## COMPACT DOUBLES: TESTING THE LENSING HYPOTHESIS

GOPAL-KRISHNA AND KANDASWAMY SUBRAMANIAN NCRA-TIFR, Poona University Campus, Pune 411007, India.

Abstract. If a compact double (CD) is caused by lensing, the orientation and/or flux ratio of its components can substantially change in  $\approx 1$  year.

## 1. Compact doubles as gravitationally lensed images

Major surveys using VLBA are underway to find lensed radio sources with separations  $\approx 1 - 100$  milliarcseconds (e.g., Patnaik et al. 1995; Wilkinson 1995). Further, it has been suggested that some of the known CDs (Phillips & Mutel 1982) may in fact be such lensed images (e.g., Ostriker 1995). The required lensing agents of  $\sim 10^6 - 10^7 M_{\odot}$  may be remnants of a generation of pregalactic stars or dwarf galaxies. Since the individual components of CDs are  $\approx 1$  mas, the lensed object is likely to be the radio core of a quasar. Such cores are identified with the base of a relativistic jet pointed roughly towards us (Blandford & Konigl 1979), which is itself often resolved by VLBI into one or more bright emission knots apparently separating at superluminal velocities,  $v \sim 5 - 10c$ , from a nucleus near the jet's origin (e.g., Vermeulen & Cohen 1994). We suggest that such superluminal motion of radio knot(s) in the lensed source can lead to significant structural variations in the small-separation images, even on time scales of  $\approx 1$  yr. VLBI monitoring can thus help in distinguishing any (milli-) lensed CD images from genuine CDs.

## 2. Temporal effects in the lensing scenario

For evaluating the temporal changes we concentrate on just the superluminal components (knots); they would dominate the core structure except in the strongly self-absorbed spectral regime. Consider a superluminal knot being multiply imaged by a point-like lens of mass M. The angular positions

379

C. S. Kochanek and J. N. Hewitt (eds), Astrophysical Applications of Gravitational Lensing, 379–380.

<sup>© 1996</sup> IAU. Printed in the Netherlands.

	$\psi = 60^{\circ}$		$\psi = 120^{\circ}$			$\psi = 60^{\circ}$		$\psi = 120^{\circ}$	
t (yr)	$\Phi$ (deg)	R	$\Phi$ (deg)	R	t (yr)	$\Phi$ (deg)	R	$\Phi~({ m deg})$	R
0	0	1.5	0	1.5	3	37	2.4	79	1.7
1	19	1.7	30	1.4	4	41	2.8	90	2.0
2	30	2.0	60	1.5	5	44	3.4	97	2.5

TABLE 1. Evolution of PA ( $\Phi$ ) and flux-ratio (R) for two ejection directions ( $\psi$ ).

of the two images,  $\alpha$ , measured from the lens (which is the origin of our coordinate system) are related to the source position  $\beta$  by :  $\alpha^2 - \beta \alpha - \theta_L^2 = 0$ . Here  $\theta_L = 5(D_{LS}/D_L)^{1/2}(D_S/1000 \text{Mpc})^{-1/2}(M/3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot})^{1/2}$  mas is the Einstein ring radius, and  $D_S$ ,  $D_L$  and  $D_{LS}$  are the source, lens and lenssource angular-diameter distances. For a  $3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  lens located roughly midway between us and a source ~ 1000 Mpc away the image separation  $\Delta \alpha \sim 2\theta_L \sim 10$  mas, which is characteristic of CDs (Carvalho 1985). Another characteristic is the flux ratio of the components,  $R \sim 1$  to 2 (note that  $R \simeq 1.5$  if  $\beta \simeq \theta_L/5$ ).

Now, suppose an emission knot initially located on the x-axis at  $x = x_A$ , moves with  $v = \gamma c$  at an angle  $\psi$  to the x-axis. After a time  $t : \beta^2(t) = x_A^2 + 2lx_A \cos \psi + l^2$ , where  $l = \dot{\theta}t = \gamma ct/D_S$  with  $\dot{\theta} = 0.5(\gamma/8)(D_S/1000 \text{Mpc})^{-1}$ mas/yr. The flux ratio is then:  $R(t) = (2 + u(t)^{1/2} + u(t)^{-1/2})/(u^{1/2} + u^{-1/2} - 2)$ , where  $u = [1 + 4\theta_L^2/\beta^2]$ . The position angle (or PA)  $\Phi(t)$ , of the line joining the two images relative to the x-axis varies as :  $\tan \Phi(t) = (l \sin \psi)/(x_A + l \cos \psi)$ . The evolution of these quantities is given in Table 1, assuming characteristic values  $\theta_L = 5$  mas,  $x_A = \theta_L/5 = 1$  mas and  $\dot{\theta} = 0.5$  mas yr<sup>-1</sup> (see above). Clearly, substantial variations can occur in the PA and R of the CD, if indeed it results from gravitational milli-lensing of a quasar core. Hence, by imaging a CD with VLBI arrays even  $\approx 1$  year apart one can verify if it is merely an illusion caused due to milli-lensing.

## References

Blandford, R.D. & Konigl, A., 1979, ApJ, 232, 34.

Carvalho, J.C., 1985, MNRAS, 215, 463

Ostriker, J., 1995, in Quasars & AGN: High Resolution Radio Imaging, eds. K. Kellermann & M. Cohen (Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.), in press.

Patnaik, A.R., Garrett, M.A., Polatidis, A. & Bagri, D.S., 1995, these proceedings

Phillips, R. B.& Mutel, R. L., 1982, A&A, 106, 21

Vermeulen, R.C. & Cohen, M.H., 1994, ApJ, 430, 467

Wilkinson, P.N., 1995, in Quasars & AGN: High Resolution Radio Imaging, eds. K. Kellermann & M. Cohen (Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.), in press