References

- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, *et al.* Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020;395:1054–1062.
- Rawson TM, Moore LSP, Zhu N, et al. Bacterial and fungal coinfection in individuals with coronavirus: a rapid review to support COVID-19 antimicrobial prescribing. Clin Infect Dis 2020;ciaa530. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa530.
- Spigaglia P. COVID-19 and *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI): possible implications for elderly patients. *Anaerobe* 2020;64:102233.
- 4. Sandhu A, Tillotson G, Polistico J, *et al. Clostridioides difficile* in COVID-19 patients, Detroit, Michigan, USA, March–April 2020. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2020;26:2272–2274.
- Páramo-Zunzunegui J, Ortega-Fernández I, Calvo-Espino P, et al. Severe Clostridium difficile colitis as potential late complication associated with COVID-19. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2020:e1–e4.

- Huttner BD, Catho G, Pano-Pardo JR, Pulcini C, Schouten J. COVID-19: don't neglect antimicrobial stewardship principles! *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020;26:808–810.
- François B, Laterre PF, Luyt CE, Chastre J. The challenge of ventilatorassociated pneumonia diagnosis in COVID-19 patients. *Crit Care* 2020;24:289.
- Holden RJ, Carayon P, Gurses AP, *et al.* SEIPS 2.0: a human factors framework for studying and improving the work of healthcare professionals and patients. *Ergonomics* 2013;56:1669–1686.
- Getahun H, Smith I, Trivedi K, Paulin S, Balhy H. Tackling antimicrobial resistance in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Bull WHO* 2020; 98:442–442A.
- Stevens MP, Patel PK, Nori P. Involving antimicrobial stewardship programs in COVID-19 response efforts: all hands on deck. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020;41:744–745.

Risk factors associated with carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bloodstream infections in a tertiary-care hospital in India

Priyanka Gupta DNB¹ ⁽⁶⁾, Neha Bollam BS², Yatin Mehta FRCA³, Sharmila Sengupta MD^{1,a} and Sumanth Gandra MD^{4,a}

¹Department of Microbiology, Medanta, The Medicity Hospital, Gurugram, Haryana, India, ²University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States, ³Institute of Critical Care and Anaesthesiology, Medanta, The Medicity Hospital, Gurugram, Haryana, India and ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Washington University, School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, United States

To the Editor—Klebsiella pneumoniae is the second most frequently isolated organism from blood cultures after *Escherichia coli* in India, with 50% of all *K. pneumoniae* isolates being resistant to meropenem in 2019.¹ Infections due to carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae (CRKP) are difficult to treat and have serious implications for patient health and medical costs.² Two recent meta-analyses^{3,4} reported the risk factors of CRKP infections; however, none of the studies included in the meta-analyses were from India or South Asia, where CRKP infections are highly prevalent.⁵ The high burden of CRKP in Indian healthcare settings necessitates investigation into the risk factors for CRKP infections to identify potential interventions. Here, we examined the risk factors associated with CRKP bloodstream infections (BSIs) compared to carbapenem-sensitive K. pneumoniae (CSKP) BSIs in the Indian context.

A retrospective study was conducted at Medanta–The Medicity, a tertiary-care hospital with 1,500 beds in North India between August 2014 and July 2015. Patients with BSI caused by *K. pneumoniae* were included in the study. Only the first BSI with *K. pneumoniae* from a patient was included. Data were collected by reviewing medical records. The variables included demographics, admission diagnosis, ICU admission, comorbidities, exposure to invasive devices, immune status, prior exposure to antibiotics, length of hospital stay and outcome. The blood-culture specimens were processed using BacT/Alert 3D (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) blood-culture system. Identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed using a Vitek 2 compact instrument (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI 2015) break points were utilized.⁶ Categorical variables were reported as frequencies and percentages, and continuous variables were reported as medians and interquartile ranges. For univariate analyses, results were reported as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals and P values. Variables with P values <.10 in the univariate analyses were included in backward, stepwise, logistic regression to determine the final multivariate logistic regression model to evaluate risk factors for CRKP. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 26 software (IBM, Armonk, NY). The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of Medanta Hospital, and the requirement for obtaining informed consent was waived because of the study's retrospective design.

Overall, during the study period, 111 patients had *K. pneumoniae* BSI. Of 111, 85 patients (77%) had CRKP and 26 patients (23%) had CSKP BSI. In univariate analysis, the following variables were associated with CRKP BSI: prior use of carbapenems, prior ICU stay, presence of foley catheter, mechanical ventilation, admission to ICU, prior use of polymyxins, admission to gastroenterology service and admission to liver transplant service (Table 1). However, in multivariate analysis, prior carbapenem use (odds ratio [OR], 7.04; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.86–26.68; P = .004), presence of Foley catheter (OR-6.21; 95% CI-1.61-23.98; P = .008) and admission to gastroenterology service



Author for correspondence: Priyanka Gupta, E-mail: priyankavkgupta@gmail.com ^aAuthors of equal contribution.

Cite this article: Gupta P, et al. (2021). Risk factors associated with carbapenemresistant Klebsiella pneumoniae bloodstream infections in a tertiary-care hospital in India. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 42: 1418–1420, https://doi.org/ 10.1017/ice.2020.1280

[©] The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1. Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of Risk Factors Associated With Carbapenem-Resistant K. pneumoniae Bloodstream Infection (CRKP BSI)

Demographics Age, years, mean (± SD) 55 (4) Gender, male, n, (%) 67 (7) Comorbid condition 7 CCI score (± SD) 5 (3) Diabetes mellitus 45 (5) Invasive procedures or devices 44 (5) Intravenous catheter 85 (1) Foleys catheter 71 (8) Mechanical ventilation 46 (5) Total parenteral nutrition 12 (1) Dialysis 29 (3) Previous surgery ^a 21 (3) Admission in ICU 55 (6) Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5) Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8) Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (1) Immunosuppression 50	2-65) 57 (3) 8.8) 19 (7) -6.50) 4.50 (3- 2.9) 15 (5) 1.8) 9 (3- 00) 25 (9- 3.5) 15(5) 4.1) 9(2. 4.1) 2(7. 4.1) 5(19) 6.5) 6(2) 4.7) 12 (44)	3.1) 1. -7.25) 1. 7.7) 0. 4.6) 1. 6.2) . 7.7) 1. .23) 1. .7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	OR (CI) .01 (0.99–1.00) .08 (0.83–1.39) .08 (0.90–1.30) .92 (0.62–1.44) .92 (0.62–1.44) .50 (0.85–2.64) N/A .45 (1.03–2.04) .56 (0.89–2.75) .83 (0.44–7.68) .77 (.76–4.11)	P Value .427 .539 .41 .671 .126 .069 .006 .082 .388 .15	OR (CI)	<i>P</i> Value
Age, years, mean (\pm SD)55 (4)Gender, male, n, (%)67 (7)Comorbid conditionCCI score (\pm SD)CCI score (\pm SD)5 (3)Diabetes mellitus45 (5)Invasive procedures or devicesInvasive procedures or devicesInvasive procedure44 (5)Intravenous catheter85 (1)Foleys catheter71 (8)Mechanical ventilation46 (5)Total parenteral nutrition12 (1)Dialysis29 (3)Previous surgery ³ 21 (3)Admission in ICU55 (6)Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5)Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8)Hospitalization days, n, mean (\pm SD)14 (1)Immunosuppression14 (1)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.1) 1. -7.25) 1. 7.7) 0. 4.6) 1. 6.2) . 7.7) 1. .23) 1. .7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	.08 (0.83-1.39) .08 (0.90-1.30) .92 (0.62-1.44) .50 (0.85-2.64) N/A .45 (1.03-2.04) .56 (0.89-2.75) .83 (0.44-7.68)	.539 .41 .671 .126 .069 .006 .082 .388	6.21 (1.61-23.98)	.008
Gender, male, n, (%) 67 (7.Gomorbid condition $CCI score (\pm SD)$ 5 (3)Diabetes mellitus 45 (5)Invasive procedures or devicesInvasive procedure 44 (5)Intravenous catheter 85 (1)Foleys catheter 71 (8)Mechanical ventilation 46 (5)Total parenteral nutrition 12 (1)Dialysis 29 (3)Previous surgery ^a 21 (3)Admission in ICU 55 (6)Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5)Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8)Hospitalization days, n, mean (\pm SD) 14 (1)Immunosuppression 14 (1)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.1) 1. -7.25) 1. 7.7) 0. 4.6) 1. 6.2) . 7.7) 1. .23) 1. .7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	.08 (0.83-1.39) .08 (0.90-1.30) .92 (0.62-1.44) .50 (0.85-2.64) N/A .45 (1.03-2.04) .56 (0.89-2.75) .83 (0.44-7.68)	.539 .41 .671 .126 .069 .006 .082 .388	6.21 (1.61-23.98)	.008
Comorbid condition CCI score (± SD) 5 (3) Diabetes mellitus 45 (5) Invasive procedures or devices Invasive procedure 44 (5) Intravenous catheter 85 (1) Foleys catheter 71 (8) Mechanical ventilation 46 (5) Total parenteral nutrition 12 (1) Dialysis 29 (3) Previous surgery ^a 21 (3) Admission in ICU 55 (6) Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5) Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8) Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (1) Immunosuppression 14 (1)	-6.50) 4.50 (3- 2.9) 15 (5- 1.8) 9 (3- 00) 25 (9- 3.5) 15(5- 4.1) 9(2. 4.1) 2(7. 4.1) 5(19- 6.5) 6(2: 4.7) 12 (4- 4.5) 12 (4- 1.5) 12 (4-	-7.25) 1. 7.7) 0. 4.6) 1. 6.2) 7.7) 1. 2.3) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	.08 (0.90-1.30) .92 (0.62-1.44) .50 (0.85-2.64) N/A .45 (1.03-2.04) .56 (0.89-2.75) .83 (0.44-7.68)	.41 .671 .126 .069 .006 .082 .388	6.21 (1.61-23.98)	.008
CCI score (± SD) 5 (3) Diabetes mellitus 45 (5) Invasive procedures or devices 1 Invasive procedure 44 (5) Intravenous catheter 85 (1) Foleys catheter 71 (8) Mechanical ventilation 46 (5) Total parenteral nutrition 12 (1) Dialysis 29 (3) Previous surgery ^a 21 (3) Admission in ICU 55 (6) Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5) Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8) Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (1) Immunosuppression 50	2.9) 15 (57) 1.8) 9 (34) 00) 25 (96) 3.5) 15(57) 4.1) 9(2. 4.1) 2(7. 4.1) 5(19) 6.5) 6(22) 4.7) 12 (46)	7.7) 0. 4.6) 1. 6.2) . 7.7) 1. .23) 1. .7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	.92 (0.62–1.44) .50 (0.85–2.64) N/A .45 (1.03–2.04) .56 (0.89–2.75) .83 (0.44–7.68)	.671 .126 .069 .006 .082 .388	6.21 (1.61-23.98)	.008
Diabetes mellitus 45 (5) Invasive procedures or devices 1 Invasive procedure 44 (5) Intravenous catheter 85 (1) Foleys catheter 71 (8) Mechanical ventilation 46 (5) Total parenteral nutrition 12 (1) Dialysis 29 (3) Previous surgery ^a 21 (3) Admission in ICU 55 (6) Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5) Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8) Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (1) Immunosuppression 14 (1)	2.9) 15 (57) 1.8) 9 (34) 00) 25 (96) 3.5) 15(57) 4.1) 9(2. 4.1) 2(7. 4.1) 5(19) 6.5) 6(22) 4.7) 12 (46)	7.7) 0. 4.6) 1. 6.2) . 7.7) 1. .23) 1. .7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	.92 (0.62–1.44) .50 (0.85–2.64) N/A .45 (1.03–2.04) .56 (0.89–2.75) .83 (0.44–7.68)	.671 .126 .069 .006 .082 .388	6.21 (1.61-23.98)	.008
Invasive procedures or devices Invasive procedure 44 (5 Intravenous catheter 85 (1) Foleys catheter 71 (8 Mechanical ventilation 46 (5 Total parenteral nutrition 12 (1) Dialysis 29 (3) Previous surgery ^a 21 (3) Admission in ICU 55 (6) Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5) Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8) Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (1) Immunosuppression 14 (1)	1.8) 9 (34) 00) 25 (96) 3.5) 15(57) 4.1) 9(2, 4.1) 2(7, 4.1) 5(19) 6.5) 6(23) 4.7) 12 (46)	4.6) 1. 6.2)	.50 (0.85–2.64) N/A .45 (1.03–2.04) .56 (0.89–2.75) .83 (0.44–7.68)	.126 .069 .006 .082 .388	6.21 (1.61-23.98)	.008
Invasive procedure44 (5)Intravenous catheter85 (1)Foleys catheter71 (8)Mechanical ventilation46 (5)Total parenteral nutrition12 (1)Dialysis29 (3)Previous surgery ^a 21 (3)Admission in ICU55 (6)Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5)Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8)Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD)14 (1)Immunosuppression100	00) 25 (96) 3.5) 15(57) 4.1) 9(2.14) 4.1) 2(7.14) 4.1) 5(19) 6.5) 6(22) 4.7) 12 (46)	6.2) 7.7) 1. .23) 1. .7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	N/A .45 (1.03–2.04) .56 (0.89–2.75) .83 (0.44–7.68)	.069 .006 .082 .388	6.21 (1.61-23.98)	.008
Intravenous catheter 85 (1) Foleys catheter 71 (8) Mechanical ventilation 46 (5) Total parenteral nutrition 12 (1) Dialysis 29 (3) Previous surgery ^a 21 (3) Admission in ICU 55 (6) Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5) Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8) Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (1) Immunosuppression 14 (1)	00) 25 (96) 3.5) 15(57) 4.1) 9(2.14) 4.1) 2(7.14) 4.1) 5(19) 6.5) 6(22) 4.7) 12 (46)	6.2) 7.7) 1. .23) 1. .7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	N/A .45 (1.03–2.04) .56 (0.89–2.75) .83 (0.44–7.68)	.069 .006 .082 .388	6.21 (1.61-23.98)	.008
Foleys catheter 71 (8 Mechanical ventilation 46 (5 Total parenteral nutrition 12 (1 Dialysis 29 (3 Previous surgery ^a 21 (3 Admission in ICU 55 (6 Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5 Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8 Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (11 Immunosuppression 14 (11)	3.5) 15(57) 4.1) 9(2. 4.1) 2(7. 4.1) 5(19) 6.5) 6(22) 4.7) 12 (44)	7.7) 1. .23) 1. .7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	.45 (1.03–2.04) .56 (0.89–2.75) .83 (0.44–7.68)	.006 .082 .388	6.21 (1.61–23.98)	.008
Mechanical ventilation46 (5)Total parenteral nutrition12 (1)Dialysis29 (3)Previous surgerya21 (3)Admission in ICU55 (6)Prior hospitalizationb44 (5)Prior ICU stayc70 (8)Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD)14 (1)Immunosuppression14 (1)	4.1) 9(2. 4.1) 2(7. 4.1) 5(19. 6.5) 6(23. 4.7) 12 (44.	.23) 1. .7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	.56 (0.89–2.75) .83 (0.44–7.68)	.082 .388	6.21 (1.61–23.98)	.008
Total parenteral nutrition12 (1-Dialysis29 (3-Previous surgerya21 (3-Admission in ICU55 (6-Prior hospitalizationb44 (5-Prior ICU stayc70 (8-Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD)14 (1-Immunosuppression	4.1) 2(7. 4.1) 5(19 6.5) 6(2) 4.7) 12 (40	.7) 1. 9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.	.83 (0.44-7.68)	.388		
Dialysis 29 (3) Previous surgery ^a 21 (3) Admission in ICU 55 (6) Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5) Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8) Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (1) Immunosuppression 14 (1)	4.1) 5(19 6.5) 6(23 4.7) 12 (44	9.2) 1. 3.1) 1.				
Previous surgery ^a 21 (3) Admission in ICU 55 (6) Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5) Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8) Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (1) Immunosuppression 14 (1)	6.5) 6(23 4.7) 12 (46	3.1) 1.	.77 (.76–4.11)	15		
Admission in ICU 55 (6 Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5 Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8 Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (10 Immunosuppression 14 (10)	4.7) 12 (46	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.15		
Prior hospitalization ^b 44 (5 Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8 Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (14 Immunosuppression 14 (14)		- 2)	.58 (0.74–3.36)	.205		
Prior ICU stay ^c 70 (8 Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (10 Immunosuppression 14 (10)		5.2) 1	.43 (0.92–2.22)	.071		
Hospitalization days, n, mean (± SD) 14 (1 Immunosuppression	1.8) 9 (34	4.6) 1	.50 (0.85–2.64)	.126		
Immunosuppression	2.4) 16 (62	1.5) 1	.34 (0.97–1.84)	.026		
	0–26.50) 18 (8-	-28.50) 1.	.00 (0.98–1.02)	.86		
Oncology 16 (1	8.8) 6 (23	3.1) 0	.82 (0.36–1.87)	.634		
Transplant 23 (2	7.1) 3 (11	1.5) 2	.34 (0.76–7.19)	.102		
Immunosuppressant drugs 28 (3:	2.9) 5 (19	9.2) 1	.71 (0.74–3.98)	.181		
Steroids 49 (5	7.6) 11 (42	2.3) 1	.36 (0.84–2.21)	.17		
Prior receipt of antibiotics ^d						
Carbapenems 49 (5	7.6) 6 (23	3.1) 2	.50 (1.21–5.16)	.002	7.04 (1.86–26.68)	.004
Cephalosporins 57	17 (65	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.03 (0.75–1.41)	.874	, ,	
Fluroquinolones 19 (2:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.45 (0.54–3.89)	.443		
Glycopeptides 3 (3			N/A	.331		
Glycylcyclines 3 (3.		·	, N/A	.331		
Oxazolidinones 0 (0			N/A	.069		
Polymyxins 14 (1			, N/A	.027		
Specialty under which patient was admitted			,			
Cardiology 7 (8	.2) 0 (0))	N/A	.131		
Critical care 5 (5.		·	.53 (0.19–12.51)	.688		
Hepatology 14 (1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.61 (.28–1.35)	.234		
Gastroenterology 15 (1			.59 (0.64–33.01)	.08	9.85 (1.00-96.97)	.05
Liver transplant 11 (1:			N/A	.053	5.05 (1.00 50.51)	
Nephrology 9 (1)			.69 (0.23–2.05)	.506		
Neurology 7 (8			.71 (0.20–2.56)	.607		
General surgery 6 (7)			.61 (0.16–2.28)	.464		
Med-oncology 9 (1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.38 (0.32–5.97)	.665		
Internal medicine 1 (1	· · ·		.15 (0.014–1.62)	.073		
Pulmonology 1 (1			.31 (0.02–4.72)	.37		

Note. CCI, Charlson comorbidity index; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CSKP, carbapenem-susceptible KP; ICU, intensive care unit; KP, Klebsiella pneumoniae; SD, standard deviation. ^aSurgery within 30 days prior to collection of blood culture.

⁶Hospitalization within 3 months prior to collection of blood culture. ⁶ICU admission within 30 days prior to collection of blood culture.

The exposure had occurred during the current hospitalization before the blood culture was obtained or previous hospitalization within 30 days and a systemic antibiotic was administered for at least 1 day.

(OR, 9.85; 95% CI, 1.00–96.97; P = .05) were associated with CRKP BSI (Table 1). The in-hospital mortality rates were 42.4% (36 of 85) and 23.1% (6 of 26) among CRKP and CSKP patients, respectively. In univariate analysis the in-hospital mortality was higher in CRKP patients (OR, 1.21; 95% CI, 0.99–1.47; P = .07); however, it was not statistically significant in multivariate analysis.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to report on the risk factors associated with CRKP infections in India. Our findings are consistent with the 2 recent meta-analyses that reported carbapenem exposure and the presence of Foley catheter as risk factors for CRKP infections. Two other factors, namely mechanical ventilation and admission to ICU, which were identified as risk factors in meta-analyses, were significant in univariate analyses but not in multivariate analysis. This could be due to our small sample size. A recent prospective study conducted in several low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) reported increased in-hospital mortality with carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales* (CRE) BSIs.⁷ We observed higher mortality in univariate analysis but not in multivariate analysis, which could also be related to the small sample size of our study.

We observed that patients admitted to gastroenterology service had higher odds of CRKP BSI. The gastrointestinal tract is a site for *K. pneumoniae* colonization,⁸ and patients admitted to gastroenterology units are inflicted with erosive or inflammatory pathology; mucosal damage can predispose them to translocation of gut flora into the bloodstream. Contaminated endoscopes have been causally linked to multidrug-resistant organism outbreaks in the past⁹; however, we did not capture the number of endoscopic procedures among CRKP and CSKP patients. Moreover, the increased use of carbapenems in this patient subset can further contribute to the high rate of CRKP infections. However, the 95% confidence interval for the odds ratio is wide, reflecting that the need for a bigger sample size to confirm this association.

The high burden of CRKP BSI in Indian hospitals is of concern because there are no effective antibiotic treatment options. None of the recently approved antibiotics¹⁰ are active against the New-Delhi metallo- β -lactamase-producing strains of CRE, which are highly prevalent in India.¹ Our findings reinforce the need for appropriate use of carbapenems and infection control practices in Indian hospitals. There is an urgent need for effective interventions aimed at improving antimicrobial stewardship and infection

preventions programs in Indian healthcare settings to effectively manage CRKP BSIs.

Acknowledgments.

Financial support. No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

- Antimicrobial research surveillance Network. Indian Council of Medical Research website. http://iamrsn.icmr.org.in/images/pdf/AMRSNAR. 2019_29-07-2020.pdf Published 2019. Accessed September 4, 2020.
- Huang W, Qiao F, Zhang Y, et al. In-hospital medical costs of infections caused by carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Clin Infect Dis 2018;67:S225–S230.
- Liu P, Li X, Luo M, et al. Risk factors for carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae infection: a meta-analysis. Microb Drug Resist 2018;24: 190–198.
- 4. Zhu WM, Yuan Z, Zhou HY. Risk factors for carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection relative to two types of control patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2020;9:23.
- Gandra S, Alvarez-Uria G, Turner P, Joshi J, Limmathurotsakul D, van Doorn HR. Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in low- and middleincome countries: progress and challenges in eight South Asian and Southeast Asian countries. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2020;33:e00048-19.
- 6. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. M100-S25 Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.
- Stewardson AJ, Marimuthu K, Sengupta S, et al. Effect of carbapenem resistance on outcomes of bloodstream infection caused by Enterobacteriaceae in low-income and middle-income countries (PANORAMA): a multinational prospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2019;19: 601–610.
- Paczosa MK, Mecsas J. Klebsiella pneumoniae: going on the offense with a strong defense. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2016;80:629–661.
- O'Horo JC, Farrell A, Sohail MR, Safdar N. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and endoscopy: an evolving threat. *Am J Infect Control* 2016; 44:1032–1036.
- Sheu CC, Chang YT, Lin SY, Chen YH, Hsueh PR. Infections caused by carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*: an update on therapeutic options. *Front Microbiol* 2019;10:80.