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#### Abstract

We develop the theory of left-invariant generalized pseudo-Riemannian metrics on Lie groups. Such a metric accompanied by a choice of left-invariant divergence operator gives rise to a Ricci curvature tensor, and we study the corresponding Einstein equation. We compute the Ricci tensor in terms of the tensors (on the sum of the Lie algebra and its dual) encoding the Courant algebroid structure, the generalized metric, and the divergence operator. The resulting expression is polynomial and homogeneous of degree 2 in the coefficients of the Dorfman bracket and the divergence operator with respect to a left-invariant orthonormal basis for the generalized metric. We determine all generalized Einstein metrics on three-dimensional Lie groups.


## 1 Introduction

Generalized geometry was proposed by Hitchin [H] as a framework unifying complex and symplectic structures. The two latter can be viewed as particular instances of the notion of a generalized complex structure, the theory of which was developed in [Gu1, Gu2] including a geometrization of Barannikov's and Kontsevich's extended deformation theory.

Similarly, pseudo-Riemannian metrics have a fruitful counterpart in generalized geometry, which can be used, for instance, to unify and geometrize the structures involved in type II supergravity [CSW]. A generalized pseudo-Riemannian metric together with a divergence operator is indeed sufficient to define a notion of generalized Ricci curvature and thus to pose a generalized Einstein equation as the vanishing of the generalized Ricci curvature [GSt]. In the context of supergravity and string theory, the divergence operator is related to the dilaton field, which is itself subject to a field equation.

A generalized geometry formulation of minimal six-dimensional supergravity has been given in [GS] with a particular case of the generalized Einstein equation as the main bosonic equation of motion. It would be interesting to classify leftinvariant solutions on six-dimensional Lie groups using the theory developed in our present work. We note that by taking, for instance, the product of a pair of threedimensional generalized Einstein Lie groups (as defined below in the introduction and classified in our paper), we obtain a six-dimensional generalized Einstein Lie

[^0]group. If one imposes, in addition, a self-duality condition on the three-form, one arrives at (decomposable) solutions of the equation of motion mentioned above. Other (indecomposable) solutions on products of three-dimensional Lie groups have been constructed in [MS]. Examples of invariant Ricci-flat Bismut connections on compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds have been constructed in [GSt, PR1, PR2]. They include non-Bismut-flat examples [PR1, PR2] and give rise to invariant positive definite solutions of the generalized Einstein equation with Riemannian divergence operator.

In this paper, we focus on left-invariant generalized pseudo-Riemannian metrics on Lie groups G. We develop the theory on arbitrary Lie groups in Section 2 and, based on that theory, provide a complete classification of left-invariant solutions of the generalized Einstein equation on three-dimensional Lie groups in Section 3.

First, we show in Proposition 2.4 that, up to an isomorphism, the generalized metric $\mathcal{G}$ and the Courant algebroid structure are encoded in a pair $(g, H)$ consisting of a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ and a left-invariant closed threeform $H$ on $G$. Then we describe the space of left-invariant torsion-free and metric generalized connections $D$ on $\left(G, \mathcal{G}_{g}, H\right)$ as a finite-dimensional affine space modeled on the generalized first prolongation of $\mathfrak{s o}\left(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)$ in Proposition 2.8, where $\mathcal{G}_{g}$ denotes the generalized metric determined by $g$. Such generalized connections $D$ are called left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connections. As part of the proof, we construct a canonical left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D^{0}$, which can serve as an origin in the above affine space.

A left-invariant divergence operator on $\Gamma(\mathbb{T} G)$, where $\mathbb{T} M$ denotes the generalized tangent bundle of a manifold $M$, can be identified with an element $\delta \in E^{*}$, where $E=$ $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. We say that a left-invariant generalized connection $D$ has divergence operator $\delta$ if $\delta_{D}=\delta$, where $\delta_{D}(v):=\operatorname{tr}(D v), v \in E$. Here, $D$ is identified with an element of $E^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}(E), E \ni u \mapsto D_{u} \in \mathfrak{s o}(E)$. For instance, we have $\delta_{D^{0}}=0$ for the canonical left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D^{0}$, compare Proposition 2.15. In Proposition 2.16, we specify for every $\delta \in E^{*}$ a left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D$ such that $\delta_{D}=\delta$. We end Section 2.4 by observing that $\delta=0$ is not the only canonical choice of left-invariant divergence operator on a Lie group. A more general choice is to take $\delta$ as a fixed multiple of the trace-form $\tau$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. The choice $\delta^{\mathcal{G}}=$ $-\tau \circ \pi \in E^{*}$, where $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the canonical projection, corresponds precisely to the divergence operator associated with the generalized connection trivially extending the Levi-Civita connection of any left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric, as shown in Proposition 2.17. The latter choice does therefore coincide with what is called the Riemannian divergence operator [GSt].

In Section 2.5, we define the Ricci curvature of any pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie group $\left(G, \mathcal{G}_{g}, H, \delta\right)$ with prescribed divergence operator $\delta \in E^{*}$ as a certain element in $E^{*} \otimes E^{*}$ (see Definition 2.18). Then we express it in terms of the algebraic data on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The starting point is the computation of the tensorial part of the curvature of the canonical Levi-Civita generalized connection $D^{0}$ in Proposition 2.19 as a homogeneous quadratic polynomial expression in the Dorfman bracket $\mathcal{B}=[\cdot, \cdot]_{H}$. The Ricci curvature of any pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie group $\left(G, \mathcal{G}_{g}, H, \delta=0\right)$ with zero divergence operator is then obtained as a Corollary 2.20. These results are then generalized to arbitrary $\delta$ by considering $D=D^{0}+S$, where $S$ is
an arbitrary element of the first generalized prolongation of $\mathfrak{s o}(E)$, leading to Lemma 2.23, Proposition 2.24, and Theorem 2.25.

For illustration, we give here the explicit expression for the Ricci curvature

$$
R i c_{\delta} \in E_{-}^{*} \otimes E_{+}^{*} \oplus E_{+}^{*} \otimes E_{-}^{*}
$$

of a pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie group $\left(G, \mathcal{G}_{g}, H, \delta\right)$, where $E_{ \pm}$stands for the eigenspaces of the generalized metric. For $u_{ \pm} \in E_{ \pm}$and using the projections $\mathrm{pr}_{E_{ \pm}}$: $E \rightarrow E_{ \pm}$, we consider the linear maps

$$
\Gamma_{u_{ \pm}}:=\left.\operatorname{pr}_{E_{ \pm}} \circ \mathcal{B}\left(u_{ \pm}, \cdot\right)\right|_{E_{\mp}}: E_{\mp} \rightarrow E_{ \pm}
$$

Theorem 1.1 Let $\left(G, \mathcal{G}_{g}, H, \delta\right)$ be any pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie group. Then its Ricci curvature is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ric}_{\delta}\left(u_{-}, u_{+}\right)=-\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma_{u_{-}} \circ \Gamma_{u_{+}}\right)+\delta\left(\operatorname{pr}_{E_{+}} \mathcal{B}\left(u_{-}, u_{+}\right)\right) \\
& \operatorname{Ric}_{\delta}\left(u_{+}, u_{-}\right)=-\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma_{u_{-}} \circ \Gamma_{u_{+}}\right)+\delta\left(\operatorname{pr}_{E_{-}} \mathcal{B}\left(u_{+}, u_{-}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that the tensor Ric $\mathcal{C}_{\delta}$ is polynomial of degree 2 and homogeneous in the pair $(\mathcal{B}, \delta)$. Note that it depends on the generalized metric and thus on $g$ through the projections $\mathrm{pr}_{E_{ \pm}}$. An equivalent convenient component expression in an adapted basis is given in Theorem 2.25, where also symmetry properties of $R i c_{\delta}$ are discussed.

To derive an explicit expression for $R i c_{\delta}$ in terms of the data ( $\mathfrak{g}, g, H$ ) rather than $(\mathfrak{g}, g, \mathcal{B})$, it suffices to express the Dorfman bracket $\mathcal{B}$ in terms of the Lie bracket and the three-form $H$ (see Proposition 2.26). In Proposition 2.27, we show that the underlying metric $g$ of an Einstein generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group (i.e., a left-invariant solution of $R i c_{\delta}=0$ ) can be freely rescaled without changing the Einstein property, provided that the three-form and the divergence are appropriately rescaled. In Proposition 2.29, we relate the Ricci curvature Ric $\delta_{\delta}$ of the pseudoRiemannian generalized Lie group to the Ricci curvature of the left-invariant pseudoRiemannian metric $g$. We show that $\left(G, \mathcal{G}_{g}, H=0, \delta=0\right)$ is generalized Einstein if and only if $g$ satisfies a certain gradient Ricci soliton equation (22) involving the trace-form $\tau$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, in the special case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular, the generalized Einstein equation reduces to the Einstein (vacuum) equation for $g$.

Next, we describe how, building on the general results of Section 2, in Section 3, we determine all left-invariant solutions $(H, \mathcal{G}, \delta)$ to the Einstein equation on three-dimensional Lie groups $G$, up to isomorphism. Here, $H$ stands for the threeform which, together with the Lie bracket, determines the exact Courant algebroid structure, $\mathcal{G}$ stands for the generalized pseudo-Riemannian metric and $\delta$ for the divergence required to define the Ricci curvature uniquely. The data ( $G, H, \mathcal{G}, \delta$ ) can be simply referred to as a generalized Einstein Lie group (three-dimensional in our case).

Up to isomorphism, we can assume from the start that $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_{g}$ is associated with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ on $G$, compare Proposition 2.4. In the remaining part of the introduction, we will therefore simply speak of solutions
$(H, g, \delta)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, or more precisely as generalized Einstein structures on $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, we identify the left-invariant structures $(H, g, \delta)$ with tensors

$$
H \in \bigwedge^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*}, \quad g \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta \in E^{*}=\left(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)^{*}
$$

As a preliminary, we explain in Section 3.1 how, using the metric $g$, the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be encoded in an endomorphism $L \in$ End $\mathfrak{g}$. Irrespective of the signature of $g$, the endomorphism $L$ happens to be $g$-symmetric if and only if the Lie algebra is unimodular. This allows for the choice of an orthonormal basis of $(\mathfrak{g}, g)$ in which $L$ takes one of five parameter-dependent normal forms, provided that $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular (see Proposition 3.2). Moreover, the Jacobi identity does not impose any constraint on the normal form.

After these preliminaries, we give in Section 3.2, the classification of solutions with zero divergence, that is solutions of the type ( $H, g, \delta=0$ ), beginning with the class of unimodular Lie algebras. The final results can be roughly summarized as follows (see Theorems 3.4 and 3.8 and Remark 3.6).
Theorem 1.2 Any divergence-free generalized Einstein structure on a threedimensional unimodular Lie algebra is isomorphic to one in the following classes (described explicitly in Theorem 3.4).
(1) $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian and $H=0$. The metric $g$ is flat of any signature.
(2) $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple, $H \neq 0$ and the metric $g$ is of nonzero constant curvature. It is definite if and only if $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}(3)$ and indefinite if and only if $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$.
(3) $H=0, g$ is flat and $\mathfrak{g}$ is one of the following metabelian Lie algebras: $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{e}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$, where $\mathfrak{e}(p, q)$ denotes the Lie algebra of the isometry group of $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}$ (the affine pseudo-orthogonal Lie algebra). The metric is definite on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{e}(2)$.
(4) $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h e i s}$ is the Heisenberg algebra, $H=0$ and $g$ is flat and indefinite.

We note that the above list of Lie algebras,

$$
\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathfrak{s o}(3), \mathfrak{s o}(2,1), \mathfrak{e}(2), \mathfrak{e}(1,1), \mathfrak{h e i s}
$$

is precisely the list of all unimodular three-dimensional Lie algebras.
Theorem 1.3 Any divergence-free generalized Einstein structure on a threedimensional nonunimodular Lie algebra is of the type $(H=0, g)$, where $g$ is indefinite, nondegenerate on the unimodular kernel $\mathfrak{u}=\operatorname{ker} \tau, \tau=\operatorname{tr} \circ \mathrm{ad}$, and belongs to a certain one-parameter family of metrics on the metabelian Lie algebra

$$
\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A} \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The family of metrics (described in Theorem 3.8) consists of Ricci solitons which are not of constant curvature.

The classification in the case of nonzero divergence is the content of Section 3.3. The unimodular case is covered in Section 3.3, the nonunimodular case in Section 3.3. To keep the introduction succinct, we do only summarize the isomorphism types of the Lie algebras resulting from our classification without listing the detailed solutions, which can be found in Theorem 3.12 and Propositions 3.15 and 3.16.

Theorem 1.4 Any three-dimensional unimodular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a generalized Einstein structure with nonzero divergence as well as a divergence-free solution (see Theorem 3.12).
Theorem 1.5 Let $(H, g, \delta)$ be a generalized Einstein structure with nonzero divergence on a three-dimensional nonunimodular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then either:
(1) The unimodular kernel of $\mathfrak{g}$ is nondegenerate (with respect to $g$ ) and $\mathfrak{g}=\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A} \mathbb{R}^{2}$, where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda
\end{array}\right), \lambda \in(-1,1] \text {, and } H \neq 0
$$

(see Proposition 3.15 for a complete description of $(H, g, \delta)$ ).
(2) Its unimodular kernel is degenerate, $H=0$ and $\mathfrak{g}=\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A} \mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $A \in \mathfrak{g l}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is arbitrary with only real eigenvalues and such that $\operatorname{tr} A \neq 0$ (see Proposition 3.16).
In Proposition 3.17, we indicate for which of the left-invariant generalized Einstein structures the divergence $\delta$ coincides with the Riemannian divergence. We find that this is not only the case for all divergence-free solutions on unimodular Lie algebras but also for some of the nonunimodular cases with nonzero divergence. In the latter case, the unimodular kernel can be both degenerate or nondegenerate with respect to the metric $g$.

For better overview, the results of our classification are summarized in the tables of Section 4.

## 2 Generalized Einstein metrics on Lie groups

In this section, we develop a general approach for the study of left-invariant generalized Einstein metrics on Lie groups.

### 2.1 Twisted generalized tangent bundle of a Lie group

Recall that the generalized tangent bundle of a smooth manifold $M$ is the sum

$$
\mathbb{T} M:=T M \oplus T^{*} M
$$

of its tangent and its cotangent bundle and that any closed three-form $H$ on $M$ defines on $\mathbb{T} M$ the structure of a Courant algebroid (see, e.g., [G, Example 2.5]). We will write $\mathbb{T}_{p} M$ for the fiber at $p \in M$.

Here, we consider only the special case when $M=G$ is a Lie group and the Courant algebroid structure is left-invariant.

Let $G$ be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $H$ a closed left-invariant three-form on $G$. The H-twisted generalized tangent bundle of $G$ is the vector bundle $\mathbb{T} G \rightarrow G$ endowed with the Courant algebroid structure $\left(\pi,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle,[\cdot, \cdot]_{H}\right)$ given by:
(1) The canonical projection $\pi: \mathbb{T} G \rightarrow T G$, called the anchor.
(2) The canonical symmetric bilinear pairing $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathbb{T} G)^{*}\right)$, given by

$$
\langle X+\xi, Y+\eta\rangle=\frac{1}{2}(\xi(Y)+\eta(Y))
$$

called the scalar product.
(3) The (H-twisted) Dorfman bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{H}: \Gamma(\mathbb{T} G) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{T} G) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{T} G)$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X+\xi, Y+\eta]_{H}=\mathcal{L}_{X}(Y+\eta)-\iota_{Y} d \xi+H(X, Y, \cdot) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(T G), \xi, \eta \in \Gamma\left(T^{*} G\right), \mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie derivative and $\iota$ the interior product.
The above data satisfy the defining axioms of a Courant algebroid:
(C1) $\left[u,[v, w]_{H}\right]_{H}=\left[[u, v]_{H}, w\right]_{H}+\left[v,[u, w]_{H}\right]_{H}$,
(C2) $\pi(u)\langle v, w\rangle=\left\langle[u, v]_{H}, w\right\rangle+\left\langle v,[u, w]_{H}\right\rangle$, and
(C3) $\pi(u)\langle v, w\rangle=\left\langle u,[v, w]_{H}+[w, v]_{H}\right\rangle$,
for all $u, v, w \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} G)$. It is well known that the above axioms imply the following useful relations (compare [CD, Definition 1] and the references therein), which are obvious from (1).

- The homomorphism of bundles $\pi$ is a bracket-homomorphism, that is,

$$
\pi[u, v]_{H}=[\pi u, \pi v],
$$

where $[\pi u, \pi v]=\mathcal{L}_{\pi u}(\pi v)$ denotes the Lie bracket of $\pi u, \pi v \in \Gamma(T G)$.

- The map $[u, \cdot]_{H}: \Gamma(\mathbb{T} G) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{T} G)$ satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$
[u, f v]_{H}=(\pi u)(f) v+f[u, v]_{H}, \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M) .
$$

For notational simplicity, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(f):=(\pi u)(f) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will identify left-invariant sections of $\mathbb{T} G$ (by evaluation at the neutral element $e \in G$ ) with elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
X+\xi \in E=E(\mathfrak{g}):=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use the same notation to denote them. Correspondingly, the three-form $H \in$ $\Gamma\left(\wedge^{3} T^{*} G\right)$ will be identified with an element $H \in \wedge^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. With these identifications, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2} E^{*}$ and the Dorfman bracket of $X+\xi$ and $Y+\eta \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X+\xi, Y+\eta]_{H}=[X, Y]-\operatorname{ad}_{X}^{*} \eta-\iota_{Y} d \xi+H(X, Y, \cdot) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[X, Y]$ is the Lie bracket in $\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{ad}_{X}^{*} \eta=\eta \circ \operatorname{ad}_{X}$ and $d$ denotes the restriction of the de Rham differential to left-invariant forms, such that $-l_{Y} d \xi=\operatorname{ad}_{Y}^{*} \xi$.

### 2.2 Generalized metrics on Lie groups

Definition 2.1 A generalized pseudo-Riemannian metric on a manifold $M$ is a section $\mathcal{G} \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}(\mathbb{T} M)^{*}\right)$ such that the endomorphism $\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }} \in \Gamma($ End $\mathbb{T} M)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }} \cdot \cdot \cdot\right\rangle=\mathcal{G} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an involution and $\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(T^{*} M\right)}$ is nondegenerate. The pair $(M, \mathcal{G})$ is called a generalized pseudo-Riemannian manifold. The prefix pseudo will be omitted when $\mathcal{G}$ is positive definite.

Note that for a generalized metric, equation (5) is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }}=\mathcal{G}^{-1} \circ\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, using the identification $(\mathbb{T} M)^{*} \otimes(\mathbb{T} M)^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{T} M,(\mathbb{T} M)^{*}\right)$ given by evaluation in the first argument. We do also remark that the nondegeneracy of $\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{\mathrm{Sym}^{2}\left(T^{*} M\right)}$ is automatic if $\mathcal{G}$ is positive or negative definite.

A left-invariant generalized metric on a Lie group $G$ is identified (by evaluation at the neutral element $e \in G$ ) with a generalized metric on $\mathfrak{g}=$ Lie $G$ as defined in the following definition.

Definition 2.2 Let $H$ be a left-invariant closed three-form on a Lie group $G$, which we identify (by evaluation at $e \in G$ ) with an element $H \in \wedge^{3} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. A generalized (pseudoRiemannian) metric on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie} G$ is a symmetric bilinear form $\mathcal{G} \in$ $\operatorname{Sym}^{2} E^{*}$ (cf. (3)) such that $\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }}=\mathcal{G}^{-1} \circ\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is an involution and $\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{\text {Sym }^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{*}}$ is nondegenerate. The corresponding triple $(G, H, \mathcal{G})$ will be called a pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie group and $(\mathfrak{g}, H, \mathcal{G})$ a pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie algebra. The prefix pseudo will be omitted when $\mathcal{G}$ is positive definite.

Two pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie groups $(G, H, \mathcal{G})$ and $\left(G^{\prime}, H^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ are called isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism of Lie groups $\varphi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ and an isomorphism of bundles $\Phi: \mathbb{T} G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} G^{\prime}$ covering $\varphi$ such that $\Phi$ maps the Courant algebroid structure $\left(\pi,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle,[\cdot, \cdot]_{H}\right)$ on $G$ determined by $H$ to the Courant algebroid structure on $G^{\prime}$ determined by $H^{\prime}$ and the generalized metric $\mathcal{G}$ to the generalized metric $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$. The map $\Phi$ is called an isomorphism of pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie groups.

Similarly, two pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, H, \mathcal{G})$ and $\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}, H^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ are called isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras $\varphi$ : $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ and an isomorphism of vector spaces $\phi: E(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow E\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)$ covering $\varphi$ which maps the data $\left(\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle,[\cdot, \cdot]_{H}, \mathcal{G}\right)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ (cf. (4)) to the data $\left(\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime},[\cdot, \cdot]_{H^{\prime}}, \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ on $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$. Here, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle^{\prime}$ denotes the canonical symmetric pairing on $E\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)$ induced by the duality between $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$. The map $\phi$ is called an isomorphism of pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie algebras.

Example 2.3 Let $g$ be a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on $G$. We denote the corresponding bilinear form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by the same symbol: $g \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. It extends to a generalized metric $\mathcal{G}_{g} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2} E^{*}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{G}_{g}(X+\xi, Y+\eta)=\frac{1}{2}\left(g(X, Y)+g^{-1}(\xi, \eta)\right)
$$

for all $X+\xi, Y+\eta \in E$. The corresponding endomorphism $\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }}$ is

$$
\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }}=g \oplus g^{-1}: E=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*} \rightarrow E^{*}=\mathfrak{g}^{*} \oplus \mathfrak{g}
$$

Proposition 2.4 Let $(G, H, \mathcal{G})$ be a pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie group. Then there exist a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ on $G$ and a closed left-invariant three-form $H^{\prime} \in[H] \in H^{3}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $(G, H, \mathcal{G})$ is isomorphic to $\left(G, H^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$, by an isomorphism $\Phi$ covering the identity map of $G$.

Proof The decomposition $E=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ gives rise to the following block decomposition

$$
2 \mathcal{G}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
h & A^{*} \\
A & \gamma
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $h \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathfrak{g}, A \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is nondegenerate, as follows from the symmetry of $\mathcal{G}$ and the nondegeneracy of $\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{\mathrm{Sym}^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{*}}$. In terms of $g:=\gamma^{-1} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathfrak{g}$, we can write the necessary and sufficient conditions for

$$
\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{end}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & g^{-1}  \tag{6}\\
h & A^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

to be an involution as

$$
A^{2}+g^{-1} h=1, \quad g A=-A^{*} g, \quad h A=-A^{*} h,
$$

where the last two equations mean that $A$ is skew-symmetric for $g$ and $h$. In particular, we can write $A=-g^{-1} \beta$ for some $\beta \in \wedge^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Solving the first equation for $h$, we obtain

$$
h=g-g A^{2}=g+\beta A=g-\beta g^{-1} \beta .
$$

This implies that $\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }}=\exp (B)\left(\mathcal{G}_{g}\right)^{\text {end }} \exp (-B)$, where

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
\beta & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

or equivalently, $\mathcal{G}=\exp (-B)^{*} \mathcal{G}_{g}$. Now it suffices to check that the map

$$
\phi=\exp (-B): E \rightarrow E, \quad X+\xi \mapsto X+\xi-\beta X,
$$

defines an isomorphism of pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie algebras from $(\mathfrak{g}, H, \mathcal{G})$ to $\left(\mathfrak{g}, H^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$ covering the identity map of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $H^{\prime}=H+d \beta$. The corresponding isomorphism $\Phi$ of pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie groups is also given by $\exp (-B)$, now considered as an endomorphism of $\mathbb{T} G$.

Remark 2.5 Clearly, a decomposition of the form (6) holds for any generalized pseudo-Riemannian metric $\mathcal{G}$ on a manifold $M$. This shows that $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{G}^{\text {end }}=0$, since $A$ is skew-symmetric with respect to $g$.

### 2.3 Space of left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connections

Let $H$ be a closed three-form on a smooth manifold $M$ and consider $\mathbb{T} M$ with the Courant algebroid structure defined by $H$.

Definition 2.6 A generalized connection on $M$ is a linear map

$$
D: \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M) \rightarrow \Gamma\left((\mathbb{T} M)^{*} \otimes \mathbb{T} M\right), \quad v \mapsto D v=\left(u \mapsto D_{u} v\right)
$$

such that:
(1) $D_{u}(f v)=u(f) v+f D_{u} v$ (anchored Leibniz rule), recall (2), and
(2) $u\langle v, w\rangle=\left\langle D_{u} v, w\right\rangle+\left\langle v, D_{u} w\right\rangle$
for all $u, v, w \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M)$. The torsion of a generalized connection $D$ (with respect to the Dorfman bracket $\left.[\cdot, \cdot]_{H}\right)$ is the section $T \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{2}(\mathbb{T} M)^{*} \otimes \mathbb{T} M\right)$ defined by

$$
T(u, v):=D_{u} v-D_{v} u-[u, v]_{H}+(D u)^{*} v
$$

where $(D u)^{*}$ is the adjoint of $D u$ with respect to the scalar product (cf. [G]). The generalized connection $D$ is called torsion-free if $T=0$.

Given a generalized pseudo-Riemannian metric $\mathcal{G}$ on $M$, we say that a generalized connection $D$ is metric if $D \mathcal{G}=0$, where $D_{u}: \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M)$ is extended to space of sections of the tensor algebra over $\mathbb{T} M$ as a tensor derivation for all $u \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M)$. More explicitly, the latter condition is

$$
u \mathcal{G}(v, w)=\mathcal{G}\left(D_{u} v, w\right)+\mathcal{G}\left(v, D_{u} w\right), \quad \forall u, v, w \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M)
$$

This condition is satisfied if and only if $D$ preserves the eigenbundles of $\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }}$.
Any metric and torsion-free generalized connection on a generalized pseudoRiemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{G})$ (endowed with the three-form $H$ ) is called a Levi-Civita generalized connection.

It is known [G] that the torsion of a generalized connection is totally skew, that is, $T \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{2}(\mathbb{T} M)^{*} \otimes \mathbb{T} M\right)$ defines a section of $\wedge^{3}(\mathbb{T} M)^{*}$ upon identification $\mathbb{T} M \cong$ $(\mathbb{T} M)^{*}$ using the scalar product.

Given a reduction of the structure group $\mathrm{O}(n, n)$ of $\mathbb{T} M, n=\operatorname{dim} M$, to a subgroup $L=\mathrm{O}(n, n)_{S} \subset \mathrm{O}(n, n)$ defined by a tensor $S \in \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \otimes^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \oplus\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*}\right)$, we consider the tensor field $\mathcal{S}$ which in any frame of the reduction has the same coefficients as $S$ in the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \oplus\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{*}$. A generalized connection $D$ is called compatible with the $L$-reduction if $D \mathcal{S}=0$. It was shown in [CD] that a torsion-free generalized connection (on a Courant algebroid) compatible with an $L$-reduction exists if and only if its intrinsic torsion (defined in [CD, Definition 15]) vanishes. In that case, it was also shown there that the space of compatible torsion-free generalized connections is an affine space modeled on the space of sections of the generalized first prolongation $\left(\mathfrak{s o}(\mathbb{T} M)_{\mathcal{S}}\right)^{\langle 1\rangle}$ (defined in [CD, Definition 16]) of $\mathfrak{s o}(\mathbb{T} M)_{s}$. Note that the fiber of the bundle $\mathfrak{s o}(\mathbb{T} M)_{\mathcal{S}}$ at a point $p \in M$ is $\mathfrak{s o}\left(\mathbb{T}_{p} M\right)_{\mathcal{S}_{p}} \cong \mathfrak{s o}(n, n)_{S}=\mathfrak{l}=$ Lie $L$, so that $\left.\left(\mathfrak{s o}(\mathbb{T} M)_{S}\right)^{\langle 1\rangle}\right|_{p} \cong \mathfrak{l}^{\langle 1\rangle}$.

As a special case, we can apply the above theory to the case when $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{G}$ is a generalized pseudo-Riemannian metric. The existence of a Levi-Civita generalized connection shown in [G, Proposition 3.3] implies the following.

Proposition 2.7 Let $(M, \mathcal{G})$ be a generalized pseudo-Riemannian manifold and H a closed three-form on M. Then the space of Levi-Civita generalized connections (with respect to the $H$-twisted Dorfman bracket) is an affine space modeled on $\left(\mathfrak{s o}(\mathbb{T} M)_{\mathcal{G}}\right)^{\langle 1\rangle}$.

A generalized connection $D$ on a Lie group $G$ is called left-invariant if $D_{u} v \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} G)$ is left-invariant for all left-invariant sections $u, v \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} G)$. A left-invariant generalized connection on $G$ can be identified with an element $D \in E^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}(E)$, where we recall that $E=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Its torsion $T$ is identified with an element $T \in\left(\bigwedge^{2} E^{*} \otimes E\right) \cap\left(E^{*} \otimes\right.$ $\mathfrak{s o}(E)) \cong \wedge^{3} E^{*}$. We denote by $E_{+}$and $E_{-}$the eigenspaces of $\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }} \in \operatorname{End}(E)$ for the eigenvalues $\pm 1$, respectively. Note that $\operatorname{dim} E_{+}=\operatorname{dim} E_{-}=\operatorname{dim} G=: n$ by Remark 2.5.

Proposition 2.8 Let $(G, H, \mathcal{G})$ be a pseudo-Riemannian generalized Lie group. Then the space of left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connections on $G$ is an affine space modeled on $\mathfrak{s o}(E)^{\langle 1\rangle}=\Sigma_{+} \oplus \Sigma_{-}$, where $\Sigma_{+} \subset E_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right)$is the kernel of the map

$$
\partial: E_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{3} E^{*}
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\partial \alpha)(u, v, w)=\sum_{\mathfrak{S}}\left\langle\alpha_{u} v, w\right\rangle \quad u, v, w \in E, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $\Sigma_{-} \subset E_{-}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{-}\right)$. Here, $\mathfrak{S}$ indicates the sum over the cyclic permutations and $\alpha_{u} \in \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right)$stands for evaluation of $\alpha \in E_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{+}, \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right)\right)$ at $u$.

Moreover,

$$
\Sigma_{+}=\operatorname{im}(\text { alt }) \cong \frac{\operatorname{Sym}^{2} E_{+} \otimes E_{+}}{\operatorname{Sym}^{3} E_{+}}
$$

is the image of the map

$$
\text { alt }: \operatorname{Sym}^{2} E_{+}^{*} \otimes E_{+}^{*} \rightarrow E_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{alt}(\sigma)_{u} v, w\right\rangle=\sigma(u, v, w)-\sigma(u, w, v)
$$

and similarly for $\Sigma_{-}$.
Proof The first part of the proposition follows easily from the existence of a leftinvariant Levi-Civita generalized connection (to be shown at the end of the proof), Proposition 2.7 and the definition of the generalized first prolongation [CD] as the kernel of the natural map

$$
\partial: E^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}(E)_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{3} E^{*}
$$

given by the formula (7). To compute the kernel, we can first observe that $\mathfrak{s o}(E)_{\mathcal{G}}=$ $\mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{-}\right) \cong \wedge^{2} E_{+}^{*} \oplus \wedge^{2} E_{-}^{*}$. Since $\partial$ maps $E_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)$ to $E_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{*} \wedge E_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{*} \wedge E_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{*} \subset$ $\wedge^{3} E^{*}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in\{-1,1\}$, it suffices to consider the kernels of these four restrictions. On tensors of mixed type $\partial$ is injective, such that $\operatorname{ker} \partial=\Sigma_{+} \oplus \Sigma_{-}$. The last part of the corollary follows from the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{3} V \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{2} V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\text { alt }} V \otimes \bigwedge^{2} V \xrightarrow{\partial_{V}} \bigwedge^{3} V \rightarrow 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

that holds for any finite-dimensional vector space $V$ and was used in [G]. Here, alt ${ }_{V}$ is given by

$$
(u \otimes v+v \otimes u) \otimes w \mapsto u \otimes v \wedge w+v \otimes w \wedge u
$$

and $\partial_{V}$ by

$$
u \otimes v \wedge w \mapsto u \wedge v \wedge w
$$

We apply the sequence to $V=E_{+}$(and similarly to $V=E_{-}$) using the metric identifications $E_{+} \cong E_{+}^{*}$ and $\mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right) \cong \bigwedge^{2} E_{+}^{*} \cong \bigwedge^{2} E_{+}$, which allow to identify the natural maps
alt ${ }_{V}$ and $\partial_{V}$ with alt $: \operatorname{Sym}^{2} E_{+}^{*} \otimes E_{+}^{*} \rightarrow E_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right)$and $\partial: E_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right) \rightarrow \wedge^{3} E_{+}^{*}$, respectively.

Now it suffices to show that there exists a left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection. We consider the tensor $\mathcal{B} \in \otimes^{3} E^{*}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(u, v, w)=\left\langle[u, v]_{H}, w\right\rangle, \quad u, v, w \in E \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.9 $\mathcal{B}$ is totally skew.
Proof The skew-symmetry in $(u, v)$ follows from axiom C3 in Section 2.1:

$$
\mathcal{B}(u, v, w)+\mathcal{B}(v, u, w)=\left\langle w,[u, v]_{H}+[v, u]_{H}\right\rangle=w\langle u, v\rangle=0,
$$

since $\langle u, v\rangle$ is a constant function. Using axiom C2, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{B}(u, v, w)=\left\langle[u, v]_{H}, w\right\rangle=u\langle v, w\rangle-\left\langle v,[u, w]_{H}\right\rangle=-\mathcal{B}(u, w, v)
$$

Now it suffices to observe that skew-symmetry in (u,v) and ( $v, w)$ implies total skewsymmetry.

Next, we define

$$
D^{0}:=\left.\left.\left.\left.\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}\right|_{\wedge^{3} E_{+}} \oplus \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}\right|_{\wedge^{3} E_{-}} \oplus \mathcal{B}\right|_{E_{+} \otimes \wedge^{2} E_{-}} \oplus \mathcal{B}\right|_{E_{-} \otimes \Lambda^{2} E_{+}}
$$

As an element of $E^{*} \otimes \Lambda^{2} E^{*} \cong E^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}(E)$, it defines a left-invariant generalized connection. It is metric, since it takes values in the subalgebra $\mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{+}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{-}\right) \subset$ $\mathfrak{s o}(E)$. Since $\left.\partial \mathcal{B}\right|_{\Lambda^{3} E_{ \pm}}=\left.3 \mathcal{B}\right|_{\wedge^{3} E_{ \pm}}$and $\left.\partial \mathcal{B}\right|_{E_{\mp} \otimes \Lambda^{2} E_{ \pm}}=\left.\mathcal{B}\right|_{E_{\mp} \wedge E_{ \pm} \wedge E_{ \pm}}$, the torsion $T^{D^{0}}=$ $\partial D^{0}-\mathcal{B}$ of $D^{0}$ is given by

$$
T^{D^{0}}=\left(\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathcal{B}\right|_{\wedge^{3} E_{+}} \oplus \mathcal{B}\right|_{\wedge^{3} E_{-}} \oplus \partial \mathcal{B}\right|_{E_{+} \otimes \wedge^{2} E_{-}} \oplus \partial \mathcal{B}\right|_{E_{-} \otimes \wedge^{2} E_{+}}\right)-\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{B}=0
$$

Remark 2.10 Note that due to Lemma 2.9 and the Jacobi identity (axiom C1), the tensor $\mathcal{B}$ together with the scalar product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ defines on $E(\mathfrak{g})$ the structure of a quadratic Lie algebra. Such algebras are examples of Courant algebroids with trivial anchor. Generalized metrics, generalized connections, and curvature on quadratic Lie algebras have been studied in [ADG]. Their formulas are consistent with ours.

### 2.4 Levi-Civita generalized connections with prescribed divergence

In this subsection, we show that every left-invariant divergence operator on the generalized tangent bundle of a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group admits a compatible left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection. We then give an explicit construction of such a generalized connection in the case when $\mathcal{G}$ is associated with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric as in Example 2.3. In view of Proposition 2.4, there is no loss in generality by considering this special case.

Definition 2.11 A divergence operator on $\mathbb{T} M$ is a first-order differential operator $\delta$ : $\Gamma(\mathbb{T} M) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$ which satisfies

$$
\delta(f v)=v(f)+f \delta v
$$

for all $v \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M), f \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

Example 2.12 Let $D$ be a generalized connection on $M$. Then

$$
\delta_{D} v=\operatorname{tr} D v, \quad v \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M),
$$

defines a divergence operator on $\mathbb{T} M$.
When $M=G$ is a Lie group we can ask for a divergence operator $\delta$ on $\mathbb{T} G$ to be left-invariant, that is, for the function $\delta v$ to be left-invariant (i.e., constant) for all leftinvariant sections $v$ of $\mathbb{T} G$. Such operators can can be identified with elements of $E^{*}=$ $\left(\mathbb{T}_{e} G\right)^{*}$.

It was proved in [G] that there always exists a Levi-Civita generalized connection with a prescribed divergence. We now give a proof for this in our setting.

Proposition 2.13 Let $(G, H, \mathcal{G})$ be a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group of dimension $\operatorname{dim} G \geq 2$ and $\delta \in E^{*}$. Then there exists a left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D$ such that $\delta_{D}=\delta$.

Proof Let $D \in E^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}(E)$ be a left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection. Any other left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection can be written as $D^{\prime}=$ $D+S$, where $S \in \mathfrak{s o}(E)^{\langle 1\rangle} \subset E^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}(E)$ (see Proposition 2.8). The divergence operators are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{D^{\prime}} v-\delta_{D} v=\operatorname{tr} S v=\operatorname{tr}\left(u \mapsto S_{u} v\right), \quad v \in E . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the linear form $\lambda_{S} \in E^{*}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{S}(v):=\operatorname{tr} S v . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It suffices to show that the linear map $S \mapsto \lambda_{S}$ is surjective. Given $\alpha, \beta \in E_{+}^{*} \cong\left(E_{-}\right)^{0} \subset$ $E^{*}$, the element $S=\operatorname{alt}\left(\alpha^{2} \otimes \beta\right) \in \Sigma_{+} \subset \mathfrak{s o}(E)^{\langle 1\rangle}=\Sigma_{+} \oplus \Sigma_{-}$has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{S}=\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \alpha-\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle \beta \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} E_{+}=\operatorname{dim} G \geq 2$, this proves that $\operatorname{span}\left\{\lambda_{S} \mid S \in \Sigma_{+}\right\}=E_{+}^{*}$, and similarly $\operatorname{span}\left\{\lambda_{S} \mid S \in \Sigma_{-}\right\}=E_{-}^{*}$.

Note that the condition $\operatorname{dim} G \geq 2$ is necessary. If $\operatorname{dim} G=1$, then the Levi-Civita generalized connection $D$ is unique and $\delta_{D} \in E^{*}$ is zero.

From now on, we assume without loss of generality (see Proposition 2.4) that $\mathcal{G}=$ $\mathcal{G}_{g}$ for some left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ on $G$. We will first construct a particular left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D$ with $\delta_{D}=0 \in E^{*}$ and later prescribe an arbitrary divergence operator by adding a suitable element of the generalized first prolongation.

## Adapted bases and notation

Let $\left(v_{a}\right)=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ be a $g$-orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ and set $\varepsilon_{a}:=g\left(v_{a}, v_{a}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{a}:=v_{a}+g v_{a} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a $\mathcal{G}$-orthonormal basis $\left(e_{a}\right)_{a=1, \ldots, n}$ of $E_{+}$with $\mathcal{G}\left(e_{a}, e_{a}\right)=\varepsilon_{a}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+a}:=v_{a}-g v_{a} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a $\mathcal{G}$-orthonormal basis $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i=n+1, \ldots, 2 n}$ of $E_{-}$with $\mathcal{G}\left(e_{n+a}, e_{n+a}\right)=\varepsilon_{a}$. Remember that $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle= \pm \mathcal{G}$ on the summands $E_{ \pm}$of the decomposition $E=E_{+} \oplus E_{-}$, which is orthogonal for both the generalized metric $\mathcal{G}$ as well as the scalar product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Summarizing, we have an orthonormal basis $\left(e_{A}\right)_{A=1, \ldots, 2 n}$ of $E$ adapted to the decomposition $E=E_{+} \oplus E_{-}$. Note that $\left\langle e_{A}, e_{B}\right\rangle=\varepsilon_{A} \delta_{A B}$, where $\varepsilon_{a}=-\varepsilon_{n+a}$ for $a=1, \ldots, n$. From now on the indices $a, b, \ldots$ will always range from 1 to $n, i, j, \ldots$ will range from $n+1$ to $2 n$ and $A, B, \ldots$ from 1 to $2 n$.

A left-invariant generalized connection $D$ is completely determined by its coefficients $\omega_{A B}^{C}$ with respect to the basis $\left(e_{A}\right)$ :

$$
D_{e_{A}} e_{B}=\omega_{A B}^{c} e: C,
$$

where, from now on, we use Einstein's summation convention, according to which the sum over an upper and a lower repeated index is understood. Equivalently, we may use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{A B C}:=\left\langle D_{e_{A}} e_{B}, e_{C}\right\rangle \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the advantage that it is skew-symmetric in ( $B, C$ ). In fact, any tensor $\left(\omega_{A B C}\right)$ skew-symmetric in $(B, C)$ defines a left-invariant generalized connection $D$ by the formula (15). We will say that $\left(\omega_{A B C}\right)$ are the connection coefficients of $D$.

The next proposition follows from the fact that $D$ is metric if and only if $D E_{ \pm} \subset E_{ \pm}$.
Proposition 2.14 A left-invariant generalized connection $D$ is metric if and only if $\omega_{A B C}=0$ whenever $B \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $C \in\{n+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$.

Using the orthonormal basis $\left(e_{A}\right)$ of $E$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{A B C}:=\mathcal{B}\left(e_{A}, e_{B}, e_{C}\right)=\left\langle\left[e_{A}, e_{B}\right]_{H}, e_{C}\right\rangle \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.15 Let $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$ be a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group. The following tensor $\left(\omega_{A B C}\right)$ defines the connection coefficients of a left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D^{0}$ with zero divergence $\delta_{D^{0}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{a b c}:=\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{a b c}, \quad \omega_{i j k}:=\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{i j k}, \quad \omega_{i b c}:=\mathcal{B}_{i b c}, \quad \omega_{a j k}:=\mathcal{B}_{a j k}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $i, j, k \in\{n+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$ and the remaining components are zero. The connection $D^{0}$ does not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, from which the orthonormal basis $\left(e_{A}\right)$ of $E=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ was constructed. It is therefore a canonical Levi-Civita generalized connection and will be called the canonical divergencefree Levi-Civita generalized connection.
Proof The formulas (17) are precisely the connection coefficients of the left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D^{0}$ defined in the proof of Proposition 2.8. In particular, $D^{0}$ is independent of the basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$. To show that the divergence $\delta$ of $D^{0}$ vanishes, it suffices to remark that $\delta\left(e_{B}\right)=\omega_{A B}^{A}$ vanishes due to $\omega_{a j c}=\omega_{i b k}=0$ and the total skew-symmetry of $\omega_{a b c}$ and $\omega_{i j k}$ (with the above index ranges), implied by Lemma 2.9.

Proposition 2.16 Let $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$ be a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group endowed with the canonical divergence-free Levi-Civita generalized connection $D^{0}$ of

Proposition 2.15. Fix an element $\delta \in E^{*}$. Then a left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D$ with divergence $\delta_{D}=\delta$ can be obtained as follows. Choose, as above, ${ }^{1}$ a left-invariant orthonormal basis $\left(e_{A}\right)$ of $E$ associated with an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Define the tensor $S:=S_{+}+S_{-}$, where

$$
S_{+}:=-\operatorname{alt}\left(\delta_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(e^{2}\right)^{2} \otimes e^{1}+\sum_{a=2}^{n} \delta_{a} \varepsilon_{1}\left(e^{1}\right)^{2} \otimes e^{a}\right) \in \Sigma_{+},
$$

and similarly for $S_{-} \in \Sigma_{-}$. Here, $\left(e^{A}\right)$ denotes the basis of $E^{*}$ dual to ( $e_{A}$ ) and $\delta_{A}=\delta\left(e_{A}\right)$. Then the left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D=D^{0}+S$ has divergence $\delta$.
Proof From (10)-(12), we see that $D=D^{0}+S$ has divergence $\delta$, since

$$
\lambda_{S_{+}}=-\delta_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \lambda_{\left(e^{2}\right)^{2} \otimes e^{1}}-\sum_{a=2}^{n} \delta_{a} \varepsilon_{1} \lambda_{\left(e^{1}\right)^{2} \otimes e^{a}}=\sum_{a=1}^{n} \delta_{a} e^{a}=\left.\delta\right|_{E_{+}}
$$

and similarly $\lambda_{S_{-}}=\left.\delta\right|_{E_{-}}$.
We want to close this section by introducing a special divergence operator, the socalled Riemannian divergence, which is considered in the literature ([GSt, Definition 2.46]). If $(M, \mathcal{G})$ is a generalized pseudo-Riemannian manifold, one defines for all $v \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M)$,

$$
\delta^{\mathcal{G}}(v)=\operatorname{tr}(\nabla \pi v)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma(T M) \ni Y \mapsto \nabla_{Y} \pi(v) \in \Gamma(T M)\right),
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ associated with $\mathcal{G}$ via Proposition 2.4. Denoting by $\mu$ the Riemannian density associated with $g$, we recall the well-known fact that the divergence $\operatorname{tr}(\nabla X)$ of a vector field $X$ can also be expressed by $\frac{\mathcal{L}_{x} \mu}{\mu}$, since

$$
\mathcal{L}_{X} \mu=\nabla_{X} \mu-(\nabla X) \cdot \mu=\operatorname{tr}(\nabla X) \mu .
$$

The divergence operator $\delta^{\mathcal{G}}$ can be recovered as the divergence of a generalized connection as in Example 2.12. For that one, first extends the Levi-Civita connection to a connection on $\mathbb{T} M$ and then pulls it back to a generalized connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ via the anchor $\pi$. Then

$$
\delta_{\widetilde{\nabla}}(v)=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{T} M}(\widetilde{\nabla} v)=\operatorname{tr}_{T M}(\nabla \pi(v))=\delta^{\mathcal{G}}(v),
$$

since $\left.\widetilde{\nabla} v\right|_{T^{*} M}=0$ and $\left.\pi \circ \widetilde{\nabla} v\right|_{T M}=\nabla \pi(v)$. Furthermore, note that $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is a Levi-Civita generalized connection of $\mathcal{G}$, if $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}^{g}$ and $H=0$.
Proposition 2.17 Let $(G, H, \mathcal{G})$ be a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group. Then the Riemannian divergence satisfies

$$
\delta^{\mathcal{G}}(v)=-\tau(\pi(v)), \quad v \in E,
$$

where $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is the trace-form defined by $\tau(X)=\operatorname{trad}_{X}, X \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, the Riemannian divergence is zero, if the Lie group $G$ is unimodular.

[^1]Proof Let $v=X+\xi \in E$ and $\left(v_{a}\right)$ as usual a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is orthonormal with respect to $g$. Furthermore, let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $g$. It satisfies

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)=\frac{1}{2}(g([X, Y], Z)-g([Y, Z], X)+g([Z, X], Y))
$$

for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. We can thus compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{\mathcal{G}}(X+\xi) & =\operatorname{tr}(\nabla X) \\
& =\sum_{a} \varepsilon_{a} g\left(\nabla_{v_{a}} X, v_{a}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \varepsilon_{a}\left(g\left(\left[v_{a}, X\right], v_{a}\right)-g\left(\left[X, v_{a}\right], v_{a}\right)+g\left(\left[v_{a}, v_{a}\right], X\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{a} \varepsilon_{a} g\left(\left[X, v_{a}\right], v_{a}\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{trad} \\
& =-\tau(\pi(X+\xi)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.5 Ricci curvatures and generalized Einstein metrics

After fixing a left-invariant section $\delta$ of $(\mathbb{T} G)^{*}$ over a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $(G, H, \mathcal{G})$ we define and compute two canonical Ricci curvature tensors Ric $^{+} \in E_{-}^{*} \otimes E_{+}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{Ric}^{-} \in E_{+}^{*} \otimes E_{-}^{*}$, which depend only on the data $(H, \mathcal{G}, \delta)$. A leftinvariant solution $\mathcal{G}$ of the system $\mathrm{Ric}^{+}=0, \mathrm{Ric}^{-}=0$ is what we will call a generalized Einstein metric on $G$ with three-form $H$ and dilaton $\delta$.

Consider the generalized tangent bundle $\mathbb{T} M$ of a smooth manifold endowed with the Courant algebroid structure associated with a closed three-form $H$ on $M$ and a generalized pseudo-Riemannian metric $\mathcal{G}$. We denote by $(\mathbb{T} M)_{ \pm}$the eigenbundles of $\mathcal{G}^{\text {end }}$.

Given a Levi-Civita generalized connection $D$ on $\mathbb{T} M$ and two sections $u, v \in$ $\Gamma(\mathbb{T} M)$, we consider the differential operator $R(u, v): \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M)$ defined by

$$
R(u, v) w:=D_{u} D_{v} w-D_{v} D_{u} w-D_{[u, v]_{H}} w
$$

for all $w \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T} M)$. It was observed in $[G]$ that $R$ restricts to tensor fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{D}^{+} \in \Gamma\left((\mathbb{T} M)_{+}^{*} \otimes(\mathbb{T} M)_{-}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left((\mathbb{T} M)_{+}\right)\right) \\
& R_{D}^{-} \in \Gamma\left((\mathbb{T} M)_{-}^{*} \otimes(\mathbb{T} M)_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left((\mathbb{T} M)_{-}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence there are tensor fields $R i c_{D}^{+} \in \Gamma\left((\mathbb{T} M)_{-}^{*} \otimes(\mathbb{T} M)_{+}^{*}\right)$ and $R i c_{D}^{-} \in \Gamma\left((\mathbb{T} M)_{+}^{*} \otimes\right.$ $\left.(\mathbb{T} M)_{-}^{*}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}_{D}^{+}(u, v)=\operatorname{tr} R_{D}^{+}(\cdot, u) v=\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathbb{T} M_{+}\right) \ni w \mapsto R(w, u) v\right. & \left.\in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{T} M_{+}\right)\right) \\
& u \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{T} M_{-}\right), v \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{T} M_{+}\right) \\
\operatorname{Ric}_{D}^{-}(u, v)=\operatorname{tr} R_{D}^{-}(\cdot, u) v=\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma\left(\mathbb{T} M_{-}\right) \ni w \mapsto R(w, u) v\right. & \left.\in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{T} M_{-}\right)\right) \\
u & \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{T} M_{+}\right), v \in \Gamma\left(\mathbb{T} M_{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It was also shown in [G] that the tensor fields $\operatorname{Ric}_{D_{1}}^{ \pm}$and $\operatorname{Ric}_{D_{2}}^{ \pm}$are the same for any pair of Levi-Civita generalized connections $D_{1}, D_{2}$ with the same divergence operator $\delta_{D_{1}}=\delta_{D_{2}}$.

As a consequence, the following definition is meaningful.
Definition 2.18 Let $(G, H, \mathcal{G})$ be a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group and $\delta \in E^{*}$. Then the Ricci curvatures

$$
R i c^{+}=R i c_{\delta}^{+} \in E_{-}^{*} \otimes E_{+}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad R i c^{-}=R i c_{\delta}^{-} \in E_{+}^{*} \otimes E_{-}^{*}
$$

of $(G, H, \mathcal{G}, \delta)$ (or of $(\mathfrak{g}, H, \mathcal{G}, \delta))$ are defined by evaluation of $R i c_{D}^{+}$and $R i c_{D}^{-}$at $e \in G$, where $D$ is any left-invariant Levi-Civita generalized connection $D$ with divergence $\delta$. ( $G, H, \mathcal{G}, \delta$ ) is called generalized Einstein if

$$
\text { Ric }:=\text { Ric }^{+} \oplus \text { Ric }^{-}=0 \in E_{-}^{*} \otimes E_{+}^{*} \oplus E_{+}^{*} \otimes E_{-}^{*} .
$$

We will consider Ric as a bilinear form on $E$ vanishing on $E_{+} \times E_{+}$and $E_{-} \times E_{-}$.
Next, we compute the Ricci curvatures in the case $\delta=0$ using the canonical divergence-free Levi-Civita generalized connection of Proposition 2.15, which in the following we denote by $D^{0}$. The case of general divergence is then obtained by computing how the Ricci curvatures change under addition of an element of the generalized first prolongation. We denote by $R_{D^{0}}^{ \pm} \in E_{ \pm}^{*} \otimes E_{\mp}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left(E_{ \pm}\right)$the tensors which correspond to the left-invariant tensor fields $R_{D^{0}}^{ \pm} \in \Gamma\left((\mathbb{T} G)_{ \pm}^{*} \otimes(\mathbb{T} G)_{\mp}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{s o}\left((\mathbb{T} G)_{ \pm}\right)\right)$.
Proposition 2.19 Let $D^{0}$ be the canonical divergence-free Levi-Civita generalized connection of a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$, defined in Proposition 2.15. The components $R_{A B C D}:=\left\langle R\left(e_{A}, e_{B}\right) e_{C}, e_{D}\right\rangle, A, B, C, D \in\{1, \ldots, 2 n\}$, of the tensors $R_{D^{0}}^{ \pm}$are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{a j c d} & =\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{c \ell d}+\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{j c}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell a d}+\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{c a}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell j d}, \\
R_{i b k \ell} & =\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_{i b}^{c} \mathcal{B}_{k c \ell}+\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{b k}^{c} \mathcal{B}_{c i \ell}+\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{k i}^{c} \mathcal{B}_{c b \ell},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $i, j, k, \ell \in\{n+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$.
Proof We denote by $\eta_{A B}=\left\langle e_{A}, e_{B}\right\rangle$ the coefficients of the scalar product with respect to the orthonormal basis $\left(e_{A}\right)$ and by $\omega_{A B C}$ and $\omega_{A B}^{C}=\sum_{D} \eta^{C D} \omega_{A B D}$ the connection coefficients of $D^{0}$. Here, $\eta^{A B}=\eta_{A B}$ are the coefficients of the induced scalar product on $E^{*}$. Then (taking into account the agreed index ranges) we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{D^{0}}^{+}\left(e_{a}, e_{j}\right) e_{c} & =\left(\omega_{j c}^{d} \omega_{a d}^{f}-\omega_{a c}^{d} \omega_{j d}^{f}-\mathcal{B}_{a j}^{D} \omega_{D c}^{f}\right) e_{f}, \\
& =\left(\omega_{j c}^{d} \omega_{a d}^{f}-\omega_{a c}^{d} \omega_{j d}^{f}-\mathcal{B}_{a j}^{d} \omega_{d c}^{f}-\mathcal{B}_{a j}^{\ell} \omega_{\ell c}^{f}\right) e_{f} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{j c}^{d} \mathcal{B}_{a d}^{f}-\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{a c}^{d} \mathcal{B}_{j d}^{f}-\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{d} \mathcal{B}_{d c}^{f}-\mathcal{B}_{a j}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell c}^{f}\right) e_{f},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the index $f$ runs from 1 to $n$ and $\mathcal{B}_{A B}^{C}=\mathcal{B}_{A B D} \eta^{D C}$. Next, we observe that the axiom (C1), the Jacobi identity for the Dorfman bracket, can be written in components as

$$
\sum_{\mathfrak{S}(A, B, C)} \mathcal{B}_{A D}^{F} \mathcal{B}_{B C}^{D}=0,
$$

where the cyclic sum is over $(A, B, C)$. Specializing to $(A, B, C, F)=(a, j, c, f)$, we get

$$
0=\sum_{\mathfrak{S}(a, j, c)} \mathcal{B}_{a D}^{f} \mathcal{B}_{j c}^{D}=\sum_{\mathfrak{S}(a, j, c)}\left(\mathcal{B}_{a d}^{f} \mathcal{B}_{j c}^{d}+\mathcal{B}_{a \ell}^{f} \mathcal{B}_{j c}^{\ell}\right)
$$

So we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{D^{0}}^{+}\left(e_{a}, e_{j}\right) e_{c} & =-\left(\mathcal{B}_{a j}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell c}^{f}+\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\mathfrak{F}(a, j, c)} \mathcal{B}_{j c}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{a \ell}^{f}\right) e_{f} \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{c \ell}^{f}+\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{j c}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell a}^{f}+\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{c a}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell j}^{f}\right) e_{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the scalar product with $e_{d}$ gives the claimed formula for $R_{a j c d}$. The other formula is obtained similarly.
Corollary 2.20 Let $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$ be a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group. Then the Ricci curvature of $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta=0\right)$ is symmetric, in the sense that $\operatorname{Ric}^{+}(u, v)=$ $\operatorname{Ric}^{-}(v, u)$ for all $u \in E_{-}, v \in E_{+}$. The components $R_{i a}:=\operatorname{Ric}^{+}\left(e_{i}, e_{a}\right)$ of Ric ${ }^{+}$are given by

$$
R_{i a}=\mathcal{B}_{b i}^{j} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{b},
$$

where $a, b \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $i, j \in\{n+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$.
Proof From Proposition 2.19, by taking the trace using the complete skew-symmetry of $\mathcal{B}_{A B C}$ (see Lemma 2.9), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{i a} & =R_{a i}=\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_{b i}^{j} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{b}+\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{a b}^{j} \mathcal{B}_{j i}^{b} \\
& =\eta^{b b^{\prime}} \eta^{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{B}_{b i j} \mathcal{B}_{a j^{\prime} b^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{3} \mathcal{B}_{a b^{\prime} j^{\prime}} \mathcal{B}_{j i b}\right) \\
& =\eta^{b b^{\prime}} \eta^{j j^{\prime}} \mathcal{B}_{b i j} \mathcal{B}_{a j^{\prime} b^{\prime}}=\mathcal{B}_{b i}^{j} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $u_{ \pm} \in E_{ \pm}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{u_{+}}:=\left.\operatorname{pr}_{E_{+}} \circ\left[u_{+}, \cdot\right]_{H}\right|_{E_{-}}: E_{-} \rightarrow E_{+}, \quad \Gamma_{u_{-}}:=\left.\operatorname{pr}_{E_{-}} \circ\left[u_{-}, \cdot\right]_{H}\right|_{E_{+}}: E_{+} \rightarrow E_{-} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.21 A necessary and sufficient condition for $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta=0\right)$ to be generalized Einstein is that the subspace

$$
\Gamma_{E_{+}} \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{-}, E_{+}\right) \quad \text { is perpendicular to } \quad \Gamma_{E_{-}} \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{+}, E_{-}\right),
$$

with respect to the nondegenerate pairing $\operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{-}, E_{+}\right) \times \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{+}, E_{-}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $(A, B) \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(A B)=\operatorname{tr}(B A)$. A sufficient condition in terms of the subspaces $\Gamma_{E_{ \pm}} E_{\mp} \subset E_{ \pm}$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{E_{+}} E_{-} \perp\left[E_{-}, E_{-}\right]_{H} \quad \text { or } \quad \Gamma_{E_{-}} E_{+} \perp\left[E_{+}, E_{+}\right]_{H} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof The necessary and sufficient condition follows immediately from

$$
R_{i a}=R_{a i}=\mathcal{B}_{b i}^{j} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{b}=-\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma_{e_{a}} \circ \Gamma_{e_{i}}\right) .
$$

Any of the two (nonequivalent) conditions $\Gamma_{E_{+}} \circ \Gamma_{E_{-}}=0$ or $\Gamma_{E_{-}} \circ \Gamma_{E_{+}}=0$ is clearly sufficient. These can be reformulated as (19), since, by Lemma 2.9,

$$
\left\langle\Gamma_{u_{+}} v_{-}, w_{+}\right\rangle=-\left\langle v_{-},\left[u_{+}, w_{+}\right]_{H}\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle\Gamma_{u_{-}} v_{+}, w_{-}\right\rangle=-\left\langle v_{+},\left[u_{-}, w_{-}\right]_{H}\right\rangle,
$$

for all $u_{+}, v_{+}, w_{+} \in E_{+}, u_{-}, v_{-}, w_{-} \in E_{-}$.
Next, we will compute the Ricci curvature of an arbitrary left-invariant LeviCivita generalized connection $D=D^{0}+S$ on $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$, where $D^{0}$ is the canonical divergence-free Levi-Civita generalized connection and $S$ is an arbitrary element of the first generalized prolongation of $\mathfrak{s o}(E)$.
Lemma 2.22 The curvature tensors $R_{D}^{ \pm} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{ \pm} \otimes E_{\mp} \otimes E_{ \pm}, E_{ \pm}\right)$of $D$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{D}^{ \pm}=R_{D^{0}}^{ \pm}+\left.d^{D^{0}} S\right|_{E_{ \pm} \otimes E_{\mp} \otimes E_{ \pm}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)(u, v, w)=\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)(u, v) w:=D_{u}^{0}\left(S_{v}\right) w-D_{v}^{0}\left(S_{u}\right) w-S_{[u, v]_{H}} w, \quad u, v, w \in E$.
Proof A straightforward calculation shows that

$$
R_{D}^{ \pm}=R_{D^{0}}^{ \pm}+\left.\left(d^{D^{0}} S+[S, S]\right)\right|_{E_{ \pm} \otimes E_{\mp} \otimes E_{ \pm}},
$$

where

$$
[S, S](u, v, w)=[S, S](u, v) w:=\left[S_{u}, S_{v}\right] w, \quad u, v, w \in E .
$$

We observe that the map $[S, S]:(u, v, w) \mapsto\left[S_{u}, S_{v}\right] w$ vanishes on $E_{+} \otimes E_{-} \otimes E_{+}$and on $E_{-} \otimes E_{+} \otimes E_{-}$, since $S_{E} E_{ \pm} \subset E_{ \pm}$and $S_{E_{ \pm}} E_{\mp}=0$. This proves (20).

In the following, we denote by $\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)^{ \pm}$the restriction of $d^{D^{0}} S$ to an element

$$
\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)^{ \pm} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{ \pm} \otimes E_{\mp} \otimes E_{ \pm}, E_{ \pm}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(E_{ \pm} \otimes E_{\mp}, \text { End } E_{ \pm}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.23 We have $R_{D}^{ \pm}=R_{D^{0}}^{ \pm}+\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)^{ \pm}$and

$$
\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)^{ \pm}(u, v) w=-\left(D_{v}^{0} S\right)_{u} w
$$

for all $(u, v, w) \in E_{ \pm} \times E_{\mp} \times E_{ \pm}$.
Proof The first formula is just (20). Since $D^{0} E_{ \pm} \subset E_{ \pm}$and $S_{E_{ \pm}} E_{\mp}=0$, we have

$$
\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)^{ \pm}(u, v) w=-D_{v}^{0}\left(S_{u}\right) w-S_{[u, v]_{H}} w=-\left(D_{v}^{0} S\right)_{u} w-S_{D_{v}^{0} u} w-S_{[u, v]_{H}} w .
$$

Using that $D^{0}$ is torsion-free, we can write $[u, v]_{H}=D_{u}^{0} v-D_{v}^{0} u$, since $\left(D^{0} u\right)^{*} v=0$ for all $(u, v) \in E_{ \pm} \times E_{\mp}$. Hence,

$$
-S_{D_{v}^{0} u} w-S_{[u, v]_{H}} w=-S_{D_{u}^{0} v} w=0,
$$

again because $D^{0} E_{ \pm} \subset E_{ \pm}$and $S_{E_{ \pm}} E_{\mp}=0$. This proves the lemma.
Proposition 2.24 Let $\delta$ be a divergence operator on $E$ and $S \in \mathfrak{s o}(E)^{\langle 1\rangle}$ such that the Levi-Civita generalized connection $D^{0}+S$ has divergence $\delta$. Then the Ricci curvatures Ric $\delta_{\delta}^{ \pm}$of a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ with arbitrary divergence $\delta \in E^{*}$ are related to the Ricci curvatures Ricicof $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, 0\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R i c_{\delta}^{ \pm}=R i c_{0}^{ \pm}+\operatorname{tr}_{E_{ \pm}}\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)^{ \pm}=R i c_{0}^{ \pm}-\left.D^{0} \delta\right|_{E_{\mp} \otimes E_{ \pm}}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\operatorname{tr}_{E_{+}} \alpha\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{b}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(u \mapsto \alpha\left(u, e_{i}\right) e_{b}\right)
$$

for any $\alpha \in E_{+}^{*} \otimes E_{-}^{*} \otimes E_{+}^{*} \otimes E_{+}$and, similarly,

$$
\left(\operatorname{tr}_{E_{-}} \beta\right)\left(e_{a}, e_{j}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(u \mapsto \beta\left(u, e_{a}\right) e_{j}\right)
$$

when $\beta \in E_{-}^{*} \otimes E_{+}^{*} \otimes E_{-}^{*} \otimes E_{-}$. Here, we are assuming the usual index ranges for $a, b$ and $i, j$.

Proof An element $S$ of the first generalized prolongation of $\mathfrak{s o}(E)$ such that $D^{0}+S$ has divergence $\delta$ exists due to Proposition 2.13. The first equation follows from Lemma 2.22 by taking traces. The formula

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{E_{ \pm}}\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)^{ \pm}=-\left.D^{0} \delta\right|_{E_{\mp} \otimes E_{ \pm}}
$$

is a consequence of Lemma 2.23, since the trace maps $\operatorname{tr}_{E_{+}}$and $\operatorname{tr}_{E_{-}}$are parallel for any metric generalized connection. In fact, for instance,

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{E_{+}}\left(d^{D^{0}} S\right)^{+}\left(e_{i}, e_{b}\right)=-\operatorname{tr}_{E_{+}}\left(\left(D_{e_{i}}^{0} S\right) e_{b}\right)=-D_{e_{i}}^{0}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{E_{+}} S\right) e_{b}=-\left(D_{e_{i}}^{0} \delta\right) e_{b},
$$

where the $\operatorname{tr}_{E_{+}} S \in E^{*}$ is defined by $\left(\operatorname{tr}_{E_{+}} S\right) v:=\operatorname{tr}_{E_{+}}(S v)=\operatorname{tr}\left(E_{+} \ni u \mapsto S_{u} v \in E_{+}\right)$for all $v \in E$ and we have used that $\operatorname{tr}_{E_{+}}(S v)=\operatorname{tr}(S v)=\delta(v)$ for all $v \in E_{+}$.

Summarizing, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.25 The components $R_{i a}^{\delta}=\operatorname{Ric} c_{\delta}^{+}\left(e_{i}, e_{a}\right)$ and $R_{a i}^{\delta}=\operatorname{Ric}_{\delta}^{-}\left(e_{a}, e_{i}\right)$ of the Ricci curvature tensors Ric $\delta_{\delta}^{ \pm}$of a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ with arbitrary divergence $\delta \in E^{*}$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{i a}^{\delta}=\mathcal{B}_{b i}^{j} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{b}+\mathcal{B}_{i a}^{c} \delta_{c}, \\
& R_{a i}^{\delta}=\mathcal{B}_{b i}^{j} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{b}+\mathcal{B}_{a i}^{j} \delta_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the Ricci tensor Ric ${ }_{\delta}=$ Ric $_{\delta}^{+} \oplus$ Ric $_{\delta}^{-}$is symmetric if and only $i f, \delta$ satisfies the equation $\mathcal{B}_{i a}^{c} \delta_{c}=\mathcal{B}_{a i}^{j} \delta_{j}$. It is skew-symmetric if $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, 0\right)$ is generalized Einstein and $\mathcal{B}_{i a}^{c} \delta_{c}=-\mathcal{B}_{a i}^{j} \delta_{j}$. (Recall that we are always assuming the usual index ranges for $a, b$ and $i, j$.)

In terms of the linear maps $\Gamma_{u_{ \pm}}: E_{\mp} \rightarrow E_{ \pm}$defined in (18) for $u_{ \pm} \in E_{ \pm}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ric}_{\delta}^{+}\left(u_{-}, u_{+}\right)=-\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma_{u_{-}} \circ \Gamma_{u_{+}}\right)+\delta\left(\operatorname{pr}_{E_{+}}\left[u_{-}, u_{+}\right]_{H}\right), \\
& \operatorname{Ric}_{\delta}^{-}\left(u_{+}, u_{-}\right)=-\operatorname{tr}\left(\Gamma_{u_{-}} \circ \Gamma_{u_{+}}\right)+\delta\left(\operatorname{pr}_{E_{-}}\left[u_{+}, u_{-}\right]_{H}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The theorem shows that the Ricci curvature is completely determined by the oneform $\delta$ and the coefficients $\mathcal{B}_{a j k}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{i b c}$ of the Dorfman bracket in the orthonormal basis $\left(e_{A}\right)=\left(e_{a}, e_{i}\right)$. For future use, we do now compute the latter coefficients in terms of the coefficients of the Lie bracket (the structure constants) and the coefficients of the three-form $H$ using (4). Recall that ( $v_{a}$ ) was a $g$-orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. More precisely, we have $g_{a b}=g\left(v_{a}, v_{b}\right)=\left\langle e_{a}, e_{b}\right\rangle=\eta_{a b}$. We denote the corresponding structure constants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\kappa_{a b}^{c}$, such that

$$
\left[v_{a}, v_{b}\right]=\kappa_{a b}^{c} v_{c} .
$$

Note that $\kappa_{a b c}=\kappa_{a b}^{d} g_{d c}=\kappa_{a b}^{d} \eta_{d c}$ for $\kappa_{a b c}:=\left\langle\left[v_{a}, v_{b}\right], v_{c}\right\rangle$.
Proposition 2.26 The Dorfman coefficients $\mathcal{B}_{a j k}, \mathcal{B}_{i b c}, \mathcal{B}_{a b c}$, and $\mathcal{B}_{i j k}(a, b, c \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}, i, j, k \in\{n+1, \ldots, 2 n\})$ are related to the structure constant $\kappa_{a b c}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{a j k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}-\kappa_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}+\kappa_{j^{\prime} k^{\prime} a}-\kappa_{k^{\prime} a j^{\prime}}\right), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{i b c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{i^{\prime} b c}+\kappa_{i^{\prime} b c}-\kappa_{b c i^{\prime}}+\kappa_{c i^{\prime} b}\right), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{a b c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{a b c}+(\partial \kappa)_{a b c}\right), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{i j k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}-(\partial \kappa)_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i^{\prime}=i-n$, for $i \in\{n+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$ and $(\partial \kappa)_{a b c}=\kappa_{a b c}+\kappa_{b c a}+\kappa_{c a b}$.
Proof Using (4),we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[e_{a}, e_{j}\right]_{H} } & =\left[v_{a}+g v_{a}, v_{j^{\prime}}-g v_{j^{\prime}}\right]_{H}=\left[v_{a}, v_{j^{\prime}}\right]_{H}-\left[v_{a}, g v_{j^{\prime}}\right]_{H}+\left[g v_{a}, v_{j^{\prime}}\right]_{H} \\
& =\left[v_{a}, v_{j^{\prime}}\right]+H\left(v_{a}, v_{j^{\prime}}, \cdot\right)+a d_{v_{a}}^{*}\left(g v_{j^{\prime}}\right)-t_{v_{j^{\prime}}} d\left(g v_{a}\right) \\
& =\left[v_{a}, v_{j^{\prime}}\right]+H\left(v_{a}, v_{j^{\prime}}, \cdot\right)+g\left(v_{j^{\prime}},\left[v_{a}, \cdot\right]\right)+g\left(v_{a},\left[v_{j^{\prime}}, \cdot\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{a j k} & =\left\langle\left[e_{a}, e_{j}\right]_{H}, e_{k}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left[e_{a}, e_{j}\right]_{H}, v_{k^{\prime}}-g v_{k^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(H\left(v_{a}, v_{j^{\prime}}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right)+g\left(v_{j^{\prime}},\left[v_{a}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right]\right)+g\left(v_{a},\left[v_{j^{\prime}}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right]\right)-g\left(v_{k^{\prime}},\left[v_{a}, v_{j^{\prime}}\right]\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}+\kappa_{a k^{\prime} j^{\prime}}+\kappa_{j^{\prime} k^{\prime} a}-\kappa_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}-\kappa_{k^{\prime} a j^{\prime}}+\kappa_{j^{\prime} k^{\prime} a}-\kappa_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the second formula is similar, where now

$$
\left[e_{i}, e_{b}\right]_{H}=\left[v_{i^{\prime}}, v_{b}\right]+H\left(v_{i^{\prime}}, v_{b}, \cdot\right)-g\left(v_{b},\left[v_{i^{\prime}}, \cdot\right]\right)-g\left(v_{i^{\prime}},\left[v_{b}, \cdot\right]\right) .
$$

The remaining equations are obtained in the same way.

The next result shows that the underlying metric $g$ of an Einstein generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group can be freely rescaled without changing the Einstein property, provided that the three-form and the divergence are appropriately rescaled.

Proposition 2.27 Let g be a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric and H a closed left-invariant three-form on a Lie group G. Consider $g^{\prime}=\varepsilon \mu^{-2} g$ and $H^{\prime}=\varepsilon \mu^{-2} H$, where $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$ and $\mu>0$. Then the generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$ is Einstein with divergence $\delta \in E^{*}$ if and only if $\left(G, H^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}_{g^{\prime}}\right)$ is Einstein with divergence $\delta^{\prime}=\mu \delta$.

Proof Let $\left(v_{a}\right)$ be a $g$-orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $v_{a}^{\prime}=\mu v_{a}$ defines a $g^{\prime}$ orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}^{\prime}\right)$. The corresponding basis $\left(e_{A}^{\prime}\right)$ of $E$, where $e_{a}^{\prime}=v_{a}^{\prime}+g^{\prime} v_{a}^{\prime}$ and $e_{i}=v_{i}-g^{\prime} v_{i}^{\prime}$, is still orthonormal with respect to the scalar product: $\left\langle e_{A}^{\prime}, e_{B}^{\prime}\right\rangle=$ $\varepsilon\left\langle e_{A}, e_{B}\right\rangle$. The structure constants $\kappa_{a b c}^{\prime}:=g^{\prime}\left(\left[v_{a}^{\prime}, v_{b}^{\prime}\right], v_{c}^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to the basis $\left(v_{a}^{\prime}\right)$ are $\kappa_{a b c}^{\prime}=\varepsilon \mu \kappa_{a b c}$. Similarly, $H^{\prime}\left(v_{a}^{\prime}, v_{b}^{\prime}, v_{c}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon \mu H\left(v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{c}\right)$. Finally, from these formulas and Proposition 2.26, we see that $\mathcal{B}_{A B C}^{\prime}:=\left\langle\left[e_{A}^{\prime}, e_{B}^{\prime}\right]_{H^{\prime}}, e_{C}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\varepsilon \mu \mathcal{B}_{A B C}$. Taking into account that $\left\langle e_{A}^{\prime}, e_{B}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\varepsilon\left\langle e_{A}, e_{B}\right\rangle$, we conclude that $\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)_{A B}^{C}:=\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)^{C D} \mathcal{B}_{A B D}^{\prime}=$ $\mu \mathcal{B}_{A B}^{C}$. Now Theorem 2.25 together with Proposition 2.15 shows that the coefficients of the Ricci curvatures Ric of $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ and Ric' of $\left(G, H^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}_{g^{\prime}}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ are related by $\operatorname{Ric}^{\prime}\left(e_{A}^{\prime}, e_{B}^{\prime}\right)=\mu^{2} \operatorname{Ric}\left(e_{A}, e_{B}\right)$.

Remark 2.28 Denote by $\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection of the pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ and define its coefficients with respect to the orthonormal frame $\left(v_{a}\right)$ as $\Gamma_{a b c}:=g\left(\nabla_{v_{a}} v_{b}, v_{c}\right)$. Then

$$
\Gamma_{a b c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(g\left(\left[v_{a}, v_{b}\right], v_{c}\right)-g\left(\left[v_{b}, v_{c}\right], v_{a}\right)+g\left(\left[v_{c}, v_{a}\right], v_{b}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa_{a b c}-\kappa_{b c a}+\kappa_{c a b}\right)
$$

and hence the Dorfman coefficients $\mathcal{B}_{a j k}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{i b c}$ can be expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{a j k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}-2 \Gamma_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} H_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}-\Gamma_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{i b c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{i^{\prime} b c}+2 \Gamma_{i^{\prime} b c}\right)=\frac{1}{2} H_{i^{\prime} b c}+\Gamma_{i^{\prime} b c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.29 Letg be a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on a Lie group $G$. Consider the generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $\left(G, H=0, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$. Then the Ricci curvature Ric $c_{0}^{+}=\left.R i c_{\delta}^{ \pm}\right|_{\delta=0}$ of the generalized metric $\mathcal{G}_{g}$ is related to the Ricci curvature Ric ${ }^{g}$ of the metric $g$ by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{0}^{+}(v-g v, u+g u)=\operatorname{Ric}_{0}^{-}(u+g u, v-g v)=\operatorname{Ric}^{g}(u, v)+\left(\nabla_{u} \tau\right)(v), \quad u, v \in \mathfrak{g},
$$

where $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is the trace-form defined by $\tau(v)=\operatorname{trad}_{v}$.
Proof The symmetry of the Ricci tensor of $\mathcal{G}_{g}$ follows from $\delta=0$. Therefore, it suffices to compute $R_{i a}=\operatorname{Ric}^{+}\left(e_{i}, e_{a}\right)$ from Theorem 2.25 and to compare with $R_{a i^{\prime}}^{g}=$ $\operatorname{Ric}^{g}\left(v_{a}, v_{i^{\prime}}\right), i^{\prime}=i-n$. Note first that, by Remark 2.28, we have

$$
\mathcal{B}_{a j}^{k}=\Gamma_{a j^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{i b}^{c}=\Gamma_{i^{\prime} b}^{c},
$$

since $H=0$ and $\left\langle e_{j}, e_{k}\right\rangle=-\left\langle e_{j^{\prime}}, e_{k^{\prime}}\right\rangle=-g\left(v_{j^{\prime}}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right)$. Hence, using Lemma 2.9 and the fact that the Levi-Civita connection has zero torsion, we obtain

$$
R_{i a}=\mathcal{B}_{b i}^{j} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{b}=-\Gamma_{b i^{\prime}}^{j^{\prime}} \Gamma_{j^{\prime} a}^{b}=-\Gamma_{b i^{\prime}}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma_{a j^{\prime}}^{b}+\kappa_{j^{\prime} a}^{b}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
R_{a i^{\prime}}^{g}=\Gamma_{a i^{\prime}}^{d} \Gamma_{f d}^{f}-\Gamma_{f i^{\prime}}^{d} \Gamma_{a d}^{f}-\kappa_{f a}^{d} \Gamma_{d i^{\prime}}^{f}=\Gamma_{a i^{\prime}}^{d} \Gamma_{f d}^{f}+R_{i a} .
$$

To compute the first term, we note that since the Levi-Civita connection is metric, we have

$$
\Gamma_{f d}^{f}=\kappa_{f d}^{f}=-\tau_{d}=-\tau\left(v_{d}\right),
$$

and hence

$$
\Gamma_{a i^{\prime}}^{d} \Gamma_{f d}^{f}=-\Gamma_{a i^{\prime}}^{d} \tau_{d}=(\nabla \tau)_{a i^{\prime}}=\left(\nabla_{v_{a}} \tau\right) v_{i^{\prime}} .
$$

Corollary 2.30 Let $g$ be a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on a Lie group $G$. Then the generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $\left(G, H=0, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$ is Einstein with divergence $\delta=0$ if and only if g satisfies the following Ricci soliton equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}^{g}+\nabla \tau=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is the trace-form. The form $\tau$ is always closed and, hence, the solutions of the above equation are gradient Ricci solitons, if the first Betti number of the manifold $G$ vanishes.

Proof For all $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$
(d \tau)(u, v)=-\tau([u, v])=-\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{ad}_{[u, v]}=-\operatorname{tr}\left[\operatorname{ad}_{u}, \operatorname{ad}_{v}\right]=0 .
$$

Corollary 2.31 Let $g$ be a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on a unimodular Lie group $G$. Then the generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $\left(G, H=0, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$ is Einstein with divergence $\delta=0$ if and only if $g$ is Ricci-flat.

## 3 Classification results in dimension 3

### 3.1 Preliminaries

Let $G$ be a three-dimensional Lie group endowed with a left-invariant pseudoRiemannian metric $g$ and an orientation. We will identify $g$ with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $g \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. We begin by showing that the Lie bracket can be encoded in an endomorphism $L$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and study its properties.

Following Milnor [M], but allowing indefinite metrics, we denote by $L \in$ End $\mathfrak{g}$ the endomorphism such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u, v]=L(u \times v), \quad \forall u, v \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the cross-product $\times \in \Lambda^{2} \mathfrak{g}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u \times v, w)=\operatorname{vol}_{g}(u, v, w) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the metric volume form vol ${ }_{g}$. In terms of an oriented orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$, we have

$$
v_{a} \times v_{b}=\varepsilon_{c} v_{c}, \quad \varepsilon_{c}=g\left(v_{c}, v_{c}\right),
$$

for every cyclic permutation $(a, b, c)$ of $\{1,2,3\}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[v_{a}, v_{b}\right]=\varepsilon_{c} L v_{c}, \quad \forall \quad \text { cyclic } \quad(a, b, c) \in \mathfrak{S}_{3} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\left(L^{a}{ }_{b}\right)$ the matrix of $L$ in the above basis,

$$
L e_{b}=L^{a}{ }_{b} e_{a}
$$

and by $L^{a b}=L^{a}{ }_{c} g^{c b}$, the coefficients of the corresponding tensor $L \circ g^{-1} \epsilon$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}, \mathfrak{g}\right) \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$.

From (25), we see that the structure constants $\kappa_{a b}^{c}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the basis ( $v_{a}$ ) can be written as

$$
\kappa_{a b}^{c}=\varepsilon_{a b d} L^{c d}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{a b d}=\operatorname{vol}_{g}\left(v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{d}\right)$ (in particular, $\varepsilon_{123}=1$ ).
The following lemma is a straightforward generalization of [M, Lemma 4.1].
Lemma 3.1 The endomorphism $L$ is symmetric with respect to $g$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular.
Proof Note first that $L$ is symmetric with respect to $g$ if and only if the matrix ( $L^{a b}$ ) is symmetric. Therefore, the calculation

$$
\operatorname{trad}_{v_{a}}=\kappa_{a b}^{b}=\varepsilon_{a b c} L^{b c}
$$

shows that $L$ is symmetric if and only if $\operatorname{trad}_{v_{a}}=0$ for all $a$, i.e., if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular.

Proposition 3.2 Let $g$ be a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on an oriented three-dimensional unimodular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then there exists an orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, g)$ such that $g\left(v_{1}, v_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ and such that the symmetric endomorphism L defined in Equation (23) is represented by one of the following matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \gamma
\end{array}\right), \quad L_{2}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha & -\beta \\
0 & \beta & \alpha
\end{array}\right), \\
L_{3}(\alpha, \beta) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}+\alpha & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}+\alpha
\end{array}\right), \quad L_{4}(\alpha, \beta)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2}+\alpha & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}+\alpha
\end{array}\right), \\
L_{5}(\alpha) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \alpha & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \alpha
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g\left(v_{3}, v_{3}\right)=-g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ for the normal forms $L_{2}, \ldots, L_{5}$. If $g$ is definite, then the orthonormal basis can be chosen such that $L$ is represented by a diagonal matrix $L_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and each diagonal matrix is realized in this way. If $g$ is
indefinite, then each of the above normal forms is realized by some unimodular Lie bracket.

Proof It is well known that every symmetric endomorphism on a Euclidean vector space can be diagonalized. According to [CEHL, Lemma 2.2] and the references therein, for an indefinite scalar product on a three-dimensional vector space, there are the five normal forms of a symmetric bilinear form, from which one easily obtains the five normal forms $L_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), L_{2}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), L_{3}(\alpha, \beta), L_{4}(\alpha, \beta)$, and $L_{5}(\alpha)$ for a symmetric endomorphism. It remains to check that for each of these normal forms $\left(L^{a}{ }_{b}\right)$, the bracket with structure constants $\kappa_{a b}^{c}=\varepsilon_{a b d} L^{c d}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity.

All the cases can be treated simultaneously by considering $\left(L^{a}{ }_{b}\right)$ of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & \lambda & 0 \\
\lambda & \beta & \mu \\
0 & \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \mu & \gamma
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. For the corresponding endomorphism $L$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jac}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) & :=\left[v_{1},\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]\right]+\left[v_{2},\left[v_{3}, v_{1}\right]\right]+\left[v_{3},\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]\right]=\sum\left[v_{a}, \varepsilon_{a} L v_{a}\right] \\
& =\varepsilon_{1} \lambda\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]+\varepsilon_{2} \lambda\left[v_{2}, v_{1}\right]+\varepsilon_{3} \mu\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]+\varepsilon_{3} \mu\left[v_{3}, v_{2}\right]=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used that $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}$.

### 3.2 Classification in the case of zero divergence

### 3.2.1 Unimodular Lie groups

Proposition 3.3 If $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta=0\right)$ is a divergence-free generalized Einstein structure on an oriented three-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$, then there exists a $g$ orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $g\left(v_{1}, v_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ and such that the symmetric endomorphism $L$ defined in equation (23) is either of the form $L_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, that is $L$ is diagonalizable by an orthonormal basis, or of one of the forms $L_{3}(0,0)$ or $L_{4}(0,0)$. In the nondiagonalizable case, the three-form $H$ is zero.

Proof In the Euclidean case, any symmetric endomorphism is always diagonalizable by an orthonormal basis. So we may assume that the scalar product is indefinite. By Proposition 3.2, there is an orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$, such that the endomorphism $L$ takes one of the normal forms $L_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), L_{2}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), L_{3}(\alpha, \beta), L_{4}(\alpha, \beta)$, or $L_{5}(\alpha)$ from said proposition. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can treat all cases at once by considering the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & \lambda & 0 \\
\lambda & \beta & \mu \\
0 & -\mu & \gamma
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Recall that we assume $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=-\varepsilon_{3}$, where $\varepsilon_{a}=g\left(v_{a}, v_{a}\right)$. Using equation (25), we obtain the structure constants $\kappa_{a b c}=\varepsilon_{c} \kappa_{a b}^{c}$ of the Lie algebra in the following way.

The bracket is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_{12}^{a} v_{a}=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]=\varepsilon_{3} L v_{3}=\varepsilon_{3} \mu v_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \gamma v_{3}=-\varepsilon_{2} \mu v_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \gamma v_{3}, \\
& \kappa_{23}^{a} v_{a}=\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]=\varepsilon_{1} L v_{1}=\varepsilon_{1} \alpha v_{1}+\varepsilon_{1} \lambda v_{2}=\varepsilon_{1} \alpha v_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \lambda v_{2}, \\
& \kappa_{31}^{a} v_{a}=\left[v_{3}, v_{1}\right]=\varepsilon_{2} L v_{2}=\varepsilon_{2} \lambda v_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \beta v_{2}-\varepsilon_{2} \mu v_{3}=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda v_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \beta v_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mu v_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\kappa_{121}=0, & \kappa_{122}=\varepsilon_{2} \kappa_{12}^{2}=-\mu, & \kappa_{123}=\varepsilon_{3} \kappa_{12}^{3}=\gamma \\
\kappa_{231}=\varepsilon_{1} \kappa_{23}^{1}=\alpha, & \kappa_{232}=\varepsilon_{2} \kappa_{23}^{2}=\lambda, & \kappa_{233}=0 \\
\kappa_{311}=\varepsilon_{1} \kappa_{31}^{1}=\lambda, & \kappa_{312}=\varepsilon_{2} \kappa_{31}^{2}=\beta, & \kappa_{313}=\varepsilon_{3} \kappa_{31}^{3}=\mu
\end{array}
$$

The remaining structure constants are determined by the skew-symmetry of $\kappa_{a b c}$ in the first two components.

By Proposition 2.26, the Dorfman coefficients are given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{145}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{112}-\kappa_{112}+\kappa_{121}-\kappa_{211}\right)=\kappa_{121}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{146}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{113}-\kappa_{113}+\kappa_{131}-\kappa_{311}\right)=-\kappa_{311}=-\lambda, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{156}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{123}-\kappa_{123}+\kappa_{231}-\kappa_{312}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h-\gamma+\alpha-\beta), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{245}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{212}-\kappa_{212}+\kappa_{122}-\kappa_{221}\right)=\kappa_{122}=-\mu, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{246}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{213}-\kappa_{213}+\kappa_{132}-\kappa_{321}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(-h+\gamma-\beta+\alpha), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{256}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{223}-\kappa_{223}+\kappa_{232}-\kappa_{322}\right)=\kappa_{232}=\lambda, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{345}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{312}-\kappa_{312}+\kappa_{123}-\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h-\beta+\gamma-\alpha), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{346}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{313}-\kappa_{313}+\kappa_{133}-\kappa_{331}\right)=-\kappa_{313}=-\mu, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{356}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{323}-\kappa_{323}+\kappa_{233}-\kappa_{332}\right)=\kappa_{233}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{412}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{112}+\kappa_{112}-\kappa_{121}+\kappa_{211}\right)=-\kappa_{121}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{413}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{113}+\kappa_{113}-\kappa_{131}+\kappa_{311}\right)=\kappa_{311}=\lambda, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{423}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{123}+\kappa_{123}-\kappa_{231}+\kappa_{312}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h+\gamma-\alpha+\beta), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{512}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{212}+\kappa_{212}-\kappa_{122}+\kappa_{221}\right)=-\kappa_{122}=\mu, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{513}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{213}+\kappa_{213}-\kappa_{132}+\kappa_{321}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(-h-\gamma+\beta-\alpha), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{523}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{223}+\kappa_{223}-\kappa_{232}+\kappa_{322}\right)=-\kappa_{232}=-\lambda,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{612}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{312}+\kappa_{312}-\kappa_{123}+\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h+\beta-\gamma+\alpha), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{613}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{313}+\kappa_{313}-\kappa_{133}+\kappa_{331}\right)=\kappa_{313}=\mu, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{623}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{323}+\kappa_{323}-\kappa_{233}+\kappa_{332}\right)=-\kappa_{233}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, Theorem 2.25 allows us to compute the Ricci curvature (for zero divergence $\delta$ ) with respect to the orthonormal basis $\left(e_{A}\right)=\left(e_{a}, e_{i}\right), e_{a}=v_{a}+g v_{a}, e_{i}=v_{i^{\prime}}-g v_{i^{\prime}}$, of $E=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i a}=\sum_{j, b} \mathcal{B}_{b i}^{j} \mathcal{B}_{a j}^{b}=\sum_{j, b} \mathcal{B}_{b i j} \mathcal{B}_{a j b}\left(-\varepsilon_{j^{\prime}}\right) \varepsilon_{b}=\sum_{j, b} \mathcal{B}_{b i j} \mathcal{B}_{j a b} \varepsilon_{j^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{b}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used that $\left\langle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle=-\left\langle e_{i^{\prime}}, e_{i^{\prime}}\right\rangle=-\varepsilon_{i^{\prime}}$ and the standard index ranges $a, b \in\{1,2,3\}, i, j \in\{4,5,6\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{41}= & \mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{512} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{513} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{612} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{613} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
= & \mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{512}-\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{513}-\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{612}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{613} \\
= & -\mu^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(h-\beta+\gamma-\alpha)(-h-\gamma+\beta-\alpha) \\
& -\frac{1}{4}(-h+\gamma-\beta+\alpha)(h+\beta-\gamma+\alpha)-\mu^{2} \\
= & -2 \mu^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\alpha^{2}-(h-(\beta-\gamma))^{2}+\alpha^{2}-(h+(\beta-\gamma))^{2}\right) \\
= & -2 \mu^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\gamma)^{2}, \\
R_{42}= & \mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{521} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{523} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{621} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{623} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
= & 0-\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{523}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{612}+0 \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-\beta+\gamma-\alpha)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+\beta-\gamma+\alpha) \\
= & -\lambda(\beta-\gamma+\alpha), \\
R_{43}= & \mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{531} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{532} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{631} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{632} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2} \\
= & 0-\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{523}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{613}+0 \\
= & -2 \mu \lambda, \\
R_{51}= & \mathcal{B}_{254} \mathcal{B}_{412} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{354} \mathcal{B}_{413} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{612} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{613} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
= & 0+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{413}-\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{612}+0 \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-\beta+\gamma-\alpha)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+\beta-\gamma+\alpha) \\
= & -\lambda(\beta-\gamma+\alpha), \\
R_{52}= & \mathcal{B}_{154} \mathcal{B}_{421} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{354} \mathcal{B}_{423} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{621} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{623} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
= & 0+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{612}+0 \\
= & \frac{1}{4}(h-\beta+\gamma-\alpha)(h+\gamma-\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{4}(h-\gamma+\alpha-\beta)(h+\beta-\gamma+\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{4}\left((h+(\gamma-\alpha))^{2}-\beta^{2}+(h-(\gamma-\alpha))^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\alpha)^{2}, \\
R_{53}= & \mathcal{B}_{154} \mathcal{B}_{431} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{254} \mathcal{B}_{432} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{631} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{632} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2} \\
= & 0+\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{613}+0 \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \mu(h+\gamma-\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \mu(h-\gamma+\alpha-\beta) \\
= & -\mu(\gamma-\alpha+\beta), \\
R_{61}= & \mathcal{B}_{264} \mathcal{B}_{412} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{364} \mathcal{B}_{413} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{265} \mathcal{B}_{512} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{365} \mathcal{B}_{513} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \\
= & 0+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{413}-\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{512}+0 \\
= & -2 \lambda \mu, \\
R_{62}= & \mathcal{B}_{164} \mathcal{B}_{421} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{364} \mathcal{B}_{423} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{165} \mathcal{B}_{521} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{365} \mathcal{B}_{523} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \\
= & 0+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{512}+0 \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \mu(h+\gamma-\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \mu(h-\gamma+\alpha-\beta) \\
= & -\mu(\gamma-\alpha+\beta), \\
R_{63}= & \mathcal{B}_{164} \mathcal{B}_{431} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{264} \mathcal{B}_{432} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{165} \mathcal{B}_{531} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{265} \mathcal{B}_{532} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2} \\
= & \mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{413}+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{513}+\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{523} \\
= & -\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(-h+\gamma-\beta+\alpha)(h+\gamma-\alpha+\beta) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(h-\gamma+\alpha-\beta)(-h-\gamma+\beta-\alpha)-\lambda^{2} \\
= & -2 \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\gamma^{2}-(h+(\beta-\alpha))^{2}+\gamma^{2}-(h-(\beta-\alpha))^{2}\right) \\
= & -2 \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\alpha)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that the Einstein equations yield a system of homogeneous quadratic equations in the real variables $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda$, and $\mu$.

The normal form $L_{5}(\alpha)$ is excluded by equation $R_{43}=0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
Equation $R_{53}=0$ for the normal form $L_{2}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ reads as

$$
0=\beta(2 \alpha-\gamma) .
$$

If $\beta=0$, then the matrix is diagonal, so assume that $\gamma=2 \alpha$. Then $R_{52}=0$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\gamma)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\alpha \gamma+\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} h^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $h=0$. Therefore, equation $R_{41}=0$ is

$$
0=-2 \beta^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2},
$$

which gives $\beta=\gamma=0$. Hence, $L$ is diagonalizable by an orthonormal basis.
If we consider the normal form $L_{3}(\alpha, \beta)$, the equation $R_{53}=0$ is

$$
0=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-\beta+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}(2 \alpha-\beta),
$$

and hence $2 \alpha=\beta$. Now, the equation for $R_{41}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =-2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\beta^{2}=h^{2}$. Applying this to the equation $R_{52}=0$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-\beta\right)^{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} h^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From that, see $h=0$, and therefore $\alpha=\beta=0$.
A similar computation for $L_{4}(\alpha, \beta)$ shows that the only possibility is $L_{4}(0,0)$ with $h=0$.

Theorem 3.4 Let $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}\right)$ be a divergence-free generalized Einstein structure on an oriented three-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$. If the endomorphism $L \in$ End $\mathfrak{g}$ defined in (24) is diagonalizable, then there exists an oriented $g$-orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}=$ Lie $G$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, h \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[v_{a}, v_{b}\right]=\alpha_{c} \varepsilon_{c} v_{c}, \quad \forall \quad \text { cyclic } \quad(a, b, c) \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}, \quad H=h \operatorname{vol}_{g} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{a}=g\left(v_{a}, v_{a}\right)$ satisfies $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}$. The constants $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, h\right)$ can take the following values:
(1) $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}= \pm h$, in which case $\mathfrak{g}$ is either abelian and $g$ is flat (the case $h=0$ ) or $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ or $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$. The case $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$ occurs precisely when $g$ is definite (and $h \neq 0$ ).
(2) There exists a cyclic permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{\sigma(1)}=\alpha_{\sigma(2)} \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad h=\alpha_{\sigma(3)}=0 .
$$

In this case, $g$ is flat and $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is abelian of dimension 2 , that is, $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian. More precisely, $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{e}(2)(g$ definite on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])$ or $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ ( $g$ indefinite on
$[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])$, where $\mathfrak{e}(p, q)$ denotes the Lie algebra of the isometry group of the pseudoEuclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{p, q}$.
If the endomorphism is not diagonalizable ( $g$ is necessarily indefinite in this case), then $h=0$ and the Lie group $G$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group.
Proof Assume first that $L$ is diagonalizable. Note that the existence of ( $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, h$ ) such that (27) is an immediate consequence of the diagonalizability of $L$. The corresponding structure constants $\kappa_{a b c}$ are given by

$$
\kappa_{a b c}=\alpha_{c}, \quad \forall \quad \text { cyclic } \quad(a, b, c) \in \mathfrak{S}_{3} .
$$

In virtue of Proposition 2.26, this implies the following: ${ }^{2}$
(1) For all $a, b, c \in\{1,2,3\}$,

$$
\mathcal{B}_{a b c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right) \varepsilon_{a b c}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{a b c}=\operatorname{vol}_{g}\left(v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{c}\right)$.
(2) For all $i, j, k \in\{4,5,6\}$,

$$
\mathcal{B}_{i j k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right) \varepsilon_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}
$$

where $i^{\prime}=i-3$ for all $i \in\{4,5,6\}$.
(3) For $a \in\{1,2,3\}$ and $j, k \in\{4,5,6\}$, the coefficients

$$
\mathcal{B}_{a j k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}-\kappa_{a j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}+\kappa_{j^{\prime} k^{\prime} a}-\kappa_{k^{\prime} a j^{\prime}}\right)
$$

are given explicitly by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{156}=-\mathcal{B}_{165}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h-\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)=: \frac{1}{2} X_{1} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{264}=-\mathcal{B}_{246}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)=: \frac{1}{2} X_{2} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{345}=-\mathcal{B}_{354}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}\right)=: \frac{1}{2} X_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

with all other components equal to zero.
(4) For $i \in\{4,5,6\}$ and $b, c \in\{1,2,3\}$, the coefficients

$$
\mathcal{B}_{i b c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{i^{\prime} b c}+\kappa_{i^{\prime} b c}-\kappa_{b c i^{\prime}}+\kappa_{c i^{\prime} b}\right)
$$

are given explicitly by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{423}=-\mathcal{B}_{432}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)=: \frac{1}{2} Y_{1}, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{531}=-\mathcal{B}_{513}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h+\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)=: \frac{1}{2} Y_{2}, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{612}=-\mathcal{B}_{621}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{1}\right)=: \frac{1}{2} Y_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

with all other components equal to zero.

[^2]From these formulas and equation (26), we can now compute the components

$$
R_{i a}=\sum_{j, b} \mathcal{B}_{b i j} \mathcal{B}_{j a b} \varepsilon_{j^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{b}
$$

of the Ricci curvature (for zero divergence $\delta=0$ ) with respect to the orthonormal basis $\left(e_{A}\right)=\left(e_{a}, e_{i}\right), e_{a}=v_{a}+g v_{a}, e_{i}=v_{i^{\prime}}-g v_{i^{\prime}}$, of $E=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}$. Explicitly, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{41}=\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{612} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{513} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}=-\frac{\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}}{4}\left(X_{2} Y_{3}+X_{3} Y_{2}\right), \\
& R_{52}=\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{621} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{354} \mathcal{B}_{423} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}=-\frac{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}}{4}\left(X_{1} Y_{3}+X_{3} Y_{1}\right), \\
& R_{63}=\mathcal{B}_{264} \mathcal{B}_{432} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{165} \mathcal{B}_{531} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}=-\frac{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}{4}\left(X_{1} Y_{2}+X_{2} Y_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with all other components equal to zero. We conclude that the generalized Einstein equations reduce to a system of three homogeneous quadratic equations in the variables $X_{a}$ and $Y_{a}$ :

$$
X_{1} Y_{2}+X_{2} Y_{1}=X_{1} Y_{3}+X_{3} Y_{1}=X_{2} Y_{3}+X_{3} Y_{2}=0
$$

A priori, we can distinguish four types of solutions depending on how many components of the vector $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ are equal to zero: $0,1,2$, or 3 .

Solutions of type 0: $X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} \neq 0$ implies $Y_{1}=Y_{2}=Y_{3}=0$ and finally

$$
\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=-h \neq 0 .
$$

In this case, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ or $\mathfrak{s o}$ (3). The latter case happens if and only if the metric $g$ is definite.

Solutions of type 1: assume, for example, that $X_{1} X_{2} \neq 0, X_{3}=0$. This implies that $Y_{3}=0$ and, hence, $\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$ and $h=0$. But then, the equation $X_{1} Y_{2}+X_{2} Y_{1}=0$ reduces to $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=0$, which is inconsistent with $X_{1} X_{2} \neq 0$. This shows that solutions of type 1 do not exist.

Solutions of type 2: assume, for example, that $X_{1} \neq 0, X_{2}=X_{3}=0$. This implies $Y_{2}=Y_{3}=0$ and finally $h=\alpha_{1}=0, \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3} \neq 0$. So the solutions of type 2 are of the following form. There exists a cyclic permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{\sigma(1)}=\alpha_{\sigma(2)} \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad h=\alpha_{\sigma(3)}=0 .
$$

We conclude, for solutions of type 2, that $g$ is flat (see Corollary 2.31) and $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian. $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}\right\}$ is two-dimensional and $\operatorname{ad}_{v_{\sigma(3)}}$ acts on it by a nonzero $g$-skew-symmetric endomorphism. This implies that $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{e}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$.

Solutions of type 3: assume $X_{1}=X_{2}=X_{3}=0$. This implies

$$
\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=h
$$

In this case, $\mathfrak{g}$ is either abelian and $g$ is flat (the case $h=0$ again by Corollary 2.31) or $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ or $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$, as for type 0 .

If $L$ is not diagonalizable, then $g$ is indefinite and there exists an orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)_{a}$ with $g\left(v_{1}, v_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)=-g\left(v_{3}, v_{3}\right)$ such that $L$ is either of the form $L_{3}(0,0)$
or $L_{4}(0,0)$, and $h=0$ by Proposition 3.3. We consider first the case $L_{3}(0,0)$. To prove that $G$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group, we show, using equation (25), that the generators $P:=v_{1}, Q:=v_{2}+v_{3}$ and $R:=\varepsilon_{2}\left(v_{3}-v_{2}\right)$ of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfy the relations $[P, Q]=R$ and $[P, R]=[Q, R]=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[P, Q] } & =\left[v_{1}, v_{2}+v_{3}\right]=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]-\left[v_{3}, v_{1}\right] \\
& =\varepsilon_{3} L v_{3}-\varepsilon_{2} L v_{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{3} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{3} v_{3}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} v_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} v_{3} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} v_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} v_{3}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} v_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} v_{3} \\
& =\varepsilon_{2}\left(v_{3}-v_{2}\right) \\
& =R, \\
{[P, R] } & =\left[v_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\left(v_{3}-v_{2}\right)\right]=-\varepsilon_{2}\left[v_{3}, v_{1}\right]-\varepsilon_{2}\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right] \\
& =-\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2} L v_{2}-\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} L v_{3} \\
& =-L v_{2}+L v_{3} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} v_{2}+\frac{1}{2} v_{3}+\frac{1}{2} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} v_{3} \\
& =0, \\
{[Q, R] } & =\left[v_{2}+v_{3}, \varepsilon_{2}\left(v_{3}-v_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\varepsilon_{2}\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]-\varepsilon_{2}\left[v_{3}, v_{2}\right] \\
& =2 \varepsilon_{2}\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right] \\
& =2 \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1} L v_{1} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case that $L$ takes the form $L_{4}(0,0)$, we see analogously that the generators $P=v_{1}, Q=v_{2}+v_{3}$ and $R=\varepsilon_{2}\left(v_{2}-v_{3}\right)$ satisfy the relations $[P, Q]=R$ and $[P, R]=$ $[Q, R]=0$.

### 3.2.2 Nonunimodular Lie groups

We assume now that the Lie group $G$ is not unimodular. Let $\mathfrak{u}:=\left\{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \operatorname{trad}_{x}=0\right\}$ be the unimodular kernel of $\mathfrak{g}$. It can be easily checked that $\mathfrak{u}$ is a two-dimensional abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, containing the commutator ideal $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. This means that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semidirect product of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by an endomorphism with nonzero trace. For details on the classification of nonunimodular, three-dimensional Lie algebras in terms of the Jordan normal form of this endomorphism, we refer to [GOV, Chapter 7, Theorem 1.4].

We first treat the case that the restriction $\left.g\right|_{\mathfrak{u} \times \mathfrak{u}}$ of the metric $g$ to $u$ is nondegenerate.
Proposition 3.5 Let $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta=0\right)$ be a divergence-free generalized Einstein structure on a three-dimensional nonunimodular Lie group $G$. Let $\mathfrak{u}$ be the unimodular kernel of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and assume that $\left.g\right|_{\mathfrak{u x u}}$ is nondegenerate. Then $H=0$ and $g$ is indefinite.

Furthermore, there exists an orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, g)$ such that $v_{1}, v_{3} \in \mathfrak{u}$ and $g\left(v_{1}, v_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)=-g\left(v_{3}, v_{3}\right)$ and a positive constant $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[v_{1}, v_{3}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{1}\right]=\theta v_{1}-\theta v_{3},} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]=\theta v_{1}+\theta v_{3} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof A $g$-orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)_{a}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $v_{1}, v_{3} \in \mathfrak{u}$ exists, because $\left.g\right|_{\mathfrak{u} \times \mathfrak{u}}$ is nondegenerate. Since $\mathfrak{u}$ is an abelian ideal, there are $\lambda, \mu, v, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[v_{3}, v_{1}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{1}\right]=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda v_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mu v_{3},} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]=\varepsilon_{1} v v_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \rho v_{3},}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $0 \neq \operatorname{trad}_{v_{2}}=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda+\varepsilon_{3} \rho$. Using $\lambda=\kappa_{211}, \mu=\kappa_{213}, \nu=\kappa_{231}$ and $\rho=\kappa_{233}$, we can compute the Dorfman coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{145}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{112}-\kappa_{112}+\kappa_{121}-\kappa_{211}\right)=-\kappa_{211}=-\lambda, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{146}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{113}-\kappa_{113}+\kappa_{131}-\kappa_{311}\right)=-\kappa_{311}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{156}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{123}-\kappa_{123}+\kappa_{231}-\kappa_{312}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(h+\kappa_{213}+\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h+\mu+v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{245}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{212}-\kappa_{212}+\kappa_{122}-\kappa_{221}\right)=-\kappa_{212}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{246}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{213}-\kappa_{213}+\kappa_{132}-\kappa_{321}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-h-\kappa_{213}+\kappa_{231}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}(h+\mu-v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{256}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{223}-\kappa_{223}+\kappa_{232}-\kappa_{322}\right)=\kappa_{232}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{345}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{312}-\kappa_{312}+\kappa_{123}-\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(h-\kappa_{213}-\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h-\mu-v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{346}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{313}-\kappa_{313}+\kappa_{133}-\kappa_{331}\right)=-\kappa_{313}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{356}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{323}-\kappa_{323}+\kappa_{233}-\kappa_{332}\right)=\kappa_{233}=\rho, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{412}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{112}+\kappa_{112}-\kappa_{121}+\kappa_{211}\right)=\kappa_{211}=\lambda, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{413}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{113}+\kappa_{113}-\kappa_{131}+\kappa_{311}\right)=\kappa_{311}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{423}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{123}+\kappa_{123}-\kappa_{231}+\kappa_{312}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(h-\kappa_{213}-\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h-\mu-v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{512}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{212}+\kappa_{212}-\kappa_{122}+\kappa_{221}\right)=\kappa_{212}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{513}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{213}+\kappa_{213}-\kappa_{132}+\kappa_{321}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-h+\kappa_{213}-\kappa_{231}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}(h-\mu+v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{523}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{223}+\kappa_{223}-\kappa_{232}+\kappa_{322}\right)=-\kappa_{232}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{612}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{312}+\kappa_{312}-\kappa_{123}+\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(h+\kappa_{213}+\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h+\mu+v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{613}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{313}+\kappa_{313}-\kappa_{133}+\kappa_{331}\right)=\kappa_{313}=0, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{623}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{323}+\kappa_{323}-\kappa_{233}+\kappa_{332}\right)=-\kappa_{233}=-\rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove that the case $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{3}$ cannot occur, we compute using equation (26),

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{52} & =\mathcal{B}_{154} \mathcal{B}_{421} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{354} \mathcal{B}_{423} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{621} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{623} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{412}-\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{423}-\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{612}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{623} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(h-\mu-v)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(h+\mu+v)^{2}-\rho^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used that $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{3}$. But this can only be zero if $\lambda=\rho=0$, which contradicts $0 \neq \operatorname{trad}_{v_{2}}=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda+\varepsilon_{3} \rho$. This proves that $\varepsilon_{1}=-\varepsilon_{3}$. Hence, we can assume that the basis is chosen such that $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=-\varepsilon_{3}$.

In this case, the components of the Ricci curvature are

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{41} & =\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{512} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{513} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{612} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{613} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =0-\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{513}-\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{612}+0 \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(h-\mu-v)(h-\mu+v)+\frac{1}{4}(h+\mu-v)(h+\mu+v) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left((h-\mu)^{2}-v^{2}+(h+\mu)^{2}-v^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{2}+\mu^{2}-v^{2}\right), \\
R_{42} & =\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{521} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{523} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{621} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{623} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =0, \\
R_{43} & =\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{531} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{532} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{631} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{632} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2} \\
& =-\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{513}+0+0+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{623} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-\mu+v)+\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+\mu-v), \\
R_{51} & =\mathcal{B}_{254} \mathcal{B}_{412} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{354} \mathcal{B}_{413} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{612} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{613} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =0, \\
R_{52} & =\mathcal{B}_{154} \mathcal{B}_{421} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{354} \mathcal{B}_{423} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{621} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{623} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{412}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{612}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{623} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(h-\mu-v)^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(h+\mu+v)^{2}-\rho^{2} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\mu+v)^{2}-\rho^{2}, \\
R_{53} & =\mathcal{B}_{154} \mathcal{B}_{431} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{254} \mathcal{B}_{432} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{631} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{632} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2} \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{61} & =\mathcal{B}_{264} \mathcal{B}_{412} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{364} \mathcal{B}_{413} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{265} \mathcal{B}_{512} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{365} \mathcal{B}_{513} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =-\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{412}+0+0+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{513} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+\mu-v)-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-\mu+v), \\
R_{62} & =\mathcal{B}_{164} \mathcal{B}_{421} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{364} \mathcal{B}_{423} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{165} \mathcal{B}_{521} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{365} \mathcal{B}_{523} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =0, \\
R_{63} & =\mathcal{B}_{164} \mathcal{B}_{431} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{264} \mathcal{B}_{432} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{165} \mathcal{B}_{531} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{265} \mathcal{B}_{532} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2} \\
& =0+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{513}+0 \\
& =-\frac{1}{4}(h+\mu-v)(h-\mu-v)-\frac{1}{4}(h+\mu+v)(h-\mu+v) \\
& =-\frac{1}{4}\left((h-v)^{2}-\mu^{2}+(h+v)^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{2}+v^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Imposing the Einstein condition, we see from the equations $R_{41}+R_{63}=0$ and $R_{41}-$ $R_{63}=0$, that $h^{2}=0$ and $\mu^{2}=v^{2}$. If $\mu=-v$, then $R_{52}=0$ reads as $0=-\lambda^{2}-\rho^{2}$, hence $\lambda=\rho=0$, which contradicts $0 \neq \operatorname{trad}_{v_{2}}=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda+\varepsilon_{3} \rho$. Therefore, $\mu=v$ and, from $R_{52}=0$,

$$
2 \mu^{2}=\lambda^{2}+\rho^{2}
$$

In particular, $\mu \neq 0$, due to $0 \neq \operatorname{trad}_{v_{2}}=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda+\varepsilon_{3} \rho$. Note now that $\mu=v$ implies that the endomorphism $M \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{u})$, defined as the restriction of $\operatorname{ad}_{v_{2}}$ to $\mathfrak{u}$, is symmetric. A simple consequence of [CEHL, Lemma 2.2] (compare Proposition 3.2) is that there exists an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{u}$ such that $M$ is represented by one of the matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}(\theta, \eta) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\theta & 0 \\
0 & \eta
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{2}(\theta, \eta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta & -\eta \\
\eta & \theta
\end{array}\right), \\
M_{3}(\theta) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2}+\theta & \frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}+\theta
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{4}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{2}+\theta & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}+\theta
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

in this basis. We may assume that the basis $v_{1}, v_{3}$ of $\mathfrak{u}$ is chosen such that $M$ takes one of these normal forms with respect to $v_{1}, v_{3}$. We see that $M_{1}(\theta, \eta)$ is excluded by the condition $\mu \neq 0$. Applying $2 \mu^{2}=\lambda^{2}+\rho^{2}$ to the normal form $M_{3}(\theta)$ yields

$$
2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\theta\right)^{2}+\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\theta\right)^{2}=2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\theta^{2}
$$

Hence, $\theta=0$, which contradicts $\operatorname{trad}_{v_{2}} \neq 0$. For the same reason, $M$ also cannot have the normal form $M_{4}(\theta)$. In the remaining case $M_{2}(\theta, \eta)$, the equation $2 \mu^{2}=\lambda^{2}+\rho^{2}$ reads as $2(-\eta)^{2}=\theta^{2}+(-\theta)^{2}$. Therefore, $\eta= \pm \theta$. Furthermore, $\eta \neq 0$ because $\mu \neq 0$. Hence, the only two normal forms are

$$
M_{2}(\theta, \theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta & -\theta \\
\theta & \theta
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{2}(\theta,-\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta & \theta \\
-\theta & \theta
\end{array}\right), \quad \theta \neq 0 .
$$

Replacing $v_{1}$ by $-v_{1}$ (exchanging $M_{2}(\theta, \theta)$ with $M_{2}(\theta,-\theta)$ ) and $v_{2}$ by $-v_{2}$ (replacing $\theta$ by $-\theta$ ), if necessary, we obtain the claimed equations for $\theta>0$.

Remark 3.6 Note that, while all the occurring Lie algebras in the previous proposition are nonisomorphic as metric Lie algebras, they are isomorphic as Lie algebras. They are a semidirect product of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by the endomorphism $\left.\operatorname{ad}_{v_{2}}\right|_{u}$, which has nonreal and nonimaginary eigenvalues $(1+i) \theta$ and $(1-i) \theta$. This corresponds to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{r}_{3,1}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ in the notation of [GOV, Chapter 7, Theorem 1.4].

Proposition 3.7 There is no divergence-free generalized Einstein structure ( $H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta=$ 0 ) on a three-dimensional nonunimodular Lie group $G$ such that $g$ is degenerate on the unimodular kernel $\mathfrak{u}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof Note first that the metric $g$ necessarily has to be indefinite. We define $\varepsilon:=1$ if the signature of $g$ is $(2,1)$ and $\varepsilon:=-1$ if it is $(1,2)$. Note that in both cases, there is a two-dimensional subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ on which $\varepsilon g$ is positive definite. Taking the intersection with $\mathfrak{u}$, we obtain a one-dimensional subspace generated by a vector $w_{1}$ such that $g\left(w_{1}, w_{1}\right)=\varepsilon$. Next, we choose a generator $w_{2}$ of the kernel of $\left.g\right|_{\mathfrak{u x u}}$ and a null vector $w_{3}$ orthogonal to $w_{1}$ such that $g\left(w_{2}, w_{3}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Summarizing, we obtain a basis $\left(w_{a}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(w_{1}, w_{1}\right)=\varepsilon, g\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=g\left(w_{1}, w_{3}\right)=g\left(w_{2}, w_{2}\right)=g\left(w_{3}, w_{3}\right)=0, g\left(w_{2}, w_{3}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathfrak{u}$. Denote by $\theta_{a b}^{c}$ the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the basis $\left(w_{a}\right),\left[w_{a}, w_{b}\right]=$ $\theta_{a b}^{c} w_{c}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[w_{3}, w_{1}\right]=\theta_{31}^{1} w_{1}+\theta_{31}^{2} w_{2},} \\
& {\left[w_{3}, w_{2}\right]=\theta_{32}^{1} w_{1}+\theta_{32}^{2} w_{2},}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $0 \neq \operatorname{trad}_{w_{3}}=\theta_{31}^{1}+\theta_{32}^{2}$. The basis $v_{1}:=w_{1}, v_{2}:=w_{2}+w_{3}, v_{3}:=w_{2}-w_{3}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is orthonormal with respect to $g$ satisfying $g\left(v_{1}, v_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)=-g\left(v_{3}, v_{3}\right)$. If we define $\lambda:=-\varepsilon_{1} \theta_{31}^{1}, \mu:=-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2} \theta_{31}^{2}, v:=-\varepsilon_{1} 2 \theta_{32}^{1}$ and $\rho:=-\varepsilon_{2} \theta_{32}^{2}$, where $\varepsilon_{a}=g\left(v_{a}, v_{a}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{12}^{c} v_{c}=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right] & =\left[w_{1}, w_{2}+w_{3}\right]=-\left[w_{3}, w_{1}\right] \\
& =-\theta_{31}^{1} w_{1}-\theta_{31}^{2} w_{2} \\
& =-\theta_{31}^{1} v_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \theta_{31}^{2} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \theta_{31}^{2} v_{3} \\
& =\varepsilon_{1} \lambda v_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mu v_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \mu v_{3}, \\
\kappa_{23}^{c} v_{c}=\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right] & =\left[w_{2}+w_{3}, w_{2}-w_{3}\right]=-2\left[w_{3}, w_{2}\right] \\
& =-2 \theta_{32}^{1} w_{1}-2 \theta_{32}^{2} w_{2} \\
& =-2 \theta_{32}^{1} v_{1}-\theta_{32}^{2} v_{2}-\theta_{32}^{2} v_{3} \\
& =\varepsilon_{1} v v_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho v_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \rho v_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{31}^{c} v_{c}=\left[v_{3}, v_{1}\right] & =\left[w_{2}-w_{3}, w_{1}\right]=-\left[w_{3}, w_{1}\right] \\
& =-\theta_{31}^{1} w_{1}-\theta_{31}^{2} w_{2} \\
& =-\theta_{31}^{1} v_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \theta_{31}^{2} v_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \theta_{31}^{2} v_{3} \\
& =\varepsilon_{1} \lambda v_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mu v_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \mu v_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\lambda+\rho \neq 0$. Hence, the structure constants $\kappa_{a b c}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\left(v_{a}\right)_{a}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\kappa_{121}=\lambda, & \kappa_{122}=\mu, & \kappa_{123}=-\mu, \\
\kappa_{231}=v, & \kappa_{232}=\rho, & \kappa_{233}=-\rho, \\
\kappa_{311}=\lambda, & \kappa_{312}=\mu, & \kappa_{313}=-\mu .
\end{array}
$$

Now, the Dorfman coefficients are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{145}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{112}-\kappa_{112}+\kappa_{121}-\kappa_{211}\right)=\kappa_{121}=\lambda, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{146}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{113}-\kappa_{113}+\kappa_{131}-\kappa_{311}\right)=-\kappa_{311}=-\lambda, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{156}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{123}-\kappa_{123}+\kappa_{231}-\kappa_{312}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h+\mu+v-\mu)=\frac{1}{2}(h+v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{245}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{212}-\kappa_{212}+\kappa_{122}-\kappa_{221}\right)=\kappa_{122}=\mu, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{246}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{213}-\kappa_{213}+\kappa_{132}-\kappa_{321}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(-h-\mu-\mu+v)=-\frac{1}{2}(h+2 \mu-v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{256}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{223}-\kappa_{223}+\kappa_{232}-\kappa_{322}\right)=\kappa_{232}=\rho, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{345}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{312}-\kappa_{312}+\kappa_{123}-\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h-\mu-\mu-v)=\frac{1}{2}(h-2 \mu-v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{346}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{313}-\kappa_{313}+\kappa_{133}-\kappa_{331}\right)=-\kappa_{313}=\mu, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{356}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{323}-\kappa_{323}+\kappa_{233}-\kappa_{332}\right)=\kappa_{233}=-\rho, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{412}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{112}+\kappa_{112}-\kappa_{121}+\kappa_{211}\right)=-\kappa_{121}=-\lambda, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{413}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{113}+\kappa_{113}-\kappa_{131}+\kappa_{311}\right)=\kappa_{311}=\lambda, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{423}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{123}+\kappa_{123}-\kappa_{231}+\kappa_{312}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h-\mu-v+\mu)=\frac{1}{2}(h-v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{512}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{212}+\kappa_{212}-\kappa_{122}+\kappa_{221}\right)=-\kappa_{122}=-\mu, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{513}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{213}+\kappa_{213}-\kappa_{132}+\kappa_{321}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(-h+\mu+\mu-v)=-\frac{1}{2}(h-2 \mu+v), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{523}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{223}+\kappa_{223}-\kappa_{232}+\kappa_{322}\right)=-\kappa_{232}=-\rho, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{612}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{312}+\kappa_{312}-\kappa_{123}+\kappa_{231}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(h+\mu+\mu+v)=\frac{1}{2}(h+2 \mu+v),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{613}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{313}+\kappa_{313}-\kappa_{133}+\kappa_{331}\right)=\kappa_{313}=-\mu \\
& \mathcal{B}_{623}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{323}+\kappa_{323}-\kappa_{233}+\kappa_{332}\right)=-\kappa_{233}=\rho
\end{aligned}
$$

By equation (26), the components of the generalized Ricci curvature are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{41}=\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{512} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{513} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{612} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{613} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{512}-\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{513}-\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{612}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{613} \\
& =-\mu^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(h-2 \mu-v)(h-2 \mu+v)+\frac{1}{4}(h+2 \mu-v)(h+2 \mu-v)-\mu^{2} \\
& =-2 \mu^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left((h-2 \mu)^{2}-v^{2}+(h+2 \mu)^{2}-v^{2}\right) \\
& =-2 \mu^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(2 \mu)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \text {, } \\
& R_{42}=\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{521} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{523} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{621} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{623} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =-\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{512}-\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{523}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{612}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{623} \\
& =\lambda \mu+\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-2 \mu-v)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+2 \mu+v)+\rho \mu \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-v)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+v), \\
& R_{43}=\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{531} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{532} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{631} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{632} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2} \\
& =-\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{513}-\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{523}+\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{613}+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{623} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-2 \mu+v)+\mu \rho+\lambda \mu-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+2 \mu-v) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+v)-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-v) \text {, } \\
& R_{51}=\mathcal{B}_{254} \mathcal{B}_{412} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{354} \mathcal{B}_{413} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{612} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{613} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =-\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{412}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{413}-\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{612}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{613} \\
& =\mu \lambda+\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-2 \mu-v)-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+2 \mu+v)+\rho \mu \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(h-v)-\frac{1}{2}(h+v), \\
& R_{52}=\mathcal{B}_{154} \mathcal{B}_{421} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{354} \mathcal{B}_{423} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{621} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{623} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{412}+\mathcal{B}_{345} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{612}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{623} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(h-2 \mu-v)(h-v)+\frac{1}{4}(h+v)(h+2 \mu+v)-\rho^{2} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(h-v)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \mu(h-v)+\frac{1}{4}(h+v)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mu(h+v)-\rho^{2} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+\mu v-\rho^{2}, \\
& R_{53}=\mathcal{B}_{154} \mathcal{B}_{431} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{254} \mathcal{B}_{432} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{631} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{632} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathcal{B}_{145} \mathcal{B}_{413}+\mathcal{B}_{245} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{613}+\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{623} \\
& =\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mu(h-v)-\frac{1}{2} \mu(h+v)+\rho^{2} \\
& =\lambda^{2}-\mu v+\rho^{2}, \\
R_{61} & =\mathcal{B}_{264} \mathcal{B}_{412} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{364} \mathcal{B}_{413} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{265} \mathcal{B}_{512} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{365} \mathcal{B}_{513} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =-\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{412}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{413}-\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{512}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{513} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+2 \mu-v)+\mu \lambda+\mu \rho+\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-2 \mu+v) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-v)+\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+v), \\
R_{62} & =\mathcal{B}_{164} \mathcal{B}_{421} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{364} \mathcal{B}_{423} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}+\mathcal{B}_{165} \mathcal{B}_{521} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{365} \mathcal{B}_{523} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \\
& =\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{412}+\mathcal{B}_{346} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{512}+\mathcal{B}_{356} \mathcal{B}_{523} \\
& =\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mu(h-v)-\frac{1}{2} \mu(h+v)+\rho^{2} \\
& =\lambda^{2}-\mu v+\rho^{2}, \\
R_{63} & =\mathcal{B}_{164} \mathcal{B}_{431} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{264} \mathcal{B}_{432} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{165} \mathcal{B}_{531} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{265} \mathcal{B}_{532} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{2} \\
& =\mathcal{B}_{146} \mathcal{B}_{413}+\mathcal{B}_{246} \mathcal{B}_{423}+\mathcal{B}_{156} \mathcal{B}_{513}+\mathcal{B}_{256} \mathcal{B}_{523} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(h+2 \mu-v)(h-v)-\frac{1}{4}(h+v)(h-2 \mu+v)-\rho^{2} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(h-v)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \mu(h-v)-\frac{1}{4}(h+v)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mu(h+v)-\rho^{2} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+\mu v-\rho^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we impose the Einstein condition, we see that $0=R_{52}-R_{63}=h^{2}+v^{2}$, hence $h=$ $v=0$. Therefore, the equation $R_{63}=0$ reads as $0=-\lambda^{2}-\rho^{2}$. This implies $\lambda=\rho=0$, which is a contradiction to $\lambda+\rho \neq 0$.

We summarize this by the following theorem.
Theorem 3.8 Let $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta=0\right)$ be a divergence-free generalized Einstein structure on a three-dimensional nonunimodular Lie group $G$. Then $H=0$ and $g$ is indefinite. Furthermore, there exists an orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, g)$ such that $v_{1}, v_{3} \in \mathfrak{u}$ and $g\left(v_{1}, v_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)=-g\left(v_{3}, v_{3}\right)$ as well as a positive constant $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[v_{1}, v_{3}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{1}\right]=\theta v_{1}-\theta v_{3},} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]=\theta v_{1}+\theta v_{3} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

The metric $g$ is a Ricci soliton which is not of constant curvature.
Proof It remains to prove the last statement. The fact that $g$ is a Ricci soliton is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.30. To see that the metric is nonflat, it suffices to
check that $\nabla \tau \neq 0$. Since $\tau=2 \theta v_{2}^{*}$, where ( $v_{a}^{*}$ ) denotes the dual basis, it suffices to compute $\nabla v_{2}$ :

$$
g\left(\nabla_{v_{1}} v_{2}, v_{1}\right)=g\left(\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right], v_{1}\right)=-\theta \varepsilon_{1} \neq 0
$$

Similarly, $\nabla_{v_{2}} v_{2}=0$ shows that $g$ is neither of nonzero constant curvature.
Corollary 3.9 If the metric is definite, there are no solutions to the Ricci soliton equation (22) in the nonunimodular case.

Remark 3.10 Note that in all our proofs in the unimodular and in the nonunimodular case, we only used that the diagonal components $R_{i i^{\prime}}$ are zero. In particular, the Ricci tensor is zero, if $R_{i i^{\prime}}=0$ for all $i \in\{4,5,6\}$, in the divergence free case.

### 3.3 Arbitrary divergence

Recall that $R_{i a}^{\delta}=\operatorname{Ric} c_{\delta}^{+}\left(e_{i}, e_{a}\right)$ and $R_{a i}^{\delta}=\operatorname{Ric}_{\delta}^{-}\left(e_{a}, e_{i}\right)$ denote the components of the Ricci curvature tensors $R i c_{\delta}^{ \pm}$of a generalized pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $\left(G, H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ with arbitrary divergence $\delta \in E^{*}$. If $\delta=0$ we often write $R_{i a}=R_{i a}^{0}$ and $R_{a i}=R_{a i}^{0}$. By Theorem 2.25, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{i a}^{\delta}=R_{i a}+\sum_{c} B_{i a}^{c} \delta_{c}=R_{i a}+\sum_{c} \varepsilon_{c} B_{i a c} \delta_{c} \\
& R_{a i}^{\delta}=R_{a i}+\sum_{j} B_{a i}^{j} \delta_{j}=R_{i a}-\sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j^{\prime}} B_{a i j} \delta_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3.1 Unimodular Lie groups

Proposition 3.11 If $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ is a generalized Einstein structure on an oriented threedimensional unimodular Lie group $G$, then there exists a $g$-orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $g\left(v_{1}, v_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ and such that the symmetric endomorphism $L$ defined in equation (23) takes one of the following forms:
(1) $L_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, that is, $L$ is diagonalizable by an orthonormal basis.
(2) $L_{3}(\alpha, 0)$ or $L_{4}(\alpha, 0)$, in both cases $-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}=-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{4}=\alpha$ as well as $\delta_{2}=\delta_{3}$ and $\delta_{5}=$ $\delta_{6}$. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $\delta_{2}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{5}=\delta_{6}=0$.
(3) $L_{5}(0)$ with $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=0$ and $\delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=-\varepsilon_{1} \sqrt{2}$, where $\delta_{a}=\delta\left(v_{a}+g\left(v_{a}\right)\right)$ and $\delta_{i}=\delta\left(v_{i^{\prime}}-g\left(v_{i^{\prime}}\right)\right)$.
Furthermore, in the nondiagonalizable case, the three-form $H$ is always zero (see Proposition 3.2 for the notation of the normal forms of $L$ ).
Proof Since in the Euclidean case, any symmetric endomorphism is always diagonalizable by an orthonormal basis, we may assume that the scalar product is indefinite. By Proposition 3.2, there is an orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$, such that the endomorphism $L$ takes one of the normal forms $L_{1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), L_{2}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), L_{3}(\alpha, \beta), L_{4}(\alpha, \beta)$, or $L_{5}(\alpha)$ from said proposition. As in the proof of Proposition 3.3, we can treat all these cases at once by considering the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & \lambda & 0 \\
\lambda & \beta & \mu \\
0 & -\mu & \gamma
\end{array}\right)
$$

Recall that we assume $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=-\varepsilon_{3}$, where $\varepsilon_{a}=g\left(v_{a}, v_{a}\right)$. Using the Dorfman coefficients and the coefficients of the Ricci curvature with divergence zero from the proof of Proposition 3.3, we can compute the components of the Ricci curvature with divergence $\delta$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{41}^{\delta}=R_{41}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{412} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{413} \delta_{3} \\
& =-2 \mu^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\gamma)^{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \lambda \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{42}^{\delta}=R_{42}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{421} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{423} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\lambda(\beta-\gamma+\alpha)+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h+\gamma-\alpha+\beta) \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{43}^{\delta}=R_{43}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{431} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{432} \delta_{2} \\
& =-2 \mu \lambda-\varepsilon_{1} \lambda \delta_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+\gamma-\alpha+\beta) \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{51}^{\delta}=R_{51}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{512} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{513} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\lambda(\beta-\gamma+\alpha)+\varepsilon_{2} \mu \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(-h-\gamma+\beta-\alpha) \delta_{3} \text {, } \\
& R_{52}^{\delta}=R_{52}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{521} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{523} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\alpha)^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{1}-\varepsilon_{3} \lambda \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{53}^{\delta}=R_{53}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{531} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{532} \delta_{2} \\
& =-\mu(\gamma-\alpha+\beta)-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(-h-\gamma+\beta-\alpha) \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \lambda \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{61}^{\delta}=R_{61}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{612} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{613} \delta_{3} \\
& =-2 \lambda \mu+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+\beta-\gamma+\alpha) \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mu \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{62}^{\delta}=R_{62}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{621} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{623} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\mu(\gamma-\alpha+\beta)-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h+\beta-\gamma+\alpha) \delta_{1} \text {, } \\
& R_{63}^{\delta}=R_{63}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{631} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{632} \delta_{2} \\
& =-2 \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\alpha)^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{1}, \\
& R_{14}^{\delta}=R_{41}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{145} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{146} \delta_{6} \\
& =-2 \mu^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\gamma)^{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \lambda \delta_{6}, \\
& R_{24}^{\delta}=R_{42}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{245} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{246} \delta_{6} \\
& =-\lambda(\beta-\gamma+\alpha)+\varepsilon_{2} \mu \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(-h+\gamma-\beta+\alpha) \delta_{6}, \\
& R_{34}^{\delta}=R_{43}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{345} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{346} \delta_{6} \\
& =-2 \mu \lambda-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h-\beta+\gamma-\alpha) \delta_{5}+\varepsilon_{3} \mu \delta_{6},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{15}^{\delta} & =R_{51}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{154} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{156} \delta_{6} \\
& =-\lambda(\beta-\gamma+\alpha)-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h-\gamma+\alpha-\beta) \delta_{6}, \\
R_{25}^{\delta} & =R_{52}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{254} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{256} \delta_{6} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\alpha)^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \lambda \delta_{6}, \\
R_{35}^{\delta} & =R_{53}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{354} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{356} \delta_{6} \\
& =-\mu(\gamma-\alpha+\beta)+\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h-\beta+\gamma-\alpha) \delta_{4}, \\
R_{16}^{\delta} & =R_{61}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{164} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{165} \delta_{5} \\
& =-2 \lambda \mu-\varepsilon_{1} \lambda \delta_{4}+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h-\gamma+\alpha-\beta) \delta_{5}, \\
R_{26}^{\delta} & =R_{62}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{264} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{265} \delta_{5} \\
& =-\mu(\gamma-\alpha+\beta)+\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(-h+\gamma-\beta+\alpha) \delta_{4}+\varepsilon_{2} \lambda \delta_{5}, \\
R_{36}^{\delta} & =R_{63}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{364} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{365} \delta_{5} \\
& =-2 \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\alpha)^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the normal form $L_{2}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, the equations for $R i c_{\delta}^{+}$read

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{41}^{\delta}=-2 \beta^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}, \\
& R_{42}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h+2 \alpha-\gamma) \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{43}^{\delta}=-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+2 \alpha-\gamma) \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{51}^{\delta}=-\varepsilon_{2} \beta \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(-h-\gamma) \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{52}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \beta \delta_{1}, \\
& R_{53}^{\delta}=\beta(2 \alpha-\gamma)-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(-h-\gamma) \delta_{1}, \\
& R_{61}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+\gamma) \delta_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \beta \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{62}^{\delta}=\beta(2 \alpha-\gamma)-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h+\gamma) \delta_{1}, \\
& R_{63}^{\delta}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \beta \delta_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Imposing now the Einstein condition, we get $0=R_{53}^{\delta}+R_{62}^{\delta}=2 \beta(2 \alpha-\gamma)$. So either $L$ is diagonalizable, if $\beta=0$, or $2 \alpha=\gamma$. But then, the equation $0=R_{52}^{\delta}-R_{63}^{\delta}$ is

$$
0=-\alpha^{2}+h^{2}+(\alpha-\gamma)^{2}=h^{2}
$$

and hence $h=0$. Applying this to the equation for $R_{41}^{\delta}$ yields $0=-2 \beta^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2}$. Therefore, $\beta=0$ and the endomorphism $L$ is diagonalizable by an orthonormal basis.

If $L$ takes the normal form $L_{3}(\alpha, \beta)$, the components of the Ricci tensor are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{41}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}, \\
& R_{42}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h+2 \alpha-\beta) \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{43}^{\delta}=-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+2 \alpha-\beta) \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{51}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(-h+1-\beta) \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{52}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha-\beta\right)^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}, \\
& R_{53}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2}(2 \alpha-\beta)-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(-h+1-\beta) \delta_{1}, \\
& R_{61}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+1+\beta) \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{62}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2}(2 \alpha-\beta)-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h+1+\beta) \delta_{1}, \\
& R_{63}^{\delta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha-\beta\right)^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}, \\
& R_{14}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}, \\
& R_{24}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(-h-1+\beta) \delta_{6}, \\
& R_{34}^{\delta}=-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h-1-\beta) \delta_{5}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{6}, \\
& R_{15}^{\delta}=-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h-2 \alpha+\beta) \delta_{6}, \\
& R_{25}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha-\beta\right)^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{4}, \\
& R_{35}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2}(2 \alpha-\beta)+\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h-1-\beta) \delta_{4}, \\
& R_{16}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h-2 \alpha+\beta) \delta_{5}, \\
& R_{26}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2}(2 \alpha-\beta)+\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(-h-1+\beta) \delta_{4}, \\
& R_{36}^{\delta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha-\beta\right)^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

First, equation $R_{63}^{\delta}-R_{36}^{\delta}=0$ yields $\delta_{1}=\delta_{4}$. Furthermore, due to $0=R_{53}^{\delta}-R_{62}^{\delta}=$ $\varepsilon_{1}(h+\beta) \delta_{1}$ and $0=R_{35}^{\delta}-R_{26}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{1}(h-\beta) \delta_{4}=\varepsilon_{1}(h-\beta) \delta_{1}$, we have $\varepsilon_{1} \beta \delta_{1}=0$. If $\delta_{1}=0$, then we see from $0=R_{53}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2}(2 \alpha-\beta)$ that $2 \alpha=\beta$. Then $0=R_{63}^{\delta}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\alpha\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right)^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}$ and $h=0$. Equation $R_{41}^{\delta}=0$ shows $\beta=0$
and therefore $\alpha=0$. Furthermore, $R_{51}^{\delta}=0$ shows $\delta_{2}=\delta_{3}$ and $R_{15}^{\delta}=0$ shows $\delta_{5}=\delta_{6}$, because $\varepsilon_{2}=-\varepsilon_{3}$. If otherwise $\beta=0$, we see again from $R_{41}^{\delta}=0$ that $h=0$ and also $\delta_{2}=\delta_{3}$ and $\delta_{5}=\delta_{6}$, because of $R_{51}^{\delta}=0$ and $R_{15}^{\delta}=0$, respectively. Finally, $0=\alpha \delta_{2}=$ $\alpha \delta_{3}=\alpha \delta_{2}=\alpha \delta_{3}$ due to $0=R_{42}^{\delta}=R_{43}^{\delta}=R_{15}^{\delta}=R_{16}^{\delta}$, as well as $\alpha=-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}=-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{4}$ due to $R_{62}^{\delta}=R_{26}^{\delta}=0$.

In a similar way, we obtain the same equations for the normal form $L_{4}(\alpha, \beta)$.
Finally, the equations for $\mathrm{Ric}_{\delta}^{+}$for the normal form $L_{5}(\alpha)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{41}^{\delta}=-1-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{42}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h+\alpha) \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{43}^{\delta}=-1-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+\alpha) \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{51}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(-h-\alpha) \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{52}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{1}-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{53}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(-h-\alpha) \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{61}^{\delta}=-1+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+\alpha) \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{62}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h+\alpha) \delta_{1}, \\
& R_{63}^{\delta}=-1+\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $0=R_{41}^{\delta}-R_{52}^{\delta}-R_{63}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha^{2}-h^{2}\right)$, we see $\alpha^{2}=h^{2}$. Therefore, $\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}=\sqrt{2}$ by $0=R_{41}^{\delta}=-1+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{3}$ as well as $\varepsilon_{1} \delta_{1}=-\sqrt{2}$ by $0=R_{63}^{\delta}=-1-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{1}$. If now $\alpha=-h$, then $0=R_{42}^{\delta}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha$ and $\alpha=h=0$. By $0=R_{53}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{2}$ also $\delta_{2}=0$. If otherwise $\alpha=h$, we have $0=R_{42}^{\delta}+R_{51}^{\delta}=-\sqrt{2} \alpha+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{2}$ and thus $2 \alpha=\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}$. But at the same time, $0=R_{43}^{\delta}=-\varepsilon_{2} \alpha \delta_{2}$. This is only possible, if $\alpha=\delta_{2}=0$. The equations for Ric $c_{\delta}^{-}$are now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{14}^{\delta}=-1+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{6} \\
& R_{24}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{5} \\
& R_{34}^{\delta}=-1+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{6} \\
& R_{15}^{\delta}=0, \\
& R_{25}^{\delta}=-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{35}^{\delta}=0, \\
& R_{16}^{\delta}=-1-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{4}, \\
& R_{26}^{\delta}=\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{5}, \\
& R_{36}^{\delta}=-1-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finally yields $\delta_{5}=0$ and $\varepsilon_{1} \delta_{4}=-\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{6}=-\sqrt{2}$.
Theorem 3.12 Let $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ be a generalized Einstein structure on an oriented threedimensional unimodular Lie group $G$. If the endomorphism $L \in$ End $\mathfrak{g}$ defined in (24) is diagonalizable, then there exists an oriented $g$-orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie} G$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, h \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\left[v_{a}, v_{b}\right]=\alpha_{c} \varepsilon_{c} v_{c}, \quad \forall \quad \text { cyclic } \quad(a, b, c) \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}, \quad H=h \operatorname{vol}_{g},
$$

where $\varepsilon_{a}=g\left(v_{a}, v_{a}\right)$ satisfies $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}$. The constants $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, h\right)$ can take the following values.
(1) $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=h=0$, in which case $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian. The divergence can take an arbitrary value in $E^{*}$.
(2) $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}= \pm h \neq 0$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ or $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$. The case $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$ occurs precisely when $g$ is definite. Furthermore $\left.\delta\right|_{E_{ \pm}}=0$.
(3) There exists a cyclic permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{\sigma(1)}=\alpha_{\sigma(2)} \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad h=\alpha_{\sigma(3)}=0 .
$$

In this case, $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is abelian of dimension 2 , that is, $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian. More precisely, $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{e}(2)$ ( $g$ definite on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ ) or $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ ( $g$ indefinite on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])$. The components of the divergence $\delta$ satisfy $\delta_{\sigma(1)}=\delta_{\sigma(2)}=\delta_{\sigma(1)+3}=$ $\delta_{\sigma(2)+3}=0$.
If $L$ is not diagonalizable, then $h=0$.
(1) If $L$ takes the normal form $L_{3}(0,0)$ or $L_{4}(0,0)$, then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra heis. In this case, $\delta_{1}=\delta_{4}=0, \delta_{2}=\delta_{3}$ and $\delta_{5}=\delta_{6}$.
(2) If $L$ takes the normal form $L_{3}(\alpha, 0)$ or $L_{4}(\alpha, 0), \alpha \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$. In these cases, $-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}=-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{4}=\alpha$ as well as $\delta_{2}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{5}=\delta_{6}=0$.
(3) If $L$ takes the normal form $L_{5}(0)$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$. In this case, $\varepsilon_{1} \delta_{1}=$ $-\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}=\varepsilon_{1} \delta_{4}=-\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{6}=-\sqrt{2}$ and $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=0$.
Proof Assume first $L$ is diagonalizable. To compute the components of the Ricci curvature, we use the formulas for the Dorfman coefficients and the notation for variables $X_{a}$ and $Y_{a}$ from the proof of Theorem 3.4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{41}^{\delta} & =R_{41}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{412} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{413} \delta_{3} \\
& =R_{41,} \\
R_{42}^{\delta} & =R_{42}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{421} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{423} \delta_{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3} Y_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{43}^{\delta}=R_{43}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{431} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{432} \delta_{2} \\
&=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2} Y_{1}, \\
& R_{51}^{\delta}=R_{51}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{512} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{513} \delta_{3} \\
&=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3} Y_{2}, \\
& R_{52}^{\delta}=R_{52}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{521} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{523} \delta_{3} \\
&=R_{52}, \\
& R_{53}^{\delta}=R_{53}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{531} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{532} \delta_{2} \\
&=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{1} \delta_{1} Y_{2}, \\
& R_{61}^{\delta}=R_{61}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{612} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{613} \delta_{3} \\
&=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2} Y_{3}, \\
& R_{62}^{\delta}=R_{62}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{621} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{623} \delta_{3} \\
&=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{1} \delta_{1} Y_{3}, \\
& R_{63}^{\delta}=R_{63}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{631} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{632} \delta_{2} \\
&=R_{63}, \\
& R_{14}^{\delta}=R_{41}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{145} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{146} \delta_{6} \\
&=R_{41,} \\
& R_{24}^{\delta}=R_{42}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{245} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{246} \delta_{6} \\
&=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{3} \delta_{6} X_{2}, \\
& R_{34}^{\delta}=R_{43}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{345} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{346} \delta_{6} \\
&=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} \delta_{5} X_{3}, \\
& R_{15}^{\delta}=R_{51}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{154} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{156} \delta_{6} \\
&=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{3} \delta_{6} X_{1}, \\
& R_{25}^{\delta}=R_{52}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{254} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{256} \delta_{6} \\
&=R_{52}, \\
& R_{35}^{\delta}=R_{53}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{354} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{356} \delta_{6} \\
&=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{1} \delta_{4} X_{3}, \\
& R_{16}^{\delta}=R_{61}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{164} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{165} \delta_{5} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} \delta_{5} X_{1}, \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{26}^{\delta} & =R_{62}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{264} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{265} \delta_{5} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{1} \delta_{4} X_{2}, \\
R_{36}^{\delta} & =R_{63}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{364} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{365} \delta_{5} \\
& =R_{63} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ is a generalized Einstein structure, also $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, 0\right)$ is. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we can distinguish cases depending on how many components of the vector $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ are equal to zero.

Solutions of type 0: $X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} \neq 0$ implies $\delta_{4}=\delta_{5}=\delta_{6}=0$. Furthermore, recall that $Y_{1}=Y_{2}=Y_{3}=0$ and

$$
\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=-h \neq 0 .
$$

In this case, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s o ( 2 , 1 )}$ ( $g$ indefinite) or $\mathfrak{s o}(3)(g$ definite).

We have seen that solutions of type 1 do not exist.
Solutions of type 2: assume, for example, that $X_{1} \neq 0, X_{2}=X_{3}=0$. This implies $\delta_{5}=\delta_{6}=0$. Moreover, we have seen that $Y_{2}=Y_{3}=0, h=\alpha_{1}=0$ and $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3} \neq 0$. This shows $Y_{1} \neq 0$ and thus $\delta_{2}=\delta_{3}=0$. So the solutions of type 2 are of the following form. There exists a cyclic permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{\sigma(1)}=\alpha_{\sigma(2)} \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad h=\alpha_{\sigma(3)}=\delta_{\sigma(1)}=\delta_{\sigma(2)}=\delta_{\sigma(1)+3}=\delta_{\sigma(2)+3}=0 .
$$

As in the divergence-free case, we conclude that $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian. The commutator ideal $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}\right\}$ is two-dimensional and $\operatorname{ad}_{v_{\sigma(3)}}$ acts on it by a nonzero $g$-skew-symmetric endomorphism. This implies that $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{e}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$.

Solutions of type 3: assume $X_{1}=X_{2}=X_{3}=0$. This implies

$$
\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=h
$$

If $h=0$, then $Y_{1}=Y_{2}=Y_{3}=0$ and $\delta \in E^{*}$ arbitrary, and if $h \neq 0$, then $Y_{1}=Y_{2}=Y_{3}=$ $2 h \neq 0$ and therefore $\delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=\delta_{3}=0$.

By Proposition 3.11, if $L$ is not diagonalizable, it is of the forms $L_{3}(\alpha, 0), L_{4}(\alpha, 0)$, or $L_{5}(0)$ and the divergence has the claimed properties. From Theorem 3.4, we know that $G$ is the Heisenberg group if $L$ takes the normal for $L_{3}(0,0)$ or $L_{4}(0,0)$. If $\alpha \neq 0$, $\operatorname{ad}_{v_{1}}$ acts on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ by a symmetric endomorphism with eigenvalues $\alpha$ and $-\alpha$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{e}(1,1)$.

If $L$ takes the normal form $L_{5}(0)$, one can show that the only unimodular Lie algebra whose Killing form has the same signature as the one of $\mathfrak{g}$, is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$. Alternatively, one can check that $\mathrm{ad}_{v_{1}+v_{3}}$ acts on $\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{2}, v_{1}-v_{3}\right\}$ a symmetric endomorphism with eigenvalues $\sqrt{2}$ and $-\sqrt{2}$. Therefore again $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{e}(1,1)$.

Remark 3.13 Except for the cases that the endomorphism $L$ takes the normal form $L_{3}(\alpha, 0), L_{4}(\alpha, 0)(\alpha \neq 0)$, and $L_{5}(0)$, the solutions are such that the Ricci tensor for zero divergence and the contribution of the divergence to the Ricci tensor vanish simultaneously.

Corollary 3.14 Let $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ be a generalized Einstein structure on a threedimensional Lie group $G$. Then the left-invariant metric defined by $g$ is bi-invariant if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s o}(3), \mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ or $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
Proof This follows from the fact that the only three-dimensional Lie algebras admitting an ad-invariant scalar product are the above three Lie algebras together with our classification of generalized Einstein structures on these Lie algebras.

### 3.3.2 Non-unimodular Lie groups

Proposition 3.15 Let $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ be a generalized Einstein structure on a threedimensional nonunimodular Lie group $G$. Let $\mathfrak{u}$ be the unimodular kernel of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and assume that $\left.g\right|_{\mathfrak{u} \times \mathfrak{u}}$ is nondegenerate. Then there exists an orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, g)$ such that $v_{1}, v_{3} \in \mathfrak{u}$ and $g\left(v_{1}, v_{1}\right)=g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)=-g\left(v_{3}, v_{3}\right)$. Furthermore $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}$. If $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=0$, then $\delta=0, h=0$ and one can choose $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ such that there is a positive constant $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{1}\right]=\theta v_{1}-\theta v_{3},} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]=\theta v_{1}+\theta v_{3} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5} \neq 0, M:=\left.\operatorname{ad}_{v_{2}}\right|_{u}$ is diagonalizable. We have $h^{2}=(\operatorname{tr} M)^{2} \neq 0$ and $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=$ $-\operatorname{tr} M \neq 0$. In the special case that $M$ has a double eigenvalue, it is diagonalizable by an orthonormal basis. That is, one can choose $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ such that there exists a positive constant $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{1}\right]=\theta v_{1},} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]=\theta v_{3} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, $h^{2}=(2 \theta)^{2} \neq 0$ and $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-2 \theta \neq 0$. Furthermore $\delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=0$. In the case $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5} \neq 0$ and two distinct real eigenvalues of $M$, there are the following families of solutions of the generalized Einstein equation:
(1) $h= \pm 2 \lambda, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-2 \varepsilon_{2} \lambda$ and $\delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=0$,

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon_{1} \lambda & -\varepsilon_{1} \mu \\
\varepsilon_{3} \mu & -\varepsilon_{3} \lambda
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $|\mu| \neq|\lambda|$.
2A. $h=\mu-v, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=\varepsilon_{2}(-\mu+v), \delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=0, \delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{3}$ are related by $\mu \delta_{1}-v \delta_{3}=$ 0 and

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon_{1} \mu & \varepsilon_{1} v \\
\varepsilon_{3} \mu & \varepsilon_{3} v
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu, v \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $\mu-v \neq 0$.
2B. $h=\mu-v, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=\varepsilon_{2}(\mu-v), \delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=0, \delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{3}$ are related by $\mu \delta_{1}+v \delta_{3}=0$ and

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varepsilon_{1} \mu & \varepsilon_{1} v \\
\varepsilon_{3} \mu & -\varepsilon_{3} v
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu, v \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $\mu-v \neq 0$.

2C. $h=2 \mu, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=2 \varepsilon_{2} \mu, \delta_{1}=\delta_{3}, \delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=0$ and

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varepsilon_{1} \mu & -\varepsilon_{1} \mu \\
\varepsilon_{3} \mu & \varepsilon_{3} \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
2D. $h=2 \mu, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-2 \varepsilon_{2} \mu, \delta_{1}=-\delta_{3}, \delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=0$ and

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon_{1} \mu & -\varepsilon_{1} \mu \\
\varepsilon_{3} \mu & -\varepsilon_{3} \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
3A. $h=v-\mu, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=\varepsilon_{2}(v-\mu), \delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=0, \delta_{4}$ and $\delta_{6}$ are related by $\mu \delta_{4}-v \delta_{6}=0$ and

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon_{1} \mu & \varepsilon_{1} v \\
\varepsilon_{3} \mu & \varepsilon_{3} v
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu, v \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $\mu-v \neq 0$.
3B. $h=v-\mu, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=\varepsilon_{2}(\mu-v), \delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=0, \delta_{4}$ and $\delta_{6}$ are related by $\mu \delta_{4}+v \delta_{6}=0$ and

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varepsilon_{1} \mu & \varepsilon_{1} v \\
\varepsilon_{3} \mu & -\varepsilon_{3} v
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu, v \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $\mu-v \neq 0$.
3C. $h=-2 \mu, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=2 \varepsilon_{2} \mu, \delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=0, \delta_{4}=\delta_{6}$ and

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varepsilon_{1} \mu & -\varepsilon_{1} \mu \\
\varepsilon_{3} \mu & \varepsilon_{3} \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
3D. $h=-2 \mu, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-2 \varepsilon_{2} \mu, \delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=0, \delta_{4}=-\delta_{6}$ and

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon_{1} \mu & -\varepsilon_{1} \mu \\
\varepsilon_{3} \mu & -\varepsilon_{3} \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
Proof As in the proof of Proposition 3.5, there exists a $g$-orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)_{a}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $v_{1}, v_{3} \in \mathfrak{u}$ and $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[v_{3}, v_{1}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{1}\right]=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda v_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mu v_{3},} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]=\varepsilon_{1} v v_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \rho v_{3},}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $0 \neq \operatorname{trad}{ }_{v_{2}}=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda+\varepsilon_{3} \rho$. Using the Dorfman coefficients, that were computed in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we obtain the components of the Ricci tensor.

In the case $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{52}^{\delta} & =R_{52}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{521} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{523} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(h-\mu-v)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(h+\mu+v)^{2}-\rho^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is always nonzero due to $0 \neq \varepsilon_{1} \lambda+\varepsilon_{3} \rho$. Hence, we can assume that the basis is chosen such that $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=-\varepsilon_{3}$.

In this case, the components of the Ricci tensor are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{41}^{\delta}=R_{41}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{412} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{413} \delta_{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{2}+\mu^{2}-v^{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{2} \lambda \delta_{2} \text {, } \\
& R_{42}^{\delta}=R_{42}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{421} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{423} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\varepsilon_{1} \lambda \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h-\mu-v) \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{43}^{\delta}=R_{43}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{431} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{432} \delta_{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-\mu+v)+\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+\mu-v)-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h-\mu-v) \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{51}^{\delta}=R_{51}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{512} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{513} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h-\mu+v) \delta_{3} \text {, } \\
& R_{52}^{\delta}=R_{52}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{521} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{523} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\mu+v)^{2}-\rho^{2}, \\
& R_{53}^{\delta}=R_{53}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{531} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{532} \delta_{2} \\
& =\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h-\mu+v) \delta_{1} \text {, } \\
& R_{61}^{\delta}=R_{61}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{612} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{613} \delta_{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+\mu-v)-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-\mu+v)+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+\mu+v) \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{62}^{\delta}=R_{62}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{621} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{623} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h+\mu+v) \delta_{1}-\varepsilon_{3} \rho \delta_{3} \text {, } \\
& R_{63}^{\delta}=R_{63}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{631} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{632} \delta_{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{2}-\mu^{2}+v^{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{2} \rho \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{14}^{\delta}=R_{41}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{145} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{146} \delta_{6} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{2}+\mu^{2}-v^{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{2} \lambda \delta_{5}, \\
& R_{24}^{\delta}=R_{42}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{245} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{246} \delta_{6} \\
& =\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h+\mu-v) \delta_{6}, \\
& R_{34}^{\delta}=R_{43}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{345} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{346} \delta_{6} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-\mu+v)+\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+\mu-v)-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h-\mu-v) \delta_{5} \text {, } \\
& R_{15}^{\delta}=R_{51}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{154} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{156} \delta_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\varepsilon_{1} \lambda \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h+\mu+v) \delta_{6}, \\
R_{25}^{\delta} & =R_{52}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{254} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{256} \delta_{6} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\mu+v)^{2}-\rho^{2}, \\
R_{35}^{\delta} & =R_{53}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{354} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{356} \delta_{6} \\
& =\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h-\mu-v) \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \rho \delta_{6}, \\
R_{16}^{\delta} & =R_{61}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{164} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{165} \delta_{5} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+\mu-v)-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-\mu+v)+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+\mu+v) \delta_{5}, \\
R_{26}^{\delta} & =R_{62}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{264} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{265} \delta_{5} \\
& =-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h+\mu-v) \delta_{4}, \\
R_{36}^{\delta} & =R_{63}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{364} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{365} \delta_{5} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{2}-\mu^{2}+v^{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{2} \rho \delta_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note first that $R_{41}^{\delta}=R_{14}^{\delta}$ and $R_{63}^{\delta}=R_{36}^{\delta}$ yield $\varepsilon_{2} \lambda\left(\delta_{2}-\delta_{5}\right)=0$ and $\varepsilon_{2} \rho\left(\delta_{2}-\delta_{5}\right)=0$. Therefore $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}$, because $0 \neq \varepsilon_{1} \lambda+\varepsilon_{3} \rho$. If $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=0$, we see that $R_{i i^{\prime}}^{\delta}=R_{i^{\prime} i}^{\delta}=R_{i i^{\prime}}$ for all $i \in\{4,5,6\}$. So we can deduce the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, that $h=0$ and there is a positive constant $\theta>0$ such that $\varepsilon_{1} \lambda=-\varepsilon_{3} \mu=\varepsilon_{1} v=\varepsilon_{3} \rho=\theta$. Then we see that $0=R_{42}^{\delta}=-\theta \delta_{1}+\theta \delta_{3}$ and $R_{15}^{\delta}=-\theta \delta_{4}+\theta \delta_{6}$ imply $\delta_{1}=\delta_{3}$ and $\delta_{4}=$ $\delta_{6}$. Similarly, $R_{62}^{\delta}=-\theta \delta_{1}-\theta \delta_{3}=0$ and $R_{35}^{\delta}=-\theta \delta_{4}-\theta \delta_{6}=0 \mathrm{imply} \delta_{1}=-\delta_{3}$ and $\delta_{4}=$ $-\delta_{6}$. This proves that $\delta=0$ if $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=0$. Note that the endomorphism $M \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{u})$, defined as the restriction of ad ${v_{2}}$ to $\mathfrak{u}$, has the two complex eigenvalues $\theta \pm i \theta$. Assume now $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5} \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=R_{41}^{\delta}-R_{63}^{\delta}=h^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}(\lambda-\rho) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\lambda-\rho=\varepsilon_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \lambda+\varepsilon_{3} \rho\right) \neq 0$, we see $h \neq 0$. From $0=R_{61}^{\delta}-R_{43}^{\delta}=h\left(\lambda-\rho+\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}\right)$, we see $\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}=-\lambda+\rho=-\varepsilon_{2} \operatorname{tr} M$. Then equation (29) is equivalent to $h^{2}=(\lambda-\rho)^{2}=$ $(\operatorname{tr} M)^{2}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{52}^{\delta} & =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\mu+v)^{2}-\rho^{2} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}-\rho^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-\rho)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\mu+v)^{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda+\rho)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\mu+v)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

the equation $R_{52}^{\delta}=0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda+\rho)^{2}=(\mu+v)^{2} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note now that the discriminant $\Delta$ of the characteristic polynomial $X^{2}-\varepsilon_{1}(\lambda-\rho) X-$ $\lambda \rho+\mu \nu$ of $M$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =(\lambda-\rho)^{2}+4 \lambda \rho-4 \mu v \\
& =(\lambda+\rho)^{2}-4 \mu v \\
& =(\mu+v)^{2}-4 \mu v \\
& =(\mu-v)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is never negative. Therefore, $M$ has real eigenvalues. Hence, $M$ is either diagonalizable with two distinct eigenvalues, or it has a double eigenvalue. The latter happens precisely if the discriminant is zero, that is, if $\mu=v$. But then, $M$ is a symmetric endomorphism and hence takes one of the normal forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}(\theta, \eta) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta & 0 \\
0 & \eta
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{2}(\theta, \eta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta & -\eta \\
\eta & \theta
\end{array}\right), \\
M_{3}(\theta) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2}+\theta & \frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}+\theta
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{4}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{2}+\theta & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}+\theta
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with respect to an orthonormal basis $v_{1}, v_{3}$ of $\mathfrak{u}$, as in the proof of Proposition 3.5. If it takes the normal form $M_{1}(\theta, \eta)$, then $\theta=\eta$, since $M$ has a double eigenvalue. Hence, that $M$ is of the form

$$
M_{1}(\theta, \theta)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\theta & 0 \\
0 & \theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

We may assume $\theta$ is positive by replacing $v_{2}$ with $-v_{2}$. If it takes the normal form $M_{2}(\theta, \eta)$, then we have $R_{43}^{\delta}+R_{61}^{\delta}=-2 \theta \eta$. But $\theta \neq 0$, since $\operatorname{tr} M \neq 0$. Therefore, $\eta=0$ and we get the same normal form as before. The normal forms $M_{3}(\theta)$ and $M_{4}(\theta)$ are excluded, because in both cases $R_{43}^{\delta}+R_{61}^{\delta}=2 \theta$, which cannot be zero because $\operatorname{tr} M \neq$ 0 . Note that in the case that $M$ takes the normal form $M_{1}(\theta, \theta)$, we have $\delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{4}=$ $\delta_{6}=0$, due to $R_{53}^{\delta}=R_{51}^{\delta}=R_{26}^{\delta}=R_{24}^{\delta}=0$.

It remains to consider the case $\mu-v \neq 0$. Recall that $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=\varepsilon_{2}(-\lambda+\rho) \neq 0$ and $h= \pm(\lambda-\rho) \neq 0$. We distinguish the following cases. Note that the expressions $h-$ $\mu+v$ and $h+\mu-v$ cannot both vanish simultaneously, since $h \neq 0$.

Case 1: $h-\mu+v \neq 0$ and $h+\mu-v \neq 0$. In this case, we conclude from $R_{51}^{\delta}=$ $R_{53}^{\delta}=R_{24}^{\delta}=R_{26}^{\delta}=0$ that $\delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=0$. Then from the remaining equations, we obtain

$$
\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}=-\left(\rho^{2}-v^{2}\right), \rho \mu-\lambda v=0,(\lambda+\rho)^{2}=(\mu+v)^{2}
$$

The first two equations are satisfied if and only if $(\mu, \lambda)$ and $(\rho, v)$ are nonzero orthogonal vectors of equal length in the Minkowski plane. This implies that $(\mu, \lambda)=$ $-(v, \rho)$, since $\mu-v \neq 0$. This yields the first family of solutions for which $M$ has two distinct real eigenvalues.

Case 2: $h-\mu+v=0$ and $h+\mu-v \neq 0$. In this case, the equations $R_{51}^{\delta}=R_{53}^{\delta}=$ $R_{24}^{\delta}=R_{26}^{\delta}=0$ reduce to $\delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=0$. Recall that $R_{52}^{\delta}=0$ yields equation (30) and hence $\mu+v=\sigma_{+}(\lambda+\rho)$ for some $\sigma_{+} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. Since now $h=\mu-v$, the equation
$h^{2}=(\lambda-\rho)^{2}$ yields $\mu-v=\sigma_{-}(\lambda-\rho), \sigma_{-} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. We consider four subcases depending on the signs $\sigma_{ \pm}$. In each case, we first solve the two equations $\mu \pm v=\sigma_{ \pm}(\lambda \pm \rho)$.

Case 2A: $\sigma_{+}=\sigma_{-}=1$. In this case, $\mu=\lambda$ and $v=\rho$. It turns out that the remaining components of the generalized Ricci curvature vanish if $R_{42}^{\delta}$ does. Its vanishing is equivalent to $\mu \delta_{1}-v \delta_{3}=0$.

Case 2B: $\sigma_{+}=\sigma_{-}=-1$. In this case, $\mu=-\lambda$ and $v=-\rho$. The remaining components of the generalized Ricci curvature vanish if and only if $\mu \delta_{1}+v \delta_{3}=0$.

Case 2C: $\sigma_{+}=1$ and $\sigma_{-}=-1$. Then $\mu=\rho, v=\lambda$ and from $R_{41}^{\delta}=0$ we get $\mu^{2}-v^{2}=0$. We conclude that $\mu=-v$, since $h=\mu-v \neq 0$. The equation $R_{42}^{\delta}=0$ reduces to $\delta_{1}=\delta_{3}$ and the remaining components of the generalized Ricci tensor then vanish.

Case 2D: $\sigma_{+}=-1$ and $\sigma_{-}=1$. Then $\mu=-\rho, v=-\lambda$ and from $R_{41}^{\delta}=0$, we get again $\mu=-v$. In this case, the equation $R_{42}^{\delta}=0$ reduces to $\delta_{1}=-\delta_{3}$ and the remaining components of the generalized Ricci tensor vanish.

Case 3: $h-\mu+v \neq 0$ and $h+\mu-v=0$. In this case, the equations $R_{51}^{\delta}=R_{53}^{\delta}=$ $R_{24}^{\delta}=R_{26}^{\delta}=0$ reduce to $\delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=0$. From (30) and $h=v-\mu$, we still obtain $\mu \pm v=$ $\sigma_{ \pm}(\lambda \pm \rho)$ with $\sigma_{+}, \sigma_{-} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. We consider again four subcases depending on the values of $\sigma_{ \pm}$.

Case 3A: $\sigma_{+}=\sigma_{-}=1$. As above, $\mu=\lambda$ and $v=\rho$. The equation $R_{15}^{\delta}=0$ yields $\mu \delta_{4}-$ $v \delta_{6}=0$ and the remaining components then vanish.

Case 3B: $\sigma_{+}=\sigma_{-}=-1$. Here $\mu=-\lambda, v=-\rho$ and the equation $R_{15}^{\delta}=0$ yields $\mu \delta_{4}+$ $v \delta_{6}=0$. The remaining components then vanish.

Case 3C: $\sigma_{+}=1$ and $\sigma_{-}=-1$. Here $\mu=\rho, v=\lambda$ and $R_{41}^{\delta}=0$ implies $\mu=-v$. Finally, $R_{15}^{\delta}=0$ yields $\delta_{4}=\delta_{6}$ and the remaining components vanish.

Case 3D: $\sigma_{+}=-1$ and $\sigma_{-}=1$. Here $\mu=-\rho, v=-\lambda$ and $R_{41}^{\delta}=0$ implies $\mu=-v$. Finally, the equation $R_{15}^{\delta}=0$ yields $\delta_{4}=-\delta_{6}$ and all other components vanish.

Proposition 3.16 Let $G$ be a three-dimensional nonunimodular Lie group. As any three-dimensional nonunimodular Lie algebra, its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to a semidirect product of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with $\mathbb{R}$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by a 2 by 2 matrix $M$ (of nonzero trace). Then there exists a generalized Einstein structure $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ on $G$, such that the restriction of $g$ to the unimodular kernel $\mathfrak{u}$ is degenerate, if and only if $H=0$ and $M$ has real eigenvalues. (All such structures have $\delta \neq 0$ and are described at the end of the proof.)

Proof Note first that the metric $g$ necessarily has to be indefinite. As in the proof of Proposition 3.7, there exists an orthonormal basis $\left(v_{a}\right)_{a}$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, g)$ such that $g\left(v_{1}, v_{1}\right)=$ $g\left(v_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ and $\lambda, \mu, v, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda v_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mu v_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \mu v_{3},} \\
& {\left[v_{2}, v_{3}\right]=\varepsilon_{1} v v_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho v_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \rho v_{3},} \\
& {\left[v_{3}, v_{1}\right]=\varepsilon_{1} \lambda v_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mu v_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \mu v_{3},}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\lambda+\rho \neq 0$. Using the Dorfman coefficients, that were computed in the proof of Proposition 3.7, we obtain the components of the Ricci tensor

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{41}^{\delta} & =R_{41}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{412} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{413} \delta_{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2} v^{2}-\varepsilon_{2} \lambda \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \lambda \delta_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{42}^{\delta}=R_{42}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{421} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{423} \delta_{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-v)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+v)+\varepsilon_{1} \lambda \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h-v) \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{43}^{\delta}=R_{43}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{431} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{432} \delta_{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+v)-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-v)-\varepsilon_{1} \lambda \delta_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h-v) \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{51}^{\delta}=R_{51}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{512} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{513} \delta_{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-v)-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+v)-\varepsilon_{2} \mu \delta_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h-2 \mu+v) \delta_{3} \text {, } \\
& R_{52}^{\delta}=R_{52}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{521} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{523} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \nu^{2}+\mu \nu-\rho^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{1}-\varepsilon_{3} \rho \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{53}^{\delta}=R_{53}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{531} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{532} \delta_{2} \\
& =\lambda^{2}-\mu \nu+\rho^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h-2 \mu+v) \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{61}^{\delta}=R_{61}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{612} \delta_{2}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{613} \delta_{3} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-v)+\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+v)+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+2 \mu+v) \delta_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \mu \delta_{3} \text {, } \\
& R_{62}^{\delta}=R_{62}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{621} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{623} \delta_{3} \\
& =\lambda^{2}-\mu v+\rho^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h+2 \mu+v) \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{3} \rho \delta_{3}, \\
& R_{63}^{\delta}=R_{63}+\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{631} \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{632} \delta_{2} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \nu^{2}+\mu \nu-\rho^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho \delta_{2}, \\
& R_{14}^{\delta}=R_{41}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{145} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{146} \delta_{6} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2} v^{2}-\varepsilon_{2} \lambda \delta_{5}+\varepsilon_{3} \lambda \delta_{6} \text {, } \\
& R_{24}^{\delta}=R_{42}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{245} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{246} \delta_{6} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-v)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+v)-\varepsilon_{2} \mu \delta_{5}+\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h+2 \mu-v) \delta_{6} \text {, } \\
& R_{34}^{\delta}=R_{43}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{345} \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{346} \delta_{6} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h+v)-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h-v)-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h-2 \mu-v) \delta_{5}-\varepsilon_{3} \mu \delta_{6}, \\
& R_{15}^{\delta}=R_{51}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{154} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{156} \delta_{6} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-v)-\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+v)+\varepsilon_{1} \lambda \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \frac{1}{2}(h+v) \delta_{6} \text {, } \\
& R_{25}^{\delta}=R_{52}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{254} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{256} \delta_{6} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \nu^{2}+\mu \nu-\rho^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \rho \delta_{6}, \\
& R_{35}^{\delta}=R_{53}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{354} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{3} \mathcal{B}_{356} \delta_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lambda^{2}-\mu \nu+\rho^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h-2 \mu-v) \delta_{4}+\varepsilon_{3} \rho \delta_{6}, \\
R_{16}^{\delta} & =R_{61}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{164} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{165} \delta_{5} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \lambda(h-v)+\frac{1}{2} \rho(h+v)-\varepsilon_{1} \lambda \delta_{4}+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{2}(h+v) \delta_{5}, \\
R_{26}^{\delta} & =R_{62}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{264} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{265} \delta_{5} \\
& =\lambda^{2}-\mu \nu+\rho^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{2}(h+2 \mu-v) \delta_{4}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho \delta_{5}, \\
R_{36}^{\delta} & =R_{63}-\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{B}_{364} \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{B}_{365} \delta_{5} \\
& =-\lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{2} h^{2}-\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+\mu v-\rho^{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{4}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho \delta_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume now that $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ is generalized Einstein. We first want to show that $h=v=0$ and $\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}=\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}$. For this, consider the system of equations $0=R_{42}^{\delta}+R_{43}^{\delta}=$ $-\frac{1}{2}(h-v)\left(\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}\right)$ and $0=R_{51}^{\delta}+R_{61}^{\delta}=\frac{1}{2}(h+v)\left(\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}\right)$. This implies that either $h=v=0$ or $\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}=\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}$. If $h=v=0$, then $0=R_{41}^{\delta}=-\lambda\left(\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}\right)$ and $0=R_{63}^{\delta}-R_{52}^{\delta}=-\rho\left(\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}-\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}\right)$, which can only be the case if $\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}=\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}$, since $\lambda+\rho \neq 0$. If we otherwise assume, that $\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2}=\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}$, then $0=R_{63}^{\delta}-R_{52}^{\delta}=-h^{2}-v^{2}$ and therefore $h=v=0$. Similarly, one can also show that $\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{5}=\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{6}$. Hence, the Einstein condition is equivalent to the set of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
h=v & =0, \\
\lambda \varepsilon_{1} \delta_{1}=\lambda \varepsilon_{1} \delta_{4} & =0, \\
\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{2} & =\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{3}, \\
\varepsilon_{2} \delta_{5} & =\varepsilon_{3} \delta_{6},  \tag{31}\\
\lambda^{2}+\rho^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho \delta_{2} & =0, \\
\lambda^{2}+\rho^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{4}+\varepsilon_{2} \rho \delta_{5} & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, as in the proof of Proposition 3.7, there exists a basis $\left(w_{a}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, such that $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathfrak{u}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[w_{3}, w_{1}\right]=-\varepsilon_{1} \lambda w_{1}-2 \varepsilon_{2} \mu w_{2},} \\
& {\left[w_{3}, w_{2}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{1} v w_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \rho w_{2},}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $g\left(w_{a}, w_{b}\right)$ satisfies equation (28). Hence, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semidirect product of $\mathbb{R} \cong$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{w_{3}\right\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2} \cong \operatorname{span}\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$, the former acting on the latter with the matrix

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varepsilon_{1} \lambda & -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{1} v \\
-2 \varepsilon_{2} \mu & -\varepsilon_{2} \rho
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since in the Einstein case $v=0$, its eigenvalues $-\varepsilon_{1} \lambda$ and $-\varepsilon_{2} \rho$ are real. Furthermore, for any such matrix, with $v=0$, one can find $\delta \in E^{*}$, such that $\left(H=0, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ is generalized Einstein. In fact, if $\lambda \neq 0$, then $h=v=\delta_{1}=\delta_{4}=0, \rho \neq 0$ and the solution
is uniquely determined by the free parameters $\lambda \neq 0, \rho \neq 0$ and $\mu$ as $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-\delta_{3}=$ $-\delta_{6}=-\varepsilon_{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\rho^{2}\right) / \rho \neq 0$. If $\lambda=0$, then $\rho \neq 0$ (as $\lambda+\rho \neq 0$ ), $h=v=\lambda=0$ and the solution is uniquely determined by the free parameters $\mu, \delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{4}$ as $\delta_{2}=-\delta_{3}=$ $-\varepsilon_{2}\left(\rho^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{1}\right) / \rho$ and $\delta_{5}=-\delta_{6}=-\varepsilon_{2}\left(\rho^{2}-\varepsilon_{1} \mu \delta_{4}\right) / \rho$. Note that all the solutions have nonzero divergence and that $M$ has rank 1 if and only if $\lambda=0$.

### 3.4 Riemannian divergence

In this section, we want to determine those solutions $(G, H, \mathcal{G}, \delta)$ to the generalized Einstein equation for which the divergence $\delta$ coincides with the Riemannian divergence $\delta^{\mathcal{G}}=-\tau \circ \pi \in E^{*}$ (see Proposition 2.17). If the Lie group is unimodular, the trace-form $\tau$, and therefore the Riemannian divergence, is zero. This was covered in Theorem 3.4. It remains to specify the results of Propositions 3.15 and 3.16 to the case $\delta=\delta^{G}$.

In the case that $g$ is nondegenerate on the unimodular kernel $\mathfrak{u}, \delta=\delta^{\mathcal{G}}$ holds if and only if the components of $\delta$ in the basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ from Proposition 3.15 are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=\delta_{4}=-\operatorname{trad} d_{v_{1}}=0, \\
& \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-\operatorname{trad} v_{v_{2}} \neq 0, \\
& \delta_{3}=\delta_{6}=-\operatorname{tr~ad}_{v_{3}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the relevant solutions are those for which $M=\left.\operatorname{ad}_{v_{2}}\right|_{u}$ is diagonalizable and $\delta_{1}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{4}=\delta_{6}=0$, in virtue of Proposition 3.15.

In the case that $g$ is degenerate on the unimodular kernel $\mathfrak{u}$, we compute the components of $\delta$ in the basis $\left(v_{a}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ from Proposition 3.16 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=\delta_{4}=-\operatorname{trad}_{v_{1}}=0, \\
& \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-\operatorname{trad}_{v_{v_{2}}}=\varepsilon_{1}(\lambda-\rho), \\
& \delta_{3}=\delta_{6}=-\operatorname{trad} \mathrm{v}_{v_{3}}=-\varepsilon_{1}(\lambda-\rho) .
\end{aligned}
$$

| Class of Lie algebras | H | g |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ | $=0$ | flat | $L \mathrm{D}$ |
| $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$ | $\neq 0$ | def | $L D$ |
| $\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ | $\neq 0$ | indef | $L D$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(2)$ | $=0$ | flat, def on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ | $L D$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ | $=0$ | flat, indef on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ | $L D$ |
| $\mathfrak{h e i s}$ | $=0$ | flat, indef | $L \neg \mathrm{D}$ |
| $\mathfrak{r}_{3,1}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ | $=0$ | indef | $\left.g\right\|_{\mathfrak{u} \times \mathfrak{u}}$ nondeg |

Table 1. Divergence-free solutions to the generalized Einstein equation.

| Class of Lie algebra | H | g | $\delta$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ | $=0$ |  | $\delta \in E^{*}$ arbitrary | LD |
| $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$ | $\neq 0$ | def | $\left.\delta\right\|_{E_{+}}=0$ or $\left.\delta\right\|_{E_{-}}=0$ | LD |
| $\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ | $\neq 0$ | indef | $\left.\delta\right\|_{E_{+}}=0$ or $\left.\delta\right\|_{E_{-}}=0$ | LD |
| $\mathfrak{e}(2)$ |  | def on $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ | $\delta_{\sigma(1)}=\delta_{\sigma(2)}=\delta_{\sigma(1)+3}=\delta_{\sigma(2)+3}=0$ | LD |
| $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ |  | indef on [ $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}$ ] | $\delta_{\sigma(1)}=\delta_{\sigma(2)}=\delta_{\sigma(1)+3}=\delta_{\sigma(2)+3}=0$ | LD |
| $\mathfrak{h e i s}$ | $=0$ | indef | $\delta_{1}=\delta_{4}=0, \delta_{2}=\delta_{3}, \delta_{5}=\delta_{6}$ | $L \neg \mathrm{D}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ | $=0$ | indef | $\delta_{1}=\delta_{4} \neq 0, \delta_{2}=\delta_{3}=\delta_{5}=\delta_{6}=0$ | $L \neg \mathrm{D}$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ | $=0$ | indef | $\delta_{1}=-\delta_{4}=-\delta_{3}=\delta_{6}=-\sqrt{2}, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}$ | $L \neg \mathrm{D}$ |
| $\mathfrak{r}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $\neq 0$ | indef | $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-\operatorname{trad}_{v_{2}} \neq 0, \delta$ specified in Prop. 3.15 | $\left.g\right\|_{\text {uxu }}$ nondeg |
| $\mathfrak{r}_{3, \lambda}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \lambda \neq 1$ | $\neq 0$ | indef | $\delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-\operatorname{trad}_{v_{2}} \neq 0, \delta$ specified in Prop. 3.15 | $\left.g\right\|_{u \times u}$ nondeg |
| $\mathfrak{r}_{3,1}(\mathbb{R})$ | $\neq 0$ | indef | $\delta_{A}=0, A=1,3,4,6, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-\operatorname{trad}_{v_{2}} \neq 0$ | $\left.g\right\|_{\text {uxu }}$ nondeg |
| $\mathfrak{r}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ | $=0$ | indef | $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{4}$ arbitrary determine $\delta \neq 0$, cf. Prop. 3.16 | $\left.g\right\|_{\mathbf{u \times u}} \operatorname{deg}$ |
| $\mathfrak{r}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ | $=0$ | indef | $\delta_{1}=\delta_{4}=0, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-\delta_{3}=-\delta_{6} \neq 0$ | $\left.g\right\|_{\mathbf{u \times u}} \mathrm{deg}$ |
| $\mathfrak{r}_{3, \lambda}(\mathbb{R})$, | $=0$ | indef | $\delta_{1}=\delta_{4}=0, \delta_{2}=\delta_{5}=-\delta_{3}=-\delta_{6} \neq 0$ | $\left.g\right\|_{u \times u} \operatorname{deg}$ |

Table 2. Solutions to the generalized Einstein equation with arbitrary divergence.

From the system of equation (31), which is equivalent to the Einstein condition, we see now that $\lambda^{2}+\lambda \rho=0$. Hence, $\lambda=0$, since $\lambda+\rho \neq 0$. Finally, we conclude that $\mathfrak{g} \cong$ $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A} \mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $A$ has one eigenvalue equal to zero and one nonzero eigenvalue.
Proposition 3.17 Let $\left(H, \mathcal{G}_{g}, \delta\right)$ be a generalized Einstein structure on a threedimensional nonunimodular Lie group $G$, with $\delta=\delta^{\mathcal{G}_{g}}$ the Riemannian divergence of $\mathcal{G}_{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{u}$ be the unimodular kernel of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. If the pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ is nondegenerate on $\mathfrak{u}$, then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A} \mathbb{R}^{2}$ for a diagonalizable matrix $A$, with $\operatorname{tr} A \neq 0$. If $g$ is degenerate on $\mathfrak{u}$, then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R} \ltimes_{A} \mathbb{R}^{2}$ for a matrix $A$, whose kernel is onedimensional. In both cases, the matrix $A$ can be brought to the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & s
\end{array}\right), \quad s \in(-1,1]
$$

by an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $s=0$ if $\mathfrak{u}$ is degenerate. (The precise tensors $H, g$, and $\delta$ are specified in Propositions 3.15 and 3.16 by specializing to the formulas for $\delta=\delta^{g_{g}}$ given in this section.)

## 4 Tables

In this section, we want to summarize our results. For further details, we refer to Section 3. In Tables 1 and 2, $L \mathrm{D}$ and $L \neg \mathrm{D}$ mean that the endomorphism $L$ defined in equation (23) is diagonalizable and not diagonalizable, respectively. Furthermore, we write def, indef, deg, and nondeg instead of definite, indefinite, degenerate, and nondegenerate. For the notations of the isomorphism classes of Lie algebras, we refer to [GOV, Chapter 7, Theorem 1.4]. Following [GOV, Chapter 7, Theorem 1.4], we restrict the parameter $\lambda$ in $\mathfrak{r}_{3, \lambda}(\mathbb{R})$ to $0<|\lambda| \leq 1$. In addition, we exclude $\lambda=-1$, since $\mathfrak{r}_{3,-1}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathfrak{e}(1,1)$.
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