^2, p_n^3, \dots, p_n^k, \dots, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . More specifically, the  $p_n$ -coordinate is equal to 1 and the  $p_n^k$ th to  $1/\sqrt{p_n^k}$ . Clearly  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for all the  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and (iii) holds true for  $M = 2$ . To show that  $(x_n)$  is a basic sequence it is sufficient to show that

$$\|\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n\| < \|\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n + \dots + \lambda_m x_m\| \tag{A}$$

for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n < m$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  arbitrary real numbers. Indeed, put

$$x = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n \quad \text{and} \quad y = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n + \dots + \lambda_m x_m.$$

and let  $i_0$  be the coordinate at which  $\|x\| = |x(i_0)|$ . We distinguish the following two cases:

- (a)  $i_0 \notin \bigcup_{j=2}^n \text{supp } x_j$ . Then,  $i_0 = 1$  and since  $x(1) = y(1)$ ,  $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ .
- (b)  $i_0 \in \bigcup_{j=2}^n \text{supp } x_j$ . Then, since  $\text{supp } x_k \cap \text{supp } x_1 = \emptyset, k \neq 1$ , we have that  $x(i_0) = y(i_0)$  and consequently  $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ .

Hence the proof of the inequality (A) has been completed. To prove that  $(x_n)$  is an unconditional basic sequence, it is sufficient to show that the convergence of each series  $x = \sum_1^\infty \lambda_n x_n$  is unconditional. By virtue of [4, Cor. 31.2], it is sufficient to show that the series  $\sum_1^\infty \lambda_n x_n$  is  $\cup$ -Cauchy. Indeed, since  $\text{supp } x_n \cap \text{supp } x_m = \emptyset, n \neq m \neq 1, [x_n]_2^\infty$  is a closed sublattice which, as is well known, is isomorphic to  $c_0$ ; hence  $(x_n)_2^\infty$  is a  $\cup$ -basic sequence equivalent to the usual basis of  $c_0$ . So, for the sequence  $(x_n)_2^\infty$  we have that, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Phi'_0$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that all finite subsets

$\Phi' \cong \Phi'_0$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|\sum_{\Phi} \lambda_i x_i - \sum_{\Phi'_0} \lambda_i x_i\| < \varepsilon$ . But then

$$\left\| \sum_{\Phi'} \lambda_i x_i - \sum_{\Phi'_0} \lambda_i x_i \right\| = \left\| \sum_{\Phi} \lambda_i x_i + \lambda_1 x_1 - \sum_{\Phi'_0} \lambda_i x_i - \lambda_1 x_1 \right\| = \left\| \sum_{\Phi} \lambda_i x_i - \sum_{\Phi'_0} \lambda_i x_i \right\| < \varepsilon$$

where  $\Phi = \Phi' \cup \{1\}$  and  $\Phi_0 = \Phi'_0 \cup \{1\}$ , which proves the required result. We are going now to prove that

$$X_+ = \left\{ \sum_1^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n : \lambda_n \geq 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Take  $x \in X_+$ , Since  $(x_n)$  is a  $\cup$ -basis for  $X$ ,  $x = \sum_1^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n$ . The fact that  $x \geq 0$  implies that each coordinate is a non-negative real number. Since  $x(1) = \lambda_1$ , we have  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ . Moreover, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the coordinates of  $x$  at the positions  $p_n^2, p_n^3, \dots, p_n^k, \dots$  must also be non-negative numbers i.e.

$$\lambda_1/p_n^k + \lambda_n/\sqrt{p_n^k} \geq 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

or

$$\lambda_1/\sqrt{p_n^k} + \lambda_n \geq 0.$$

As  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the above inequality gives  $\lambda_n \geq 0$ , as required. Finally, relation (iii) implies that the  $M$ -constants of  $X$  are bounded, so, by Theorem 2 of [5],  $[x_n]$  is order-isomorphic to  $c_0$ .

However,  $X$  cannot be the range of a positive projection. For if  $P$  is such a projection consider  $P[kx_1 \wedge x_2]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where the infimum is calculated in  $c_0$ .

As we have

$$0 \leq P[kx_1 \wedge x_2] \leq kPx_1, Px_2$$

and  $Px_1 = x_1$ ,  $Px_2 = x_2$  are disjoint in  $[x_n]$ ,  $P[kx_1 \wedge x_2] = 0$ . But now observe that  $P$  is norm continuous and that  $kx_1 \wedge x_2 \rightarrow x_2$  in norm, so  $Px_2 = 0$ , a contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. A. PELZYNSKI, Projections in certain Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **19** (1960), 209–228.
2. H. FAKHOURY, Extensions uniformes des formes lineaires positives, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **23** (1973), 75–94.
3. J. O. JAMESON, *Ordered linear spaces Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 141, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970).
4. J. O. JAMESON, *Topology and normed spaces* (Chapman and Hall, London, 1974).
5. P. C. TSEKREKOS, Some applications of L-constants and M-constants on Banach Lattices, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **18** (1978), 133–139.
6. P. C. TSEKREKOS, Ordered-subspaces of some Banach lattices, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **18** (1978), 325–333.

NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS  
 42 PATISSION STREET  
 ATHENS 147  
 GREECE