Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. **55** (3), 2012 pp. 548–554 http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2011-084-0 © Canadian Mathematical Society 2011



# Non-complemented Spaces of Operators, Vector Measures, and $c_o$

Paul Lewis and Polly Schulle

Abstract. The Banach spaces L(X, Y), K(X, Y),  $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ , and  $K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$  are studied to determine when they contain the classical Banach spaces  $c_o$  or  $\ell_{\infty}$ . The complementation of the Banach space K(X, Y) in L(X, Y) is discussed as well as what impact this complementation has on the embedding of  $c_o$  or  $\ell_{\infty}$  in K(X, Y) or L(X, Y). Results of Kalton, Feder, and Emmanuele concerning the complementation of K(X, Y) in L(X, Y) are generalized. Results concerning the complementation of the Banach space  $K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$  in  $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$  are also explored as well as how that complementation affects the embedding of  $c_o$  or  $\ell_{\infty}$  in  $K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$  or  $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ . The  $\ell_p$  spaces for  $1 = p < \infty$  are studied to determine when the space of compact operators from one  $\ell_p$  space to another contains  $c_o$ . The paper contains a new result which classifies these spaces of operators. A new result using vector measures is given to provide more efficient proofs of theorems by Kalton, Feder, Emmanuele, Emmanuele and John, and Bator and Lewis.

### 1 Introduction

If each of *X* and *Y* is a real, infinite dimensional Banach space, L(X, Y) is the space of all continuous linear transformations (operators)  $T: X \to Y$ , and  $\mathcal{J}$  is a proper operator ideal, then is  $\mathcal{J}$  complemented in L(X, Y)? This question has long been of interest to functional analysts. Particular attention has been paid to the case when  $\mathcal{J} = K(X, Y) :=$  the space of compact operators from *X* to *Y*. See Emmanuele and John [6] for an historical perspective and a guide to the extensive literature on this topic.

Since Kalton presented his results at the Gregynog Colloquium in 1972 and published these results for the broader mathematical community [11], his results and techniques have been the primary tools used by researchers in this area. The sharpest *complementation* results for K(X, Y) are as follows. Kalton [11] showed that if  $\ell_1$ is complemented in X, then K(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y). Appealing to results in [11], Feder [7] showed that K(X, Y) is not complemented if there is a non-compact operator  $T: X \to Y$  which has an unconditional compact expansion. Feder [8] subsequently showed that if  $c_o \hookrightarrow Y$ , then K(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y). Noting that Kalton's hypothesis, as well as both hypotheses of Feder, implied that  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(X, Y)$ , Emmanuele [5] and John [10] showed that if  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(X, Y)$ , then K(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y).

In the next section we use vector valued measures to give simple arguments showing that  $c_o$  frequently embeds in K(X, Y) and to unify and extend results in [5,7,8,10,

Received by the editors June 26, 2009; revised August 9, 2009.

Published electronically May 6, 2011.

AMS subject classification: 46B20.

Keywords: spaces of operators, compact operators, complemented subspaces,  $w^* - w$ -compact operators.

11]. In the concluding section we investigate specifically when  $c_o$  embeds as a complemented subspace of  $K(\ell_p, \ell_q)$ . Our notation and terminology are standard. See [2,3] for undefined terms.

# 2 Vector Measures and Spaces of Operators

For the convenience of the reader, we begin with a brief discussion demonstrating that frequently  $c_o$  embeds in K(X, X) and  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow L(X, X)$ . Kalton [11, p. 267] observed that  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow L(\ell_2, \ell_2)$ , and it is not difficult to check that  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(\ell_2, \ell_2)$ . Of course,  $\ell_2$  has an unconditional (Schauder) basis.

More generally, suppose that *X* is an infinite dimensional complemented subspace of *Y* and *X* has an unconditional compact expansion of the identity (*i.e.*,  $T_n \in K(X, X)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n(x)$  converges to *x* unconditionally for each  $x \in X$  [6,11]). Since *X* is infinite dimensional and  $\sum T_n$  is not norm convergent, we may assume that  $||T_n|| \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the finite-cofinite algebra of subsets of **N**, and let  $P: Y \to X$  be a projection. Define  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to K(X, X)$  by

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n \in A} T_n \circ P & \text{if } A \text{ is finite,} \\ \sum_{n \notin A} T_n \circ P & \text{if } \mathbf{N} \setminus A \text{ is finite.} \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that  $\mu$  is finitely additive. Further, since  $\sum T_n(x)$  converges unconditionally to x,  $\mu$  is bounded and  $\mu(\{n\}) \not\rightarrow 0$ . (Thus,  $\mu$  is not strongly additive.) An application of the Diestel–Faires theorem [3, p. 20] shows that  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(Y, Y)$ . An appeal to [11] and explicitly [12] shows that  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow L(Y, Y)$ .

More generally, it is known that if *X* is infinite-dimensional and  $c_o \hookrightarrow L(X, Y)$ , then  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow L(X, Y)$  (see [12]). The conditions permitting  $\ell_{\infty}$  to embed isomorphically into K(X, Y) are quite specific: Kalton [11] showed that  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow K(X, Y)$  if and only if  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow X^*$  or  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow Y$ .

The first theorem in this section is a vector measure generalization of results in [11]. (It is not difficult to see that there are countably many functionals separating the points of L(X, Y) if X is separable and Y is the dual of a separable space.) Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra consisting of all subsets of **N**.

**Theorem 2.1** If  $\mu: \mathcal{P} \to X$  is a bounded, finitely additive vector measure with  $\mu(\{n\}) = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and there are countably many points in  $X^*$  which separate the points in the range of  $\mu$ , then there exists an infinite set  $M \subseteq \mathbf{N}$  so that  $\mu(A) = 0$  for all  $A \subseteq M$ .

**Proof** Since  $\mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$  is uncountable and  $\mathbf{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbf{R}$ , we partition  $\mathbf{N}$  into uncountably many infinite sets  $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta}$  so that  $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$  is finite if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Note that  $\mu(\bigcup_{i \in F} U_i) = \sum_{i \in F} \mu(U_i)$  for all finite subsets F of  $\Delta$ . We assert that there exists  $\alpha \in \Delta$  so that  $\mu(B) = 0$  for all  $B \subseteq U_{\alpha}$ . Suppose not, and for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  choose  $B_{\alpha} \subseteq U_{\alpha}$  so that  $\mu(B_{\alpha}) \neq 0$ . Since there are countably many points in  $X^*$  which separate  $\{\mu(A) : A \in \mathcal{P}\}$ , we may assume that there is an  $x^* \in X^*$  so that  $\|x^*\| = 1$  and  $\{\alpha : x^*\mu(B_{\alpha})\} \neq 0$  is uncountable. Without loss of generality, suppose p > 0 and  $W = \{\alpha \in \Delta : x^*\mu(B_{\alpha}) > p!\}$  is uncountable. If F is a finite subset of W, then card $(F) \cdot p \leq \|\mu\bigcup_{i \in F} B_i)\|$ , and we easily contradict the boundedness of  $\mu$ .

In the sequel, let  $(e_n)$  denote the canonical unit vector basis of  $c_o$  and  $(e_n^*)$  denote the canonical unit vector basis of  $\ell_1$ .

The following argument immediately yields an improvement of [11, Lemma 3].

**Corollary 2.2** Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is a normalized unconditional basic sequence whose closed linear span is complemented in X and S:  $[x_n:n \ge 1] \rightarrow Y$  is an operator so that no subsequence of  $(S(x_n))$  converges. Then K(X,Y) is not complemented in L(X,Y).

**Proof** Let  $Q: X \to [x_n]$  be a projection, let  $J: Y \to \ell_\infty$  be an operator such that J is an isometry on  $[T(x_n):n \ge 1]$ , and let  $(P_A), A \subset \mathbf{N}$  be the family of projections associated with the unconditional basis  $(x_n)$ . Define  $\mu: \mathcal{P} \to L(X, Y)$  by  $\mu(A) = SP_AQ$ . If A is finite,  $\mu(A)$  is compact. Suppose by way of contradiction that  $P: L(X, Y) \to K(X, Y)$  is a projection. Now  $\mu$  and  $P\mu$  are bounded and finitely additive, and  $\mu(\{n\}) - P\mu(\{n\}) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let M be an infinite set such that  $J\mu(M)_{|[x_n]} = JP\mu(M)_{|[x_n]}$ . But  $SP_MQ$  and  $JSP_MQ$  are not compact. Thus, we have a contradiction.

As a specific application of Corollary 2.2 note that if  $\ell_1$  embeds complementably in *X* and *Y* is infinite dimensional, then K(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y).

**Corollary 2.3** If  $c_o \hookrightarrow Y$  and X is infinite dimensional, then K(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y).

**Proof** Let  $L: c_o \to Y$  be an isomorphism, and let  $(P_M)$  be the family of projections associated with the seminormalized and unconditional basic sequence  $(y_n) = (L(e_n))$ . Choose a normalized  $w^*$ -null sequence  $(x_n^*)$  in  $X^*$  [2, Chapter XII], and let  $J: Y \to \ell_\infty$  be an operator so that  $J_{|[y_n]}$  is an isometry. Define

$$S: X \to [y_i: i \ge 1] \subseteq Y$$

by  $S(x) = \sum x_n^*(x)L(e_n)$ . If  $X_o$  is any separable subspace of X that norms  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , then  $JP_M S_{|x_o}$  is compact if and only if M is finite. Suppose that K(X, Y) is complemented in L(X, Y), and let  $P: L(X, Y) \to K(X, Y)$  be a projection. Define  $\mu: \mathcal{P} \to L(X_o, \ell_\infty)$  by  $\mu(A) = JP_A S - JPP_A S$ , and apply Theorem 2.1 to find an infinite set M so that  $JP_M S = JPP_M S$  on  $X_o$ . Since  $JP_M S_{|x_o|}$  is not compact, we have a contradiction.

Analogous to Corollary 2.2 and the italicized statement following it, the proof of Corollary 2.3 immediately produces the following improvement of results in [8].

**Corollary 2.4** If Y contains a seminormalized unconditional basic sequence  $(y_i)$ ,  $(P_M)$  is the family of projections associated with  $(y_i)$ ,  $S: X_o \rightarrow [y_i : i \ge 1]$  is an operator, and  $X_o$  is a separable subspace of X so that  $P_M S_{|_{X_o}}$  is not compact for any infinite subset M, then K(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y).

**Remark** Essentially the only difference in the proof of Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 (Corollary 2.4) involves whether  $S \circ P_M$  or  $P_M \circ S$  is used in defining the operator-valued measure to which Theorem 2.1 is applied.

Moreover, Theorem 2.1 has applications to other operator ideals. For example, suppose  $\ell_1 \stackrel{c}{\hookrightarrow} X$  and  $(y_n)$  is a bounded sequence in Y which has no weakly convergent subsequence. Defining an operator  $S: X \to Y$  and an operator-valued measure

 $\mu$  precisely as in Corollary 2.2 produces the next result. The weakly compact operators from *X* to *Y* are denoted by *W*(*X*, *Y*).

**Corollary 2.5** ([1, Theorem 3]) If  $\ell_1 \xrightarrow{c} X$  and  $W(X, Y) \neq L(X, Y)$ , then W(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y).

**Corollary** 2.6 ([5, 10]) If  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(X,Y)$ , then K(X,Y) is not complemented in L(X,Y).

**Proof** Suppose that  $K(X, Y) \stackrel{c}{\hookrightarrow} L(X, Y)$ . By Corollary 2.3 or Corollary 2.4,  $c_o \nleftrightarrow Y$ . Suppose that  $(T_n)$  is a sequence in K(X, Y) which is equivalent to  $(e_n)$ . Then  $\sum T_n(x)$  is weakly absolutely summable and consequently unconditionally convergent for all  $x \in X$ . Define  $\mu: \mathcal{P} \to L(X, Y)$  by  $\mu(A)(x) = \sum_{n \in A} T_n(x)$ , and let  $\nu = P \circ \mu$ . Since  $\nu(\{n\}) \nleftrightarrow 0$ , the Diestel–Faires theorem ensures that  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow K(X, Y)$ . Therefore,  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow X^*$  (equivalently,  $\ell_1 \stackrel{c}{\hookrightarrow} X$ ) or  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow Y$ . Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3 provide the contradiction that finishes the proof.

An operator  $T: X \to Y$  is said to have an unconditional compact expansion if there exists a sequence  $(T_n)$  in K(X, Y) so that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n(x)$  converges unconditionally to T(x) for all  $x \in X$ . As noted in the introduction, Feder [7] showed the following.

(\*) The existence of a non-compact operator *T* with an unconditional compact expansion implies that K(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y).

Emmanuele observed that the existence of such a non-compact *T* ensures that  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(X, Y)$ . Specifically, if  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the finite-cofinite algebra of subsets of **N** and  $\mu$  is defined by

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n \in A} T_n & \text{if } A \text{ is finite,} \\ -\sum_{n \notin A} T_n & \text{if } \mathbf{N} \setminus A \text{ is finite,} \end{cases}$$

then  $\mu$  is finitely additive, the unconditional convergence of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n(x)$  ensures that  $\mu$  is bounded, and the non-compactness of T ensures that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$  is not Cauchy and that  $\mu$  is not strongly additive. Another application of the Diestel–Faires theorem promises that  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(X, Y)$ .

While Corollary 2.6 certainly subsumes (\*), Feder's result has applications where  $c_o$  is not mentioned explicitly. The next result, a complement to Kalton [11, Lemma 3], follows directly from (\*) and the proof of Corollary 2.2.

**Corollary 2.7** If  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $\ell_p$  is complemented in X, and there exists a noncompact operator  $T: \ell_p \to Y$ , then K(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y).

**3**  $L(\ell_p, \ell_q)$  and  $c_o$ 

As noted earlier in this paper, the list of infinite-dimensional Banach spaces X for which  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(X, X)$  and  $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow L(X, X)$  is extensive. Furthermore, the preceding section suggests that criteria assisting one in determining the presence of  $c_o$  in spaces of operators would be beneficial. Emmanuele provided a useful tool for identifying copies, even complemented copies, of  $c_o$  in spaces of operators [5].

**Theorem 3.1** Let X and Y be Banach spaces satisfying the following assumption: there exists a Banach space G with an unconditional basis  $(g_n)$  and biorthogonal coefficients  $(g_n^*)$  and two operators  $R: G \to Y$  and  $S: G^* \to X^*$  such that  $(R(g_i))$  and  $(S(g_i^*))$  are normalized basic sequences. Then  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(X, Y)$ .

Moreover, if  $(R(g_i))$  and  $(S(g_i^*))$  are basic and Y (or  $X^*$ ) has the Gelfand–Phillips property, then K(X, Y) contains a complemented copy of  $c_o$ .

As an application of this result, Emmanuele observed that if  $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow X$  and  $\ell_p \hookrightarrow Y$  for some  $p \ge 2$ , then  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(X, Y)$  and, of course, K(X, Y) is not complemented in L(X, Y).

We extend Emmanuele's observation in this section. The statement of a generalization of Theorem 3.1 and additional definitions will be helpful in our study.

A bounded subset *A* of *X* is called a limited subset of *X* if every  $w^*$ -null sequence in  $X^*$  tends to zero uniformly on *A*, and *X* has the Gelfand–Phillips property if every limited subset of *X* is relatively compact. Separable Banach spaces have the Gelfand– Phillips property ([14], [2, p. 116]).

The space of all  $w^* - w$  continuous operators  $T: X^* \to Y$  (resp. all compact and  $w^* - w$  continuous operators) is denoted by  $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$  (resp.  $K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ ). Ruess [13] contains a discussion of  $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$  and  $K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ , as well as applications of the following well-known isometries:

$$\begin{split} & L_{w^*}(X^*,Y) \cong L_{w^*}(Y^*,X); \quad K_{w^*}(X^*,Y) \cong K_{w^*}(Y^*,X), (T\mapsto T^*) \\ & W(X,Y) \cong L_{w^*}(X^{**},Y); \quad K(X,Y) \cong K_{w^*}(X^{**},Y), (T\mapsto T^{**}). \end{split}$$

See also Drewnowski [4] for an extension of results in [11] to the space  $K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ . Theorem 3.1 is extended in [9].

**Theorem 3.2** Let X and Y be Banach spaces satisfying the following assumption: there exists a Banach space G with an unconditional basis  $(g_n)$  and biorthogonal coefficients  $(g_n^*)$  and two operators  $R: G \to Y$  and  $S: G^* \to X$  such that  $(R(g_i))$  and  $(S(g_i^*))$  are seminormalized sequences and either  $(R(g_i))$  or  $(S(g_i^*))$  is a basic sequence. Then  $c_o \hookrightarrow K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$  (indeed, in any subspace H of  $L_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$  that contains  $X \otimes_{\lambda} Y$ ).

Moreover, if  $(R(g_i))$  and  $(S(g_i^*))$  are basic and Y (or X) has the Gelfand–Phillips property, then  $K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$  contains a complemented copy of  $c_o$ .

If 1 , then we say <math>p' is conjugate to p if  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ , *i.e.*,  $(\ell_p)^* \cong \ell_{p'}$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Suppose 1 , <math>p' is conjugate to p, and  $S: \ell_p \longrightarrow X$  is a non-compact operator. For  $p' \leq p \leq q$  or  $p \leq p' \leq q$ , if  $R: \ell_q \rightarrow Y$  is a non-compact operator, then  $c_o \hookrightarrow K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ . Furthermore, if X or Y is Gelfand–Phillips (separability is sufficient), then  $c_o \stackrel{c}{\hookrightarrow} K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ . However, if p < q < p', then there exist spaces X and Y and appropriate operators S and R so that  $c_o \nleftrightarrow K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ .

**Proof** Case 1:  $p' \le p \le q$ . Since  $S: \ell_p \to X$  is a non-compact operator, we can find a  $\delta > 0$  and a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $B_{\ell_p}$  such that  $||S(x_n) - S(x_m)|| > \delta$  if  $n \ne m$ . Since  $\ell_p$  is reflexive,  $B_{\ell_p}$  is weakly compact. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume  $(a_n) = (x_n - x_{n+1})$  is weakly null.

Observe that  $||S(a_n)|| > \delta$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $(a_n) \not\rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $(a_n)$  is weakly null and seminormalized. By the Bessaga–Pelycznski selection principle  $(a_n)$  contains a subsequence  $(a_{n_k})$  which is equivalent to a block basic sequence  $(h_n)$  of  $(e_n^p)$ .

Note that  $\ell_p$  is perfectly homogeneous for all  $1 \le p < \infty$ , so we may assume  $(a_n)$  is equivalent to  $(e_n^p)$ . Thus,  $(a_n)$  is basic. Since  $p' \le p$ , there is a natural injection J from  $\ell_{p'}$  into  $\ell_p$  which sends  $(e_n^{p'})$  to  $(a_n)$ . Note that the Bessaga–Pełczyński selection principle also applies to the sequence  $(S(a_n))$ . Hence, we have  $(a_n)$  equivalent to  $(J((e_n^{p'})))$ , and without loss of generality  $(S(J((e_n^{p'})))) = (S(a_n))$  is a seminormalized basic sequence in X.

Similarly, one can find a weakly null, seminormalized sequence  $(b_n)$  equivalent to  $(e_n^q)$  in  $\ell_q$  so that  $(R(b_n))$  is a seminormalized basic sequence in Y. Since  $p \leq q$ , there is a natural injection U from  $\ell_p$  into  $\ell_q$  which sends  $(e_n^p)$  to  $(b_n)$ . Hence, we have  $(b_n)$  equivalent to  $(U((e_n^p)))$ , and without loss of generality  $(R(U((e_n^p)))) = (R(b_n)))$  is a weakly null, seminormalized basic sequence in Y. (The Bessaga–Pełczyński selection principle applies to the sequence  $(R(b_n))$ .) Therefore, by Theorem 3.2,  $c_o \hookrightarrow K_{w^*}(X^*, Y)$ .

*Case 2:*  $p \le p' \le q$ . Repeat the argument for Case 1.

*Case 3:* p < q < p'. Since p < q < p', every operator from  $\ell_q$  to  $\ell_p$  is compact and every operator from  $\ell_{p'}$  to  $\ell_q$  is compact, *i.e.*,  $K_{w^*}((\ell_p)^*, \ell_q) = K(\ell_{p'}, \ell_q) = L(\ell_{p'}, \ell_q)$ . In fact, this space of compact operators is reflexive. Thus  $c_o$  cannot embed in  $K_{w^*}((\ell_p)^*, \ell_q)$ . In this case, let  $X = \ell_p$ ,  $Y = \ell_q$ , and let  $S: \ell_p \to \ell_p$  and  $R: \ell_q \to \ell_q$ be identity operators.

**Corollary 3.4** If  $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow X$  and there exists a  $p \ge 2$  with a non-compact operator  $A: \ell_p \to Y$ , then  $c_o \hookrightarrow K(X,Y)$ .

**Proof** Since  $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow X$ ,  $L_1 \hookrightarrow X^*$  [2, Notes and Remarks, Chapter X]. The Rademacher functions span a copy of  $\ell_2$  in  $L_1$ , and thus  $\ell_2 \hookrightarrow X^*$ . The perfect homogeneity of the unit vector basis of  $\ell_p$  [15] and the non-compactness of the operator *A* produces a non-compact operator *B*:  $\ell_2 \to Y$  (as in the proof of Case 1 of Theorem 3.3). Theorem 3.3 guarantees that  $c_o \hookrightarrow K_{w^*}(X^{**}, Y)$ . The isometry  $K_{w^*}(X^{**}, Y) \cong K(X, Y)$  finishes the argument.

## References

- [1] E. Bator and P. Lewis, *Complemented spaces of operators*. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **50**(2002), no. 4, 413–416.
- J. Diestel, Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 92. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [3] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., Vector Measures. Mathematical Surveys 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [4] L. Drewnowski, *Copies of ℓ<sub>∞</sub> in an operator space*. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 108(1990), no. 3, 523–526. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100069401
- [5] G. Emmanuele, A remark on the containment of  $c_o$  in the space of compact operators. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **111**(1992), no. 2, 331–335. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100075435
- [6] G. Emmanuele, and K. John, Uncomplementability of spaces of compact operators in larger spaces of operators. Czechoslovak Math. J. 47(122)(1997), no. 1, 19–31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1022483919972
- [7] M. Feder, On subspaces of spaces with an unconditional basis and spaces of operators. Illinois J. Math. 24(1980), no. 2, 196–206.

#### P. Lewis and P. Schulle

- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, On the non-existence of a projection onto the space of compact operators. Canad. Math. Bull. 25(1982), no. 1, 78–81. http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1982-011-0
- [9] I. Ghenciu and P. Lewis, Unconditional convergence in the strong operator topology and ℓ<sub>∞</sub>. Glasgow Math. J., FirstView Articles, available on CJO, March 10, 2011. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0017089511000152
- [10] K. John, On the uncomplemented subspace K(X, Y). Czechoslovak Math. J. 42(117)(1992), no. 1, 167–173.
- [11] N. Kalton, Spaces of compact operators. Math. Ann. 208(1974), 267–278. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01432152
- [12] P. Lewis, *Spaces of operators and c<sub>o</sub>*. Studia Math. **145**(2001), no. 3, 213–218. http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/sm145-3-3
- [13] W. Ruess, *Duality and geometry of spaces of compact operators*. In: Functional Analysis: Surveys and Recent Results III. North-Holland Math. Studies 90. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 59-78.
- [14] T. Schlumprecht, Limited Sets in Banach Spaces Dissertation, Munich, 1987.
- [15] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach Spaces. II.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.

Department of Mathematics, University of North Texas, Denton, TX 76203-1430 USA e-mail: lewis@unt.edu

Department of Mathematics, Richland College, Dallas, TX 75243-2199 USA e-mail: PSchulle@dcccd.edu