## Hyperplanes of the Form <br> $f_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+\cdots+f_{k}(x, y) z_{k}+g(x, y)$ Are Variables
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Abstract. The Abhyankar-Sathaye Embedded Hyperplane Problem asks whether any hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ is rectifiable, i.e., equivalent to a linear hyperplane up to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Generalizing the approach adopted by Kaliman, Vénéreau, and Zaidenberg, which consists in using almost nothing but the acyclicity of $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, we solve this problem for hypersurfaces given by polynomials of $\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]$ as in the title.

The result announced in the title corresponds to the implication (iv) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{v})$ in the Main Theorem below. Case $k=1$ is a well-known result appearing in [Rus76, Sat76, KZ99]; case $k=2$ can be found in [KVZ04, Th.3.24] or in [KVZ01, Th.2.5]. Before we state this theorem let us clarify the definitions:

- we choose to consider automorphisms as invertible endomorphisms of the (C-algebras of polynomials $\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right], \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, etc.;
- an $x$-automorphism is an automorphism $\alpha$ such that $\alpha(x)=x$;
- a variable, resp. an $x$-variable, is a polynomial $v$ such that $v=\alpha(y)$ for a certain automorphism, resp. $x$-automorphism, $\alpha$.
Main Theorem Let $p=p(x, y, \bar{z}) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, \bar{z}]=\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]$ be a polynomial of degree one in $\bar{z}$, i.e., $p$ is of the form

$$
p(x, y, \bar{z})=f_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+\cdots+f_{k}(x, y) z_{k}+g(x, y)
$$

Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}_{x, y, \bar{z}}^{2+k}$ be the hypersurface given by the equation $p=0$. Then the five following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $\quad X$ is smooth, irreducible and acyclic, i.e., $\tilde{H}_{*}(X ; \mathbb{Z})=0$.
(ii) Up to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ (naturally extended to $\mathbb{C}[x, y, \bar{z}]$ ), $p$ has the form:

$$
p=h(x)\left(\tilde{f}_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+\cdots+\tilde{f}_{k}(x, y) z_{k}\right)+g(x, y)
$$

where $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{f}_{i}^{-1}(0)$ is a finite subset of the parallel lines $h^{-1}(0)$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{y}\left(g\left(x_{0}, y\right)\right)=1, \forall x_{0} \in h^{-1}(0)
$$

(where $h^{-1}(0)$ is first considered as a subset of $\mathbb{C}_{x, y}^{2}$ and, secondly, as a subset of $\left(C_{x}\right)$.

[^0](iii) Up to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[x, y], p$ is an $x$-variable.
(iv) The polynomial $p$ is a hyperplane or, equivalently, $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$.
(v) The polynomial $p$ is a variable or, equivalently, $X$ is rectifiable.

Remark 1 In the Main Theorem above, the notation $\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]$ and the assumption that $p$ has degree one in $\bar{z}$ imply that $k \geq 1$ and the $f_{i}$ are not all zero. However it is worth noticing that whenever $k=0$ or all the $f_{i}$ are zero, the assertions (i), (iv) and (v) still make sense and are still equivalent, provided that $p\left(x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)=g(x, y)$ is irreducible (a usual precaution due to the fact that $g^{-1}(0)$ can be irreducible while $g=h^{n}$ is not, which turns out unnecessary in the theorem since $p=f_{1} z_{1}+\cdots+f_{k} z_{k}+g$ is clearly not a power of another polynomial). Indeed, in this special case the canonical projection $X \simeq \mathbb{C}^{k} \times g^{-1}(0) \rightarrow g^{-1}(0)$ is clearly a homotopy equivalence. Hence $X$ is smooth irreducible and acyclic if and only if $g^{-1}(0)$ is; it is a well-known result that $g(x, y)$ is then a line and by the Abhyankar-Moh-Suzuki theorem [AM75, Sat76] $g(x, y)$ is a variable (of $\mathbb{C}[x, y])$, Hence $p\left(x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)=g(x, y)$ is a variable (of $\left.\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]\right)$.

We now turn to the proof of the Main Theorem; the implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (v) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) being obvious, the rest of the article is dedicated to the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

The injection:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{C}[x, y] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]=\mathbb{C}[x, y]\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right] /\left(f_{1} z_{1}+\cdots+f_{k} z_{k}+g\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponds to a morphism $\sigma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ with general fibers

$$
\sigma^{-1}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k} \mid f_{1}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) z_{1}+\cdots+f_{k}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) z_{k}+g\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0\right\}
$$

isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{k-1}(\operatorname{dim} X=k+1)$. Clearly, we have an isomorphism, for all $i=1, \ldots, k$ such that $f_{i} \neq 0$ (such an $f_{i}$ exists, as was noticed in Remark 1 above):

$$
\mathbb{C}[x, y]_{f_{i}}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right] /\left(f_{1} z_{1}+\cdots+f_{k} z_{k}+g\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{f_{i}}^{[k-1]}
$$

Letting $D:=V\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $D^{\prime}:=\sigma^{-1}(D) \subset X$, implies that the restriction

$$
\sigma_{\mid X \backslash D^{\prime}}: X \backslash D^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash D
$$

is locally trivial in the Zariski topology, i.e., a fiber bundle, with affine space fibers. Observe that $D^{\prime} \simeq C \times \mathbb{C}^{k}$ where $C:=V\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}, g\right)=D \cap g^{-1}(0) \subset D$. We remark that $C$ must be a finite set as soon as $X$ is irreducible. Let $h(x, y)$ be the greatest common divisor of $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$. One has

$$
p=f_{1} z_{1}+\cdots+f_{k} z_{k}+g=h\left(\tilde{f}_{1} z_{1}+\cdots+\tilde{f}_{k} z_{k}\right)+g
$$

where $\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}$ have no common divisor. Again we define:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\hat{D}:= & h^{-1}(0) \subset \quad & D \\
\cup & \cup
\end{array} \text { and } \hat{D}^{\prime}:=\sigma^{-1}(\hat{D}) \simeq \hat{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{k} .
$$

Let $\hat{D}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}$ and $\hat{D}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} D_{j}^{\prime}$ be the decomposition into irreducible components regarded as Cartier divisors. Letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{*}\left(D_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} m_{i j} D_{j}^{\prime}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we consider the $n \times n^{\prime}$ multiplicity matrix $M_{\sigma}=\left(m_{i j}\right)$ with non-negative integer entries. The first step in the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is the following generalization of [KVZ04, Prop. 1.5(a)]:

Lemma 2 If $X$ is as in (i), i.e., $X$ is smooth, irreducible and acyclic, then $n=n^{\prime}$ and $M_{\sigma}$ is unimodular.

Proof By [Fuj82, 1.18-1.20] (see also [Kal94, 3.2]) the algebra $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is a UFD and its invertible elements are constants (and the same is true for $\mathbb{C}[x, y])$. Hence there are irreducible elements $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and $h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that $D_{i}=$ $h_{i}^{-1}(0), i=1, \ldots, n$ and $D_{j}^{\prime}=h_{j}^{\prime-1}(0), j=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}$. In view of the injection (1), one can identify elements of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and their images in $\mathbb{C}[X]$ and they then have two different decompositions, as seen as in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ or as in $\mathbb{C}[X]$. To sum up one has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{D} & =\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} \text { is given by } h=\prod_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{a_{i}} \text { in } \mathbb{C}[x, y] \\
\hat{D}^{\prime} & =\bigcup_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} D_{j}^{\prime} \text { is given by } h=\prod_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} h_{j}^{\prime a_{j}^{\prime}} \text { in } \mathbb{C}[X]
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\forall i=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\sigma^{*}\left(D_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} m_{i j} D_{j}^{\prime} \text { is given by } h_{i}=\lambda_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} h_{j}^{\prime m_{i j}} \text { in } \mathbb{C}[X]
$$

(where $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ ).
There exists at least one $\tilde{f}_{i}$ coprime with $h$. Without loss of generality one can assume that $\tilde{f}_{1}$ is so. Now we note that we have another injection,

$$
\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}\right] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]=\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]\left[z_{1}\right] /\left(f_{1} z_{1}+\cdots+f_{k} z_{k}+g\right)
$$

actually $\mathbb{C}[X]$ can be regarded as a simple birational extension (see [KVZ01, KVZ04]) of the algebra $A:=\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]$ :

$$
\mathbb{C}[X]=\mathbb{C}\left[x, y, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]\left[z_{1}\right] /\left(f_{1} z_{1}+\cdots+f_{k} z_{k}+g\right) \simeq A\left[\frac{r}{q}\right] \subset A_{q}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q=f_{1}=h \tilde{f}_{1} \\
r=h\left(\tilde{f}_{2} z_{2}+\cdots f_{k} z_{k}\right)+g .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here again, in view of the injection $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$, one can decompose $q$ in $A$ and then in $\mathbb{C}[X]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \tilde{f}_{1}=q & =\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{a_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{a_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{m} q_{i}^{a_{i}} \text { in }(\mathbb{C}[x, y] \subset) A \text { where } q_{i}=h_{i}, \forall i=1, \ldots, n \\
q & =\prod_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}} q_{j}^{\prime a_{j}^{\prime}}=\prod_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} h_{j}^{\prime a_{j}^{\prime}} \prod_{j=n^{\prime}+1}^{m^{\prime}} q_{j}^{\prime a_{j}^{\prime}} \text { in } \mathbb{C}[X] \text { where } q_{j}^{\prime}=h_{j}^{\prime}, \forall j=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, for every $i=1, \ldots, m$, there exist non-negative integers $m_{i 1}, \ldots, m_{i m^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}=\lambda_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}} q_{j}^{\prime m_{i j}} \quad\left(\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $M_{\sigma}$ is a submatrix of the $m \times m^{\prime}$ matrix $M_{1}:=\left(m_{i j}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
M_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
M_{\sigma} & * \\
\hline * & *
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Now, identifying $\mathbb{C}[X]$ and $A\left[\frac{r}{q}\right] \subset A_{q}$ one has

$$
\forall j=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}, \quad q_{j}^{\prime}=\frac{s_{j}}{q^{N}} \text { with } s_{j} \in A, N \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and, by (3),

$$
q_{i}=\lambda_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}}\left(\frac{s_{j}}{q^{N}}\right)^{m_{i j}}
$$

Multiplying the last equality by a sufficiently large power of $q$, one obtains an equality in $(\mathbb{C}[x, y] \subset) A$ which implies that for every $j=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}$, there exist integers $m_{j 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{j n}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j}^{\prime}=\lambda_{j}^{\prime} \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{m_{j i}^{\prime}} \quad\left(\lambda_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M_{1}^{\prime}$ be the $m^{\prime} \times m$ matrix $M_{1}^{\prime}:=\left(m_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$. Plugging (3) into (4) and (4) into (3) we obtain that $M_{1}^{\prime} M_{1}=I_{m^{\prime}}$ and $M_{1} M_{1}^{\prime}=I_{m}$ where $I_{\omega}$ denotes the identity matrix of order $\omega$. Hence $m=m^{\prime}$ and $M_{1}$ is unimodular.

Now let us look at the injection $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$ from the geometrical point of view; it corresponds to a birational morphism $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2+k-1}$ with exceptional divisor $F^{\prime}:=\mu^{-1}(F)$ with $F:=q^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2+k-1}$, the restriction

$$
\mu_{\mid X \backslash F^{\prime}}: X \backslash F^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2+k-1} \backslash F
$$

being an isomorphism. Here again,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i} \text { is given by } q=\prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{i}^{a_{i}} \text { in } A ; \\
F^{\prime} & =\bigcup_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}} F_{j}^{\prime} \text { is given by } q=\prod_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}} q_{j}^{\prime a_{j}^{\prime}} \text { in } \mathbb{C}[X]
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\forall i=1, \ldots, m$,

$$
\mu^{*}\left(F_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}} m_{i j} F_{j}^{\prime} \text { is given by } q_{i}=\lambda_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}} q_{j}^{\prime m_{i j}} \text { in } \mathbb{C}[X]
$$

We have also that $F^{\prime} \simeq E \times \mathbb{C}$ where $E:=V(q, r)=F \cap r^{-1}(0) \subset F$. Observe also that $F$ is a cylinder above a curve, indeed, $F=\Gamma \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{k-1}\right.$ where $\Gamma$ is the curve in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ defined by $q=0$ (with $q$ seen as a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ ). Therefore, one can define a morphism $\pi: E \rightarrow \Gamma$ as the restriction to $E \subset \Gamma \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$ of the canonical projection $\Gamma \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \rightarrow \Gamma$. Thus we have the following commutative diagram:


Remark that $E$, resp. $\Gamma$, must have the corresponding decomposition, i.e., $E=$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}=m} E_{j}$, resp. $\Gamma=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma_{i}$ with $F_{j}^{\prime} \simeq E_{j} \times \mathbb{C}$, resp. $F_{i}=\Gamma_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$.

Remark 3 Clearly, $m_{i j}>0 \Leftrightarrow \mu\left(F_{j}^{\prime}\right) \subset F_{i} \Leftrightarrow E_{j} \subset F_{i}=\Gamma_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \Leftrightarrow \pi\left(E_{j}\right) \subset$ $\Gamma_{i} \Leftrightarrow E_{j} \subset \pi^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$.

Notice that for each $j=1, \ldots, m$ there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\pi\left(E_{j}\right) \subseteq \Gamma_{i}$, and this index $i=i(j)$ is unique unless $\pi_{\mid E_{j}}=$ const.

We call an irreducible component $E_{j}$ of $E$ vertical $^{1}$ if $\left.\pi\right|_{E_{j}}=$ const (i.e., $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.\pi\right|_{E_{j}}\right)=0$ ) and non-vertical otherwise (thus the vertical components of $E$ are disjoint and each of them is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{k-1}\right)$.

[^1]Remark 4 The uniqueness of the index $i=i(j)$ for a non-vertical component $E_{j}$ and the unimodularity of $M_{1}$ imply that the $j$ th column of the matrix $M_{1}$ is the $i$ th vector of the standard basis $\left(\bar{e}_{1}, \ldots \bar{e}_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and two different non-vertical components $E_{j}$ and $E_{\underline{j}}$ of $E$ project into two different irreducible components $\Gamma_{i}$ and $\Gamma_{\underline{i}}$ of $\Gamma$.

We remark that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} E_{j}=E=V(q, r) & =V\left(h \tilde{f}_{1}, f_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+f_{k} z_{k}+g\right) \\
& =V\left(h \tilde{f}_{1}, h\left(\tilde{f}_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+\tilde{f}_{k} z_{k}\right)+g\right) \\
& =V(h, g) \cup \bigcup_{j=n^{\prime}+1}^{m} E_{j} \\
& =\hat{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \cup \bigcup_{j=n^{\prime}+1}^{m} E_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hat{C}(\subset C)$ is a finite set of points $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n^{\prime}}\right\}:=\hat{C}$. Actually one has $\forall j=$ $1, \ldots, n^{\prime},\left.\pi\right|_{E_{j}}=$ const $=P_{j}$ and thus those components $E_{j}=\left\{P_{j}\right\} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$ are vertical. Remember also that

$$
\mathbb{C}^{2} \supset \Gamma=V(q)=V\left(h \tilde{f}_{1}\right)=V(h) \cup V\left(\tilde{f}_{1}\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} \cup \bigcup_{i=n+1}^{m} \Gamma_{i}
$$

In view of Remark 3, one can understand how $E$ is positioned in $\Gamma \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$ by looking at the matrix $M_{1}$ :

|  | $\begin{gathered} P_{1} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \\ \\| \\ E_{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \times \mathbb{C}^{\prime} \\ & \\| \\ & E_{n^{\prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $E_{n^{\prime}+1}$ |  | $E_{m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} D_{1}=\Gamma_{1} \\ \vdots \\ D_{n}=\Gamma_{n} \end{gathered}$ |  | $M_{\sigma}$ |  |  | * |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \Gamma_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ \Gamma_{m} \end{gathered}$ |  | * |  |  | * |  |

For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$,

$$
\pi^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)=\Gamma_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1} \cap V\left(f_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+f_{k} z_{k}+g\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\pi\left(\pi^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right) \supset \Gamma_{i} \backslash V\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)
$$

If $i \geq n+1$, i.e., $\Gamma_{i} \not \subset h^{-1}(0)$, then $\Gamma_{i} \backslash V\left(f_{2}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)$ is a dense subset of $\Gamma_{i}$ and hence $\pi^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ must contain at least one non-vertical component. By Remark 4, $\pi^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ contains exactly one non-vertical component $E_{j}$; moreover $j$ must be greater than $n^{\prime}$, since otherwise we would have:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{1 j} \\
\vdots \\
m_{n j} \\
\hline m_{n+1} j \\
\vdots \\
. \\
\vdots \\
m_{m j}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
\hline 0 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

with

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{1 j} \\
\vdots \\
m_{n j}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

being a column vector of $M_{\sigma}$ which is impossible, by definition of $M_{\sigma}$. Since this is true for every $i \geq n+1$ and since, by Remark 3, for two distinct components $\Gamma_{i}$ and $\Gamma_{\underline{i}}, \mu^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ and $\mu^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{\underline{i}}\right)$ can not contain the same non-vertical component $E_{j}$, we have that $m-n=m^{\prime}-n^{\prime}=m-n^{\prime}$ and, up to reordering $E_{n+1}, \ldots, E_{m}$ :

$$
M_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
M_{\sigma} & 0 \\
\hline * & I_{m-n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Hence $n=n^{\prime}$ and $M_{\sigma}$ is unimodular (because $M_{1}$ is so).
As we have seen previously, we have a fiber bundle with affine space fibers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma\right|_{X \backslash D^{\prime}}: X \backslash D^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash D . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will allow us to link homologies of $D, D^{\prime} \simeq C \times \mathbb{C}^{k}$ and $C$. Actually we will need to consider

- the one point compactification of $\mathbb{C}^{2}: \dot{\mathbb{C}}^{2}=\mathbb{C}^{2} \cup\{\infty\}$;
- the one point compactification of $X: \dot{X}=X \cup\{\infty\}$;
- and the corresponding new sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{D} & :=D \cup\{\infty\} \subset \dot{\mathbb{C}}^{2}, \\
\dot{C} & :=C \cup\{\infty\} \subset \dot{D}, \\
\dot{D}^{\prime} & :=D^{\prime} \cup\{\infty\} \subset \dot{X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are going to prove the following
Lemma 5 If $X$ is as in (i), then $C$ and $D$ have the same Euler characteristic and there are isomorphisms between the reduced cohomology groups:

$$
\tilde{H}^{*}(\dot{D} ; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \tilde{H}^{*-2}(\dot{C} ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

Proof The fiber bundle with affine space fibers (5) is between quasi-affine complex varieties. Using the natural homeomorphism $\mathbb{C}^{d} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$, we obtain a fiber bundle between quasi-affine real varieties but quasi-affine real varieties are actually all affine varieties ${ }^{2}$. Then one can consider the coordinate ring $R$, resp. $R^{\prime}$, of the real affine variety homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash D$, resp. $X \backslash D^{\prime}$. In algebraic terms we have that $R^{\prime}$ is a locally polynomial $R$-algebra and by the main result of [BCW77] $R^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra of a finitely generated projective $R$-module. Geometrically, it means that the fiber bundle $\left.\sigma\right|_{X \backslash D^{\prime}}$ is equivalent to a real vector bundle (by a morphism between real varieties). But any real vector bundle is homotopy-equivalent to its 0 section; hence $X \backslash D^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash D$ are homotopy-equivalent and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{*}\left(X \backslash D^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq H_{*}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash D ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular $e\left(X \backslash D^{\prime}\right)=e\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash D\right)$ where $e$ stands for the Euler characteristic, and, by the additivity of the Euler characteristic (see [Dur87]), $e(X)-e\left(D^{\prime}\right)=e\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)-$ $e(D)$. The hypersurface $X$ and the affine plane $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ being both acyclic, one has $e(X)=$ $e\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)=1$, hence $e(D)=e\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover $C$ is isomorphic to $C \times(0, \ldots, 0)$ which is a deformation retract of $C \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \simeq D^{\prime}$. Hence $e\left(D^{\prime}\right)=e(C)=e(D)$.

Remark 6 We have $\dot{X} \backslash \dot{D}^{\prime}=X \backslash D^{\prime}$ and $\dot{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \backslash \dot{D}=\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash D$.
By [KVZ04, Proposition 1.12], $\dot{X}$ is a homology $2(k+1)$-sphere and Alexander duality holds for $\dot{X}$ :

$$
\tilde{H}_{*}\left(\dot{X} \backslash \dot{D}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \tilde{H}^{2(k+1)-1-*}\left(\dot{D}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

Of course the same argument is valid for $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ :

$$
\tilde{H}_{*}\left(\dot{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \backslash \dot{D} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \tilde{H}^{2 \cdot 2-1-*}(\dot{D} ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

Using isomorphism (6) and Remark 6 one obtains:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{H}_{*}\left(X \backslash D^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \tilde{H}_{*}\left(\dot{X} \backslash \dot{D}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \tilde{H}^{2(k+1)-1-*}\left(\dot{D}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& \tilde{H}_{*}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash D ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \tilde{H}_{*}\left(\dot{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \backslash \dot{D} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \tilde{H}^{2 \cdot 2-1-*}(\dot{D} ; \mathbb{Z})
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\tilde{H}^{*}(\dot{D} ; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \tilde{H}^{*+2(k-1)}\left(\dot{D}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

[^2]From $D^{\prime} \simeq C \times \mathbb{C}^{k}$ one deduces that $\dot{D}^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic to $\dot{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 k}=\dot{C} \times \mathbb{S}^{2 k}$ quotiented by $\dot{C} \vee \mathbb{S}^{2 k}:=\dot{C} \times\{\infty\} \cup\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{S}^{2 k}$, i.e.,

$$
\dot{D}^{\prime} \approx \dot{C} \times \mathbb{S}^{2 k} / \dot{C} \vee \mathbb{S}^{2 k}
$$

By [Dol72, V.4.4],

$$
\tilde{H}^{*}\left(\dot{D}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq H^{*}\left(\dot{C} \times \mathbb{S}^{2 k}, \dot{C} \vee \mathbb{S}^{2 k} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

and using the Künneth Theorem for the Cohomology of Product Spaces [Mas80, VIII 11.2] one obtains

$$
\tilde{H}^{*}\left(\dot{D}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \tilde{H}^{*-2 k}(\dot{C} ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

which, together with (7), yields the conclusion of Lemma 5.
Now we assume that (i) holds and prove (ii). From Lemma 5 one can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}_{0}(\dot{D} ; \mathbb{Z})=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(using for example the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology groups, see [Mas80, VII 4.3]). Recall that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{C}^{2} \supset D=V\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)=V\left(h \tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, h \tilde{f}_{k}\right)=V(h) \cup V\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}\right), \\
D=\hat{D} \amalg D_{\mathrm{fin}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

where $D_{\text {fin }}$ is a finite set and $\amalg$ stands for the disjoint union. We have

$$
\dot{D}=\dot{\hat{D}} \amalg D_{\mathrm{fin}}
$$

where $\dot{\hat{D}}:=\hat{D} \cup\{\infty\}$ is a connected subset of $\dot{D}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(\tilde{H}_{0}(\dot{D} ; \mathbb{Z})\right)=1+\# D_{\mathrm{fin}}-1
$$

and, $\operatorname{by}(8), \# D_{\mathrm{fin}}=0$, i.e., $D_{\mathrm{fin}}=\varnothing$. We have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}\right) \subset h^{-1}(0)=\hat{D}=D \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have also

$$
\hat{D}^{\prime}=\sigma^{-1}(\hat{D})=\sigma^{-1}(D)=D^{\prime}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} D_{j}^{\prime} \simeq \hat{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{k}
$$

and

$$
\hat{C}=C=V(h, g)=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n^{\prime}}\right\}
$$

Recall that $D=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}$ and $\sigma^{*}\left(D_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n^{\prime}} m_{i j} D_{j}^{\prime}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n$, where $M_{\sigma}=$ ( $m_{i j}$ ).

Remark $7 \quad m_{i j}>0 \Leftrightarrow P_{j} \in D_{i}$.
By Lemma 2, $n=n^{\prime}$ and, by Lemma 5, $e(C)=e(D)$; hence

$$
n=e(D)
$$

Let $\operatorname{cc}(D)$ be the set of connected components in $D$. We have

$$
n=e(D)=\sum_{\Delta \in \operatorname{cc}(D)} 1-\operatorname{rank}\left(H_{1}(\Delta ; \mathbb{Z})\right) \leq \# \operatorname{cc}(D) \leq n
$$

Hence every irreducible component $D_{i}$ is isolated and acyclic and by Remark 7

$$
P_{j} \in D_{i} \Leftrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{1 j} \\
\vdots \\
\cdot \\
\vdots \\
m_{n j}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\vdots \\
m_{i j}>0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

By Lemma 2, up to reordering, $M_{\sigma}=I_{n}$. It means that if $h=\prod_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}$ is the decomposition of $h$ into prime factors in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$, then every $h_{i}$ is also prime in $\mathbb{C}[X]$. In other words

$$
\mathbb{C}[X] /\left(h_{i}\right) \simeq\left({ }^{\mathbb{C}[x, y]} /\left(h_{i}, g\right)\right)[\bar{z}]
$$

is integral and hence $D_{i}=h_{i}^{-1}(0)$ and $g^{-1}(0)$ meet only once and transversally.
If $e(D)=n>1$ then, by [Zaǐ85] ${ }^{3}$, up to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[x, y], h(x, y)$ is a polynomial in $x$ with $n$ roots.

If $e(D)=n=1$ then $D$ is an acyclic irreducible curve, i.e., $D$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ and, by $[\mathrm{ZL83}]^{3}$, up to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[x, y], h$ is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial: $h(x, y)=x^{k}-y^{l}$ with $k$ and $l$ coprime. The fact that $h^{-1}(0)$ and $g^{-1}(0)$ meet only once and transversally implies that the equation $g\left(t^{l}, t^{k}\right)=0$ has a unique solution $t_{0}$, this solution being different from $0\left((0,0)\right.$ is the singular point of $x^{k}-$ $\left.y^{l}=0\right)$. We have $g\left(t^{l}, t^{k}\right)=a\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{d}$, which is possible only if $k$ or $l$ is equal to 1 since otherwise the derivative of the left-hand side would vanish at $t=0$. Finally $h$ is equivalent to $x$ up to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. We have proved that, up to an automorphism, $p$ has the form:

$$
p=h(x)\left(\tilde{f}_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+\cdots+\tilde{f}_{k}(x, y) z_{k}\right)+g(x, y)
$$

The inclusion $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{f}_{i}^{-1}(0) \subset h^{-1}(0)$ has already been proved in (9) and

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{y}\left(g\left(x_{0}, y\right)\right)=1, \forall x_{0} \in h^{-1}(0)
$$

[^3]is just another way to say that every component $x=x_{0}$ of $h^{-1}(0)$, meets $g^{-1}(0)$ only once and transversally. We have proved the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in the Main Theorem.

Now let us prove the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).
Let $p$ be a polynomial as in (ii). We prove that $p$ is an $x$-variable by induction on, say, "the total intersection number":

$$
\iota=\iota\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}\right):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}{ }^{\mathbb{C}[x, y]} /\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}\right)
$$

First if $\iota=0$ then there exists $k$ polynomials $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that $\tilde{f}_{1} r_{1}+$ $\cdots+\tilde{f}_{k} r_{k}=1$ and, by the Quillen-Suslin theorem ${ }^{4}$ there is a linear automorphism $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]$ such that $\alpha\left(z_{1}\right)=\tilde{f}_{1} z_{1}+\cdots+\tilde{f}_{k} z_{k}$. By assumption on $g$ in (ii), one has

$$
g(x, y)=g_{0}(x)+g_{1}(x) y+h_{\mathrm{red}}(x) \sum_{i \geq 2} \tilde{g}_{i}(x) y^{i} \quad \text { with } g_{1} \text { prime to } h .
$$

The polynomial

$$
\alpha^{-1}(p)=h(x) z_{1}+g(x, y)=h(x) z_{1}+g_{0}(x)+g_{1}(x) y+h_{\mathrm{red}}(x) \sum_{i \geq 2} \tilde{g}_{i}(x) y^{i}
$$

is an $x$-variable by a result due to Russell [Rus76, 2.2] (see also [Vn01, 8.1] and [EV99] for generalizations). Hence $p$ is an $x$-variable.

Now suppose that $\iota \geq 1$ and that the result is true for any total intersection number less than or equal to $\iota-1$. Let $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ be in $V\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}\right)$. Up to a translation one can assume that $x_{0}=0$. One has

$$
\tilde{f}_{1}(0, y) z_{1}+\cdots+\tilde{f}_{k}(0, y) z_{k}=d(y)\left(\check{f}_{1}(y) z_{1}+\cdots+\check{f}_{k}(y) z_{k}\right)
$$

where $d(y)$ is the greatest common divisor of $\tilde{f}_{1}(0, y), \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}(0, y)$. Again by the Quillen-Suslin theorem ${ }^{5}$ there is a linear automorphism $\alpha_{0}$ of $\mathbb{C}[y]\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]$ such that $\alpha_{0}\left(z_{1}\right)=\check{f}_{1}(y) z_{1}+\cdots+\check{f}_{k}(y) z_{k}$. Extending $\alpha_{0}$ to $\mathbb{C}[x, y]\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right]$ one has

$$
\alpha_{0}^{-1}\left(\tilde{f}_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+\cdots+\tilde{f}_{k}(x, y) z_{k}\right) \equiv d(y) z_{1} \bmod (x)
$$

that is to say

$$
\alpha_{0}^{-1}\left(\tilde{f}_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+\cdots+\tilde{f}_{k}(x, y) z_{k}\right)=\breve{f}_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+x \breve{f}_{2}(x, y) z_{2}+\cdots+x \breve{f}_{k}(x, y) z_{k}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}^{-1}(p)=h(x)\left(\breve{f}_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+x \breve{f}_{2}(x, y) z_{2}+\cdots+x \breve{f}_{k}(x, y) z_{k}\right)+g(x, y) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]Given by $\alpha_{0}$, or rather its Jacobi matrix, we have the equality of the ideals

$$
\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}\right)=\left(\breve{f}_{1}, x \breve{f}_{2}, \ldots, x \breve{f}_{k}\right)
$$

hence

$$
\iota\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}\right)=\iota\left(\breve{f}_{1}, x \breve{f}_{2}, \ldots, x \breve{f}_{k}\right)
$$

Recall that we started with $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\left(0, y_{0}\right) \in V\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{k}\right)=V\left(\breve{f}_{1}, x \breve{f}_{2}, \ldots, x \breve{f}_{k}\right)$. Hence $\breve{f}_{1}\left(0, y_{0}\right)=0$ and $\iota\left(\breve{f}_{1}, x\right) \geq 1$, whence we have the inequality

$$
\iota\left(\breve{f}_{1}, \breve{f}_{2}, \ldots, \breve{f}_{k}\right)<\iota\left(\breve{f}_{1}, x \breve{f}_{2}, \ldots, x \breve{f}_{k}\right)
$$

We can apply the induction hypothesis to the polynomial

$$
\breve{p}:=h(x)\left(\breve{f}_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+\breve{f}_{2}(x, y) z_{2}+\cdots+\breve{f}_{k}(x, y) z_{k}\right)+g(x, y)
$$

which is then an $x$-variable. By assumption,

$$
\breve{p}=g_{0}(0)+g_{1}(0) y+x\left[\dot{g}_{2}(x, y)+\dot{h}(x)\left(\breve{f}_{1}(x, y) z_{1}+\breve{f}_{2}(x, y) z_{2}+\cdots+\breve{f}_{k}(x, y) z_{k}\right)\right]
$$

with $g_{1}(0) \neq 0$. Let $\gamma$ be an $x$-automorphism such that $\gamma(y)=\breve{p}$, let $\gamma_{0}$ be the automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[y][\bar{z}]$ obtained by fixing $x=0$ in $\gamma$ and let $\rho$ be the affine automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[y]$ defined by $\rho(y)=g_{0}(0)+g_{1}(0) y=\gamma_{0}(y)$. Extending $\gamma_{0}$ and $\rho$ to $\mathbb{C}[x, y][\bar{z}]$, one has

$$
\gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho(y)=\gamma(y)=\breve{p}
$$

and, $\forall i=(1) 2,, \ldots, k$

$$
\gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho\left(z_{i}\right)=\gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1}\left(z_{i}\right)=z_{i}+x r_{i}(x, y, \bar{z})
$$

Let $\sigma$ be the automorphism of $\mathbb{C}(x)[y][\bar{z}]$ given by

$$
\sigma\left(z_{i}\right)=x z_{i}, \forall i=2, \ldots, k
$$

Of course $\sigma$ is not an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[x][y, \bar{z}]$, but the composition $\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho \sigma^{-1}$ will be. Indeed, let us compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho \sigma^{-1}(y) & =\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho(y)=\sigma(\breve{p}) \\
& =\sigma\left(h\left(\breve{f}_{1} z_{1}+\breve{f}_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+\breve{f}_{k} z_{k}\right)+g\right) \\
& =h\left(\breve{f}_{1} z_{1}+x \breve{f}_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+x \breve{f}_{k} z_{k}\right)+g \\
& =\alpha_{0}^{-1}(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho \sigma^{-1}\left(z_{1}\right)=\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1}\left(z_{1}\right)
$$

and $\forall i=2, \ldots, k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho \sigma^{-1}\left(z_{i}\right) & =\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho\left(\frac{z_{i}}{x}\right)=\frac{\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho\left(z_{i}\right)}{x} \\
& =\frac{\sigma\left(z_{i}+x r_{i}\left(x, y, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)\right)}{x} \\
& =\frac{x z_{i}+x r_{i}\left(x, y, z_{1}, x z_{2}, \ldots, x z_{k}\right)}{x} \\
& =z_{i}+r_{i}\left(x, y, z_{1}, x z_{2}, \ldots, x z_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The images by $\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho \sigma^{-1}$ of all the coordinates $y, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}$ are in $\mathbb{C}[x][y, \bar{z}]$ and a similar computation would show the same for its inverse $\sigma \rho^{-1} \gamma_{0} \gamma^{-1} \sigma^{-1}$. Hence $\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho \sigma^{-1}$ is an $x$-automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[x][y, \bar{z}]$. Finally, the polynomial

$$
\sigma \gamma \gamma_{0}^{-1} \rho \sigma^{-1}(y)=\alpha_{0}^{-1}(p)
$$

is an $x$-variable and so is $p$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ This term comes from the picture obtained with $\mathbb{C}_{x, y}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$ visualized as a horizontal plane $\mathbb{R}_{x, y}^{2} \times$ vertical line $\mathbb{R}_{z}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Indeed, $\mathbb{R}_{\bar{x}}^{d} \supset V\left(p_{1}(\bar{x}), \ldots, p_{m}(\bar{x})\right) \backslash V\left(q_{1}(\bar{x}), \ldots, q_{n}(\bar{x})\right) \simeq V\left(p_{1}(\bar{x}), \ldots, p_{m}(\bar{x}), 1-z \sum q_{i}(\bar{x})^{2}\right) \subset$ $\mathbb{R}_{\bar{x}, z}^{d+1}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Note that this result includes the classical Abhyankar-Moh-Suzuki theorem [AM75, Suz74].

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Actually here we need a weaker version of this theorem which was proved by Seshadri [Ses58].
    ${ }^{5}$ Here we only need the "easy" version over a PID ( $\left.\mathbb{C}[y]\right)$ : Projective modules of finite type over a PID are free, which is another formulation of the result we use here.

