F. Takemoto Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 47 (1972), 29-48

# STABLE VECTOR BUNDLES ON ALGEBRAIC SURFACES

# FUMIO TAKEMOTO

Let k be an algebraically closed field, and X a nonsingular irreducible projective algebraic variety over k. These assumptions will remain fixed throughout this paper. We will consider a family of vector bundles on X of fixed rank r and fixed Chern classes (modulo numerical equivalence). Under what condition is this family a bounded family? When X is a curve, Atiyah [1] showed that it is so if all elements of this family are indecomposable. But when X is a surface, he showed also that this condition is not sufficient. We give the definition of an H-stable vector bundle on a variety X. This definition is a generalization of Mumford's definition on a curve. Under the condition that all elements of a family are H-stable of rank two on a surface X, we prove that the family is bounded. And we study H-stable bundles, when X is an abelian surface, the projective plane or a geometrically ruled surface.

- 1. *H*-stable vector bundles
- 2. H-stable vector bundles on algebraic surfaces
- 3. H-stable vector bundles on geometrically ruled surfaces
- 4. Simple vector bundles on the projective plane
- 5. Stable vector bundles on abelian surfaces.

# 1. H-stable vector bundles.

In this paper, we use the words vector bundles and locally free sheaf of finite rank interchangeably. Let F be a coherent sheaf on X. Under our hypothesis on X, we can define an invertible sheaf Inv (F) (first Chern class cf. [5]), i.e. let E. be a finite resolution of F by locally free sheaves  $E_i$ . Inv (F) =  $\bigotimes_i (\bigwedge E_i)^{(-1)^i}$  where  $\bigwedge$  denotes the highest exterior power. Then Inv (F) depends only on F, up to canonical isomorphism. Inv (F) has the following properties:

Received June 28, 1971.

PROPOSITION (1.1). i)  $0 \to F_1 \to F_2 \to F_3 \to 0$  is an exact sequence of coherent sheaves, then there is a canonical isomorphism  $\text{Inv}(F_2) \simeq \text{Inv}(F_1)$  $\otimes \text{Inv}(F_3)$ .

ii) If F is locally free, then Inv (F) is canonically isomorphic to  $\dot{\wedge}F$ .

iii) If F is torsion, then  $\text{Inv}(F) = \mathcal{O}_x(D)$ , where D is a positive Cartier divisor. Moreover if codim (Supp (F))  $\geq 2$ , then D = 0.

iv) If F is torsion-free, then  $\text{Inv}(F)^{-1} = \text{Inv}(F^*)$ , where  $F^*$  denotes  $\text{Hom}_{e_X}(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

*Proof.* For i), ii) and iii) see [5]. iv) follows from the following lemma.

LEMMA (1.2). If  $0 \to F_1 \to F_2 \to F_3 \to 0$  is an exact sequence of torsion-free sheaves, then  $\text{Inv}(F_2^*) \simeq \text{Inv}(F_1^*) \otimes \text{Inv}(F_3^*)$ .

*Proof.* We have an exact sequence  $0 \to F_s^* \to F_2^* \to F_1^* \to \operatorname{Ext}_{\theta_x}^1(F_3, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Since codim (Supp (Ext $_{\theta_x}^1(F_3, \mathcal{O}_X)$ ))  $\geq 2$  by our assumption,  $\operatorname{Inv}(F_1^*/\operatorname{Im}(F_2^*)) = \mathcal{O}_X$  by iii).

If F is a coherent sheaf, then we can define the rank of F as the rank of  $F_{\xi}$  for a generic point  $\xi$  of X. We denote it by r(F). We remark that F is torsion if and only if its rank is 0.

Let H be an ample line bundle on X. Let  $s = \dim X$ .

DEFINITION (1.3). A vector bundle E on X is H-stable (resp. H-semistable) if for every non-trivial, non-torsion, quotient coherent sheaf F of E, d(E, H)/r(E) < d(F, H)/r(F) (resp.  $\leq$ ), where  $d(F, H) = (\text{Inv}(F), H^{s-1})$ and (,) is the intersection pairing.

DEFINITION (1.3)\*. A vector bundle E on X is H-stable (resp. H-semi-stable) if for every non-zero coherent subsheaf G of E of rank < r(E), d(G, H)/r(G) < d(E, H)/r(E) (resp.  $\leq$ ).

It is obvious that (1.3) is equivalent to  $(1.3)^*$ . And if a vector bundle E is H-stable, then for every non-zero coherent subsheaf G of  $E, d(G, H) / r(G) \leq d(E, H) / r(E)$ . Indeed, if r(G) = r(E), then E/G is torsion, which induces  $d(G, H) \leq d(E, H)$  by Prop. (1.1), iii).

*Remark.* In Definition (1.3), we may assume F is torsion-free. Indeed for any coherent sheaf E, let F be any torsion subsheaf of E, then  $d(E,H) \ge d(E/F,H)$  by Prop. (1.1), iii).

**PROPOSITION** (1.4). i) A line bundle is H-stable.

ii) A vector bundle is H-stable if and only if it is  $H^{\otimes n}$ -stable for any natural number n.

iii) If L is a line bundle, then a vector bundle E is H-stable if and only if  $E \otimes L$  is H-stable.

iv) A vector bundle E is H-stable if and only if  $E^*$  is H-stable.

v) If E and F are two vector bundles, then  $E \oplus F$  is never H-stable.

vi) If a vector bundle E of rank two is not H-semi-stable, then there is a unique torsion-free quotient sheaf F of rank one of E for which d(F, H) is minimum.

*Proof.* i), ii), iii) and v) are trivial. iv) follows from the above Remark and Prop. (1.1) iv). We show vi). In the same way as in the case of a curve, we can show that there exists a minimal *H*-degree quotient sheaf *F* of *E* of rank one. We may assume *F* is torsion-free. Let *F*, *F'* be such sheaves. Now we have an extension  $0 \to G \to E \to F \to 0$ . If the composition  $G \to E \to F'$  is non-zero, then  $d(F, H) = d(F', H) \ge d(G, H) =$ d(E, H) - d(F, H) i.e.  $d(F, H) \ge (1/2)d(E, H)$ . This contradicts our assumption. Hence  $G \to E \to F'$  is zero, which induces  $F \cong F'$ .

DEFINITION (1.5) (Mumford [4]). A vector bundle E on a curve X is stable if and only if for every non-trivial quotient bundle F of E, deg (E)/r(E) < deg(F)/r(F).

**PROPOSITION** (1.6). Let X be a curve, and let E be a vector bundle on X. Then for any ample line bundle H, E is H-stable if and only if E is stable in the sense of Mumford.

*Proof.* For any closed point  $x \in X$ , all torsion-free modules over the discrete valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_{x,x}$  are free.

**PROPOSITION** (1.7). Let E, F be H-stable bundles, where r = r(E) = r(F) and d(E, H) = d(F, H). If  $f: E \to F$  is a non-zero homomorphism, then f is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Put G = Image of f. By definition, we have  $d(E, H)/r(E) \leq d(G, H)/r(G) \leq d(F, H)/r(F)$ , with strict inequalities holding unless r(G) = r. But by assumption, the two extreme sides are equal. Thus r(G) = r = r(E), and we get  $E \simeq G$ , i.e. f is injective. Hence since  $\bigwedge^r f : \bigwedge^r E$ 

 $\rightarrow \stackrel{r}{\wedge} F$  is a non-zero homomorphism of line bundles and  $d(\stackrel{r}{\wedge} E, H) = d(\stackrel{r}{\wedge} F, H), \stackrel{r}{\wedge} f$  is an isomorphism, i.e. f is an isomorphism.

COROLLARY (1.8). An H-stable vector bundle is simple.

We say that a vector bundle E is simple if any global endomorphism of E is constant, i.e.  $H^{0}(X, \text{End}(E)) = k$ .

*Remark.* 1) In Prop. (1.4), ii), iii) and iv), we may replace *H*-stability by *H*-semi-stability.

2) For any *H*-semi-stable vector bundle with d(E, H) < 0,  $H^{0}(E) = 0$ . Indeed, suppose there is a non-zero section  $s \in H^{0}(E)$ . Let *F* be the subsheaf of *E* generated by *s*. Then  $F = \mathcal{O}_{X}$  and so d(F, H) = 0.

# 2. H-stable vector bundles on algebraic surfaces

In this section X will be a non-singular projective surface and H will be an ample line bundle on X. Let K be the canonical line bundle on X. We begin with a trivial lemma.

LEMMA (2.1). Let E be an H-semi-stable vector bundle on X. If the Euler-Poincaré characteristic  $\chi(E)$  of E is positive and  $d(E^* \otimes K, H) < 0$ . then  $H^0(E) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $E^* \otimes K$  is *H*-semi-stable,  $H^0(E^* \otimes K) = 0$  by the last Remark in § 1. Hence  $H^2(E) = 0$  by Serre duality. Hence  $H^0(E) \neq 0$ .

COROLLARY (2.2). Let S be a set of H-semi-stable vector bundles of rank two on X with fixed Chern classes (modulo numerical equivalence). Then there is an integer n such that  $H^{0}(E \otimes H^{\otimes n}) \neq 0$  for any  $E \in S$ .

*Proof.* For any  $E \in S$ ,  $\chi(E \otimes H^{\otimes n})$  is the same polynomial in n of degree two. Since the coefficient of  $n^2$  is  $(H^2)$ ,  $\chi(E \otimes H^{\otimes n})$  is positive for sufficiently large n. On the other hand,  $d((E \otimes H^{\otimes n})^* \otimes K, H) = -d(E, H) - 2n(H^2) + 2(K, H) < 0$ , for sufficiently large n. Hence we have the desired result by Lemma (2.1).

COROLLARY (2.3). Let S be as in Cor. (2.2). Then there are integers  $n_1, n_2$  such that for any  $E \in S$ , there is a coherent subsheaf F of E of rank 1 such that  $n_1 \leq d(F, H) \leq n_2$ .

*Proof.* Let n be an integer satisfying Cor. (2.2). So there is a coherent subsheaf of E of rank 1 such that  $d(F \otimes H^{\otimes n}, H) \ge 0$ . i.e.  $d(F, H) \ge -n(H^2)$ . On the other hand,  $d(F, H) \le (1/2)d(E, H)$  by H-semistability of E.

We say that a set A of vector bundles on X is bounded if there exists an algebraic k-scheme T and a vector bundle V on  $T \times_k X$  such that each  $F \in A$  is of the form  $V_t = V | t \times X$  for some closed point  $t \in T$ .

THEOREM (2.4). Let X be a non-singular projective surface, H an ample line bundle on X, and S the set of all H-semi-stable vector bundles on X of rank two and fixed Chern classes (modulo numerical equivalence). Then S is bounded.

Proof. By a theorem of Kleiman ([3] Th. 1.13), it is sufficient to show that there are integers  $m_1, m_2$  such that for any  $E \in S$ , 1)  $\dim_k H^0(E) \leq m_1$  2) there is a non-singular curve C such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(C) = H$  and  $\dim_k H^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) \leq m_2$ . We may assume H-degree is negative. Hence 1) follows from the last Remark in § 1. We now show 2). Let  $n_1, n_2$  be the same as in Cor. (2.3). Put  $n_i = d(E, H) - n_{i-2}, i = 3, 4$  and  $t = \max(0, 2g - n_1, 2g - n_4)$ , where  $g = \chi(H^{-1}) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + 1$ . Let E be any vector bundle contained in S. There are torsion-free sheaves  $F_1, F_2$  of rank 1 such that there is an exact sequence  $0 \to F_1 \to E \to F_2 \to 0, n_1 \leq d(F_1, H) \leq n_2$ . Hence  $n_4 \leq d(F_2, H) \leq n_3$ . Now  $F_i$  is locally free at any point outside a finite set Z of closed points. Hence there exists a nonsingular curve C in H, disjoint from Z. Here the genus of C is g. So the restriction of  $F_i$  to C is a line bundle on C. Since  $d(F_i \otimes H^{\otimes t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) = d(F_i, H) + t(H^2) \geq \min(n_1, n_4) + t \geq 2g, \dim_k H^0(F_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) \leq \dim_k H^0(F_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) \leq d(F_i \otimes H^{\otimes t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) \leq 2c$ .

We now give another definition of *H*-stability of a vector bundle. First, we recall that for any non-zero global section s of a vector bundle E, there exists a surface Y and a morphism  $f: Y \to X$  obtained by successive dilatations, and a sub-line bundle L of  $f^*E$  on Y and a global section t of L such that the inclusion  $L \subset f^*E$  maps t to  $f^*s$  and  $f^*E/L$  is locally free. (cf. Schwarzenberger [10])

LEMMA (2.5). Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism from a non-torsion coherent sheaf F to a vector bundle E such that codim (Supp (ker  $\varphi$ ))  $\ge 2$ . Then there is a surface Y and a morphism  $f: Y \to X$  obtained by successive dila-

tations, and a vector subbundle G of  $f^*E$  on Y such that  $f^*(\varphi)(f^*F) \subset G$ and r(F) = r(G) (and  $f^*E/G$  is locally free).

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $r = \operatorname{rank} E$ . Suppose the lemma is true for all rank  $< r = \operatorname{rank} E$ . We may assume there is a non-torsion global section u of F. Let s be the global section of E corresponding to u. Let Y, f, L and t be as above. Then we have exact sequences:

Now since u is not torsion,  $r(f^*F/(f^*\varphi)^{-1}(L)) = r(F) - 1$ . By induction, there exists a surface Y' and a morphism  $f': Y' \to Y$  obtained by successive dilatations and a vector subbundle G' of  $f'^*(f^*E/L)$  on Y' such that  $(f'^*f^*\varphi)(f^*F/(f^*\varphi)^{-1}(L)) \subset G'$  and r(G') = r(F) - 1 (and  $f'^*(f^*E/L)/G'$  is locally free). Let G be the subbundle of  $f'^*f^*E$  with  $G' = G/f'^*L$ .

PROPOSITION (2.6). A vector bundle E on a surface X is H-stable if and only if for any morphism  $f: Y \to X$  obtained by successive dilatations and any non-trivial quotient bundle F of  $f^*E, d(E,H)/r(E) < d(F, f^*H)/r(F)$ .

*Proof.* First, suppose E is H-stable. Let f, F be as in Prop. (2.6). We may assume H is a very ample line bundle. Now there exists a finite set Z of closed points such that f is an isomorphism on X - Z. Then we find a curve D such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = H$  and  $Z \cap D$  is empty. Let G be the kernel of  $f^*E \to F$ . Since  $\operatorname{Supp}(G/f^*f_*G) \cap f^*(D)$  is empty,  $d(G, f^*H) = d(f^*f_*G, f^*H)$ . On the other hand  $d(f^*f_*G, f^*H)d =$  $d(f_*G, H)$ . Conversely let F be a non-zero subsheaf of E of rank < rank E, and let Y and G be the same as in Lemma (2.5). Since  $f^*E/G$  is locally free,  $d(G, f^*H)/r(G) < d(E, H)/r(E)$  by assumption. On the other hand,  $r(G) = r(f^*F)$  by construction and  $d(F, H) = d(f^*F, f^*H) \leq d(G, f^*H)$ since the image of  $f^*F$  in  $f^*E$  is contained in G. Thus d(F, H)/r(F)< d(E, H)/r(E), and E is H-stable.

From now on, we study vector bundles of rank two on a non-singular projective surface X. It is known (Schwarzenberger [10]) that for a vector bundle E of rank two on X there exists a morphism  $f: Y \to X$ obtained by successive dilatations, line bundles  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  on X, and a positive exceptional line bundle M on Y (i.e. line bundle on Y associated with a non-negative linear combination of exceptional curves on Y) such that  $f^*E$  is given by an extension of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow f^*L_1 \otimes M \longrightarrow f^*E \longrightarrow f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

Conversely, for any morphism  $f: Y \to X$  obtained by successive dilatations, a quotient line bundle of  $f^*E$  is always of the form  $f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1}$  where  $L_2$  is a line bundle on X and M is a positive exceptional line bundle. (Schwarzenberger loc. cit.)

Put  $N(E) = c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E)$ , where  $c_i(E)$  is the *i*-th Chern class of *E*. This integer is equal to  $-c_2$  (End (*E*)). It has the following geometric meaning. Let *L* be a quotient line bundle of *E*, and *p* the canonical projection  $P(E) \to X$ . Then *L* defines a section *s* of *p*. Let *Y* denote s(X). Then  $(Y^3)_{P(E)} = N(E)$ . Note that  $N(E) = N(E \otimes L')$  for any line bundle *L'*.

PROPOSITION (2.7). Let E be a vector bundle of rank two. If N(E) > 0, then E is H-stable if and only if E is H'-stable for any ample line bundle H' on X.

*Proof.* By Prop. (2.6), E is H-stable if we have  $(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, H) > 0$  for any morphism  $f: Y \to X$  obtained by successive dilatations and an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow f^*L_1 \otimes M \longrightarrow f^*E \longrightarrow f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are line bundles on X, and M is a positive exceptional line bundle on Y. By our assumption,  $N(E) = (L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}) + 4(M^2) > 0$ . But by the negative definiteness of the intersection pairing on exceptional divisors,  $(M^2) \leq 0$ , hence  $(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}) > 0$ . We thus have the desired result by the Hodge index theorem ([6] Lecture 18).

DEFINITION (2.8). We say that a vector bundle E of rank two on X is of trivial type if there are line bundles  $L_1, L_2$  on X with  $H^0(L_2) = H^0(L_2^{-1}) = 0$ , a morphism  $f: Y \to X$  obtained by successive dilatations and a positive exceptional line bundle M on Y such that we have a non-trivial extension of line bundles  $0 \to M \to f^*E_1 \to f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \to 0$ , where  $E_1 = E \otimes L_1$ .

**PROPOSITION** (2.9). Let E be a vector bundle of rank two on X.

Then E is simple if and only if E is either H-stable for an ample line bundle H or of trivial type.

*Proof.* If *E* is of trivial type, then by Oda's lemma [9], *E* is simple since Hom  $(M, f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1}) = H^0(X, f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-2}) \subset H^0(L_2) = 0$ . If *E* is *H*stable, then *E* is simple by Cor. (1.8). Assume *E* is simple and not *H*stable. Therefore there are line bundles  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  on *X*, and a morphism  $f: Y \to X$  obtained by successive dilatations and an extension of line bundles  $0 \to M \to f^*E_1 \to f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \to 0$ , where  $E_1 = E \otimes L_1, M$  is a positive exceptional line bundle and  $d(E_1, H) \leq 0$ . Hence  $d(L_2, H) \leq 0$ . Now we show  $H^0(L_2) = 0$ . Indeed, if  $H^0(L_2) \neq 0$ , then  $L_2 = \mathcal{O}_X$  by  $d(L_2, H) \leq 0$ . And since  $H^0$  (Hom  $(M^{-1}, M)) \neq 0, E$  is not simple. This contradicts our assumption. Since Hom  $(M, f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1}) \subset H^0(L_2) = 0, H^0$  (End (E)) =  $H^0$  (End  $(E_1)$ ) =  $k \oplus H^0(f^*L_2^{-1} \otimes M^2) = k \oplus H^0(L_2^{-1})$  by Oda's lemma. Thus  $H^0(L_2^{-1}) = 0$ . i.e. *E* is of trivial type.

We now give a result about the cohomology of an H-semi-stable vector bundle.

**PROPOSITION** (2.10). Let X be a surface and E an H-semi-stable vector bundle on X with d(E, H) = 0. Then  $\dim_k H^0(E) \leq \operatorname{rank} E$ . And the equality holds if and only if E is free.

*Proof.* If  $H^{0}(E) \neq 0$ , there is a morphism  $f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow X$  obtained by successive dilatations and a line bundle  $L_1$  and a vector bundle  $E_1$  on  $X_1$ such that we have an extension  $0 \to L_1 \to f_1^*E \to E_1 \to 0$  and  $H^0(L_1) \neq 0$ . Since  $d(L_1, H) \leq 0, L_1$  is a positive exceptional line bundle and hence  $H^{0}(L_{1}) = k$ , which induces  $\dim_{k} H^{0}(E) \leq \dim_{k} H^{0}(E_{1}) + 1$ . Moreover if  $H^{0}(E_{1}) \neq 0$ , there is a morphism  $f_{2}: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}$  obtained by successive dilatations and a line bundle  $L_2$  and a vector bundle  $E_2$  on  $X_2$  such that we have an extension  $0 \to L_2 \to f_2^* E_1 \to E_2 \to 0$  and  $H^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(L_2) \neq 0$ . Let  $\varphi$  denote Since  $0 \leq d(L_2, H) = d(\varphi^{-1}(L_2), H) \leq 0, L_2$  is a positive ex $f_1^*E \to E_1$ . ceptional line bundle. Hence  $\dim_k H^0(E) \leq \dim_k H^0(E_2) + 2$ . Continuing in this fashion we get  $\dim_k H^0(E) \leq \operatorname{rank} E$ . If  $\dim_k H^0(E) = \operatorname{rank} E = r$ , then we can define  $E_i, L_i$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1)$  inductively and  $E_{r-1} = L_r$ is also a positive exceptional line bundle, i.e.  $L_i$  is a positive exceptional line bundle for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . On the other hand,  $L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes \dots \otimes L_r =$ Inv (E), hence  $L_i = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$ , i.e. E is obtained by successive extensions of the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , and  $\dim_k H^0(E) = \operatorname{rank} E$ , which implies that E is free.

# 3. H-stable vector bundles of rank two on geometrically ruled surfaces

Let *C* be a non-singular projective curve of genus *g* over an algebraically closed field *k*, *V* a vector bundle of rank two on *C*, and  $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1)$  the tautological line bundle on P(V) (See EGA II. 4.1.1 for the definition of P(V)). Then the Néron-Severi group of P(V) is  $Z \oplus Z$ , and is generated by the class *d* of  $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1)$  and the class *f* of a fibre of P(V) over *C*. And  $(d^2) = \deg V = a$ . In case *V* is decomposable, put  $V = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are line bundles on *C* with deg  $M_i = a_i, a_2 \ge a_1$  and  $a = a_1 + a_2$ . Let *p* denote the canonical projection:  $P(V) \to C$ . In this section, these assumptions will remain fixed.

**PROPOSITION** (3.1). Let L be a line bundle on P(V), and let the class of L be nd + mf. Then L is ample, if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1.1) If V is semi-stable and char. k = 0, then n > 0 and na + 2m > 0.

1.2) If V is semi-stable, char. k = p > 0 and  $g \ge 1$ , then n > 0 and na + 2m > (2n/p)(g - 1).

2) If V is indecomposable, then n > 0 and na + 2m > 2n(g - 1). 3.1) If V is decomposable and either char. k = 0 or g = 0, then n > 0 and  $na_1 + m > 0$ .

3.2) If V is decomposable, char. k = p > 0 and  $g \ge 1$ , then n > 0and  $na_1 + m > (n/p)(g - 1)$ .

Moreover, when V is semi-stable and either char. k = 0 or g = 1, then L is ample if and only if n > 0 and na + 2m > 0. And when V is decomposable and either char. k = 0 or g = 0, 1, then L is ample if and only if n > 0 and  $na_1 + m > 0$ .

Proof is due essentially to Hartshorne ([2] Prop. (7.5)). He treated the case when the maximal degree of subline bundles of V is non-positive a > 0 and n = 1, m = 0, i.e.  $L = \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1)$ . (In this case V is stable.)

COROLLARY (3.2). There is a constant c depending on V such that a line bundle L on P(V), whose class is nd + mf, is ample if n > 0 and m + nc > 0.

*Remark.* If L as above is ample, then n > 0 and na + 2m > 0. In-

deed, (L, f) = n,  $(L^2) = n(na + 2m)$ .

*Remark.* If V is indecomposable and there is a non-trivial extension of line bundles  $0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$ , then deg  $(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}) \ge 2 - 2g$ . Indeed, since  $H^1$  (Hom  $(L_2, L_1) \ne 0$ ,  $H^0(L_2 \otimes L_1^* \otimes K_c) \ne 0$ , where  $K_c$  denotes the canonical line bundle on C.

Proof of Proposition (3.1). Let D be any irreducible curve on P(V). Since  $(L^2) = n(na + 2m) > 0$  in each case, it is sufficient, by Nakai's criterion, to show that (D, L) > 0. Let the class of D be kd + hf. Since  $(D, f) \ge 0, k \ge 0$ . If k = 0, then h = 1, since D is irreducible. So (D, L) = n > 0. If k = 1, then D is a section of P(V) over C, and we can write  $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(D) = \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1) \otimes p^*(M)$  for a line bundle M on C of degree h. Then we have an exact sequence of sheaves on  $P(V): 0 \to \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-D) \to \mathcal{O}_{P(V)} \to \mathcal{O}_{D} \to 0$ . Tensoring with  $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1)$ , we have  $0 \to p^*(M^{-1}) \to \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1) \to \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1) \to 0$ . We apply  $p_*$ . Note that  $p_*p^*(M^{-1}) = M^{-1}, p_*(\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1)) = V, R^1p_*p^*(M^{-1}) = 0$ , and  $p_*(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1))$  is a line bundle on C, since D is a section of p. Thus we have an exact sequence of vector bundles on C:

$$0 \longrightarrow M^{-1} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow p_*(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1)) \longrightarrow 0$$

Case 1)  $d(M^{-1}) \leq (1/2)d(V)$  i.e.  $a + 2h \geq 0$ .

Case 2) 
$$d(p_*(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1))) - d(M^{-1}) \ge 2 - 2g$$
 i.e.  $a + 2h \ge 2 - 2g$ 

Case 3)  $d(M^{-1}) \leq a_2 = \max(a_1, a_2)$  i.e.  $a_2 + h \geq 0$ .

On the other hand, (D, L) = na + hn + m. Hence

Case 1) (D, L) = (1/2)(na + 2m) + (1/2)n(a + 2h) > 0.

Case 2) (D, L) > n(g - 1) - n(g - 1) = 0.

Case 3)  $(D, L) = (na_1 + m) + n(a_2 + h) > 0.$ 

Therefore we may assume  $k \ge 2$ . Since  $K_{P(V)} = \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-2) \otimes p^*(K_C \otimes Inv(V))$ , the class of  $K_{P(V)}$  is -2d + (2g - 2 + a)f (where  $K_{P(V)}$  and  $K_C$  are the canonical line bundles on P(V) and C respectively).

Suppose either char. k = 0 or k < p. Then we can apply the Hurwitz formula to the projection of D onto C, and find  $2p_a(D) - 2 \ge k(2g - 2)$ . On the other hand,  $2p_a(D) - 2 = (D, (D + K_{P(V)})) = (k - 1)(ka + 2h) + k(2g - 2)$ . Combining these, we have  $ka + 2h \ge 0$ , since  $k \ge 2$ . (D, L) = kna + nh + mk = (1/2)n(ka + 2h) + (1/2)k(na + 2m) > 0.

Suppose char.  $k = p \neq 0$ , and  $k \ge p$ . Then we have an inequality  $2p_a(D) - 2 \ge 2g - 2$ . As above, we deduce  $ka + 2h \ge 2 - 2g$ . Thus  $(D, L) = (1/2)n(ka + 2h) + (1/2)k(na + 2m) \ge n(1 - g) + (1/2)p(na + 2m)$ .

If g = 0, then (D, L) > 0. In case (1.2), (2) and (3.2), we have na + 2m > (2n/p)(g-1), hence (D, L) > 0.

The first statement of the converse is trivial. Let V be decomposable and Y the image of the section associated with  $V \to M_1 \to 0$ . Then the class of Y is  $d - a_2 f$ . Hence  $(Y, L) = na_1 + m > 0$ . q.e.d.

LEMMA (3.3). Let E be a vector bundle of rank two on P(V). Assume  $N(E) \ge 0$ . Then E is H-stable if and only if E is H'-stable for any ample line bundle H' on P(V).

**Proof.** By Prop. (2.6), E is H-stable if we have  $(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, H) > 0$ for any morphism  $f: Y \to P(V)$  obtained by successive dilatations and an extension of line bundles on Y

$$0 \longrightarrow f^*(L_1) \otimes M \longrightarrow f^*(E) \longrightarrow f^*(L_2) \otimes M^{-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are line bundles on P(V), and M is a positive exceptional line bundle on Y. Let H be an ample line bundle on P(V) and let the class of H be nd + mf. Let the class of  $L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}$  be kd + hf. Then  $(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, H) = kna + nh + mk = (1/2)k(na + 2m) + (1/2)n(ka + 2h)$ , and  $N(E) = (L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}) + 4(M^2) = k(ka + 2h) + 4(M^2) \ge 0$ . So  $k(ka + 2h) \ge -4(M^2) \ge 0$ . Now n > 0 and na + 2m > 0 by the ampleness of H. Hence  $(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, H) > 0$  if and only if either k > 0 and  $ka + 2h \ge 0$ , or k = 0 and ka + 2h > 0.

**PROPOSITION** (3.4). Let E be a stable vector bundle of rank two on C. Then  $p^*E$  is H-stable for any ample line bundle H on P(V). (In this case  $N(p^*E) = 0$ .)

**Proof.** Let H be an ample line bundle whose class is d + sf, where s is large enough. We remark a + 2s > 0. By Lemma (3.3), it is enough to show the Proposition for this H. Put  $m = \deg(E)$ . Then the class  $c_1(p^*E)$  is mf and  $c_2(p^*E)$  is zero. By Prop. (2.6), E is H-stable if we have  $(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, H) > 0$  for any morphism  $f: Y \to P(V)$  obtained by successive dilatations and an extension of line bundles on Y

$$(*) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow f^*L_1 \otimes M \longrightarrow f^*p^*E \longrightarrow f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are line bundles on P(V) and M is a positive exceptional line bundle on Y. We wish to show that  $d(L_1, H) < (1/2)d(p^*E, H)$ , i.e.

2ka + 2ks + 2h - m < 0, where the class of  $L_1$  is kd + hf. On the other hand,  $0 = N(p^*E) = (L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}) + 4(M^2) = 4k(ka + 2h - m) + 4(M^2)$ . So  $-4(M^2) = 4k(ka + 2h - m) \ge 0$ . Now if we restrict (\*) to a fibre fof P(V) over C, we have an exact sequence  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_f(k) \to \mathcal{O}_f \oplus \mathcal{O}_f \to \mathcal{O}_f(-k)$  $\to 0$ , where  $f \cong P^1$ , and hence  $k \le 0$ . If k < 0, then  $ka + 2h - m \le 0$ and hence 2ka + 2ks + 2h - m = k(a + 2s) + ka + 2h - m < 0. If k =0, then  $(M^2) = 0$  and hence  $M = \mathcal{O}_Y$ . Therefore the above extension is of the following form:  $0 \to p^*L'_1 \to p^*E \to p^*L'_2 \to 0$ , where  $L'_1$  and  $L'_2$  are line bundles on C such that  $L_1 = p^*L'_1$  and  $L_2 = p^*L'_2$ . Apply  $p_*$ . Then we have an exact sequence  $0 \to L'_1 \to E \to L'_2 \to 0$ . By our assumption, h < (1/2)m. Hence 2ka + 2ks + 2h - m = 2h - m < 0.

PROPOSITION (3.5). There is no vector bundle E of rank two on P(V) with the first Chern class  $c_1(E) = \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-1) \otimes p^*(L)$  for some line bundle L on C such that E is H-stable for every ample line bundle H on P(V).

Proof. Suppose there exists such a vector bundle E. Let m be the Then the class of  $c_1(E)$  is -d + mf. We may assume m degree of L. is sufficiently large. Put b = N(E). Let H be an ample line bundle on P(V)whose class is d + sf. Then the Euler Poincaré characteristic  $\chi(E)$  of *E* is equal to (1/4)(b - a + 2m) + 1 - g and  $d(E^* \otimes K, H) = 4g - 4 - a - a$ m - 3s. Hence we may assume  $\chi(E) > 0$  and  $d(E^* \otimes K, H) < 0$ . So  $H^{0}(E) \neq 0$  by Lemma (2.1). Therefore there is a morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow P(V)$ obtained by successive dilatations and an extension of line bundles on  $Y, 0 \to f^*L_1 \otimes M \to f^*E \to f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \to 0$ , where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are line bundles on P(V),  $H^{0}(L_{1}) \neq 0$  and M is a positive exceptional line bundle. Let the class of  $L_1$  be kd + hf. For large enough n, any line bundle  $H_{1,n}$  whose class is d + nf is ample by Cor. (3.2). By  $H^{0}(L_{1}) \neq 0$ , we have  $d(L_1, H_{1,n}) \ge 0$  i.e.  $ka + h + kn \ge 0$  for large enough n. So  $k \ge 0$ . On the other hand, by our assumption,  $d(L_1, H_{1,n}) \leq (1/2)d(E, H_{1,n})$  i.e.  $(n+a)(-1-2k)+m-2h \ge 0$  for large enough n. So  $k \le -1/2$ . This is a contradiction.

PROPOSITION (3.6). Let E be a vector bundle on P(V) of rank two with the first Chern class  $c_1(E) = p^*L$  for some line bundle L on C and  $N(E) \ge 0$ . If E is H-stable for an ample line bundle H, then there is a stable vector bundle F on C such that  $E = p^*F$ . (It follows that N(E) = 0.)

*Proof.* Put m = d(L) and b = N(E). And let  $H_{1,n}$  be the same as

in Prop. (3.5). By Lemma (3.3) we may assume  $H = H_{1,n}$ . Then  $\chi(E) = m + (1/4)b + 2 - 2g$  and  $d(E^* \otimes K, H) = -2a + 4g - 4 - 4n - m$ . By the same argument as in Prop. (3.5), we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow f^*L_1 \otimes M \rightarrow f^*E \rightarrow f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  where  $f, L_1, L_2, M$  are the same as before. By  $H^0(L_1) \neq 0$ , we have  $d(L_1, H_{1,n}) \geq 0$  i.e.  $ka + h + kn \geq 0$  for large enough n. So  $k \geq 0$ . On the other hand, by our assumption,  $d(L_1, H_{1,n}) \leq (1/2)d(E, H_{1,n})$  i.e.  $2m - ka - h - kn \geq 0$  for large enough n. So  $k \leq 0$ . Hence k = 0 and  $0 \leq h < (1/2)m$ . Now since N(E) = $4(M^2) \geq 0$ , we conclude that  $M = \mathcal{O}_Y, N(E) = 0$  and the above extension is of the following form:  $0 \rightarrow p^*L'_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow p^*L'_2 \rightarrow 0$ , where  $L'_1, L'_2$  are line bundles on C. This extension defines an element of  $H^1$  (Hom  $(p^*L'_2, p^*L'_1)$ ). On the other hand,  $H^1$  (Hom  $(L'_2, L'_1) \approx H^1$  (Hom  $(p^*L'_2, p^*L'_1)$ ) (canonically). Hence  $E = p^*F$  for some vector bundle F on C which is an extension of  $L'_2$  by  $L'_1$ . It is obvious that F is stable.

THEOREM (3.7). Let H be an ample line bundle on P(V).

1) There is no H-stable bundle E of rank two on P(V) with N(E) > 0.

2) A vector bundle E of rank two on P(V) is H-stable with N(E) = 0 if and only if there is a stable vector bundle F of rank two on C and a line bundle L on P(V) such that  $E = p^*F \otimes L$ .

3) Let E be a vector bundle of rank two on P(V) with N(E) < 0, and let the first Chern class of E be kd + hf where k is odd. If E is H-stable, then there exists an ample line bundle H' on P(V) such that E is not H'-stable.

*Proof.* Tensoring E with a suitable line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(n)$ , we may assume  $c_1(E) = kd + hf$  with k = 0 or 1. The statement is obtained from Lemma (3.3), Prop. (3.4), Prop. (3.5) and Prop. (3.6).

We now give an example of Th. (3.7). 3). First

LEMMA (3.8). Let X be a non-singular projective surface. Let L be a line bundle on X and let H be an ample line bundle on X. Suppose the extension  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to L \to 0$  does not split and d(L, H) = 1. Then E is H-stable.

*Proof.* First, remark (1/2)d(E, H) = 1/2. Suppose we are given a morphism  $f: Y \to X$  obtained by successive dilatations and a surjective morphism  $f^*E \to f^*L_1 \otimes M^{-1}$ , where  $L_1$  is a line bundle on X and M is

a positive exceptional line bundle on Y. If  $\mathcal{O}_Y \to f^*E \to f^*L_1 \otimes M^{-1}$  is zero, then  $L = L_1$  and  $M = \mathcal{O}_Y$ . If not, then  $0 \neq H^0(f^*L_1 \otimes M^{-1}) \subset H^0(L_1)$ . Hence  $d(L_1, H) \geq 0$ . Then if  $d(L_1, H) = 0$ , then  $L_1 = \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $H^0(M^{-1}) \neq 0$ , and so  $M = \mathcal{O}_Y$ . Therefore the above extension splits. Hence  $d(L_1, H) \geq 1$ .

PROPOSITION (3.9). Assume a + 2m > 2g if V is indecomposable, and  $a_1 + m > g$  if V is decomposable. Denote by  $H_{1,m}$  an ample line bundle on P(V) whose class is d + mf. Let M be a line bundle on C of degree a + m + 1. Put  $L = \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-1) \otimes p^*M$  and  $s = \dim_k H^1(L^{-1}) - 1$ . (In this case  $s = a + 2m + 2g - 1 \ge 4g$ .) If  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_{P(V)} \to E \to L \to 0$  is a non-trivial extension, then E is  $H_{1,m}$ -stable and is not  $H_{1,n}$ -stable for any ample line bundle  $H_{1,n}$  with  $n \ge m + 1$ . We also have N(E) = -a - 2-2m,  $H^0(E) = k$ ,  $\dim_k H^1(E) = g$ ,  $H^2(E) = 0$ ,  $H^2(\text{End}(E)) = 0$  and  $\dim_k H^1(\text{End}(E)) = s + 2g$ . Let  $\xi \neq \xi'$  be elements in  $P(H^1(L^{-1}))$ , and let  $E_{\xi}$  and  $E_{\xi'}$  be vector bundles on P(V) corresponding to the extension classes  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$  respectively as above. Then  $E_{\xi} \neq E_{\xi'}$ .

*Proof.* First, we calculate dim<sub>k</sub>  $H^{1}(L^{-1})$ .  $H^{1}(L^{-1}) = H^{1}(\mathbf{P}(V), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(V)}(1))$  $\otimes p^*M^{-1} = H^1(C, V \otimes M^{-1}).$  By duality,  $\dim_k H^1(L^{-1}) = \dim_k H^0(C, V^* \otimes M^{-1})$  $M \otimes K_c$ ), where  $K_c$  denotes the canonical line bundle on C. In case V is indecomposable, let  $(L_1, L_2)$  be a maximal splitting of  $V^* \otimes M \otimes K_c$ . By the result of Atiyah [1] to the effect that  $2g \ge d(L_2) - d(L_1) \ge -2g$ + 2, we conclude  $d(L_i) \ge (1/2)(6g-3) - g > 2g - 2$ , since  $d(V^* \otimes M \otimes K_c)$  $= a + 2m + 4g - 2 \ge 6g - 3$  by our assumption. Hence  $H^{1}(L_{i}) = 0$ . In case V is decomposable, we equally have  $H^{1}(V^{*} \otimes M \otimes K_{c}) = 0$  since  $d(M_i^* \otimes M \otimes K_c) = a - a_i + m + 2g - 1 > 2g - 2$ . Therefore  $\dim_k H^1(L^{-1})$ s = s + 1. By Lemma (3.8), E is  $H_{1,m}$ -stable since  $d(L, H_{1,m}) = 1$ . On the other hand since  $d(L, H_{1,n}) \leq 0$  for  $n \geq m + 1, E$  is not  $H_{1,n}$ -stable. Now since  $H^{i}(\mathbf{P}(V), L) = 0$  for i = 0, 1 and 2,  $H^{i}(E) \cong H^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(V)})$ . We now show  $H^2(\text{End}(E)) = 0$ . Since  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_{P(V)} \to E \to L \to 0$ , we have an exact sequence  $0 \to E^* \to \text{End}(E) \to L \otimes E^* \to 0$  by tensoring it with  $E^*$ . On the other hand, since  $E^* \otimes K_{P(V)}$  and  $E^* \otimes K_{P(V)} \otimes L$  are  $H_{1,m}$ -stable bundles with negative  $H_{1,m}$ -degree,  $H^{0}(E^{*} \otimes K_{P(V)}) = 0$  and  $H^{0}(E^{*} \otimes K_{P(V)} \otimes L) = 0$ . Hence  $\dim_k H^2$  (End (E)) =  $\dim_k H^0$  (End (E)  $\otimes K_{P(V)}$ ) = 0. So we can calculate  $\dim_k H^1$  (End (E)), since E is simple. The last statement follows from  $H^0(E) = k$ .

We remark the following fact: Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be line bundles on C of degree 0, and let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be line bundles on C of degree a + m + 1.

If a vector bundle E on P(V) is an extension of  $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-1) \otimes p^*N_1$  by  $p^*M_1$  which is also an extension of  $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-1) \otimes p^*N_2$  by  $p^*M_2$ , then  $M_1 = M_2$  and  $N_1 = N_2$ . Indeed we may assume  $M_1 = \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}$ . Since  $k = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}) = H^0(E) = H^0(M_2)$  and  $d(M_2) = 0$ , so  $M_2 = \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}$ , and hence  $N_1 = N_2$ .

Hence we can say that there is an algebraic family S of simple vector bundles on P(V) parametrized by  $J \times J \times P^s$ , in which isomorphic ones appear only once, and for any E contained in S,  $\dim_k H^1(\text{End}(E))$  = the dimension of  $J \times J \times P^s$ . Here J is the Jacobian variety of C and  $P^s$  is the s-dimensional projective space.

Conversely,

PROPOSITION (3.10). Assume  $a_1 + m > 0$ . Let C be the projective line and E a vector bundle of rank two on  $\mathbf{P}(V)$  with N(E) = -a - 2 - 2mwhose first Chern class is kd + hf, where k is odd. Then there is a line bundle L' on  $\mathbf{P}(V)$  such that  $E' = E \otimes L'$  is the extension of L by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(V)}$ where L is of the same type as in Prop. (3.9) i.e. there is a line bundle M on C of degree a + m + 1 such that  $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(V)}(-1) \otimes p^*M$ .

Proof. Tensoring E with a suitable line bundle, we may assume the class of  $c_1(E)$  is -d + bf. Moreover we may assume it is -d + (a + m + 1)f. Indeed if  $b \equiv a + m \pmod{2}$ , then  $N(E) = c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E) \equiv -a - 2m \pmod{4}$ . This contradicts our assumption. Then  $c_2(E) = 0$ .  $\chi(E) = 1$  and  $d(E^* \otimes K_{P(V)}, H_{1,m}) < 0$ . Hence  $H^0(E) \neq 0$  by Lemma (2.1). On the other hand, since  $d(E, H_{1,m}) = 1$ , we have a morphism  $f: Y \to P(V)$  obtained by successive dilatations and an exact sequence  $0 \to M \to f^*E \to f^* (\operatorname{Inv} E)) \otimes M^{-1} \to 0$ , where M is a positive exceptional line bundle on Y. Now since  $0 = c_2(E) = -(M^2)$ , we get  $M = \mathcal{O}_Y$ .

Putting all these results together we have

THEOREM (3.11). Let V be  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(a)$  on the projective line  $\mathbf{P}^1$  with  $a \geq 0$ , and let  $p: \mathbf{P}(V) \to \mathbf{P}^1$  be the canonical projection. (Then for positive  $m, H_{1,m} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(V)}(1) \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(m))$  is ample.) Let S be the set of all  $H_{1,m}$ -stable vector bundles E on  $\mathbf{P}(V)$  of rank two with the first Chern class  $c_1(E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(V)}(-1) \otimes p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(a + m + 1))$  and the second Chern class  $c_2(E) = 0$ . Then there is a bijective map  $\varphi$  from S to  $\mathbf{P}^s$  and a vector bundle  $\mathscr{V}$  on  $\mathbf{P}^s \times_k \mathbf{P}(V)$  such that for any  $E \in S$ , E = the restriction of  $\mathscr{V}$  to  $\varphi(E) \times \mathbf{P}(V)$ , and  $\dim_k H^1(\operatorname{End}(E)) = s$ . Here s = a + 2m - 1 and  $\mathbf{P}^s$  is the s-dimensional projective space.

# 4. Simple vector bundles of rank two on the projective plane $P^2$

Let *E* be a vector bundle on  $P^2$  of rank two. If *E* is simple, by the Riemann-Roch theorem,  $N(E) = c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E) = \dim_k H^0$  (End (*E*))  $-\dim_k H^1(\text{End}(E)) + \dim_k H^0(\text{End}(E) \otimes K_{P^2}) - 4\chi(\mathcal{O}_{P^2}) \leq -2$ , since End (*E*) is self-dual and the canonical bundle  $K_{P^2}$  of  $P^2$  is a sheaf of ideals. ([10] Th. 10) On the other hand,  $N(E) \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$  according as  $c_1$  is even or odd. We know that for any negative  $n \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$  except for n = -4, there is a simple vector bundle *E* of rank two on  $P^2$  with N(E) = n. (See [11]. The result in p. 637 is false for n = -4 as we see below.)

PROPOSITION (4.1) (Schwarzenberger [11]). Let E be a vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  of rank two with the first Chern class  $c_1(E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(n)$ . Put  $m = \min \{k | H^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(k)) \neq 0\}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent; (i) E is simple (ii) E is  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1)$ -stable (iii) 2m + n > 0.

*Proof.* It is obvious that (ii) is equivalent to (iii) by definition. Since there is no line bundle L on  $P^2$  with  $H^0(L) = H^0(L^{-1}) = 0$ , (i) is equivalent to (ii) by Prop. (2.9).

COROLLARY (4.2). The set of all simple vector bundles on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  of rank two with the fixed Chern classes is bounded.

Proof. It is obvious by Th. 2.4 and Prop. 4.1.

Let  $E_0$  be the kernel of the canonical surjection  $\mathscr{O}_{P^2}^{\otimes 3} \to \mathscr{O}_P(1)$ . i.e.  $E_0 = \mathscr{Q}_{P^2}^1(1)$ . Then  $E_0$  is simple of rank two and with  $N(E_0) = -3$ . Indeed, since  $c_1(E_0) = -1$  and  $c_2(E_0) = 1, E_0$  is not an extension of line bundles. We now show  $E_0^*$  is  $\mathscr{O}_{P^2}(1)$ -stable. Suppose we are given a morphism  $f: X \to P^2$  obtained by successive dilatations and a surjection  $E_0^* \to f^*\mathscr{O}_{P^2}(k) \otimes M^{-1}$ , where M is a positive exceptional line bundle. By the definition of  $E_0$ , we have  $\mathscr{O}_{P^2}^{\oplus 3} \to E_0^* \to 0$ . Hence there is a non-zero homomorphism  $\mathscr{O}_{P^2} \to f^*\mathscr{O}_{P^2}(k) \otimes M^{-1}$ , and so  $k \ge 0$ . If k = 0, then  $M = \mathscr{O}_X$ . This contradicts the fact that  $E_0$  is not an extension of line bundles on  $P^2$ . Therefore  $k \ge 1$ . On the other hand,  $c_1(E_0^*) = 1$ . Thus  $E_0^*$  is  $\mathscr{O}_{P^2}(1)$ -stable.

PROPOSITION (4.3). 1) There is no simple vector bundle E of rank two on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with N(E) = -4. 2) Let E be a simple vector bundle E of rank two on  $\mathbf{P}^2$  with N(E) = -3. Then  $E = \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}^1(n)$  for some n.

*Proof.* 1) Let E be a vector bundle of rank two on  $P^2$  with N(E)= -4. We may assume  $c_1(E) = 0$ , and so  $c_2(E) = 1$ . Then since  $\chi(E)$ = 1 and  $c_1(E^* \otimes K_{P^2}) < 0$ , E is not  $\mathcal{O}_{P^2}(1)$ -stable by Lemma (2.1) and hence not simple. 2) Put  $V = \mathcal{O}_{P_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{P_2}(1)$ . The surface X = P(V) has a unique exceptional curve D of the first kind. The contraction of D is Now we consider the problem on X. Let E be a simple vector bundle  $P^2$ . on X of rank two with N(E) = -3. Put  $c_1(E) = kd + hf$ . By N(E) =-3, k is odd and h is even. So we may assume k = -1 and h = 2, and then  $c_2(E) = 0$ . Therefore since  $\chi(E) = 1, d(E^* \otimes K_X, H_{1,1}) < 0$  and  $d(E, H_{1,1})$ = 0, so E is not  $H_{1,1}$ -stable. Hence we have a morphism  $f: Y \to X$ obtained by successive dilatations and an extension of line bundle on  $Y: 0 \rightarrow f^*L_1 \otimes M \rightarrow f^*E \rightarrow f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are line bundles on X and M is a positive exceptional line bundle on Y with  $d(L_1, H_{1,1})$  $\geq 0$ . Let the class of  $L_1$  be nd + mf. Since E is simple,  $H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2^{-1})$ = 0 and  $H^{0}(L_{1}^{-1} \otimes L_{2}) = 0$  by the same argument as in Prop. (2.9). And  $0 = c_2(E) = -4(M^2) + (L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}, L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1})$ . These relations are equivalent to the following: (1)  $2n + m \ge 0$ . (2) either  $n \ge 0$  and  $n + m \le 0$  or  $n \geq -1 \ \ ext{and} \ \ n+m \leq 2.$  (3)  $-(M^2)=n^2+2nm+m-n\geq 0.$  Only n= 0 and m = 0 satisfies these relations, and so  $M = \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ . Hence the above extension is of the form:  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to \mathcal{O}_X(-d+2f) \to 0$ . Since  $\dim_k H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(d-2f)) = 1$ , the above non-trivial extension is unique. (It is obvious that the extension bundle is simple by Oda's lemma.)

We now give an example of a family of simple vector bundles of rank two on  $P^2$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  be closed points of  $P^2$  in general position, and let f be the blowing up:  $X \to P^2$  whose center consists of  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$ . Put  $L = f^*(\mathcal{O}_{P^2}(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(C_1 + C_2 + C_3)$ , where  $C_i = f^{-1}(x_i)$ . It is easy to see that dim<sub>k</sub>  $H^1(L^{\otimes 2}) = 3$ ,  $H^2(L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_i)) = 0$ ,  $H^0(L) = 0$ ,  $H^0(L^{-1}) = 0$  and  $H^0(L^{\otimes -2}) = 0$ . We have an exact sequence  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-C_i) \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_{C_i} \to 0$ , which induces  $k^{\oplus 3} = H^1(L^{\otimes 2}) \to H^1(C_i, \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-2)) = k \to H^2(L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_i)) =$ 0. Consider an extension  $0 \to L \to E' \to L^{-1} \to 0$ . By Schwarzenberger [10], E' is of the form  $f^*E$  for some vector bundle E on  $P^2$  if and only if  $E' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_i} = \mathcal{O}_{C_i} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ , i = 1, 2, 3. Hence there is a non-empty Zariski open subset U of  $P^2$  and a vector bundle  $\mathscr{V}$  of rank two on  $U \times P^2$  such that for any  $u \in U$ , the restriction of  $\mathscr{V}$  to  $u \times P^2$  is a simple vector bundle of rank two on  $P^2$  with the first Chern class  $= \mathcal{O}_{P^2}$  and the second Chern class = 2, and isomorphic vector bundles appear only once. Indeed, let E' be  $f^*E$  for some vector bundle E on  $P^2$ . It is easy to see that

 $H^{0}(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P^{2}}(1)) \neq 0$ . On the other hand,  $H^{0}(E) = 0$  by the above fact. Hence E is simple by Cor. (2.10), iii). From  $H^{0}(L^{\otimes -2}) = 0$ , we can see that isomorphic vector bundles appear only once.

Remark. Conversely, let E be a simple vector bundle of rank two on  $P^2$  with the first Chern class  $= \mathcal{O}_{P^2}$  and the second Chern class = 2. Then there is a morphism  $f: X \to P^2$  obtained by successive dilatations and a positive exceptional line bundle M on X such that  $0 \to f^*(\mathcal{O}_{P^2}(-1))$  $\otimes M \to f^*E \to f^*(\mathcal{O}_{P^2}(1)) \otimes M^{-1} \to 0$ , where  $-(M^2) = 3$ . Indeed, by Lemma (2.1),  $H^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P^2}(1)) \neq 0$  since  $\chi(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P^2}(1)) > 0$  and  $d((E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{P^2}(1))^* \otimes K, \mathcal{O}_{P^2}(1)) < 0$ . On the other hand,  $H^0(E) = 0$ . Hence we have the desired result.

When X is  $P^1 \times P^1$ , we have the almost same results as when X is the projective plane  $P^2$ . For example, 1) there is no simple vector bundle E of rank two on X with N(E) = -2. 2) Let E be a vector bundle of rank two on X with N(E) = -4. E is simple if and only if E is  $H_{1,1}$ -stable, or  $H_{2,1}$ -stable, or  $H_{1,2}$ -stable. Hence a set of such simple bundles is bounded etc.

On the other hand, it was shown by Schwarzenberger [11] that for any even negative integer  $n \neq -2$ , there is a simple vector bundle E on X of rank two with N(E) = n. (His statement is false for n = -2. We can prove there is no simple vector bundle E of rank two on X with N(E) = -2 as Prop. (4.3) (i).) Note that if E is a simple vector bundle of rank two on X, then N(E) is an even negative integer.

# 5. Stable vector bundles of rank two on abelian surfaces

In this section, X will be an abelian surface over k. When E is a simple bundle of rank two on X, by the Riemann-Roch theorem,  $N(E) = c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E) = 2 \dim_k H^0 (\operatorname{End} (E)) - \dim_k H^1 (\operatorname{End} (E)) = 2 - \dim_k H^1 (\operatorname{End} (E)) \leq 2$ , since End (E) is self-dual and the canonical bundle of X is trivial. When char.  $k \neq 2$ ,  $\dim_k H^1 (\operatorname{End} (E)) \geq \dim_k H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 2$ , since  $\mathcal{O}_X \to \operatorname{End} (E)$  splits. Hence  $N(E) \leq 0$  when char.  $k \neq 2$  and E is simple.

PROPOSITION (5.1). Let X be an abelian surface and E a vector bundle of rank two with N(E) = 0 on X. Then E is simple if and only if E is H-stable for an ample line bundle H on X.

*Proof.* We use freely results about the cohomology of a line bundle on an abelian variety. (See [7] and [8]). Assume E is of trivial type. As above there is a non-trivial extension  $0 \to M \to f^*E \to f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \to 0$ with  $H^0(L_2) = H^0(L_2^{-1}) = 0$ . Therefore we have the following three possibilities:

(Case 1)  $L_2$  is non-degenerate of index 1, i.e.  $(L_2^2) < 0$ .

(Case 2)  $L_2$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , but algebraically equivalent to  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . (Case 3)  $L_2$  is degenerate, but not algebraically equivalent to  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , with  $L_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_K \neq \mathcal{O}_K$  where K is the component of the zero of the kernel of  $\wedge (L_2)$ . In cases 2 and 3 we have  $M = \mathcal{O}_X$ , since by assumption  $(L_2^2)$ = 0 and  $0 = N(E) = 4(M^2) + (L_2^2)$ . The extension is thus of the form,  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to E_1 \to L_2 \to 0$ . But since  $H^1(L_2^{-1}) = 0$ ,  $E_1 = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus L_2$ , contradicting the assumption that  $E_1$  is simple. In case 1,  $N(E) = 4(M^2) + (L_2^2) <$  $4 (M^2) \leq 0$ . This contradicts N(E) = 0.

**PROPOSITION** (5.2). Let X be an abelian surface and let E be a vector bundle of rank two on X with N(E) = 0. Then E is H-semi-stable if and only if E is either simple or is of the form  $E' \otimes L$ , where we have an extension  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to E' \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0$  and L is a line bundle.

*Proof.* The condition is clearly sufficient. To show that it is necessary, let E be H-semi-stable and not simple. By Prop. (5.1), E is not H-stable. Hence we have a morphism  $f: Y \to X$  obtained by successive dilatations, line bundles  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  on X and a positive exceptional line bundle M on Y such that there is an exact sequence  $0 \to f^*L_1 \otimes M \to f^*E \to f^*L_2 \otimes M^{-1} \to 0$  with  $d(L_1, H) = d(L_2, H)$ . If  $H^0(L_2 \otimes L_1^{-1}) = 0$ , then  $H^0$  (End (E)) =  $k \oplus H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2^{-1})$  by Oda's lemma and hence  $L_1 \simeq L_2$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $H^0(L_1 \otimes L_2^{-1}) \neq 0$ , and so  $L_1 = L_2$ . Since  $N(E) = 4(M^2) = 0$ ,  $M = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

*Remark.* Let X be an abelian surface over the field of complex numbers and E a vector bundle of rank two with N(E) = 0 on X. Then Oda [9] has proved that E is simple if and only if E is obtained as the direct image of a line bundle under an isogeny of a special type. And also he has shown that there is a vector bundle E of rank two on an abelian surface with N(E) = 0, which is not H-semi-stable but indecomposable. On the other hand, it is well known [1] that any indecomposable

vector bundle on an elliptic curve is semi-stable and the fact corresponding to Prop. (2.12) holds.

#### References

- Atiyah, M. F., Vector bundles over an elliptic curve, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3), 7 (1957), p. 414-452.
- [2] Hartshorne, R., Ample vector bundles, Publ. Math. IHES, 29 (1966), p. 63-94.
- [3] Kleiman, S., Les theoremes de finitude pour le foncteur de Picard, SGA 6, exposé 13.
- [4] Mumford, D., Projective invariants of projective structures and applications, Proc. Inter. Congress Math. Stockholm 1962, p. 526-530.
- [5] Mumford, D., Geometric invariant theory, Springer-Verlag, 1965.
- [6] Mumford, D., Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface, Ann. of Math. Studies Number 59, Princeton, 1966.
- [7] Mumford, D., Abelian varieties, Oxford Univ. Press, 1970.
- [8] Mumford, D. and G. Kempf, Varieties defined by quadratic equations, Centro Inter. Math. Estivo, Varenna, 1969, p. 31-100.
- [9] Oda, T., Vector bundles on abelian surfaces, Invent. Math., vol. 13 (1971), p. 247-260.
- [10] Schwarzenberger, R. L. E., Vector bundles on algebraic surfaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3), 1961, p. 601-622.
- [11] Schwarzenberger, R. L. E., Vector bundles on the projective plane, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 1961, p. 623-640.

Department of Mathematics Nagoya Institute for Technology