

A NOTE ON THE FIBONACCI QUOTIENT $F_{p-\epsilon}/p$.

BY
H. C. WILLIAMS

ABSTRACT. In this note a formula analogous to Eisenstein's well known formula is presented for $F_{p-\epsilon}/p$, where F_n is the n th Fibonacci number ($F_0=0, F_1=1$), p an odd prime, and

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5} \\ -1 & p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}. \end{cases}$$

This formula is:

$$F_{p-\epsilon}/p \equiv \frac{2}{5} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1-[p/5]} \left(\frac{-1}{k}\right)^k \pmod{p} \quad (p \neq 5).$$

1. **Introduction.** Let F_n be the n th Fibonacci number, where $F_0=0, F_1=1$, and $F_{k+1}=F_k+F_{k-1}$. It is well known that if $p (\neq 5)$ is a prime, then

$$p \mid F_{p-\epsilon}, \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5} \\ -1 & \text{when } p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5} \end{cases}$$

That is, $\epsilon = (5 \mid p)$, where $(a \mid p)$ is the Legendre Symbol. In 1960 Wall [5] posed the problem of whether there exists a prime p such that $p^2 \mid F_{p-\epsilon}$. It is still not known whether such a prime exists although it is known (Williams, unpublished) that it must exceed 10^9 . This problem is analogous to the famous problem concerning the existence of primes p such that

$$2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Here, however, two solutions 1093 and 3511 are known. There are no other solutions for $p < 5.4 \times 10^9$ (Brillhart *et al.* [2]; Lehmer, unpublished).

One rather pretty result concerning the Fermat quotient $(2^{p-1}-1)/p$ is that of Eisenstein (cf. Dickson [3, p. 105]).

$$(1.1) \quad (2^{p-1}-1)/p \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{-1}{k}\right)^k \pmod{p} \quad (p \neq 2).$$

In [1] Andrews found formulae which are analogous to (1.1) for $F_{p-\epsilon}/p$. These results were given as

$$F_{p-1}/p \equiv 2(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{\substack{m=7,5 \pmod{10} \\ |m| < p}} \frac{(m+1 \mid 5)(-1 \mid m)}{p-m} \pmod{p}$$

Received by the editors April 25, 1980.
AMS (1980) Classification numbers: 10A35, 10A10.
Key Words and phrases: Fibonacci numbers.

for $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ and

$$F_{p+1}/p \equiv 2(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \sum_{\substack{m=1,5(\bmod 10) \\ |m| < p}} \frac{(m+1|5)(-1|m)}{p-m} \pmod{p}$$

for $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$. Unfortunately, these rather complicated formulae are not as attractive as the simple formula of (1.1). In this note we present a much simpler formula than those given above for $F_{p-\epsilon}$. Our method of proof is elementary and quite different from that of [1].

2. Preliminary results. Let α, β be the zeros of $x^2 - x - 1$ and let $\{L_n\}$ be the Lucas sequence defined by $L_0 = 2, L_1 = 1, L_{k+1} = L_k + L_{k-1}$. From the Binet formulae,

$$(2.1) \quad L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n$$

$$(2.2) \quad F_n = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta),$$

it is easy to derive the well-known results

$$(2.3) \quad 2L_{n+m} = L_n L_m + 5F_n F_m,$$

$$(2.4) \quad 2F_{n+m} = L_n F_m + F_n L_m,$$

$$(2.5) \quad L_{-n} = (-1)^n L_n, \quad F_{-n} = (-1)^{n+1} F_n,$$

$$(2.6) \quad L_n^2 - 5F_n^2 = 4(-1)^n.$$

In the work that follows we assume that p is an arbitrary but fixed prime which is neither 2 nor 5. From (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5), we see that

$$(2.7) \quad 2L_{p-\epsilon} = 5F_p - \epsilon L_p,$$

$$(2.8) \quad 2F_p = F_{p-\epsilon} + \epsilon L_{p-\epsilon}.$$

On putting $n = p - \epsilon$ in (2.6) and using the fact that $p \mid F_{p-\epsilon}$, we get $L_{p-\epsilon}^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{p^2}$ or

$$(L_{p-\epsilon} - 2)(L_{p-\epsilon} + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Since $L_{p-\epsilon} \equiv 2\epsilon \pmod{p}$ (see for example, Lehmer [4, p. 423]) and $p \nmid (L_{p-\epsilon} - 2, L_{p-\epsilon} + 2)$, we see that

$$(2.9) \quad L_{p-\epsilon} \equiv 2\epsilon \pmod{p^2}.$$

It follows from (2.9) and (2.8) that

$$(2.10) \quad F_{p-\epsilon} \equiv 2\epsilon(F_p - \epsilon) \pmod{p^2}.$$

3. The main result. Since $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $\alpha\beta = -1$, we can put

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha = -\omega - \omega^4, \quad \beta = -\omega^3 - \omega^2,$$

where ω is a primitive 5th root of unity; that is,

$$(3.2) \quad \omega^4 + \omega^3 + \omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0.$$

Put

$$T_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor p/5 \rfloor} \binom{p}{5k+i} \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4),$$

where $\lfloor p/5 \rfloor$ is the largest integer less than $p/5$. We note that

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i=0}^4 T_i = 2^p.$$

We are now able to prove

THEOREM 1. *With the symbols defined above we have*

$$5F_p \equiv \varepsilon(5T_0 - 2^p - 2) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Proof. From (2.1), (2.2), and (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (-\omega^3 - \omega^2 + \omega + \omega^4)F_p &= (\omega + \omega^4)^p - (\omega^3 + \omega^2)^p \\ &= \omega^{4p} \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} \omega^{2i} - \omega^{2p} \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} \omega^i \\ &= \omega^{-p}(T_0 + \omega^2 T_1 + \omega^4 T_2 + \omega T_3 + \omega^3 T_4) \\ &\quad - \omega^{2p}(T_0 + \omega T_1 + \omega^2 T_2 + \omega^3 T_3 + \omega^4 T_4) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -L_p &= \omega^{-p}(T_0 + \omega^2 T_1 + \omega^4 T_2 + \omega T_3 + \omega^3 T_4) \\ &\quad + \omega^{2p}(T_0 + \omega T_1 + \omega^2 T_2 + \omega^3 T_3 + \omega^4 T_4). \end{aligned}$$

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, we get

$$(3.4) \quad -L_p = 2T_3 + \omega^2(T_0 + T_4) + \omega(T_1 + T_4) + \omega^4(T_0 + T_2) + \omega^3(T_2 + T_1)$$

and

$$(-\omega^3 - \omega^2 + \omega + \omega^4)F_p = \omega^2(T_4 - T_0) + \omega(T_1 - T_4) + \omega^4(T_0 - T_2) + \omega^3(T_2 - T_1).$$

Thus,

$$\omega^2(T_4 - T_0 + F_p) + \omega(T_1 - T_4 - F_p) + \omega^4(T_0 - T_2 - F_p) + \omega^3(T_2 - T_1 + F_p) = 0.$$

Since (3.2) is irreducible, we can only have

$$(3.5) \quad F_p = T_0 - T_4 = T_1 - T_4 = T_0 - T_2 = T_1 - T_2$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad T_2 = T_4, \quad T_0 = T_1.$$

Hence, from (3.3) and (3.6), we get

$$(3.7) \quad T_3 + 2T_0 + 2T_2 = 2^p$$

and from (3.4), (3.6), and (3.7), we have

$$(3.8) \quad L_p = 5(T_0 + T_2) - 2^{p+1}.$$

Since $\varepsilon = 1$, we find from (2.7), (2.9), (3.5), and (3.8) that

$$(3.9) \quad 5T_2 \equiv 2^p - 2 \pmod{p^2}.$$

The result of the theorem now follows from (3.5) and (3.9).

It can be shown in a similar manner that this same result is true for $p \equiv 2, 3, 4 \pmod{5}$. \square

We are now able to give our main result as

THEOREM 2. *If p is any prime except 2 or 5, then*

$$(3.10) \quad F_{p-\varepsilon}/p \equiv \frac{2}{5} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1-[p/5]} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. From (2.10) and the result of Theorem 1, we have

$$(3.11) \quad F_{p-\varepsilon} \equiv \frac{2}{5}(5(T_0 - 1) - 2^p - 2) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Since

$$\binom{p}{i} \equiv \frac{p}{i} (-1)^{i+1} \pmod{p^2} \quad (0 < i < p)$$

and

$$T_0 - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{[p/5]} \binom{p}{5k},$$

we see that

$$5(T_0 - 1) \equiv p \sum_{k=1}^{[p/5]} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \pmod{p^2}$$

Using this result together with (1.1) and (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{p-\varepsilon}/p &\equiv \frac{2}{5} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^{[p/5]} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \right) \\ &\equiv \frac{2}{5} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1-[p/5]} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \pmod{p}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

This result (3.10) is much simpler than the results given by Andrews and seems to be more strictly analogous to (1.1). Unfortunately, the method of proof here made use of very special properties of the Fibonacci sequence. It is

not known whether simple results, results similar to (1.1) or (3.10) exist for other Lucas sequences such as the Pell sequence.

REFERENCES

1. G. H. Andrews, *Some Formulae for the Fibonacci sequence with generalizations*, *Fib. Quart.*, **7** (1969), 113–130.
2. J. Brillhart, J. Tonascia, and P. Weinberger, *On the Fermat quotient*, *Computers in Number Theory*, Academic Press, London and New York, 1971, pp 213–222.
3. L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. 1, Chelsea, New York, 1952.
4. D. H. Lehmer, *An extended theory of Lucas' functions*, *Annals of Math. (2)* **31** (1930), 419–448.
5. D. D. Wall, *Fibonacci series modulo m* , *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **67** (1960), 525–532.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA
WINNIPEG, MANITOBA, R3T 2N2
CANADA.