

# Duality for automorphisms on a compact $C^*$ -dynamical system

DAVID E. EVANS\* AND AKITAKA KISHIMOTO†‡

University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, England

(Received 7 May 1986 and revised 15 June 1987)

## 1. Introduction

When considering an action  $\alpha$  of a compact group  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , the notion of an  $\alpha$ -invariant Hilbert space in  $A$  has proved extremely useful [1, 4, 8, 14, 17, 18]. Following Roberts [13] a Hilbert space in (a unital algebra)  $A$  is a closed subspace  $H$  of  $A$  such that  $x^*y$  is a scalar for all  $x, y$  in  $H$ . For example if  $G$  is abelian, and  $\alpha$  is ergodic in the sense that the fixed point algebra  $A^\alpha$  is trivial, then  $A$  is generated as a Banach space by a unitary in each of the spectral subspaces

$$A^\alpha(\gamma) = \{x \in A: \alpha_g(x) = \langle g, \gamma \rangle x, g \in G\}, \quad \gamma \in \hat{G},$$

which are then invariant one dimensional Hilbert spaces. If  $G$  is not abelian, then Hilbert spaces (which are always assumed to be invariant) do not necessarily exist, even for ergodic actions. For non-ergodic actions, it is also desirable to relax the requirement to  $x^*y$  being a constant multiple of some positive element of  $A^\alpha$ . More generally, if  $\gamma$  is a finite dimensional matrix representation of  $G$  and  $n$  is a positive integer, we define  $A_n^\alpha(\gamma)$  to be the subspace

$$\{x \in A \otimes M_{nd}: (\alpha_g \otimes 1)x = x(1 \otimes \gamma_g), \quad g \in G\},$$

where  $d$  is the dimension  $d(\gamma)$  of  $\gamma$ , and  $M_{nd}$  denotes  $n \times d$  complex matrices. (Usually we will denote the extended action of  $\alpha_g$  to  $\alpha_g \otimes 1$  on  $A \otimes M_{nd}$  again by  $\alpha_g$ .) Let  $A^\alpha(\gamma) = \{x_i: (x_i) \in A_n^\alpha(\gamma)\}$ .

If  $x, y \in A_n^\alpha(\gamma)$ , then  $xy^* \in A^\alpha \otimes M_n$ , but  $x^*y$  is not necessarily in  $A^\alpha \otimes M_d$ , even for ergodic actions. For ergodic actions, the situation of full multiplicity, where there exists a unitary in  $A_d^\alpha(\gamma)$ , has been studied by Wasserman [18]. Techniques exist for handling  $C^*$ -dynamical systems, where Hilbert spaces exist in this sense, or at least when there is one non-zero  $x$  in  $A_n^\alpha(\gamma)$  for some  $n$ , and  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ , such that  $x^*x = 1$  or more generally  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \otimes 1$ , [3, 8]. (If such  $x$  exists, the space spanned by the  $d$  column vectors of  $x$  is a Hilbert space.) Note also that Araki *et al.* [1, 17] avoided such difficulties for von Neumann algebras, by stabilising for example.

\* Current address: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University College of Swansea, Singleton Park, Swansea, SA2 8PP, Wales.

† Science and Engineering Research Council Senior Visiting Fellow.

‡ Permanent address: Department of Mathematics, College of General Education, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan.

Our first result, namely theorem 2.1 can be regarded as a technique for generating Hilbert spaces. Let  $\alpha$  be an action of a compact group  $G$  on a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , for which there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant pure state  $\omega$  with GNS triple  $(\pi, H, \Omega)$ . If  $H^u(\gamma)$  are spectral subspaces for the induced action  $u$  of  $G$  on  $H$ ,  $\rho$  the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $A^\alpha$ , we let  $J_\gamma^\circ = J_\gamma$  denote the ideal  $\ker(\rho|_{H^u(\gamma)})$ , if  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ . Then we show in § 2 that for any  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\gamma$ , there exists  $x \in \overline{bA_d^\alpha(\gamma)}$  such that  $x^*x \in (A^\alpha \setminus J_\iota) \otimes 1$ , where  $\iota$  denotes the trivial representation. In [8], a  $\Gamma$ -spectrum was introduced which was useful in obtaining a covariant version of Glimm’s theorem on non-type I  $C^*$ -algebras. In theorem 2.5, we characterise such a  $\Gamma$ -spectrum in terms of the kernels  $\{J_\gamma, \gamma \in \hat{G}\}$ . More precisely, if there exists a pure invariant state  $\omega$  as before, let  $\Gamma_\omega$  denote

$$\{\gamma \in \hat{G} : \forall b, c \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\iota, \exists x \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)c}, \text{ such that } x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\iota \otimes 1\}.$$

If in addition to  $A$  being separable,  $A^\alpha/J_\iota$  has no minimal projections, then

$$\Gamma_\omega = \{\gamma \in \hat{G} : J_\gamma \subset J_\iota\}.$$

This could be used to compute the  $\Gamma$ -spectrum in certain situations, e.g. for product type actions on UHF algebras (cf. [8, proposition 4.1]).

Versions of Tannaka duality in an operator algebraic context have been obtained in [1, 17, 10, 15, 2]. Suppose  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of a von Neumann algebra  $M$ , on which there is an action  $\alpha$  of a compact group  $G$  such that  $\sigma|_{M^\alpha} = id$ . Then it is shown in [1, 17] that if there exists an action  $\tau$  of a group  $H$  which commutes with  $\alpha$ , and is ergodic in the sense that the fixed point algebra  $M^\tau$  is trivial, then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma = \alpha(g)$ . In particular, if  $M \cap (M^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}$ , then we could take  $\tau$  to be the action of the unitary group of  $M^\alpha$  by inner automorphisms. In [10, 15, 2]  $C^*$ -versions of Tannaka duality have been obtained for an automorphism  $\sigma$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , which is trivial on the fixed point algebra  $A^\alpha$  of an action  $\alpha$  of a compact group  $G$ . If  $\alpha$  commutes with an action  $\tau$  which is ergodic in the sense of being topologically transitive [10] when  $G$  is abelian, or strongly topologically transitive [2] when  $G$  is not necessarily abelian, then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma = \alpha(g)$ . In § 3 and § 4 we prove versions of Tannaka duality in  $C^*$ -settings, partly through exploiting the techniques of § 2 in manufacturing Hilbert spaces. Suppose  $\alpha$  is an action of a compact group  $G$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , and  $\sigma$  an automorphism of  $A$  such that  $\sigma|_{A^\alpha} = id$ . Then we show that there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma = \alpha(g)$  in each of the following situations:

- (a) (THEOREM 3.1). *A is separable and simple. There is a non-empty family P of  $\alpha$ -invariant pure states such that if  $J_P = \bigcap_{\varphi \in P} J_\varphi^\circ$ ,  $A^\alpha/J_P$  contains no minimal projections and for all  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ ,  $b, c \in A^\alpha \setminus J_P$ , there exists  $x \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)c}$  such that  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_P \otimes 1$ .*
- (b) (THEOREM 3.4). *There exists a faithful irreducible representation  $\pi$  of A such that  $\pi(A)'' = \pi(A^\alpha)''$ .*
- (c) (THEOREM 4.1). *G is abelian, A is simple,  $A^\sigma$  is prime, and  $M(A) \cap (A^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}1$ .*

Note that under the hypotheses of theorem 3.4, the unitary group of  $M(A^\alpha)$  acts strongly topologically transitive on  $A$ , and so theorem 3.4 could be deduced from

[2]; (see [5]). However the interest in our proof is that we actually manufacture Hilbert spaces (see lemma 3.7).

The  $C^*$ -algebras studied in this paper are inherently non-type I. In [5] a systematic study is made for abelian group actions of the relations between the covariant version of Glimm's theorem in [8], the existence of pure invariant states in (a), its antithesis, namely the existence of highly non-covariant representations in (b), topological transitivity of the unitary group of  $M(A^\alpha)$  in (c), and duality.

2

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an action of a compact group  $G$  on a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Suppose there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant pure state  $\omega$  of  $A$ , and define a unitary representation  $u$  of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}_\omega$  by  $u_g \pi_\omega(x) \Omega_\omega = \pi_\omega \circ \alpha_g(x) \Omega_\omega$ ,  $x \in A$ . Denote by  $\rho$  the restriction of  $\pi_\omega$  to  $A^\alpha$ , and  $P_\gamma$  the spectral projection of  $u$  corresponding to  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ , and let  $J_\gamma = \ker(\rho|_{P_\gamma \mathcal{H}_\omega})$ . Then for any  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\gamma$ , there exists  $x \in \overline{bA_d^\alpha(\gamma)}$  such that*

$$x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\iota \otimes 1,$$

where  $\iota$  denotes the trivial representation of  $G$ , and  $d = \dim(\gamma)$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\gamma$ , and  $B$  be the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A \otimes M_d$  generated by  $\{x^*x : x \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)\}$ . Then  $B \cap (A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1) \not\subset J_\iota$ .*

*Proof.* We identify  $a \in A$  with  $a \otimes 1$  in  $A \otimes M_d$ . Then  $A_1^\alpha(\gamma)A^\alpha \subset A_1^\alpha(\gamma)$ , and so  $A^\alpha B A^\alpha \subset B$ .

If  $p$  is the open projection of  $(A \otimes M_d)^{**}$  corresponding to  $B$ , then

$$S = \{\varphi : \text{pure state of } A \otimes M_d, \varphi(p) = 0\}$$

is the set of pure states  $\varphi$  of  $A \otimes M_d$  such that  $\varphi|_B = 0$ . Hence  $B_+$  coincides with

$$\{x \in (A \otimes M_d)_+ : \varphi(x) = 0, \text{ for all } \varphi \in S\}, \tag{*}$$

for if  $x \in$  the set (\*), then  $\psi[(1-p)x(1-p)] = 0$  for all states  $\psi$  on  $A \otimes M_d$ , and so  $x(1-p) = 0$ , and  $x = p x p \in (A \otimes M_d) \cap p(A \otimes M_d)^{**} p = B$ . Define

$$I = \bigcap_{\varphi \in S} \text{Ker } \pi_{\varphi|_{A^\alpha}},$$

which is an ideal of  $A^\alpha$ . If  $x \in I_+$ , then  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in S$ , and so  $x \in B$ , i.e.  $I \subset B$ . Conversely, if  $x \in B \cap A^\alpha$ , then  $axa' \in B \cap A^\alpha$ , for  $a, a' \in A^\alpha$ , and so  $\varphi(axa') = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in S$ . Hence  $x \in \text{Ker } \pi_{(\varphi|_{A^\alpha})}$ , i.e.  $x \in I$ . Thus  $I = B \cap A^\alpha$ . Suppose  $I \subset J_\iota$ . Then  $\omega|_{A^\alpha}$  can be regarded as a state of  $(\bigoplus_{\varphi \in S} \pi_{(\varphi|_{A^\alpha})}(A^\alpha))$ . Since  $\omega|_{A^\alpha}$  is pure, it is a weak\*-limit of some net  $\varphi_\nu$  of vector states of  $(\bigoplus_{\varphi \in S} \pi_{(\varphi|_{A^\alpha})}(A^\alpha))$  on  $\bigoplus_{\varphi \in S} \mathcal{H}_{(\varphi|_{A^\alpha})}$ , [9]. For each  $\nu$ , there exist  $\xi_\nu^\varphi \in [\pi_\varphi(A^\alpha)\Omega_\varphi]^-$  such that  $\sum_{\varphi \in S} \|\xi_\nu^\varphi\|^2 = 1$ , and

$$\varphi_\nu(a) = \sum_{\varphi \in S} \langle \pi_\varphi(a)\xi_\nu^\varphi, \xi_\nu^\varphi \rangle, \quad a \in A^\alpha (= A^\alpha \otimes 1).$$

Define a state  $\overline{\varphi}_\nu$  on  $A \otimes M_d$  by

$$\overline{\varphi}_\nu(x) = \sum_{\varphi \in S} \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\xi_\nu^\varphi, \xi_\nu^\varphi \rangle, \quad x \in A \otimes M_d.$$

Since for  $x \in B$  and  $a \in A^\alpha$ ,  $a^*x^*xa \in B$ , one has  $\pi_\varphi(x)\pi_\varphi(a)\Omega_\varphi = 0$ , for any  $\varphi \in S$ . Hence  $\pi_\varphi(x)\xi_\nu^\varphi = 0$ , for  $x \in B$ , and so  $\overline{\varphi}_\nu|_B = 0$ . Let  $\psi$  be a weak\*-limit point of  $\{\varphi_\nu\}$ .

Then  $\psi|_{A^\alpha} = \omega|_{A^\alpha}$ , and  $\psi|_B = 0$ . Hence  $\omega = \int (\psi|_A) \circ \alpha_g dg$ , and since  $\omega$  is pure, we must have  $\omega = \psi|_A$ . Hence there exists a state  $f$  of  $M_d$  such that  $\omega \otimes f = \psi$ , [16]. Now we show that  $(\omega \otimes f)|_B \neq 0$ , a contradiction.

Since  $bb^* \in A^\alpha \setminus J_{\overline{\gamma}}$ , there are positive continuous functions  $h_1, h_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $h_1(0) = h_2(0) = 0$ ,  $h_1 h_2 = h_2$ , and  $h_1(bb^*), h_2(bb^*) \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\gamma$ . Since  $V = [\pi_\omega(h_2(bb^*))P_\gamma \mathcal{H}_\omega]^\perp$  is a non-zero  $u$ -invariant subspace of  $P_\gamma \mathcal{H}_\omega$ , there exists a set  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$  of unit vectors such that  $\xi_i \in V$  and

$$u_g \xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_{ji}(g) \xi_j.$$

By Kadison’s transitivity theorem, there is an  $x_0 \in A$  such that  $\|x_0\| = 1$ ,  $\pi_\omega(x_0)\Omega_\omega = \xi_1$ ,  $\pi_\omega(x_0^*)\xi_1 = \Omega_\omega$  and  $\pi_\omega(x_0^*)\xi_i = 0$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, d$ , since  $(\Omega_\omega, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$  is an orthonormal family. Define

$$x_j = d \int \overline{\gamma_{j1}(g)} \alpha_g(x_0) dg.$$

Then  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in A_1^\alpha(\gamma)$ , and

$$\pi_\omega(x_j)\Omega_\omega = \xi_j, \quad \pi_\omega(x_j^*)\xi_i = \delta_{ij}\Omega_\omega.$$

Since  $\pi_\omega(h_1(bb^*))\xi_i = \xi_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , this implies that

$$\pi_\omega(x_i^* h_1(bb^*)^2 x_j)\Omega_\omega = \delta_{ij}\Omega_\omega.$$

Thus since  $y = h_1(bb^*)x \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)}$ , one obtains that  $y^*y \in B$ ,  $(\omega \otimes f)(y^*y) = 1$ , and so  $(\omega \otimes f)|_B \neq 0$ . (In fact letting  $\{z_k\}$  be a decreasing sequence of positive elements of  $A^\alpha$  such that  $\|z_k a z_k - \omega(x)z_k^2\| \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \in A$  and  $\omega(z_k) = 1$ , [11], one has that  $yz_k \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)}$ ,  $\|z_k y^* y z_k\| \rightarrow 1$ , and  $(\omega \otimes f)(z_k y^* y z_k) = 1$ . This implies  $\|(\omega \otimes f)|_B\| = 1$ ). This contradiction leads to the conclusion that  $I \notin J_i$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\gamma$ , and  $B$  be the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A \otimes M_d$  generated by  $\{x^*x : x \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)\}$ . Then

$$\left\{ a \otimes 1 \in A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1 : \exists x_i \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)}, \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* x_i = a \otimes 1 \right\}$$

is dense in the positive part of  $B \cap (A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \otimes 1$  be a non-zero positive element of  $B \cap (A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1)$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $x_i, y_i \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)$  and  $z_i \in A \otimes M_d$  such that

$$\left\| a \otimes 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* z_i y_i \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Define  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $f(t) = \max(t - \delta, 0)$ , for  $\delta \in (\varepsilon, \|a\|)$ , and we shall show that  $f(a) \otimes 1$  is of the form  $\sum x_i^* x_i$ , which completes the proof since  $\|a - f(a)\| \leq \delta$ . Let  $p$  be the spectral projection of  $a$  corresponding to  $[\delta, \|a\|]$ . Since

$$\left\| pap \otimes 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (p \otimes 1) x_i^* z_i y_i (p \otimes 1) \right\| < \varepsilon$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} pap \otimes 1 &\leq \frac{\|a\|}{2(\delta - \varepsilon)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (p \otimes 1)(x_i^* z_i y_i + y_i^* z_i^* x_i)(p \otimes 1) \right\} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \{ (p \otimes 1)x_i^* x_i (p \otimes 1) + (p \otimes 1)y_i^* y_i (p \otimes 1) \}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $C = (\max_{i=1}^n \|z_i\|) \|a\| / 2(\delta - \varepsilon)$ .

Letting  $g(t) = f(t)^{1/2} t^{-1/2}$  for  $t > 0$ , and  $g(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ , and multiplying  $g(a)$  from both sides of the above inequality we obtain:

$$f(a) \otimes 1 \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (g(a) \otimes 1)(x_i^* x_i)(g(a) \otimes 1),$$

where  $x_{n+i} = y_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $x_i g(a) \otimes 1 \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)$ , the conclusion of Lemma 2.3 follows from Lemma 2.4: □

LEMMA 2.4. *Suppose  $a$  is a positive element of  $A^\alpha$ , and  $b$  an element of  $A^\alpha$  such that there exist  $x_i \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , with  $a \otimes 1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* x_i$ . Then there exist  $y_i \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that*

$$a \otimes 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^* y_i.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in A_n^\alpha(\gamma)$$

and  $x = (xx^*)^{1/2} u$  be the polar decomposition of  $x$  in  $A^{**} \otimes M_{nd}$ , where  $M_{nd}$  is the space of  $n \times d$  matrices,  $uu^*$  is the support projection of  $(xx^*)^{1/2}$  in  $A^{**} \otimes M_n$ , and  $u \in A_n^\alpha(\gamma)^{**}$ . Let  $B_1$  be the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A \otimes M_n$  generated by  $xx^*$ , and  $B_2$  the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A \otimes M_d$  generated by  $x^*x$ . We then have an isomorphism of  $B_1$  onto  $B_2$  defined by

$$z \in B_1 \rightarrow u^* z u \in B_2.$$

If  $z = (xx^*)^{1/2} y (xx^*)^{1/2}$ , with  $y \in A \otimes M_n$ , then  $u^* z u = u^* (xx^*)^{1/2} y (xx^*)^{1/2} u = x^* y x \in B_2$ . Hence  $u^* B_1 u \subset B_2$  as  $(xx^*)^{1/2} A \otimes M_n (xx^*)^{1/2}$  is dense in  $B_1$ . Similarly, one can show  $u B_2 u^* \subset B_1$ .

Since  $a \otimes 1 \leq x^* x$ , one has  $a \otimes 1 \in B_2$ , and

$$a \otimes 1 = (a^{1/2} \otimes 1) u^* u (a^{1/2} \otimes 1).$$

Moreover, as  $y = u (a^{1/2} \otimes 1) \in A_n^\alpha(\gamma)^{**}$ , the lemma will follow, if we can show that  $y \in A \otimes M_{nd}$ . This follows since  $u$  is a multiplier in the sense that  $u B_2 \subset A \otimes M_{nd}$ , and  $B_1 u \subset A \otimes M_{nd}$ . Hence  $y \in A \otimes M_{nd}$ , and writing

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix},$$

one obtains  $a \otimes 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^* y_i$ . Since  $yy^* = u(a \otimes 1)u^* \in B_1$ , and  $B_1 \subset bAb^* \otimes M_n$ , one has that  $y_i y_i^* \in \overline{bAb^*}$ , i.e.  $y_i \in \overline{bA} \otimes M_{1d}$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* By lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we see that for any  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\gamma$ , there exists  $x \in \overline{bA_n^\alpha(\gamma)}$  such that  $x^*x \in (A^\alpha \setminus J_i) \otimes \mathbb{C}1$ . Let  $n$  be the smallest possible integer for which there exists  $a \in (A^\alpha \setminus J_i)_+$  and  $x_i \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)}$  such that  $a \otimes 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* x_i$ . Take such  $a$  and  $x_i$ , and we may assume that there exists  $a', a'' \in (A^\alpha \setminus J_i)_+$  such that  $aa' = a', a'a'' = a'', \|a\| = 1$ . Since  $\rho(a')\rho(a'') = \rho(a'') \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Ker}(\rho(a') - 1) \neq 0$ , and so by Kadison’s transitivity theorem, we can find  $v$  in  $A^\alpha$  such that  $\rho(v)\Omega \in \text{Ker}(\rho(a') - 1)$ , and  $\omega(v^*a'v) = 1$ .

For  $\varphi = \omega(v^* \cdot v)$ , let  $R_\varphi$  be the map of  $A \otimes M_d$  onto  $M_d$  defined by  $R_\varphi[z_{ij}] = [\varphi(z_{ij})]$ ,  $[z_{ij}] \in A \otimes M_d$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R_\varphi(x_i^* x_i) = 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\varphi$  is a pure state of  $A$ , and  $A$  is separable, there exists a decreasing sequence  $z_k$  of positive elements of  $A$  such that  $z_1 = a$ , and the limit of  $z_k$  is the support projection of  $\varphi$ . We may assume that the  $z_k$  are  $\alpha$ -invariant, and  $z_k z_{k+1} = z_{k+1}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then for any  $x \in A$ ,  $\|z_k x z_k - \varphi(x) z_k^2\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , [11]. If  $\|R_\varphi(x_i^* x_i)\| < 1$  for some  $i$ , then for large  $k$ ,  $z_k x_i^* x_i z_k < 1$ . But

$$z_{k+1}^2 - z_{k+1} x_i^* x_i z_{k+1} \geq (1 - \|z_k x_i^* x_i z_k\|) z_{k+1}^2$$

and so from

$$z_{k+1}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n z_{k+1} x_j^* x_j z_{k+1}$$

we deduce

$$z_{k+1}^2 \leq (1 - \|z_k x_i^* x_i z_k\|)^{-1} \sum_{j \neq i} z_{k+1} x_j^* x_j z_{k+1}.$$

This contradicts Lemma 2.4, as  $z_{k+1}^2 \in A^\alpha \setminus J_i$ , and  $x_j z_{k+1} \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)$ .

Hence  $\|R_\varphi(x_i^* x_i)\| = 1$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then as  $R_\varphi(x_i^* x_i)$  is a positive matrix,  $\text{Tr} R_\varphi(x_i^* x_i) \geq 1$ , and so

$$n \leq \text{Tr} \sum_{i=1}^n R_\varphi(x_i^* x_i) = d. \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an action of a compact group  $G$  on a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Suppose there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant pure state  $\omega$  of  $A$ , and define  $J_\gamma, \gamma \in \hat{G}$  as in Theorem 2.1. Let  $\Gamma_\omega$  denote*

$$\{\gamma \in \hat{G}: \forall b, c \in A^\alpha \setminus J_i, \exists x \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)c} \text{ such that } x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_i \otimes 1_{d(\gamma)}\}.$$

*Suppose that  $A^\alpha/J_i$  has no minimal projections. Then*

$$\Gamma_\omega = \{\gamma \in \hat{G}: J_\gamma \subset J_i\}.$$

*Proof.* First we show that  $\Gamma_\omega \subset \{\gamma \in \hat{G}: J_\gamma \subset J_i\}$ . Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma_\omega$ , and  $b \in J_\gamma$ , and  $B$  the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A \otimes M_d$  generated by  $\{x^*x: x \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)\}$ . Then we claim that  $B \cap (A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1) \subset J_i$ , and this is enough to get the conclusion. (For if  $b \notin J_i$ , then

by definition of  $\Gamma_\omega$ , there would exist  $x \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)b} \subset \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)}$  such that  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_i \otimes 1_{d(\gamma)}$ , which implies that  $b \notin J_\gamma$  by the above claim. Consequently  $J_\gamma \subset J_i$ .

Let  $a \otimes 1 \in B \cap (A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1)$ . Then  $a$  is a limit of elements of the form where  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* b^* z_i b y_{i1}$ , where  $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{id})$ ,  $y_i = (y_{id}, \dots, y_{i1}) \in A_1^\alpha(\gamma)$ , and  $z_i \in A$ . Since  $\pi_\omega(y_{i1})P_i\mathcal{H}_\omega \subset P_\gamma\mathcal{H}_\omega$ , and  $\pi_\omega(b)|P_\gamma\mathcal{H}_\omega = 0$ , it follows that  $\pi_\omega(a)|P_i\mathcal{H}_\omega = 0$ , i.e.  $a \in J_i$ . For the reverse inclusion we need:

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $C$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and  $J$  an ideal of  $C$ . Suppose that the quotient  $C/J$  is prime and has no minimal projections. Then for any  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , there exist  $v_1, \dots, v_n, e$  in  $C$  such that  $v_i^*v_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $v_i^*v_i e = e$ , and  $e \notin J$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $C/J$  has no minimal projections, there exists a self adjoint  $h \in C$  such that  $h+J$  has an infinite spectrum in  $C/J$ . By using  $h$  it is shown that there exist positive  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in  $C \setminus J$ , of norm one such that  $a_i a_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . We may suppose that there exists  $b_1 \in (C \setminus J)_+$  such that  $a_1 b_1 = b_1$ , and  $\|b_1\| = 1$ . Let  $v_1 = a_1$ . Now suppose that we have defined  $v_i \in \overline{a_i C} \setminus J$ ,  $b_i \in (C \setminus J)_+$  such that  $v_i^* v_i b_k = b_k$  and  $\|b_i\| = 1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Since  $a_{k+1} C b_k \not\subset J$ , (as  $C/J$  is prime), choose a non-zero  $v_{k+1} \in \overline{a_{k+1} C b_k} \setminus J$ , and assume that  $v_{k+1}^* v_{k+1}$  is a unit for some  $b_{k+1} \in (C \setminus J)_+$  with  $\|b_{k+1}\| = 1$ . Then  $b_{k+1} \in \overline{b_k C b_k}$ , and so  $v_i^* v_i$  is a unit for  $b_{k+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . This concludes the proof with  $e = b_n$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* It only remains to show

$$\Gamma_\omega \supset \{\gamma \in \hat{G} : J_\gamma \subset J_i\}.$$

Let  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  be such that  $J_\gamma \subset J_i$ . Let  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus J_i$ . Now  $A^\alpha/J_i$  is prime, since it has a faithful irreducible representation. Hence applying lemma 2.5 to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C = \overline{bA^\alpha b^*}$  with  $J = J_i \cap C$ , one obtains  $v_1, \dots, v_d, e \in \overline{bA^\alpha b^*}$ , such that  $v_i^* v_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $v_i^* v_i e = e$  and  $e \in \overline{bA^\alpha b^*} \setminus J_i \subset A^\alpha \setminus J_\gamma$ . By theorem 2.1, there exists

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix} \in \overline{eA_d^\alpha(\gamma)},$$

such that  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_i \otimes 1$ . Define

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^d v_i x_i.$$

Then  $y \in A_1^\alpha(\gamma)$ , and  $y^*y = \sum x_i^* v_i^* v_i x_i = \sum x_i^* x_i = x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_i \otimes 1$ . Thus  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

COROLLARY 2.7. *Under the assumptions of theorem 2.1, suppose in addition that  $A^\alpha/J_i$  has no minimal projections. Then for any  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus J_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ , there exists  $x \in \overline{bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)}$  such that  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_i \otimes 1$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from theorem 2.1 and the proof of theorem 2.5. □

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let  $\alpha$  be an action of a compact group  $G$  on a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Assume that there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant pure state on  $A$ , and let  $P$  be a non-empty family of  $\alpha$ -invariant pure states. Define an ideal  $J_\gamma^\varphi$  for each  $\varphi \in P$ ,  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  as in theorem 2.1, and let  $J_i^P = \bigcap_{\varphi \in P} J_i^\varphi$ . Suppose that  $A^\alpha$  is prime and has no minimal projections,*

and  $J_t^P = \{0\}$ . Define

$$\Gamma_P = \{\gamma \in \hat{G} : \forall b \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\}, \exists x \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma) \text{ s.t. } x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1_{d(\gamma)}\}.$$

Then

$$\Gamma_P = \{\gamma \in \hat{G} : J_\gamma^P = \{0\}\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  s.t.  $J_\gamma^P \neq \{0\}$ , and let  $b \in J_\gamma^P \setminus \{0\}$ . Then by the proof of theorem 2.5, the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A \otimes M_d$  generated by  $x^*x$  for  $x \in bA_d^\alpha(\gamma)$  satisfies

$$B \cap (A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1) \subset J_t^\varphi$$

for any  $\varphi \in P$  since  $b \in J_\gamma^P$ . Hence

$$B \cap (A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1) \subset J_t^P = \{0\}.$$

This implies that  $\gamma \notin \Gamma_P$ . Conversely suppose  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ , such that  $J_\gamma^P = \{0\}$ , and let  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $b \notin J_\gamma^\varphi$ , for some  $\varphi \in P$ , and by theorem 2.1, there exists  $x \in bA_d^\alpha(\gamma)$  such that  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_t^\varphi \otimes 1 \subset A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1$ . Thus  $\gamma \in \Gamma_P$ .

3

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group and  $\alpha$  an action of  $G$  on a separable simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Assume that there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant pure state of  $A$  and let  $P$  be a non-empty family of  $\alpha$ -invariant pure states. Define*

$$J_P = \bigcap_{\varphi \in P} \ker \pi_{(\varphi|_{A^\alpha})}$$

and assume that the quotient algebra  $A^\alpha/J_P$  contains no minimal projections. Define

$$\Gamma_P = \{\gamma \in \hat{G} \mid \forall b, c \in A^\alpha \setminus J_P, \exists x \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)c, \text{ s.t. } x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus J_P \otimes 1\}$$

and assume that  $\Gamma_P = \hat{G}$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $A$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x$  for all  $x \in A^\alpha$ . Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma = \alpha_g$ .

*Remark.* When  $G$  is abelian,  $P$  may be chosen so that  $J_P = (0)$ . (Let  $\omega$  be an  $\alpha$ -invariant pure state of  $A$ , and

$$P = \{\omega(a^* \circ a) : a \in A^\alpha(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \hat{G}, \quad \omega(a^*a) = 1\}.$$

Then the condition  $\Gamma_P = \hat{G}$  is equivalent to the Connes spectrum of  $\alpha$  being  $\hat{G}$ .

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Adopt the assumptions of theorem 3.1 and also assume that  $A^\alpha$  is prime and that for any  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  one has  $M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}1$  where  $M(B)$  is the multiplier algebra of  $B$ . If  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $A$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x$  for any  $x \in A^\alpha$ , then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma = \alpha_g$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be a finite-dimensional unitary representation of  $G$  such that for some  $n$  there exists  $x \in A_n^\alpha(u)$  with  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1$ . Then we claim that there is a  $d \times d$  unitary matrix  $\lambda(u)$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x\lambda(u)$  for any  $x \in A_1^\alpha(u)$ , where  $\sigma(x) = (\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_d))$  and  $d$  is the dimension of  $u$ .

Let  $x \in A_n^\alpha(u)$  be such that  $x^*x = a \otimes 1 \in A \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1$ . For small  $\delta > 0$  define a continuous function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq \delta \\ t^{-1/2} & t \geq 2\delta \end{cases}$$

and by linearity elsewhere. Let  $y = xf(a)$  and  $e = f(a)af(a)$ . Then  $y \in A_n^\alpha(u)$  and  $y^*y = e \otimes 1$ . The non-zero hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra

$$B = \{b \in A : eb = be = b\}$$

of  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -invariant, and for  $b \in B^\alpha$ , one has  $yby^* \in A^\alpha \otimes M_d$ . Then since  $yby^* = \sigma(y)b\sigma(y^*)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(y^*)yb &= \sigma(y^*)yby^*y = \sigma(y^*)\sigma(y)b\sigma(y^*)y \\ &= b\sigma(y^*)y. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by  $p$  the open projection corresponding to  $B$ , one obtains that  $\sigma(y^*)yp = p\sigma(y^*)y \in M(B) \otimes M_d \cap (B^\alpha)' \cong M_d$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the matrix over  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\sigma(y^*)yp = \lambda^*p$ . Then for  $b \in B^\alpha$  one has that  $\sigma(yb) = yb\lambda$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(b^*y^*) &= \sigma(y^*yb^*y^*) = \sigma(y^*)yb^*y^* \\ &= \lambda^*b^*y^*. \end{aligned}$$

Further  $\lambda$  is a unitary because  $\lambda\lambda^*p = y^*\sigma(y)\sigma(y^*)yp = y^*yy^*yp = p$ . Define a continuous function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq \delta \\ t^{1/2} & t \geq 2\delta \end{cases}$$

and by linearity elsewhere. Then since  $h(a) \in B$ , and

$$\|x - yh(a)\|^2 = \|a(f(a)h(a) - 1)\|^2 \leq 2\delta,$$

it follows by approximation that for any  $x \in A_n^\alpha(u)$  with  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \otimes 1$ , there exists a  $d \times d$  unitary matrix  $\lambda$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x\lambda$ .

Now fix a non-zero  $x \in A_n^\alpha(u)$  such that  $x^*x = a \otimes 1 \in A^\alpha \otimes 1$ , and let  $\lambda(u)$  be the unitary matrix defined by  $\sigma(x) = x\lambda(u)$ . Let  $y \in A_n^\alpha(u)$ . Then since  $ybx^* \in A^\alpha \otimes M_n$  for any  $b \in A^\alpha$ , it follows that  $ybx^* = \sigma(y)b\lambda(u)^*x^*$ . Multiplying  $x$  from the right one obtains that  $yba = \sigma(y)ba\lambda(u)^*$ , i.e.

$$(\sigma(y) - y\lambda(u))ba = 0$$

for any  $b \in A^\alpha$ . This implies that  $\sigma(y) = y\lambda(u)$  because no non-zero element of  $A$  is orthogonal to the ideal of  $A^\alpha$  generated by  $a$  as  $A^\alpha$  is prime. Since any  $y \in A_1^\alpha(u)$  can be regarded as an element of  $A_n^\alpha(u)$ , this proves the assertion that  $\sigma(y) = y\lambda(u)$  for any  $y \in A_1^\alpha(u)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the set of finite-dimensional unitary matrix representations  $u$  of  $G$  such that there is a non-zero  $x \in A_n^\alpha(u)$  with  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \otimes 1$  for some  $n$ . For each  $u \in \mathcal{R}$  one has a unitary matrix  $\lambda(u)$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x\lambda(u)$  for  $x \in A_1^\alpha(u)$ . Now we claim that  $\mathcal{R}$  is in fact the set of all finite-dimensional unitary representations of  $G$  and that

$\lambda$  satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(u_1 \otimes u_2) &= \lambda(u_1) \otimes \lambda(u_2), \\ \lambda(u_1 \oplus u_2) &= \lambda(u_1) \oplus \lambda(u_2), \\ \lambda(wu_1w^*) &= w\lambda(u_1)w^*, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\lambda(\overline{u_1}) = \overline{\lambda(u_1)}$ , where  $u_i \in \mathcal{R}$ , and  $w$  is a unitary matrix. Then by Tannaka's duality theorem (or by mimicking the proof of theorem 2.4 in [16] directly), one would obtain  $g \in G$  such that  $\lambda(u) = u_g$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since the set of elements  $x_i$ , with  $(x_i) \in A_1^\alpha(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $A$  one would get the conclusion that  $\sigma = \alpha_g$ .

By the assumption that  $\Gamma_P = \hat{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  contains all irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ .

Let  $u_i \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $i = 1, 2$ , and let  $x_i \in A_n^\alpha(u_i)$  be such that  $x_i^*x_i = a_i \otimes 1 \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1$ . We may suppose that there is  $b \in A^\alpha$  such that  $a_1b = b$ ,  $b \geq 0$ , and  $\|b\| = 1$ . Since  $A^\alpha$  is prime, there is  $c \in A^\alpha$  such that  $a_2cb \neq 0$ . Let  $y_1 = x_1(bc^*a_2cb)^{1/2}$  and  $y_2 = x_2cb$ . Then  $y_i \in A_n^\alpha(u_i)$  and

$$y_1^*y_1 = bc^*a_2cb = y_2^*y_2,$$

and hence  $y \equiv y_1 \oplus y_2 \in A_n^\alpha(u_1 \oplus u_2)$ , with  $y^*y \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1$ . This proves that  $u_1 \oplus u_2 \in \mathcal{R}$  and that  $\lambda(u_1 \oplus u_2) = \lambda(u_1) \oplus \lambda(u_2)$ , since  $\sigma(y) = y_1\lambda(u_1) \oplus y_2\lambda(u_2) = (y_1 \oplus y_2)(\lambda(u_1) \oplus \lambda(u_2))$ .

Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}$  and let  $x \in A_n^\alpha(u)$  with  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1$ . Let  $w$  be a  $d(u) \times d(u)$  unitary matrix and let  $y = xw^*$ . Then  $y \in A_n^\alpha(uww^*)$  and  $y^*y = x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1$ . Hence  $uww^* \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\lambda(uww^*) = w\lambda(u)w^*$ , since  $\sigma(y) = \sigma(x)w^* = xw^*w\lambda(u)w^*$ .

The above three properties in particular imply that  $\mathcal{R}$  is the set of all finite dimensional unitary representations of  $G$ .

Let  $u_i \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $i = 1, 2$  and assume that  $u_i$  are irreducible. Let  $x \in A_1^\alpha(u_1)$  be such that  $x^*x = a \otimes 1 \in A^\alpha \setminus J_P \otimes 1$ . We may suppose that there is  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus J_P$  such that  $b \geq 0$  and  $ab = b$ . By the assumption that  $\Gamma_P = \hat{G}$ , there is  $y \in bA_1^\alpha(u_2)$  such that  $y^*y \in A^\alpha \setminus J_P \otimes 1$ . Then  $xy \in A_1^\alpha(u_1 \otimes u_2)$  and  $(xy)^*(xy) = y^*y \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1$ . This proves that  $\lambda(u_1 \otimes u_2) = \lambda(u_1) \otimes \lambda(u_2)$  since

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma(xy))_{ij} &= \sigma(x)_i \sigma(y)_j \\ &= \sum_k x_k \lambda_{ki}(u_1) \sum_l y_l \lambda_{lj}(u_2) \\ &= \sum_{k,l} (xy)_{kl} (\lambda(u_1) \otimes \lambda(u_2))_{kl,ij}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $u_i \in \mathcal{R}$  are not irreducible, we may decompose  $u_i$  into irreducible components and apply the above properties to get the conclusion that  $\lambda(u_1 \otimes u_2) = \lambda(u_1) \otimes \lambda(u_2)$ .

Let  $u \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $x \in A_1^\alpha(u)$  be non-zero. Let  $y = x^{*T}$  where  $T$  denotes transposition. Then  $y \in A_1^\alpha(u)$  and  $\lambda(\bar{u}) = \overline{\lambda(u)}$  since  $\sigma(y) = (\lambda(u)^*x^*)^T = y\lambda(u)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* We have to prove that the two additional assumptions in lemma 3.2 follow automatically from the assumptions of the theorem.

Since  $A$  is separable and  $\text{Sp}(\alpha) = \hat{G}$ ,  $\hat{G}$  must be countable. Let  $\{\gamma_i\}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\hat{G}$  such that each  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  appears infinitely often in  $\{\gamma_i\}$  and let  $\xi_i = \iota \oplus \gamma_i$  where  $\iota$  is the trivial representation of  $G$ . Let  $\beta$  be the infinite product

action  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Ad } \xi_i$  of  $G$  on the UHF algebra  $C = \bigotimes M_{d(\xi_i)}$  where  $d(\xi_i)$  is the dimension of  $\xi_i$ . Then by theorem 3.1 in [8], there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  and a closed  $\alpha^{**}$ -invariant projection  $q \in A^{**}$  such that  $q \in B'$ ,  $qAq = Bq$ , and the  $C^*$ -dynamical systems  $(Bq, G, \alpha^{**}|_{Bq})$  and  $(C, G, \beta)$  are isomorphic.

Let  $\tau$  be the tracial state of  $C$  and define a state  $\omega$  of  $A$  by

$$\omega(x) = \tau(qxq), \quad x \in A,$$

where we identified  $qAq = Bq$  with  $C$ . Then we claim that  $\pi_{\omega}(A)'' \cap \pi_{\omega}(A^{\alpha})' = \mathbb{C}1$ .

Let  $e \equiv \bar{\pi}_{\omega}(q) \in \pi_{\omega}(A^{\alpha})''$ , and let  $c(e)$  be the central support of  $e$  in  $\pi_{\omega}(A^{\alpha})''$ . We first show that  $c(e) = 1$ .

Define a unitary representation  $u$  of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\omega}$  by

$$u_g \pi_{\omega}(x) \Omega_{\omega} = \pi_{\omega} \circ \alpha_g(x) \Omega_{\omega}, \quad x \in A,$$

by using the  $\alpha$ -invariance of  $\omega$ . Then  $c(e)$  commutes with  $u_g$ ,  $g \in G$ , and if  $c(e) \neq 1$ , there exist  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  and a set  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$  of orthonormal vectors in  $(1 - c(e))\mathcal{H}_{\omega}$  such that

$$u_g \xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_{ji}(g) \xi_j,$$

where  $(\gamma_{ij}(g))$  is a matrix representative of  $\gamma$ . Let  $x' \in A$  be such that

$$\|\pi_{\omega}(x') \Omega_{\omega} - \xi_1\| < \varepsilon,$$

for small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and define

$$x_j = d \int \overline{\gamma_{j1}(g)} \alpha_g(x') dg.$$

Then  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in A_1^{\alpha}(\gamma)$  and  $\|\pi_{\omega}(x_j) \Omega_{\omega} - \xi_j\| \leq d\varepsilon$  since

$$\pi_{\omega}(x_j) \Omega_{\omega} - \xi_j = d \int \overline{\gamma_{j1}(g)} u_g (\pi_{\omega}(x') \Omega_{\omega} - \xi_1) dg.$$

Let  $v_n = (v_{n1}, \dots, v_{nd}) \in C_1^{\alpha}(\gamma)$  satisfy that  $\{v_{ni}\}$  is a central sequence in  $C$  and

$$v_{n1}^* v_{n1} = \dots = v_{nd}^* v_{nd} \equiv e_n,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^d v_{ni} v_{ni}^* + e_n = 1,$$

(which can be chosen from the factors  $M_{d(\xi_i)}$  with  $\gamma_i = \gamma$ ). Now  $v_{n1} = u_n q$ , where  $u_n \in B$ . We define

$$u_{nj} = d \int \overline{\gamma_{j1}(g)} \alpha_g(u_n) dg, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

so that  $(u_{n1}, \dots, u_{nd}) \in B_1^{\alpha}(\gamma)$ , and  $u_{nj} q = v_{nj}$ . Hence

$$Q_n = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j v_{nj}^* \in A^{\alpha} q$$

and

$$\bar{\pi}(v_{n1}) \Omega_{\omega} \in e \mathcal{H}_{\omega},$$

$$\bar{\pi}_{\omega}(Q_n) \bar{\pi}_{\omega}(v_{n1}) \Omega_{\omega} = \bar{\pi}_{\omega}(x_1 e_n) \Omega_{\omega}$$

belongs to  $c(e)\mathcal{H}_\omega$ . Then we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \bar{\pi}_\omega(x_1 e_n) \Omega_\omega - \pi_\omega(x_1) \Omega_\omega \|^2 \\ &= \tau(e_n q x_1^* x_1 q e_n) + \tau(q x_1^* x_1 q) - \tau(q_1 x_1^* x_1 q e_n) - \tau(e_n q x_1^* x_1 q), \end{aligned}$$

which converges to  $d(d+1)^{-1} \tau(q x_1^* x_1 q)$  because  $\tau$  is a product state and  $\tau(e_n) = (d+1)^{-1}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \| \pi_\omega(x_1 e_n) \Omega_\omega - \pi_\omega(x_1) \Omega_\omega \| &\geq \| \pi_\omega(x_1 e_n) \Omega_\omega - \xi_1 \| - \| \xi_1 - \pi_\omega(x_1) \Omega_\omega \| \\ &\geq (\| \pi_\omega(x_1 e_n) \Omega_\omega \|^2 + 1)^{1/2} - d\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$d(d+1)^{-1} \tau(q x_1^* x_1 q) \geq \{ ((d+1)^{-1} \tau(q x_1^* x_1 q) + 1)^{1/2} - d\varepsilon \}^2.$$

Since  $|\tau(q x_1^* x_1 q)^{1/2} - 1| < d\varepsilon$ , this is a contradiction for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which implies that  $c(e) = 1$ .

Let  $z \in \pi_\omega(A)'' \cap \pi_\omega(A^\alpha)'$ . Then since  $e\pi_\omega(A)''e = \pi_\omega(B)''e$  and  $e\pi_\omega(A^\alpha)''e = \pi_\omega(B^\alpha)''e$ , one has that  $ze = ez \in \pi_\omega(B)''e \cap \{ \pi_\omega(B^\alpha)''e \}'$  which is trivial by:

$$\pi_\tau(C)'' \cap \pi_\tau(C^\beta)' = \mathbb{C}1.$$

To see this (see also [6]); note that any finite permutation automorphism among the factors in the infinite tensor product  $C = \otimes_{i=1}^\infty M_{d(\varepsilon_i)}$  which commutes with  $\beta$  is implemented by a unitary of  $C^\beta$  [13]. Since those automorphisms leave  $\tau$  invariant, they extend to automorphisms of  $\pi_\tau(C)''$ . Thus any element of  $\pi_\tau(C)'' \cap \pi_\tau(C^\beta)'$  is fixed under those automorphisms, and it is easy to check that they act ergodically on  $\pi_\tau(C)''$  by using the fact that  $\tau$  is a separating factorial state and the permutation group which commutes with  $\beta$  acts ergodically on  $C$ .

Thus there is a  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $ze = \lambda e$ . Since the reduction  $\pi_\omega(A^\alpha)' \rightarrow \pi_\omega(A^\alpha)'e$  is an isomorphism, because  $c(e) = 1$ , one obtains that  $z = \lambda 1$ , i.e.  $\pi_\omega(A)'' \cap \pi_\omega(A^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}1$ , as claimed. □

LEMMA 3.3 [12, lemma 2.1]. *If  $N \subset M$  are non Neumann algebras and  $f$  a projection in  $N$ , then  $(N_f)' \cap M_f = (N' \cap M)_f$ .*

Let  $B$  be an  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ . Then we claim that  $M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}$ . By simplicity of  $A$ ,  $\pi_\omega$  is faithful on  $A$ , and hence so is  $\rho = \pi_\omega|_B$ , on  $fH_\omega$  where  $f = \pi_\omega(e_B)$  and  $e_B$  is the open projection for  $B$ . Moreover,  $\bar{\rho}$ , the unique extension of  $\rho$  to  $B^{**}$  is faithful on  $M(B)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}(M(B)) \cap \rho(B^\alpha)' &\subset \bar{\rho}(B^{**}) \cap \rho(B^\alpha)' \\ &= fMf \cap (fM^{\bar{\alpha}}f)' \end{aligned}$$

where  $M = \pi_\omega(A)''$ , and  $\bar{\alpha}$  denotes the unique extension of  $\alpha$  to  $M$ . Since  $M \cap (M^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}$ , it follows from lemma 3.3, that  $M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}$ .

By using that  $\pi_\omega(A)'' \cap \pi_\omega(A^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}1$  and the faithfulness of  $\pi_\omega$  it follows that  $A^\alpha$  is prime. This completes the proof of theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $G$  be a compact group and  $\alpha$  an action on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Assume that there exists a faithful irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  such that  $\pi(A)'' = \pi(A^\alpha)''$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $A$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x$  for all  $x \in A^\alpha$ . Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma = \alpha_g$ .*

*Remark.* If we further assume that  $A$  is simple, separable, and unital, and that there exists an automorphism  $\tau$  of  $A$  such that  $\|\tau^n(x)y - y\tau^n(x)\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x, y \in A$ , then there exists an irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  such that  $\pi(A)'' = \pi(A^\alpha)''$  (see theorem 2.1 in [7]). Hence the present theorem gives an alternative proof to the previous result in [15], at least when  $A$  is separable. The derivation version of the above theorem was proved in [7] as theorem 1.1, and the method there can be applied to the present situation if  $A$  is separable.

By taking  $G/\ker \alpha$  instead of  $G$ , we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $\alpha$  is faithful in the sequel.

LEMMA 3.5. *Adopt the assumptions of theorem 3.4. Define a representation  $\rho$  of  $A$  by the direct integral*

$$\rho = \int_G^\oplus \pi \circ \alpha_g dg$$

on the Hilbert space  $H_\rho \equiv H_\pi \otimes L^2(G)$ . Then  $\rho(A)'' = B(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \otimes L^\infty(G)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $B(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \otimes \mathbb{C}1 = \rho(A^\alpha)'' \subset \rho(A)'' \subset B(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \otimes L^\infty(G)$ , it suffices to prove that  $\rho(A)'' \supset p \otimes L^\infty(G)$ , where  $p$  is a fixed one-dimensional projection on  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ .

Define a state  $\varphi$  of  $A$  by

$$\varphi(x)p = p\pi(x)p, \quad x \in A.$$

Let  $\{z_\nu\}$  be a decreasing net of positive elements of  $A^\alpha$  such that  $\lim \pi(z_\nu) = p$  (in the strong topology). The existence of such  $\{z_\nu\}$  follows from the fact that  $\varphi|_{A^\alpha}$  is pure. Then defining a continuous function  $f_x$  on  $G$ , for each  $x \in A$ , by

$$f_x(g)p = p\pi \circ \alpha_g(x)p, \quad g \in G,$$

it follows that  $p \otimes f_x = p\rho(x)p = \lim \rho(z_\nu x z_\nu) \in \rho(A)''$ . Hence it suffices to prove that  $\{f_x : x \in A\}$  separates the points of  $G$ , to conclude that  $\rho(A)'' \supset p \otimes L^\infty(G)$ . If there are  $g$  and  $h$  in  $G$  such that  $f_x(g) = f_x(h)$  for all  $x \in A$ , then one has that  $\varphi \circ \alpha_g = \varphi \circ \alpha_h$ . Thus  $\alpha_{gh}^{-1}$  should be weakly extendible in the representation  $\pi_\varphi \approx \pi$ , which is impossible as  $\pi(A^\alpha)$  is irreducible, unless  $\alpha_{gh}^{-1}$  is the identity automorphism. □

LEMMA 3.6. *Under the assumptions of theorem 3.4,  $A^\alpha$  is prime, and for any non-zero  $b, c \in A^\alpha$ , the spectrum of  $\alpha$  restricted to  $bAc$ , written as  $\text{Sp}(\alpha|_{bAc})$ , is  $\hat{G}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi|_{A^\alpha}$  is a faithful irreducible representation,  $A^\alpha$  is prime.

Let  $b, c \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ , and let  $x \in A_1^\alpha(\gamma) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ . Since  $\sum x_i^* x_i$  and  $\sum x_i x_i^*$  are  $\alpha$ -invariant, there exist  $b', c' \in A^\alpha$  such that

$$bb' \left( \sum_{i=1}^d x_i x_i^* \right) \neq 0,$$

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^* b'^* b b' x_i \right) c' c \neq 0.$$

Thus  $bb'xc'c = (bb'x_i c' c) \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)c$  is non-zero, and this proves that  $\text{Sp}(d|_{bAc}) = \text{Sp}(\alpha)$ . Note that lemma 3.5 immediately implies that  $\text{Sp}(\alpha) = \hat{G}$ .

LEMMA 3.7. *Under the assumptions of theorem 3.4, for any  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  and  $b \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $x \in bA_n^\alpha(\gamma)$  such that  $x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1$ , for some  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A \otimes M_d$  generated by  $x^*x$  with  $x \in bA_1^\alpha(\gamma)$ . It suffices to prove that  $B \cap A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1 \neq \{0\}$ , because the rest of the proof goes exactly as in lemma 2.2 and theorem 2.1.

To prove that  $B \cap A^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}1 \neq \{0\}$ , we have to produce a pure state  $\psi$  of  $A^\alpha$  such that any extension  $\bar{\psi}$  of  $\psi$  to a state of  $A \otimes M_d (\supset A^\alpha \otimes 1)$  satisfies  $\bar{\psi}|_B \neq 0$ .

Without loss of generality we assume that  $b$  is positive and there is a positive non-zero  $a \in A^\alpha$  such that  $ba = a$ . Fix a one-dimensional projection  $p$  in the range of  $\pi(a)$ , and note that  $\pi(b)p = p$ .

By lemma 3.5,  $p\rho(A)p$ , regarded as continuous functions on  $G$ , is dense in  $L^\infty(G)$  in the weak\*-topology. By using the projections of  $A$  onto  $A^\alpha(\gamma)$ , it is shown that  $p\rho(A(\gamma))p$  is dense in, and so equal to, the finite-dimensional linear space spanned by  $\{\gamma_{ij} : i, j = 1, \dots, d\}$ . Thus by spectral calculations we can choose  $x \in A_d^\alpha(\gamma)$  such that

$$p\rho(x_{ij})p = p \otimes \gamma_{ij}.$$

Let  $\{z_\nu\}$  be a decreasing net of positive elements of  $A^\alpha$  such that  $z_\nu \leq b$  and  $\lim \pi(z_\nu) = p$  as in the proof of lemma 3.5. Let  $x_\nu = z_\nu^{1/2}x \in bA_d^\alpha(\gamma)$  and note that

$$\lim p\rho(x_{ij}^*z_\nu x_{kl})p = p \otimes \overline{\gamma_{ij}\gamma_{kl}}.$$

Let  $\varphi$  be the state of  $A$  defined by  $\varphi(x)p = p\pi(x)p, x \in A$ , and let  $\psi = \varphi|_{A^\alpha}$ . If  $f$  is a functional in  $A^{**}$  whose support is contained in  $p \in A^{**}$ , one has

$$\lim_\nu \sum_k f(x_{ki}^*z_\nu x_{kj}) = \delta_{ij} \cdot 1.$$

Thus for any extension  $\bar{\psi}$  of  $\psi$  to a state of  $A \otimes M_d$  one has

$$\lim_\nu \bar{\psi}(x_\nu^*x_\nu) = 1.$$

Since  $x_\nu^*x_\nu \in B$ , this concludes the proof. □

*Proof of theorem 3.4.* Since  $\pi(A)'' \cap \pi(A^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}1$ , it follows that  $M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}1$  for any  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$ , and that  $A^\alpha$  is prime. The rest of the proof is similar to that of lemma 3.2 with

$$\{\gamma \in \hat{G} : \forall b \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\}, \exists x \in bA_n^\alpha(\gamma) \text{ some } n, x^*x \in A^\alpha \setminus \{0\} \otimes 1\}$$

playing the role of  $\Gamma_p$ . □

4

THEOREM 4.1. *Let  $G$  be a compact abelian group and  $\alpha$  an action of  $G$  on a simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Assume that  $A^\alpha$  is prime and  $M(A) \cap (A^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}1$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $A$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x$  for  $x \in A^\alpha$ . Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma = \alpha_g$ .*

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $B$  be an  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ , and let  $B_1$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $A^\alpha B A^\alpha$  (which is a hereditary algebra). Let  $e_B$  be the open projection in  $A^{**}$  obtained as the limit of an approximate identity for  $B$ .*

Then the map

$$M(B_1) \cap (B_1^\alpha)' \rightarrow M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)'$$

defined by multiplication by  $e_B$  is a surjective covariant isomorphism.

*Proof.* Note that  $\alpha$  induces an action on  $M(B_1)$  by restricting  $(\alpha|_{B_1})^{**}$  to  $M(B_1)$ . We use the same symbol  $\alpha$  to denote this action.

Since  $e_B$  is a weak limit of an approximate identity for  $B^\alpha$  [4, lemma 4.1], one has  $e_B \in (B_1^\alpha)^{**} \subset A^{**}$ . Thus any element  $z$  of  $M(B_1) \cap (B_1^\alpha)'$  commutes with  $e_B$  and one has that  $ze_B \in M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)'$ , because  $ze_B \cdot b = zb \in B_1 \cap e_B B e_B = B$ ,  $b \cdot ze_B = bz \in B$  for  $b \in B$ , and  $ze_B \cdot a = za = az = aze_B$  for  $a \in B^\alpha (\subset B_1^\alpha)$ . Hence the map is well defined, and covariant.

Let  $c(e_B)$  be the central support of  $e_B$  in  $(A^\alpha)^{**} (\subset A^{**})$ . Then the multiplication map by  $e_B$ :

$$c(e_B)A^{**}c(e_B) \cap (c(e_B)A^\alpha c(e_B))' \rightarrow e_B A^{**} e_B \cap (e_B A^\alpha e_B)'$$

is an isomorphism. Since  $c(e_B) = e_{B_1}$ , this is equivalent to saying that

$$B_1^{**} \cap (B_1^\alpha)' \rightarrow B^{**} \cap (B^\alpha)'$$

is an isomorphism. If  $ze_B \in M(B)$ , for  $z \in B_1^{**} \cap (B_1^\alpha)'$ , then we claim that  $z \in M(B_1)$ . For then  $ze_B a = e_{B_1} a z$  for  $a \in A^\alpha$ , as  $z \in (B_1^\alpha)'$ , and so for  $b \in B$ ,  $a_i \in A^\alpha$ :

$$z a_1 b a_2 = a_1 (z b) a_2 \in B_1 \text{ etc.}$$

This completes the proof. □

LEMMA 4.3. Let  $B, B_1$  be non-zero  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A$  with  $B_1 \subset B$ . Then the map

$$M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)' \rightarrow M(B_1) \cap (B_1^\alpha)'$$

defined by multiplication by  $e_{B_1}$  is an injective covariant homomorphism.

*Proof.* The map is a well defined homomorphism since  $e_{B_1} \in (B_1^\alpha)^{**}$ . The action  $\alpha$  is ergodic on  $M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)'$ , in the sense that the fixed point algebra is trivial because  $M(B)^\alpha \cap (B^\alpha)' \subset M(B^\alpha) \cap (B^\alpha)'$ , and  $B^\alpha = A^\alpha \cap B$  is prime. Hence there are no non-trivial  $\alpha$ -invariant ideals in  $M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)'$ . Multiplication  $e_{B_1}$  preserves the induced action, and so the kernel of this map is an  $\alpha$ -invariant ideal which is either zero or the whole algebra. Since the latter is impossible, the map must be injective.

LEMMA 4.4. Let  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ , and  $x$  a non-zero element of  $A^\alpha(\gamma)$ . Let  $B_1 = \overline{x A x^*}$ , and  $B_2 = \overline{x^* A x}$ , and  $x = v|x|$  be the polar decomposition of  $x$  with  $vv^*$  being the range projection of  $x$ . Then  $\text{Ad}(v^*)$  gives a covariant isomorphism of  $M(B_1)$  onto  $M(B_2)$ .

*Proof.* See the proof of lemma 2.4, noting that  $v \in A^\alpha(\gamma)^{**}$ . □

LEMMA 4.5. Let  $B_i$  be an  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  such that  $A^\alpha B_i A^\alpha \subset B_i$ . Denote by  $B_1 \vee B_2$  the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Then

$$\text{Sp}(\alpha|_{M(B_1 \vee B_2) \cap ((B_1 \vee B_2)^\alpha)'}) = \text{Sp}(\alpha|_{M(B_1) \cap (B_1^\alpha)'}) \dot{\cap} \text{Sp}(\alpha|_{M(B_2) \cap (B_2^\alpha)'})$$

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \text{Sp}(\alpha|_{C_1}) \cap \text{Sp}(\alpha|_{C_2})$ , where  $C_i = M(B_i) \cap (B_i^\alpha)'$ . By the ergodicity of  $\alpha$  on  $C_i$  there are unitaries  $v_i$  in  $C_i^\alpha(\gamma)$ . Now  $B_i^\alpha$  are non-zero ideals of  $A^\alpha$ , and

so if  $B = B_1 \cap B_2$ , then  $B^\alpha = B_1^\alpha \cap B_2^\alpha$  is a non-zero ideal. Now  $B_i = \overline{B_i^\alpha A B_i^\alpha}$ ,  $B = \overline{B^\alpha A B^\alpha}$ , and so  $e_{B_i}, e_B$  are central open projections of  $(A^\alpha)^{**} (\subset A^{**})$ . Now  $v_i e_{B_i} \in C^\alpha(\gamma)$ , where  $C = M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)'$ , and so by ergodicity, there is a number  $\lambda$  of modulus one such that  $v_i e_{B_i} = \lambda v_2 e_{B_i}$ . Define  $v \in e_{B_1 \vee B_2} A^{**} e_{B_1 \vee B_2}$ , by

$$v = v_1 e_{B_1} + \lambda v_2 (e_{B_2} - e_{B_1}).$$

Now note that  $e_B = e_{B_1} e_{B_2}$ . Because since  $e_{B_i}, e_{B_1}, e_{B_2}$  are mutually commuting,  $e_B \leq e_{B_i}$  implies that  $e_B \leq e_{B_1} e_{B_2}$ , and moreover  $e_{B_1} e_{B_2} \in (B_1^\alpha)^{**} (B_2^\alpha)^{**} = (B^\alpha)^{**}$  implies  $e_{B_1} e_{B_2} \leq e_B$ . Furthermore note that  $B_1^\alpha + B_2^\alpha = (B_1 \vee B_2)^\alpha$ . Because  $B_i \subset B_1 \vee B_2$  implies  $B_1^\alpha + B_2^\alpha \subset (B_1 \vee B_2)^\alpha$ . Moreover  $B_i = \overline{B_i^\alpha A B_i^\alpha}$  are contained in the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $(B_1^\alpha + B_2^\alpha) A (B_1^\alpha + B_2^\alpha)$ , and so  $B_1 \vee B_2 \subset (B_1^\alpha + B_2^\alpha) A (B_1^\alpha + B_2^\alpha)$ . Consequently  $(B_1 \vee B_2)^\alpha \subset B_1^\alpha + B_2^\alpha$ . Thus  $(B_1 \vee B_2)^\alpha = B_1^\alpha \vee B_2^\alpha$ , and in particular  $e_{B_1 \vee B_2} = e_{B_1} \vee e_{B_2}$ . Hence  $v$  is a unitary in  $e_{B_1 \vee B_2} A^{**} e_{B_1 \vee B_2}$  and  $v \in ((B_1 \vee B_2)^\alpha)'$ . Finally  $v$  is a multiplier of  $B_1 \vee B_2$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} v b_1 &= v_1 b_1, \\ v b_2 &= \lambda v_2 b_2, \\ v b_1 x b_2 &= v_1 b_1 x b_2, \\ v b_2 x b_1 &= \lambda v_2 b_2 x b_1, \end{aligned}$$

for  $b_1 \in B_1, b_2 \in B_2, x \in A$  etc. Thus  $v \in M(B_1 \vee B_2) \cap ((B_1 \vee B_2)^\alpha)'$  and  $\alpha_\gamma(v) = \langle g, \gamma \rangle v$ , and so  $\gamma \in \text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B_1 \vee B_2) \cap ((B_1 \vee B_2)^\alpha)')$ . The reverse inclusion follows from lemma 4.3. □

*Proof of theorem 4.1.* We may assume that  $\alpha$  is faithful. Since  $A$  is simple and  $A^\alpha$  is prime, we know from [11, 8.10.4] that  $\text{Sp}(\alpha)$  is the same as the Connes spectrum  $\Gamma(\alpha)$ . Since the latter is a group and  $\alpha$  is faithful we see that  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \hat{G}$ . Thus inspecting the proof of lemma 3.2, we see that we only have to show for any  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  that  $M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}1$ . Suppose there exists an  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B_0$  of  $A$  such that  $M(B_0) \cap (B_0^\alpha)'$  is not trivial. By lemma 4.2 we can assume  $A^\alpha B_0 A^\alpha \subset B_0$ , and since  $\alpha$  is ergodic on  $M(B_0) \cap (B_0^\alpha)'$ ,  $\text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B_0) \cap (B_0^\alpha)') = H$  is not trivial.

Let  $\{B_i\}$  be an increasing family of  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebras such that  $A^\alpha B_i A^\alpha \subset B_i, B_0 \subset B_i$ , and  $\text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B_i) \cap (B_i^\alpha)') = H$ . Let  $B$  be the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $B_i$ . Then  $B$  is  $\alpha$ -invariant,  $A^\alpha B A^\alpha \subset B$ , and we claim that  $\text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)') = H$ . Let  $\gamma \in H$ , and choose a unitary  $v_i \in (M(B_i) \cap (B_i^\alpha)')^\alpha(\gamma)$ , such that  $v_i e_{B_0} = v_0$ , where  $v_0$  is a fixed unitary in  $(M(B_0) \cap (B_0^\alpha)')^\alpha(\gamma)$ . If  $B_i \subset B_j$ , then  $v_i = v_j e_{B_i}$ , because of the ergodicity of  $\alpha$ . Define  $v$  by  $v e_{B_i} = v_i$ , for all  $i$ , in  $e A^{**} e$ , where  $e$  is the supremum of  $(e_{B_i})$ . Since  $e = e_B$ , and  $v$  is a multiplier for  $\cup B_i$ , it is easy to conclude that  $v \in M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)'$ , and  $\gamma \in \text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)')$ . Thus  $\text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)') = H$  using lemma 4.3.

Let  $B$  be a maximal  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $A$  such that  $A^\alpha B A^\alpha \subset B, B_0 \subset B$  and  $\text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)') = H$ . We claim that  $B = A$ , which contradicts  $M(A) \cap (A^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}1$ . Note first that the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $A_1$  generated by  $\{x B_0 x^* : x \in A^\alpha(\gamma), \gamma \in \hat{G}\}$  is equal to  $A$ . Because, as  $(\sum x_i)(\sum x_i)^* \leq 2^n \sum x_i x_i^*$  for a finite sequence  $(x_i)$  of length  $n$ , it follows that  $A_1 \supset x B_0 x^*$  for any  $x$  in the linear

space  $A_F$  spanned by  $A^\alpha(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ . Since  $A_F$  is dense in  $A$ , this implies that  $A_1$  is equal to the ideal generated by  $B_0$ , and hence, since  $A$  is simple, it follows that  $A_1 = A$ . Suppose  $B \neq A$ , and then there must exist  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ , and  $x \in A^\alpha(\gamma)$  such that  $xB_0x^* \not\subset B$ . By replacing  $x$  by  $xe_\nu$ , where  $e_\nu$  is an approximate identity for  $B_0^\alpha$ , we can assume  $x^*x \in B_0$ , and so  $B_1 = \overline{x^*xB_0x^*x} \subset B_0$ . Then by lemma 4.3 we have  $\text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B_1) \cap (B_1^\alpha)') \supset \text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B_0) \cap (B_0^\alpha)') = H$ . Moreover by lemma 4.4,

$$\text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B_1) \cap (B_1^\alpha)') = \text{Sp}(\alpha | M(\overline{xB_0x^*}) \cap ((xB_0x^*)^\alpha)'),$$

and by lemma 4.2

$$\text{Sp}(\alpha | M(\overline{xB_0x^*}) \cap ((xB_0x^*)^\alpha)') = \text{Sp}(\alpha | M(B_2) \cap (B_2^\alpha)'),$$

if  $B_2 = \overline{A^\alpha x B_0 x^* A^\alpha}$ . Hence  $\text{Sp}(M(B \vee B_2) \cap ((B \vee B_2)^\alpha)') = H$  by lemma 4.5, which contradicts maximality of  $B$  as  $B_2 \not\subset B$ . This contradiction implies that  $M(B) \cap (B^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}$  for any  $\alpha$ -invariant hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$ .

REFERENCES

[1] H. Araki, R. Haag, D. Kastler & M. Takesaki. Extensions of KMS states and chemical potential. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **53** (1977), 97-134.  
 [2] O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott & D. W. Robinson. Strong topological transitivity and  $C^*$ -dynamical systems. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **37** (1985), 115-133.  
 [3] O. Bratteli & D. E. Evans, Dynamical semigroups commuting with compact abelian actions. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **3** (1983), 187-217.  
 [4] O. Bratteli & D. E. Evans. Derivations tangential to compact groups: The non-abelian case. *Proc. Lon. Math. Soc.* **52** No. 3 (1986), 369-384.  
 [5] O. Bratteli, G. A. Elliott, D. E. Evans & A. Kishimoto. Quasi-product actions of a compact abelian group on a  $C^*$ -algebra. *Tôhoku Math. J.* (to appear).  
 [6] O. Bratteli & P. E. T. Jorgensen. Derivations commuting with abelian gauge actions on lattice systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87** (1982), 353-364.  
 [7] O. Bratteli & A. Kishimoto. Derivations and free group actions on  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Operator Theory* **15** (1986), 377-410.  
 [8] O. Bratteli, A. Kishimoto & D. W. Robinson. Embedding product type actions into  $C^*$ -dynamical systems. *J. Funct. Anal.* **75** (1987), 188-210.  
 [9] J. Glimm. A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for  $C^*$ -algebras. *Ann. Math.* **72** (1960), 216-244.  
 [10] R. Longo, C. Peligrad. Non-commutative topological dynamics and compact actions on  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **58** (1984), 157-174.  
 [11] G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their Automorphism Groups*. Academic Press: London, New York, San Francisco, 1979.  
 [12] S. Popa. On a problem of R. V. Kadison on maximal abelian  $*$ -subalgebras in factors. *Invent. Math.* **65** (1981), 269-281.  
 [13] R. T. Powers & G. L. Price. Derivations vanishing on  $S(\infty)$ . *Commun. Math. Phys.* **84** (1982), 439-447.  
 [14] J. E. Roberts. Cross products of a von Neumann algebra by group duals. *Symposia Math.* **20** (1976), 335-363.  
 [15] D. W. Robinson, E. Størmer & M. Takesaki. Derivations of simple  $C^*$ -algebras tangential to compact automorphism groups. *J. Operator Theory* **13** (1985), 189-200.  
 [16] S. Sakai.  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*. Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.  
 [17] M. Takesaki. Fourier analysis of compact automorphism groups. (*An application of Tannaka duality theorem*), *Algebres d'Operateurs et leurs Applications en Physique Mathematique* (Editor, D. Kastler), Editions du CNRS: Paris, 1979, pp. 361-372.  
 [18] A. J. Wassermann. Automorphic actions of compact groups on operator algebras. Thesis, University of Pennsylvania (1981).