Collateral Scoring on CT Angiogram Must Evaluate Phase and Regional Pattern
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ABSTRACT: Purpose: We measured anterior cerebral artery (ACA)-middle cerebral artery (MCA) and posterior cerebral artery (PCA)-MCA pial filling on single-phase computed tomography angiograms (sCTAs) in acute ischemic stroke and correlate with the CTA-based Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) and digital subtraction angiography (DSA)-based American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology (ASITN) score. Methods: Patients with acute stroke and M1 MCA ± intracranial internal carotid artery occlusion on baseline CTA were included. Baseline sCTA was assessed for phase of image acquisition. An evaluator assessed collaterals using the Calgary Collateral (CC) Score (measures pial arterial filling in ACA-MCA and PCA-MCA regions separately), the MGH score, and on DSA using the ASITN score. Infarct volumes were measured on 24- to 48-hour magnetic resonance imaging/computed tomography. Results: Of 106 patients, baseline sCTA was acquired in early arterial phase in 9.9%, peak arterial in 50.7%, equilibrium in 32.4%, early venous in 5.6%, and late venous in 1.4%. Variance in ACA-MCA collaterals explained only 32% of variance in PCA-MCA collaterals on the CC score (Spearman’s correlation coefficient rho [rho] = 0.56). Correlation between ACA-MCA collaterals and the MGH score was strong (rho = 0.8); correlation between PCA-MCA collaterals and this score was modest (rho = 0.54). Correlation between ACA-MCA collaterals and the ASITN score was modest (n = 53, rho = 0.43); and correlation between PCA-MCA collaterals and ASITN score was poor (rho = 0.33). Of the CTA-based scores, the CC Score (Akaike [AIC] 1022) was better at predicting follow-up infarct volumes than was the MGH score (AIC 1029). Conclusion: Collateral assessments in acute ischemic stroke are best done using CTA with temporal resolution and by assessing regional variability. ACA-MCA and MCA-PCA collaterals should be evaluated separately.

RÉSUMÉ: La mesure de la circulation collatérale au moyen de l’angiographie par tomodensitométrie doit évaluer les phases de remplissage et la variabilité régionale du cerveau. Objectif: Nous avons mesuré le remplissage pial de l’artère cérébrale antérieure (ACA) à l’artère cérébrale moyenne (ACM), et de l’artère cérébrale postérieure (ACP) à l’ACM, au moyen d’une angiographie par tomodensitométrie à phase unique (single-phase) dans le cas de patients victimes d’un accident ischémique cérébral aigu. Nous avons ensuite corrélat nos résultats avec les scores obtenus à l’aide d’angiographies par tomodensitométrie, le score CC (critère d’évaluation du Calgary Collateral Score), le score ACM et le score du MGH (American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology). Méthodes: Nous avons inclus des patients victimes d’un AVC aigu et d’une occlusion de la carotide interne intracrânienne M1 MCA ± détectés au moyen d’angiographies par tomodensitométrie servant de référence (baseline). Ces mêmes angiographies ont été ensuite évaluées lors de la phase d’acquisition des images. Un évaluateur a aussi analysé la circulation collatérale à l’aide du score Calgary Collateral (CC), lequel mesure séparément le remplissage artériel pial de l’ACA à l’ACM, et de l’ACP à l’ACM, et du score du MGH. Quant aux angiographies numériques avec sousstraction, nous avons fait appel au score de l’ASITN. Le volume des infarctus a été mesuré au moyen de techniques d’imagerie par résonance magnétique et de tomodensitométrie par ordinateur, et ce, pendant 24 à 48 heures. Résultats: Sur 106 patients, les données des angiographies par tomodensitométrie ont été obtenues chez 9,9 % d’entre eux lors de la phase artérielle précoce; lors de la phase artérielle maximale, chez 50,7 % d’entre eux; lors de la phase d’équilibre, chez 32,4 % d’entre eux; lors de la phase veineuse précoce, chez 5,6 % d’entre eux; et enfin, lors de la phase veineuse tardive, chez 1,4 % d’entre eux. La variance de la circulation collatérale de l’ACA à l’ACM a expliqué que 32% de la variance de la circulation collatérale de l’ACP à l’ACM en lien avec le score CC (coefficient de corrélation de Spearman; rho = 0,56). La corrélation entre la circulation collatérale de l’ACA à l’ACM et le score du MGH s’est avérée marquée (rho = 0,8); la corrélation entre la circulation collatérale de l’ACP à l’ACM et ce même score s’est révélée plus faible (rho = 0,54). De son côté, la corrélation entre la circulation collatérale de l’ACA à l’ACM et le score de l’ASITN est apparue encore plus faible (n = 53; rho = 0,43) tandis que la corrélation entre la circulation collatérale de l’ACP à l’ACM et le score de l’ASITN (rho) n’a été que de 0,33. De tous les scores obtenus à l’aide d’angiographies par tomodensitométrie, le score CC (critère d’information d’Akaike ou AIC = 1002) a mieux réussi à prédire les volumes d’infarctus subséquents que celui du MGH (AIC = 1029). Conclusions: Il est préférable d’évaluer la circulation collatérale dans le cas d’accidents ischémiques cérébraux aigus au moyen d’angiographies par tomodensitométrie servant de référence, et ce, en fonction du...
In patients with acute ischemic stroke (AIS), collaterals provide blood flow to tissue at risk via arterial backfilling. Good collateral status measured on computed tomography angiogram (CTA) is associated with improved imaging and clinical outcome. Various imaging modalities are used to measure collateral status in patients with acute ischemic stroke. Magnetic resonance angiography and transcranial Doppler are noninvasive techniques; however, they are logistically challenging and may not be available at all centers. Alternatively, the reference standard, the four-vessel digital subtraction angiogram (DSA), is invasive. Finally, CTA is readily available at many centers, and only adds minutes to the noncontrast CT acquisition.

For an imaging modality to be considered as a reasonable standard for measuring collateral status, it must have biological validity. In a previous study, Menon et al found significant variability in collateral status within the middle cerebral artery (MCA) ischemic region. The anterior cerebral artery (ACA)-MCA collaterals behaved differently to the posterior cerebral artery (PCA)-MCA collaterals. This regional variance in collateral status correlates well with brain tissue viability and therefore clinical outcomes. The current reference standard for collateral assessment (i.e. American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology [ASITN] score on conventional angiogram) or other methods of assessment of collaterals on CTA do not account for this regional variation in collateral status. Of note, collateral assessment on conventional angiograms is almost always restricted to scoring collaterals on images obtained from single arterial injections into the ipsilesional common carotid aortic arch to vertex (1-mm slice thickness, 120 kVP) using a 100-ml bolus of Optiray 320 contrast.

A total of 106 patients with AIS and a proximal occlusion were included in our study. Each of these patients presented with an M1 MCA ± intracranial ICA occlusion on baseline CTA. Phase of image acquisition on baseline CTA was assessed in accordance with the previously published methodology by Rodriguez-Luna et al (Table 1). The phase (early, peak arterial, equilibrium, early, late venous) was determined by evaluating Hounsfield units (HU) on the contralateral (unaffected) vasculature at the ICA, M1 MCA, superior sagittal sinus, torcular, and transverse/sigmoid sinus. The contralateral vasculature was chosen to measure the HU to minimize the potential impact from the proximal occlusion on the ipsilateral side.

Collaterals beyond the M1 MCA occlusion were assessed using the Calgary Collateral (CC) Score (Table 2). This score measures pial arterial filling in ACA-MCA and PCA-MCA regions separately on a 5-point ordinal scale (Figure 1). These scores are then added to obtain a 10-point score. Additionally, the evaluator assessed collateral status on CTA and DSA using the MGH score and ASITN score. These scores measure collateral status in the entire ischemic region. These scores are also described in Table 2. Quantomo (Cybertrial Inc., Calgary), a validated software tool, was used to measure infarct volume in milliliters on 24- to 48-hour follow-up MRI (or CT when MRI was not available) while being blinded to all other clinical and imaging information. Manual adjustments to delineate infarct boundaries were performed where necessary. If the infarct showed hemorrhagic conversion, the hemorrhage regions were incorporated within the boundaries of infarct.

The three scores were compared with each other using non-parametric statistics (Spearman’s correlation coefficient rho [rho]). Linear regression was used to assess the relationship between collateral status and infarct volume on follow-up after verifying if relevant statistical assumptions were met. Information in Table 1: HU thresholds defining phase of image acquisition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Arterial HU</th>
<th>Venous HU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early arterial</td>
<td>Higher than venous vasculature</td>
<td>≤200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak arterial</td>
<td>≥100 higher than venous vasculature</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium</td>
<td>&lt;100 higher or equal to venous vasculature</td>
<td>≥200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak venous</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>Higher than arterial vasculature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late venous</td>
<td>≤200</td>
<td>Higher than arterial vasculature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HU = Hounsfield unit.

Table 2: The Calgary Collateral Score, the ASITN score on DSA and the MGH score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calgary Collateral Score</th>
<th>ASITN Score</th>
<th>MGH Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None (0)</td>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Compared to the asymptomatic contralateral hemisphere, there are no vessels visible within the occluded vascular territory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal (1)</td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Compared to the asymptomatic contralateral hemisphere, there are few vessels visible in the occluded territory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor (2)</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Compared to the asymptomatic contralateral hemisphere, there is decreased vessel prominence and extent with regions without vessels in some parts of the occluded territory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair (3)</td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Grade 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Compared to the asymptomatic contralateral hemisphere, there is modestly reduced prominence and extent of pial vessels beyond the occluded artery within the symptomatic hemisphere</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good (4)</td>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>Grade 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Compared to the asymptomatic contralateral hemisphere, there is slightly reduced prominence and extent of pial vessels beyond the occluded artery within the symptomatic hemisphere</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Compared to the asymptomatic contralateral hemisphere, there is increased or normal prominence and extent of pial vessels beyond the occluded artery within the symptomatic hemisphere</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note the Calgary Collateral score is scored separately in the ACA-MCA and PCA-MCA regions for a total score of 10.4.13
theory approaches (Akaike [AIC] and Bayesian Information Criterion [BIC]) were used to determine which CTA model best predicted follow-up infarct volumes.

RESULTS

A total of 106 patients were included in the analysis. Baseline sCTA was acquired in early arterial phase in 9.9%, peak arterial in 50.7%, equilibrium in 32.4%, early venous in 5.6%, and late venous in 1.4% (Figure 2). Scans acquired in the very early arterial phase or late venous phase were excluded from further analysis.

A modest correlation was observed between ACA-MCA and PCA-MCA collaterals using the CC Score. Only 32% of the variance in PCA-MCA collaterals was explained by ACA-MCA collaterals ($\rho = 0.56$, $p < 0.0001$).

Correlation between ACA-MCA collaterals and the CTA-based MGH score was strong ($\rho = 0.8$, $p < 0.0001$); correlation between PCA-MCA collaterals and this score, however, was modest ($\rho = 0.54$, $p < 0.0001$). Correlation between ACA-MCA collaterals and the DSA based ASITN score was modest ($n = 53$, $\rho = 0.43$, $p = 0.16$) but correlation among PCA-MCA collaterals and ASITN score was poor ($\rho = 0.33$, $p = 0.4$).

Infarct volume on follow-up scans was measured in 90/106 patients. Mean infarct volume was 51.8 ml (standard deviation, 82.3 ml). On linear regression, a statistically significant relationship was noted between the CC Score and follow-up infarct volume ($p < 0.001$) and between the MGH score and follow-up infarct volume ($p < 0.001$). The statistical model that used the CC Score (AIC, 1022; BIC, 1027) was a better fit at predicting follow-up infarct volumes than the MGH score (AIC, 1029; BIC, 1034). Relationship between ACA-MCA and PCA-MCA collateral scores and model derived “predicted follow-up infarction volume” is shown in Figure 3.

DISCUSSION

Leptomeningeal collaterals act as a system to unify the major cerebral arterial systems and provide a mechanism for retrograde blood flow during AIS. Our results substantiate previous findings showing significant variability in collateral status between ACA-MCA and PCA-MCA collaterals in patients with acute anterior circulation ischemic strokes with M1 MCA occlusions. We also show scoring collaterals on conventional angiograms (using the ASITN score) or on CTA using scores that look at the MCA region as a whole do not capture this regional variability in collateral status. Our results thus support the importance of assessing regional variability in collateral status while scoring them using CTA. Finally, we show that CTA-based collateral scores that take into account regional variability in pial arterial filling are better in predicting follow-up infarct volumes than scores that do not.

Assessing regional variability in collateral status is clinically relevant because it likely helps clinicians predict posttreatment regional tissue fate in a better manner. Moreover, improving methods of assessing collaterals on CTA is important because collaterals affect clinical decision-making in acute ischemic stroke by predicting tissue fate and clinical outcomes. Good collateral scoring appears to be associated with better clinical prognosis in patients with AIS. Alternatively, poor collaterals are associated with poorer outcomes and even hemorrhagic transformation.

Our results show that approximately 11.5% of sCTA scans are acquired in the very early arterial phase or the late venous phase. As noted in previous studies, mistiming of CTA acquisition, especially with sCTAs, can result in mislabeling of collateral status. Rate of contrast injection, time between contrast injection and image acquisition, and patient factors, including low volume ($p < 0.001$) and between the MGH score and follow-up infarct volume ($p < 0.001$). The statistical model that used the CC Score (AIC, 1022; BIC, 1027) was a better fit at predicting follow-up infarct volumes than the MGH score (AIC, 1029; BIC, 1034). Relationship between ACA-MCA and PCA-MCA collateral scores and model derived “predicted follow-up infarction volume” is shown in Figure 3.

DISCUSSION

Leptomeningeal collaterals act as a system to unify the major cerebral arterial systems and provide a mechanism for retrograde blood flow during AIS. Our results substantiate previous findings showing significant variability in collateral status between ACA-MCA and PCA-MCA collaterals in patients with acute anterior circulation ischemic strokes with M1 MCA occlusions. We also show scoring collaterals on conventional angiograms (using the ASITN score) or on CTA using scores that look at the MCA region as a whole do not capture this regional variability in collateral status. Our results thus support the importance of assessing regional variability in collateral status while scoring them using CTA. Finally, we show that CTA-based collateral scores that take into account regional variability in pial arterial filling are better in predicting follow-up infarct volumes than scores that do not.

Assessing regional variability in collateral status is clinically relevant because it likely helps clinicians predict posttreatment regional tissue fate in a better manner. Moreover, improving methods of assessing collaterals on CTA is important because collaterals affect clinical decision-making in acute ischemic stroke by predicting tissue fate and clinical outcomes. Good collateral scoring appears to be associated with better clinical prognosis in patients with AIS. Alternatively, poor collaterals are associated with poorer outcomes and even hemorrhagic transformation.

Our results show that approximately 11.5% of sCTA scans are acquired in the very early arterial phase or the late venous phase. As noted in previous studies, mistiming of CTA acquisition, especially with sCTAs, can result in mislabeling of collateral status. Rate of contrast injection, time between contrast injection and image acquisition, and patient factors, including low
cardiac ejection fraction, may introduce variability in assessment of collateral assessment with sCTA.\textsuperscript{12,15} sCTA may capture anterior dominant patterns before the flow of contrast is sufficient in the posterior collateral circulation for collateral assessment; PCA-MCA filling may be slower than the ACA-MCA territory.\textsuperscript{16} These deficiencies with sCTA can be addressed to a significant extent using multiphase CTA.\textsuperscript{15}

Limitations of our study include relatively small sample size and the use of a single evaluator trained in the use of MGH, ASITN, and CC Scores.

**CONCLUSION**

Collateral assessments in patients with acute ischemic stroke are best done using CTA, preferably with adequate temporal resolution and by assessing regional variability. Moreover, ACA-MCA and MCA-PCA collaterals should be evaluated separately because the ASITN score and MGH score may not account for collateral regional variability.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND FUNDING**

The authors thank the University of Calgary Department of Clinical Neurosciences, the Hotchkiss Brain Institute, and the Calgary Stroke Program for their ongoing support, and the reviewers at *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences* for their hard work and consideration.

The Identifying Novel Approaches to Optimize Arterial Imaging Interpretation for Predicting and Measuring Recanalization Whatever the Treatment and to Optimize Parenchymal Imaging Interpretation for Prediction of Early Neurological Recovery After Recanalization Using Serial CT Angiography (INTERRSeCT) study was funded through a grant from the Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR). BKM holds the current Heart and Stroke Foundation/University of Calgary Professorship in Stroke Imaging and a CIHR New Investigator Award.

**DISCLOSURES**

BKM reports the following disclosures: Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR): grant recipient, CIHR grant, New Investigator Award recipient, CIHR award. Heart and Stroke Foundation: professorship, and award. CC, AT, SIS, EQ, ASAL, MB, CD, MA, AMD, and MG have nothing to disclose.

**REFERENCES**


