# Just the Facts: Diagnosis and management of small bowel obstruction

Brit Long , MD\*; Elisha Targonsky, MSc, MD†; Alex Koyfman, MD‡

#### **CLINICAL SCENARIO**

A 63-year-old female patient presents with abdominal pain, vomiting, and abdominal distention. She has previously had a cholecystectomy and hysterectomy. She has had no prior similar episodes, and denies fever, hematemesis, or diarrhea. She takes no medications. Vital signs include blood pressure 123/61 mm Hg, heart rate 97, oral temperature 37.2°C, respiratory rate 18, oxygen saturation 97% on room air. Her abdomen is diffusely tender and distended.

#### **KEY CLINICAL QUESTIONS**

# **1.** What does the literature suggest are the most reliable history and physical examination findings for small bowel obstruction?

The classic clinical picture of small bowel obstruction (SBO) is a patient with abdominal pain, distension, nausea and vomiting, and per os (PO) intolerance. Unfortunately, few studies evaluate the reliability of history and examination for diagnosis of SBO.<sup>1</sup> Early in the obstruction, patients can pass stool and gas and even experience diarrhea. These symptoms should not be used to exclude SBO.<sup>1,2</sup> Literature suggests patient gender, nausea, abdominal guarding, prior episodes of similar pain, and severity and duration of pain do not increase the pretest probability of SBO. Elements in the history with the highest likelihood of SBO include prior abdominal surgery (+likelihood ratio [LR] 3.86) and history of constipation (+LR 8.8).<sup>1,2</sup>

Clinicians should evaluate for hemodynamic instability, fever, and peritonitis, which can occur in later stages of SBO and suggest intestinal strangulation or perforation.<sup>1–3</sup> Pain that is persistent or out of proportion to examination is concerning for strangulation and perforation.<sup>2,3</sup> Examination findings most suggestive of SBO include abdominal distension (+LR 5.64–16.8 and -LR 0.34–0.43).<sup>1,2</sup> Generalized tenderness has poor sensitivity for SBO. While abnormal bowel sounds may be suggestive of SBO (+LR 6.33), inter-observer agreement is poor, and they should not be used to exclude SBO.<sup>1,2</sup> Evaluation for hernia is also recommended, as hernia is the second most common cause of SBO after intra-abdominal adhesions.

### 2. Can ultrasound be used at the bedside to diagnose SBO?

Ultrasound (US) is a rapid and reliable test for SBO. Fluid filled loops of bowel (> 2.5 cm in the jejunum or 1.5 cm in the ileum in three or more loops of bowel), ineffective peristalsis with whirling movements, and collapsed colonic lumen distal to a transition point are findings consistent with SBO (online Supplemental File).<sup>1–4</sup> Sensitivity of US

© Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians 2020 CJEM 2020;22(5):648–651

DOI 10.1017/cem.2020.393





From the <sup>\*</sup>Brooke Army Medical Center, Department of Emergency Medicine, Fort Sam Houston, Texas; <sup>†</sup>Emergency Physician, North York General Hospital, Trillium Health Partners - Credit Valley Hospital, Lecturer, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON; and the <sup>‡</sup>The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Department of Emergency Medicine, Dallas, Texas.

Correspondence to: Dr. Brit Long, Brooke Army Medical Center, Department of Emergency Medicine, 3841 Roger Brooke Drive, Fort Sam Houston, TX, 78234; Email: brit.long@yahoo.com

for diagnosis of SBO is over 94%, with a -LR of 0.04. Specificity ranges from 81–100%, with a + LR of 9.55 for emergency department (ED) point-of-care US (POCUS).<sup>1,2</sup> Trained providers can incorporate US into their assessment for SBO and expedite care and reduce patient length of stay.<sup>2</sup> If SBO is present based on US, further testing may be unnecessary, particularly in centers without access to advanced imaging such as computed tomography (CT).

To perform POCUS for SBO, the curvilinear probe with sequential, graded compression is recommended, beginning in the lower right quadrant. The probe is then moved longitudinally back and forth, evaluating for dilated and collapsed loops of bowel. Large bowel has visible haustra, while the jejunum possesses valvulae conniventes on the interior part of the intestinal wall. SBO involving the jejunum may result in the keyboard sign as a result of valvulae conniventes floating within fluid, similar to the black and white keys on a piano. The ileum does not have haustra or valvulae conniventes (Supplemental Figure). Free fluid, mural gas, and bowel wall edema (> 2 mm thickness) are associated with ischemia and worse prognosis.<sup>1,2</sup>

# 3. What diagnostic modalities are most reliable?

While US is reliable, several other imaging modalities are available, including plain radiograph, CT, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Plain radiographs are widely available and can be used to follow disease progression.<sup>1,3,4</sup> Findings of SBO on plain radiograph include dilated loops proximal to the obstruction (> 3 cm for the small bowel), visible valvulae conniventes, predominantly central dilated loops of bowel, and horizontal or oblique air fluid levels in the abdomen. However, radiographs cannot be used to exclude SBO, as sensitivity ranges from 59 to 85%, and they are normal in 20–30% of cases.<sup>1–4</sup> CT with intravenous (IV) contrast is the imaging modality of choice and diagnostic with dilated small intestine proximal to a transition point with distal collapsed bowel.<sup>1–4</sup> Current generation CT (third generation or greater) with IV contrast demonstrates a sensitivity over 93% for diagnostic accuracy of CT.<sup>4</sup> MRI has similar test characteristics compared with CT, but it is the least feasible test in the ED setting.<sup>2–4</sup> It is recommended for pregnant patients, but without gadolinium contrast.

#### **4.** Should all patients with SBO have an nasogastric tube placed?

The patient should be nil PO (NPO), as oral intake will increase proximal intestinal content and intraluminal pressures.<sup>3</sup> Nasogastric (NG) tube placement was previously considered integral. Except in certain situations, routine placement is no longer recommended, as NG tube placement is not associated with reduction in bowel ischemia, need for operative therapy, complication rates, or length of stay.<sup>2,5</sup> Patients with severe abdominal distension, pain, and vomiting may benefit from decompression with NG tube, but evidence to support this recommendation is limited.<sup>2</sup> NG tube placement should also be considered in the patient requiring transport for further management of SBO.

## 5. When should the surgeon be involved, and what are the indications for operative therapy?

While many patients with partial and even complete obstruction will improve with fluid resuscitation and symptomatic therapy, consultation with surgery is recommended for patients with SBO. Surgical service admission is associated with lower mortality rates and shorter lengths of stay compared with medical service admission.<sup>2,3</sup> Patients with hemodynamic instability, fever, peritonitis, perforation or strangulation on imaging, or closed loop SBO or internal hernia should go to the operating room (OR).<sup>2,3</sup> Patients who do not improve symptomatically after 3 days should be considered for operative therapy.<sup>3</sup>

# Just the Facts: Small Bowel Obstruction



#### Background

- SBO occurs with blockage of the small intestine - SBO results in complications in over 30% of patients, including ischemia or perforation

# **History and Exam**

- History/Exam: Prior abdominal surgery, history of constipation, and abdominal distension are most suggestive of SBO

- Abnormal bowel sounds may assist if present, but they have poor inter-rater agreement



# Imaging

- Plain radiographs cannot exclude SBO (normal in 30%)
- CT with IV contrast is imaging test of choice
- POCUS for distended fluid filled bowel loops
- and abnormal movements can be diagnostic



#### Management

- Hemodynamic instability, fever, peritonitis --> OR
- Make patient NPO; analgesics/antiemetics
- NG tube does not improve patient outcomes; avoid routine placement



#### Disposition

 Most patients with partial SBO improve with fluids and symptomatic therapy
Admission to surgical service associated with improved outcomes vs. medical service

#### SUMMARY/CONCLUSIONS

Clinicians should evaluate for hemodynamic instability, prior abdominal surgery, constipation, and abdominal distension in patients with suspected SBO. US and CT are reliable imaging modalities. Management includes symptomatic therapy, fluid rehydration, and determination of the need for operative therapy. NG tubes should not be routinely placed for SBO. Admission to a surgical service is associated with improved patient outcomes.

**650** 2020;22(5)

 $CJEM \bullet JCMU$ 

#### **CASE RESOLUTION**

The emergency clinician provides analgesics and antiemetics, as well as 1 L of normal saline. CT with IV contrast demonstrates a complete obstruction with transition point in the ileum. The patient does not have peritonitis or hemodynamical instability. The surgeon agrees to admit the patient.

Keywords: Gastrointestinal, small bowel obstruction

Supplemental material: The supplemental material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/cem.2020.393.

**Competing interests:** None declared.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Taylor MR, Lalani N. Adult small bowel obstruction. Acad Emerg Med 2013;20(6):528-44.
- 2. Long B, Koyfman A, Gottlieb M. Emergency medicine evaluation and management of small bowel obstruction: evidence-based recommendations. *J Emerg Med* 2019;56(2):166–76.
- 3. Maung AA, Johnson DC, Piper GL, et al. Evaluation and management of small-bowel obstruction: an Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma practice management guideline. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2012;73(5 Suppl 4):S362–9.
- 4. American College of Radiology. ACR appropriateness criteria suspected small bowel obstruction; 2019. Available at: https://acsearch. acr.org/docs/69476/Narrative (accessed January 22, 2020).
- 5. Fonseca AL, Schuster KM, Maung AA, Kaplan LJ, Davis KA. Routine nasogastric decompression in small bowel obstruction: is it really necessary? *Am Surg* 2013;79(4):422–8.