*Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **91** (2015), 264–267 doi:10.1017/S0004972714000860

# A NOTE ON NATURALLY ORDERED SEMIGROUPS OF TRANSFORMATIONS WITH INVARIANT SET

# LEI SUN<sup>™</sup> and JUNLING SUN

(Received 24 September 2014; accepted 27 September 2014; first published online 26 November 2014)

#### Abstract

In this short note, we describe all the elements in the semigroup

$$S(X,Y) = \{ f \in \mathcal{T}_X : f(Y) \subseteq Y \}$$

which are left compatible with respect to the so-called natural partial order. This result corrects an error in a paper by Sun and Wang ['Natural partial order in semigroups of transformations with invariant set', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **87** (2013), 94–107].

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20M20. *Keywords and phrases*: transformation semigroups, natural partial order, (strictly) left compatibility.

neywords and phrases, autorormation semigroups, natural partial order, (surery) for comparising.

Let  $\mathcal{T}_X$  be the full transformation semigroup on the nonempty set *X* and fix a nonempty subset *Y* of *X*. Endow the semigroup

$$S(X, Y) = \{ f \in \mathcal{T}_X : f(Y) \subseteq Y \}$$

with the so-called natural partial order [1], that is, for  $f, g \in S(X, Y)$ ,

 $f \le g$  if and only if f = kg = gh and f = kf for some  $k, h \in S(X, Y)$ .

Sun and Wang [2] gave a characterisation of this partial order  $\leq$ , namely,  $f \leq g$  if and only if the following statements hold:

(C1)  $\pi(g)$  refines  $\pi(f)$  and  $\pi_Y(g)$  refines  $\pi_Y(f)$ ;

(C2) if  $g(x) \in f(X)$  for some  $x \in X$ , then f(x) = g(x);

(C3)  $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$  and  $f(Y) \subseteq g(Y)$ .

A transformation  $h \in S(X, Y)$  is said to be *strictly left compatible* (*left compatible*) with the partial order if hf < hg ( $hf \le hg$ ) whenever f < g ( $f \le g$ ).

**THEOREM** 1 [2]. Let  $h \in S(X, Y)$ . Then h is strictly left compatible if and only if h is an injection.

The first author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. U1404101), the Key Project of the Education Department of Henan Province (No. 14A110003) and Funding scheme for young teachers in higher school of Education Department of Henan Province (No. 2013GGJS-054).

<sup>© 2014</sup> Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2014 \$16.00

From the proof of [2, Theorem 2.3], the necessity of Theorem 1 holds, but the sufficiency may not be true. Now let us show a counterexample.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  and  $Y = \{4, 5, 6, ...\}$ . Let

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ x + 2 & \text{if } x \in X - \{1\}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $h \in S(X, Y)$  and h is an injection. Take

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ 4 & \text{if } x \in Y \end{cases} \text{ and } g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in \{2, 3\}, \\ 4 & \text{if } x \in Y. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f, g \in S(X, Y)$  and f < g. Now we assert that *h* is not strictly left compatible. Indeed, if *h* is strictly left compatible, then hf < hg. By (C1),  $\pi_Y(hg)$  refines  $\pi_Y(hf)$ . However,  $hg(2) = hg(3) = 4 \in Y$  and  $hf(2) = hf(3) = 1 \in X - Y$  which implies that  $\pi_Y(hg)$  does not refine  $\pi_Y(hf)$ , a contradiction. So *h* is not strictly left compatible.

Our main purpose in this short note is to correct an error in Theorem 1 and give a necessary and sufficient condition for all the left compatible elements of the semigroup S(X, Y) with respect to this partial order. First, we give a necessary condition for the strictly left compatible elements.

**LEMMA** 3. Let  $h \in S(X, Y)$ . If h is strictly left compatible, then either  $h^{-1}(Y) = X$  or  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ .

PROOF. There are two cases to consider.

*Case 1.* |X - Y| = 1. Obviously, for each  $h \in S(X, Y)$ , we have  $h^{-1}(Y) = X$  or  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ , as required.

*Case 2.*  $|X - Y| \ge 2$ . Suppose that  $h^{-1}(Y) \ne X$ . Let  $a \in X - Y$  be such that  $h(a) \in X - Y$ . Now we assert that  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ . Indeed, if  $h(b) = c \in Y$  for some  $b \in X - Y$  ( $b \ne a$ ). Then define  $f, g: X \to X$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x \in X - Y, \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } g(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x \in X - Y - \{b\}, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $f, g \in S(X, Y)$  and f < g. Noting that *h* is left compatible, we have hf < hg. However, on the one hand,  $hf(b) = h(a) \in X - Y$ ; on the other hand,  $hf(b) = khg(b) = kh(b) = k(c) \in Y$  for some  $k \in S(X, Y)$ , a contradiction. So  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$  and the conclusion follows.

So Theorem 1 should be corrected as follows.

**THEOREM** 1'. Let  $h \in S(X, Y)$ . Then h is strictly left compatible if and only if h is an injection with either  $h^{-1}(Y) = X$  or  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ .

L. Sun and J. Sun

**PROOF.** The necessity follows from the proof of [2, Theorem 2.3] and Lemma 3, and now we show the sufficiency. Let  $f, g \in S(X, Y)$  and f < g. We verify that hf < hg. Assume that hg(x) = hg(y) for some  $x, y \in X$ . Noting that h is an injection, we have g(x) = g(y) and f(x) = f(y) since  $\pi(g)$  refines  $\pi(f)$ . So hf(x) = hf(y) and  $\pi(hg)$  refines  $\pi(hf)$ . Moreover, if  $hg(x) = hg(y) \in Y$ , then either  $g(x) = g(y) \in Y$  or  $g(x) = g(y) \in$ X - Y. If the former case occurs, then  $f(x) = f(y) \in Y$  and  $hf(x) = hf(y) \in Y$ . If the latter case occurs, then  $h^{-1}(Y) = X$ . So f(x) = f(y) and  $hf(x) = hf(y) \in Y$ . Hence  $\pi_Y(hg)$  refines  $\pi_Y(hf)$  and the transformations hf, hg satisfy (C1). It is routine to show that the transformations hf, hg satisfy (C2) and (C3). Therefore, hf < hg and h is strictly left compatible.

We see that, in Example 2, *h* is an injection and  $h^{-1}(Y) = X - \{1\}$  but neither  $h^{-1}(Y) = X$  nor  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ , so *h* is not strictly left compatible.

We point out that Lemma 3 also holds for the case where *h* is left compatible, that is, if *h* is left compatible, then *h* is an injection with either  $h^{-1}(Y) = X$  or  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ . In what follows we consider a sufficient condition for all the left compatible elements in the semigroup S(X, Y).

**LEMMA** 4. Suppose that  $h \in S(X, Y)$  is not a constant transformation. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) if  $h|_{X-Y}$  is not injective, then h is not left compatible;
- (2) *if*  $h(X Y) \cap h(Y) \neq \emptyset$ , then h is not left compatible;
- (3) if  $h|_Y$  is not injective and  $|h(Y)| \ge 2$ , then h is not left compatible.

**PROOF.** (1) Let  $h(a) = h(b) \neq h(c)$  for some distinct  $a, b \in X - Y$  and  $c \in X$ . Suppose to the contrary that *h* is left compatible. Define  $f : X \to X$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } x = a, \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $f \in S(X, Y)$  and  $f \le id_X$ . So  $hf \le h id_X = h$  and  $\pi(h)$  refines  $\pi(hf)$ . However, on the one hand, h(a) = h(b); on the other hand, hf(a) = h(c) and hf(b) = h(b). It readily follows from  $h(c) \ne h(b)$  that  $hf(a) \ne hf(b)$ , a contradiction. Hence *h* is not left compatible.

(2) Let  $h(a) = h(b) \neq h(c)$  for some distinct  $a \in X - Y$ ,  $b \in Y$  and  $c \in X$ . Take f as in (1) so that  $f \leq id_X$ . Then  $hf \leq h$  and  $\pi(h)$  refines  $\pi(hf)$ , which also leads to a contradiction. Thus h is not left compatible.

(3) Let  $h(a) = h(b) \neq h(c)$  for some distinct  $a, b, c \in Y$ . Take f as in (1) so that  $f \leq id_X$ . Then  $hf \leq h$  and  $\pi(h)$  refines  $\pi(hf)$  which also leads to a contradiction. It follows that h is not left compatible.

It is routine to verify the following lemma.

**LEMMA** 5. Let  $h \in S(X, Y)$ . If  $h|_{X-Y}$  is injective,  $h(X - Y) \cap h(Y) = \emptyset$  and |h(Y)| = 1, then the following statements hold:

- (1) if  $h^{-1}(Y) = X$ , then h is left compatible;
- (2) *if*  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ , then h is left compatible.

266

Now we obtain the main result of this short note.

**THEOREM 6.** Let X be a set and Y be the subset with  $Y \neq X$ . Suppose that  $|X| \ge 3$  and  $|Y| \ge 2$ . Then  $h \in S(X, Y)$  is left compatible if and only if one of the following statements holds:

- (1) *h* is a constant transformation;
- (2) *h* is an injection with either  $h^{-1}(Y) = X$  or  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ ;
- (3)  $h|_{X-Y}$  is injective,  $h(X Y) \cap h(Y) = \emptyset$  and |h(Y)| = 1 with either  $h^{-1}(Y) = X$  or  $h^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ .

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for useful and valuable comments and suggestions relating to this note.

## References

- [1] H. Mitsch, 'A natural partial order for semigroups', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1986), 384–388.
- [2] L. Sun and L. M. Wang, 'Natural partial order in semigroups of transformations with invariant set', Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 87 (2013), 94–107.

LEI SUN, School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Henan, Jiaozuo, 454003, PR China e-mail: sunlei97@163.com

JUNLING SUN, School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Henan, Jiaozuo, 454003, PR China