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ABSTRACT
Clinical practice guidelines are an important vehicle for knowledge translation and improving patient care. For most learners, these documents can be daunting and confusing. We describe a novel educational experience that clarified the guideline generation process for learners while at the same time creating clinical educational guidelines (simplified learning aids) for junior learners in the emergency department (ED). We devised a system using near-peer mentors to generate a series of clinical education guideline learning materials created by residents and junior learners for the evaluation of undifferentiated chest pain in the ED. This process assisted in teaching residents and junior learners and generated an endurable educational product.

BACKGROUND
Exposure to evidence-based medicine (EBM) has become a core component of medical education within Canada. All Canadian medical specialties require residents to be well-versed in EBM principles, and many require residents to participate in the generation of new knowledge through scholarly and research-related projects.

Many barriers exist to implementing evidence from high-quality reviews and clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) into emergency medicine practice. Emergency physician–specific barriers include a lack of user-friendly “readable” writing formats, a lack of clarity of key recommendations, and a paucity of easily implementable application tools. For junior emergency medicine learners, finding and using guideline evidence can be particularly daunting.

We describe a novel educational experience that asked residents to review the evidence from the perspectives of a “near-peer” and “expert” in generating clinical education guidelines (CEGs) for clinical clerks and junior learners in the emergency department (ED). Internationally standardized methods of CPG creation were adapted to create CEGs focused on junior learners in the ED. The purpose of these guidelines was to provide a simplified evidence-based approach to key critical diagnoses related to the presentation of chest pain. The generation of these documents also provided residents with experience with guideline development.
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There were two rationales for embarking on this project. The first was to create an evidence-based knowledge translation tool targeted to junior learners in the ED. “Near-peer mentors” are poorly defined in the literature but can be loosely described as a mentor that is near one’s stage but slightly more advanced (e.g., as residents are for medical students). Near-peer mentors are often better able to synthesize and discriminate between essential information and non-essential information for their junior colleagues. The second rationale was to provide a hands-on experience for emergency medicine residents in the development of a clinical guideline. CPGs are the second highest level in the hierarchy of preappraised evidence. Residents are often asked to use these documents when reviewing topics and frequently use them to guide clinical care in the ED. However, very few residents have the experience of being involved in the development of guidelines. Through their participation in the CEG development process, residents were provided with the opportunity to become authors and reviewers while also gaining exposure to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) system for evaluating evidence and creating recommendations (Table 1). Participating residents were also introduced to writing using internationally agreed upon standards.

Undifferentiated chest pain is a common and challenging presentation to the ED. The steps for creating a novel CEG to educate junior emergency medicine learners to address this condition are summarized in Table 2. These steps were generated by discussion with local experts who had studied or participated in guideline creation. Initial work was done by a core editorial group (B.B., S.U.) to determine the topics in a rigorous manner (see Table 2, steps 1–3). Subsequently, involving a large group of near-peer writers and editors created more approachable usable resources (see Table 2, steps 4–6). A comprehensive list of possible undifferentiated chest pain diagnoses was generated after review of various emergency medicine learning resources and the Medical Council of Canada Objectives MCC-1 document (3rd edition). Emergency medicine faculty members at McMaster University were surveyed to identify essential “can’t miss” diagnoses from this list. The final diagnoses were acute coronary syndrome (including ST elevation myocardial infarction, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction, and unstable angina), pulmonary embolism, thoracic aortic dissection, pneumothorax, and community-acquired pneumonia.

Four key CEG questions involving clinical assessment, investigations, risk stratification, and initial management were generated for each diagnosis. The current literature (including guidelines, policies, and Cochrane reviews) was reviewed for high-quality evidence summaries that could be reframed in a manner appropriate for a CEG for junior learners. We then recruited nine residents and one senior medical student to research and create junior learner–friendly educational materials. Each of these volunteers was assigned to a team that reviewed and rewrote the evidence appraisal for the targeted junior learners using Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation (AGREE) reporting standards. Authors were paired for writing assignments, and a consensus group meeting was held to obtain agreement on various recommendations using GRADE principles. Final drafts were circulated to expert and subspecialty faculty members for review or endorsement, as well as junior learners for acceptability of the CEG materials (which was defined as utility in learning or clinical practice). Survey responses during the review or endorsement phase were used to make final revisions for the CEG prior to its implementation in the McMaster University emergency medicine clerkship.

---

**Table 1. The GRADE System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Evidence Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality assessment of evidence</td>
<td>Moderate: new research may impact effect estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low: further research is required and is very likely to impact effect estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation strong or weak</td>
<td>Strong recommendation: based on available evidence, the evaluators believe that this recommendation should be carried out (either for or against)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Guyatt G et al. (1)
The final chest pain CEGs are available at the McMaster Emergency Medicine Undergraduate Medical Education website: [http://fhs.mcmaster.ca/emergmed/undergrad_guidelines.htm](http://fhs.mcmaster.ca/emergmed/undergrad_guidelines.htm). The entire process took approximately 3 years to complete, which meant that many of our near-peers were faculty members by the end of the project arc.

DISCUSSION

We have described a successful, near-peer edited process to collaboratively generate useful resources for junior emergency medicine learners. During the evaluation phase, stakeholders and EBM experts from a diverse panel of specialties found the material to be acceptable and trustworthy. The process we outline is reproducible for those who wish to enhance learning resources for this target audience. This innovation would merge well with the recently published consensus list of core competencies for Canadian emergency medicine clerkships and provide a framework to produce educational materials to teach these items.

SUMMARY

The development of CEGs is an instructional method that can be used to accomplish two goals: 1) the production of near-peer authored educational materials targeted to junior learners and 2) the provision of resident exposure to the process of guideline authorship, including the use of the AGREE and GRADE systems. The framework we describe would allow Canadian emergency medicine educators to produce teaching products consistent with a national consensus curriculum.
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