Contribution to the Red List of Pakistan: a case study of the narrow endemic *Silene longisepala* (Caryophyllaceae)

**Abstract** Silene longisepala Nasir (Caryophyllaceae) is a plant endemic to Chitral District in mountainous northwest Pakistan. This taxon was previously known from only two localities. In field studies during 2005–2007, 24 new localities in lower Chitral were discovered. We found 608 mature individual plants in 22 localities in 2005, 520 mature individuals in 17 localities in 2006, and 531 mature individuals in 18 localities in 2007. Considering the population size in 2007, an extent of occurrence of 3,587 km² and area of occupancy of 104 km², we recommend that the species is categorized as Endangered on the IUCN Red List. The main threat to the species is habitat destruction from road construction, land conversion for agriculture and soil erosion following deforestation; we witnessed the direct effects of these pressures on *S. longisepala* during our fieldwork. In some localities, however, *S. longisepala* is fortuitously protected by the general inaccessibility of its habitat. There is no legal framework in Pakistan for the protection of rare and endemic plant taxa on private lands and therefore ex situ conservation may be required for narrow endemics such as *S. longisepala*. Our methodology in this study, in which we combined detailed field surveys with monitoring, could form a model for conservation research on rare and endemic plant taxa in Pakistan and elsewhere.

**Keywords** Caryophyllaceae, conservation status, Chitral, Endangered, narrow endemic, Pakistan, Red List, *Silene longisepala*

**Introduction**

Documenting plant diversity was the first of the 16 targets for 2010 (Callmander et al., 2005) adopted by the sixth Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity. The total number of identified vascular plant species is estimated to be between 310,000 (France et al., 2000) and 420,000 (Bramwell et al., 2002). The 2010 Red List (IUCN, 2010) contains >12,000 plant taxa but <1,000 are properly documented (<8.3% of global plant diversity). The process of conservation assessments clearly needs to be accelerated.

A total of 1,572 genera and 5,521 species of flowering plants have been documented for Pakistan (Ali, 2008) but there is no comprehensive national Red List. The pressures on plants and other wildlife are, however, considerable, with a human population increasing annually at a rate of 2.1% (The World Bank, 2011), unplanned urbanization, deforestation and overexploitation of natural resources (Ali, 2000; Anon., 2000; Sheikh et al., 2002; Ahmad et al., 2005; Eberhardt et al., 2006; Schickhoff, 2006). There has been little research on the threatened plants of Pakistan (Alam & Ali, 2009; Ali & Qaiser, 2010a,b). A herbarium-based study (Nasir, 1991) suggested that 580–650 species of flowering plant (i.e. c. 12% of the total flora) are threatened nationally. However, this assessment was not based on any criteria. Fourteen flowering plant species were listed as threatened in Pakistan by Walter & Gillet (1998). In the 2010 Red List (IUCN, 2010), only 26 species of plants are listed from Pakistan. This is almost certainly an underestimate.

Ibrar (2003) assessed the conservation status of eight Himalayan medicinal plants in Pakistan but his approach was based on availability in markets and consumption (i.e. data on population size, extent of occurrence and area of occupancy was not obtained). To determine the conservation status of a taxon it is necessary to monitor population size, determine the extent of occurrence and area of occupancy, and the nature and extent of any threats (IUCN, 2001). Endemic taxa merit special attention because of their limited geographical distribution; any unfavourable change in habitat may cause the rapid extinction of such species (Callmander et al., 2005). In addition, endemic and rare species are of particular value from an evolutionary point of view.

There is a particular need for botanical research in the biodiverse mountain areas of Pakistan. About 80% of the endemic flowering plants of the country (of a total of 410 taxa) are confined to the northern and western mountains of Pakistan and Kashmir (Ali, 2008). Within this region the area of Chitral has 34 known endemic species and is recognized as a centre of plant radiation (Ali & Qaiser, 1986).

One of these endemic plant species is *Silene longisepala* E. Nasir of the family Caryophyllaceae. The species was
previously known from only three collections in two localities (Table 1). The aim of the present study was to determine the geographical distribution of *S. longisepala*, evaluate its conservation status, identify any threats, and recommend conservation measures.

**Methods**

Field studies were conducted during 19 May 2005 to 30 September 2005, 1 May to 30 September 2006 and 1 June to 30 September 2007. Chitral, with an area of 14,850 km², is the largest district of the North-West Frontier Province of Pakistan (Anon., 1998). We carried out field surveys in lower Chitral in May and June and upper Chitral from July to the end of September. In excursions of 7–10 days we visited localities from where the taxon had previously been collected and localities that had not previously been surveyed but that lie within the altitudinal range and habitat typical of *S. longisepala*. The excursions were conducted with the help of local guides and porters, using horses or yaks for transportation. The routes followed were recorded with a global positioning system, altimeter and 1:50,000 maps from the survey of Pakistan.

When a population of the species was located 1–2 days were spent in the location to determine the extent of the population by walking within an area of at least 1–2 km² in each locality. The number of mature individuals (i.e. with fruits or flowers) was counted. Comprehensive field notes on habit, habitat, life form, phenology and altitudinal range of the species were recorded. Any anthropogenic threats (grazing, expansion of agriculture, road building and deforestation) were recorded, and the number of individual plants apparently grazed was counted. Plant specimens collected were deposited at Karachi University Herbarium (KUH).

To determine the extent of occurrence (the area contained within the shortest continuous imaginary boundary that can be drawn to encompass all the known sites of occurrence of a taxon, excluding cases of vagrancy) of the species the geographical coordinates of each *S. longisepala* located were plotted on georeferenced imagery, obtained from Google (2009), using ArcView v. 3.2 (ESRI, Redlands, USA) and a polygon drawn to encompass all the known localities of the taxon. Area of occurrence (the area within the extent of occurrence that is occupied by a taxon) was calculated by overlaying a grid of 2 x 2 km squares on the distribution map and summing the area of the squares in which the species was located. The conservation status of *S. longisepala* was assessed using the IUCN Red List criteria (2001).

**Results**

We found *S. longisepala* in 24 previously unrecorded localities (Table 1), mostly in rock crevices (Plate 1), at altitudes of 1,554–2,416 m. We observed that the species is a chamaephyte (following Raunkiaer, 1934), with a height of 20–30 cm (Table 1). We observed flowering and fruiting in August. We found only one small population of *S. longisepala* in a protected area (Location 3, Chitral Gol National Park; Fig. 1, Table 1). Although Chitral Gol is a National Park of IUCN category II (IUCN, 2000) we found that *S. longisepala* had been grazed there (Table 1). Considering all 26 sites, 15, 31 and 24% of the plants we located were grazed in 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively (Table 1). We observed the plants being grazed by goats and sheep.

Road construction and subsequent soil erosion is a threat to the habitat of *S. longisepala*. In the two locations where we observed such construction (Plate 2) the populations decreased by 69% from 2005 to 2007 in Suratwet-Rumboor (Location 10) and by 25% from 2006 to 2007 in Megegram-Bakhtooli (Location 15; Fig. 1, Table 1). Because of the mountainous topography and narrow valleys land for agriculture is limited in this area but new areas are still being cleared and brought under cultivation. In Owyon Ghari (Location 7), where we found *S. longisepala* in 2005...
Table 1. The 26 localities (see Fig. 1 for the 18 numbered locations in which the species was found in 2007) in which we found Silene longisepala, with habitat, location in UTM coordinates, altitude, and population size and number of grazed plants in 2005, 2006 and 2007. The two footnotes indicate the three collections, in two localities, from which the species was previously known.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Population size</th>
<th>No. of grazed plants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Chitral, Beshgram on way to Sosoom</td>
<td>Rocky steep slope</td>
<td>42-400001 E 7976449 N</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Chitral, Danin Gol</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-754002 E 3972818 N</td>
<td>1,950</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Chitral, Gokhshal on way to Kasawer</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-743159 E 3975996 N</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Chitral, Gorapon towards Kalash</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-748248 E 3957216 N</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Chitral, Joghor Gol Ghar1</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-752704 E 3967373 N</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Chitral, Mojegan Arkari</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-743438 E 3993856 N</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Chitral, Owyon Ghari</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-748250 E 3957226 N</td>
<td>1,554</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Chitral, Riri Chatho Ghar</td>
<td>Undulating gentle grassy slope</td>
<td>43-232768 E 4001081 N</td>
<td>2,766</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Lutkhoo, Arkari Momi</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-754997 E 3988804 N</td>
<td>2,053</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Lutkhoo, Bakhthooli Gol Ghari</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-755927 E 3990759 N</td>
<td>2,510</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Lutkhoo, Degheri Shah Saleem</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>43-712576 E 3994319 N</td>
<td>3,045</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Lutkhoo, Megegram Bakhthooli</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-741043 E 3999929 N</td>
<td>2,275</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Lutkhoo, Mogh towards Garm chashma</td>
<td>Rocky steep slope</td>
<td>42-737209 E 3988151 N</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Lutkhoo, Sewakht Shogshore</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>42-752641 E 3996145 N</td>
<td>2,586</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Mastooj Parwak</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>43-269727 E 4017540 N</td>
<td>2,230</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Mastooj, Booni Gol Ghari</td>
<td>Undulating steep rocky slope</td>
<td>43-253733 E 4017793 N</td>
<td>2,092</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Mastooj, Chowinch Ghari</td>
<td>Rocky steep slope</td>
<td>37-410168 E 8054306 N</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Mastooj, Near Sanoghour bridge</td>
<td>Rock crevice</td>
<td>43-269749 E 4017401 N</td>
<td>2,263</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Molikhoo, 2 km from N on way to Tirich</td>
<td>Undulating steep rocky slope</td>
<td>43-264202 E 4034084 N</td>
<td>2,416</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Molikhoo, Lonkho Terich</td>
<td>Rocky steep slope</td>
<td>43-263107 E 4035160 N</td>
<td>2,435</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Molikhoo, Qaqlasht</td>
<td>Rocky steep slope</td>
<td>43-258385 E 4020630 N</td>
<td>2,294</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Torikhoo, Sherjoli Shagram2</td>
<td>Rocky gentle slope</td>
<td>42-268641 E 3996145 N</td>
<td>2,325</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>608</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Chitral, Bowes Lyon 890 (BM; all from Ghazanfar & Nasir, 1986: 80)
2Chitral, Turikho river, 9,000 feet, 23 May 1958, J.D.A. Stainton 2505 (isotype RAW; G); Turikho river, Stainton 2449 (RAW; BM)
and 2006, land clearance (Plate 1b) later destroyed the population and we could not locate any plants in 2007. The people of Chitral use forest trees as fuelwood, particularly during winter, and during our surveys in 2007 we counted a total of 310 felled trees of *Pinus gerardiana*, *Pinus wallichiana*, *Picea smithiana*, *Fraxinus excelsior*, *Betula utilis* and *Juniperus excelsa* in the habitat of *Silene longisepala*, awaiting collection.

We calculated the extent of occurrence and area of occurrence to be 3,587 and 104 km², respectively, with a total of 531 individuals in 18 localities in 2007, our most recent survey (Fig. 1). Based on this low population size and apparent decline (from 620 individuals in 2005) we recommend that the species should be categorized as Endangered on the IUCN Red List based on the criteria (IUCN, 2001) B1ab(ii,iii,iv,v)+2ab(ii,iii,iv,v); C2a(i).

**Discussion**

Adequate plant collections with good notes of field observation can play a critical role in assessing the conservation status of species, in setting conservation priorities and monitoring population changes (Burgman et al., 1995; MacDougall et al., 1998; Funk et al., 1999; Steege et al., 2000; Golding & Smith, 2001; Hedenas et al., 2002; Schatz, 2002; Willis et al., 2003; Ungricht et al., 2005). However, various collection biases (such as collections having been made in accessible areas) may lead to misinterpretation, and it is possible that populations documented in herbarium collections may no longer exist. In particular, conservation assessments based principally on herbarium collections may be misleading (Randrianasolo et al., 2002). This is especially true for a relatively inaccessible area such as Chitral. Our surveys of *S. longisepala* over 3 consecutive years, however, demonstrated the value of long-term field monitoring.

The major threat to *S. longisepala* is habitat loss from expansion of agriculture on marginal land. Such land clearance results in considerable soil erosion because of the generally steep topography of this mountainous area. We observed that the uppermost soil layer in such areas is usually lost within a few years and the land abandoned. In some localities, however, *S. longisepala* is fortuitously protected by the general inaccessibility of its habitat. There is no legal framework in Pakistan for the protection of rare and endemic plant taxa on private lands and therefore ex situ conservation may be required for narrow endemics such as *S. longisepala*. In addition, the Ministry of Environment should require ecological impact assessments before issuing permits for road construction.

The fragmentation of the distribution of *S. longisepala* appears to be a characteristic typical of narrow endemics (Ashton, 1981; Fenu et al., 2011; Martell et al., 2011). Such species are susceptible to extinction from a variety of causes but especially from the destruction of their habitat (Lande, 1988; Romeo et al., 1994; Schemske et al., 1994; Bernardos et al., 2006).

Our methodology in this study, in which we combined detailed field surveys with monitoring, could form a model for conservation research on rare and endemic plant taxa in Pakistan and elsewhere. In Pakistan this approach needs to be repeated for other species, and particularly for the endemic plant taxa.
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