BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. Vol. 46 (1992) [245-261]

ON PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF A SEMILINEAR HYPERBOLIC PARABOLIC EQUATION

MITSUHIRO NAKAO AND HISAKO KATO

Uniqueness and regularity of periodic solutions to the semilinear dissipative wave equation with small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\varepsilon u_{tt} - \bigtriangleup u + u_t + g(u) = f(x, t)$$
 on $\Omega \times R$ and $u \mid_{\partial \Omega} = 0$, $\Omega \subset R^N$,

are investigated when g(u) has a certain 'critical' nonlinearity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded domain in the N-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and let us consider the periodicity problem for the semilinear wave equation with a dissipation:

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \nu u_t + g(u) = f(x, t) \text{ on } \Omega \times R, \quad \nu > 0, \\ u(x, t + \omega) = u(x, t) \text{ for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times R \text{ and } u \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ for } t \in R, \end{cases}$$

where f(x, t) is an ω -periodic function in t and g(u) is a nonlinear function satisfying

(1.2)
$$g(0) = 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq g'(u) \leq k_0 (1 + |u|^{\alpha})$$

for some $\alpha \ge 0$. We assume $\nu = 1$ without loss of generality.

Concerning the existence of a 'weak' solution of the problem (1.1) it is well known that if $f \in L^2(\omega; L^2(\Omega))$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2/(N-2)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ if N = 1, 2), then the problem admits a solution u in the class

(1.3)
$$C\left(\omega; \mathring{H}_{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\omega; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$$

(see Clements [1], Nakao [4], et cetera), where we denote by $C(\omega; X)$, X: Banach space, the space of ω -periodic continuous X-valued functions on $R = (-\infty, +\infty)$. Similar notations will be used freely.

Received 6 September 1991

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/92 \$A2.00+0.00.

Concerning the uniqueness and regularity, however, there remain some important open problems. First of all the uniqueness of weak solutions in the class (1.3) is not known. Moreover, it is not known whether the solution is classical or not for the case $\alpha = 2/(N-2)$ ($N \ge 3$), which is different from the case of initial-boundary value problems (see Sather [7], Wahl [9], et cetera). Of course, if $0 < \alpha < 2/(N-2)$ the regularity problem becomes easier and has been solved (see Kato and Nakao [2]).

Our purpose in this paper is to discuss the uniqueness of the weak solution for the case $0 \le \alpha \le 2/(N-2)$ as well as the regularity of it for the 'critical' case $\alpha = 2/(N-2)$ in some restricted situations.

The problem we consider is in fact:

(1.4)
$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon u_{tt} + Au + u_t + g(u) = f(x, t) \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega \times R, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \\ u(x, t + \omega) = u(x, t) \quad \text{and} \quad D^{\mu}u \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad 0 \leq |\mu| \leq m - 1, \end{cases}$$

where A is a symmetric uniformly elliptic operator of order 2m:

$$Au = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m \\ 0 \leq |\beta| \leq m}} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha} (a_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^{\beta} u)$$

with smooth coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ ($a_{\alpha\beta} \in C^{3m}$ is sufficient), and hence

$$C \left\| u \right\|_{\overset{\circ}{H}_{m}}^{2} \leq (Au, u) \leq C' \left\| u \right\|_{\overset{\circ}{H}_{m}}^{2} \quad \text{for } u \in \overset{\circ}{H}_{m} \cap H_{2m}$$

with some positive constants C and C'. Observe that if $\varepsilon = 0$, the problem (1.4) is of parabolic type and for this equation the uniqueness is trivial and the regularity is easier. Motivated by these observations we shall investigate the uniqueness and the regularity problems for (1.4) under a smallness assumption on $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover we shall derive relations between the solutions u_{ε} of (1.4) and the solution u_0 of the reduced parabolic problem:

(1.5)
$$u_t + Au + g(u) = f(x, t) \quad \text{on } \Omega \times R$$

with the periodicity and the boundary conditions.

When we treat the problem (1.4) with small parameter ε the equation is sometimes called the 'hyperbolic parabolic' type. Such an equation has been considered by several authors; in particular our problem is closely related to that in Section 3, Chapter 4, in the book by Vejvoda [8]. In [8], however, the nonlinear term g(u) of the equation is replaced by $\mu g(u)$ with a small parameter μ and essentially only small amplitude solutions are considered. There a Fourier expansion method is employed, while here we use an energy method. Semilinear wave equation

Recently, Milani [3] treated a similar problem for the quasilinear wave equation. But, in [3], it is assumed that the forcing term f is small and the solutions treated are 'small', while we would emphasise again that we make no smallness assumption on f and hence our solution may be 'large'. For other related works see Rabinowitz [6], Wahl [10] and the references cited in the book [8].

2. STATEMENTS OF THE RESULTS

The function spaces we use are all familiar and the definition of them will be omitted. But, we note that $\|\cdot\|$ denotes L^2 -norm on Ω . We also assume $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ without loss of generality. Our first result reads as follows.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that $f \in L^2(\omega; L^2(\Omega))$ and set

(2.1)
$$d_0 \equiv \left(\int_0^\omega \|f(t)\|^2 dt\right)^{1/2}$$

Concerning the nonlinear term we assume that g belongs to $C^{1}(R)$ and satisfies

(2.2)
$$g(0) = 0$$
 and $0 \leq g'(u) \leq k_0(1 + |u|^{\alpha})$

for some $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2m/(N-2m)$ $(0 \leq \alpha < \infty \text{ if } 1 \leq N \leq 2m)$.

Then, there exists a constant C_0 independent of f and g such that if

(2.3)
$$\lambda(\varepsilon, d_0) \equiv C_0 k_0^2 (1 + d_0^{2\alpha}) \varepsilon < 1,$$

the problem (1.4) has a unique solution u in the class

(2.4)
$$C(\omega; \mathring{H}_m(\Omega)) \cap C^1(\omega; L^2(\Omega)).$$

As a special case of Theorem 1 we have:

COROLLARY 1. If g(u) is at most of linear growth, that is, (2.2) is satisfied with $\alpha = 0$, there exists a constant C_1 independent of g and f such that if

$$(2.5) 0 < \varepsilon \leq C_1/k_0^2$$

the solution of (1.4) is unique in the class (2.4).

The solution $u \equiv u_{\varepsilon}$ of the problem (1.4) converges to the solution u_0 of the parabolic problem (1.5) as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the following sense:

THEOREM 2. Under the assumption (2.3) we let u_{ε} and u_0 be the solutions of (1.4) and (1.5), respectively, and set $w_{\varepsilon}(t) \equiv u_{\varepsilon}(t) - u_0(t)$. Then, there exists a constant $C_2 \equiv C_2(d_0)$ such that

(2.6)
$$\int_0^\omega \|w_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\dot{H}_m}^2 dt \leqslant C_2 \varepsilon.$$

If we assume, in addition, $f \in W^{1,2}(\omega; L^2(\Omega))$ and set

(2.7)
$$d_1 \equiv \left(\int_0^\omega \|f_t(t)\|^2 dt\right)^{1/2},$$

the solution $u_{\epsilon}(t)$ belongs to

$$W^{2,2}(\omega; L^2(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(\omega; \mathring{H}_m) \cap L^2(\omega; H_{2m})$$

and the estimate:

(2.8)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\{ \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} w_{\varepsilon}(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| w_{\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{H_m}^2 + \left\| w_{\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{H_{2m}}^2 \right\} dt \leq C_3 \varepsilon^2$$

holds, where C_3 is a constant depending on d_0 and d_1 .

Next, we shall state our result concerning the regularity of the solution of (1.4). **THEOREM 3.** Let 2m < N < 4m. Suppose that f belongs to

$$W^{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2}}ig(\omega;L^{\mathbf{2}}(\Omega)ig)\cap C^{1}(\omega;H_{m})\cap Cig(\omega;H_{\mathbf{2m}}ig)$$

and set

(2.9)
$$d_{i} \equiv \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{i} f(t) \right\|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, 3),$$

(2.10)

$$M_1 \equiv \sup_t \|D_t f(t)\|$$
 and $M_2 \equiv \sup_t \left\{\|f(t)\|_{H_{2m}} + \|f_t(t)\|_{H_m}\right\}.$

Concerning g(u), suppose that g belongs to $C^{2m+1}(R)$ and satisfies, in addition to (1.2),

(2.11)
$$|g^{(i)}(u)| \leq k_0 (1+|u|^{\alpha+1-i}), \quad i=1, 2,$$

with $\alpha = 2m/(N-2m)$.

Then, under the assumption (2.3), the solution u of Theorem 1 belongs in fact to

(2.12)
$$C^{4}(\omega; L^{2}(\Omega)) \bigcap_{j=0}^{3} C^{j}(\omega; \overset{\circ}{H}_{m} \cap H_{4m-jm})$$

and the following estimate holds:

(2.13)
$$\int_{0}^{\omega} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{4} u(t) \right\|^{2} + \sup_{t} \left\{ \varepsilon \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{4} u(t) \right\|^{2} + \sum_{j=0}^{3} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{j} u(t) \right\|_{H_{4m-jm}}^{2} \right\} \leqslant C_{4} < \infty$$

where C_4 is a constant depending on d_i $(0 \le i \le 3)$ and M_i (i = 1, 2), but independent of ε .

REMARK 1. By the Sobolev's imbedding theorem: $H_{2m} \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$, we see $u \in C(\omega; C^{2m}(\overline{\Omega})) \cap C^1(\omega; C^m(\overline{\Omega})) \cap C^2(\omega; C(\overline{\Omega}))$, that is, our solution is classical.

REMARK 2. The assumption N < 4m is made for simplicity. We could treat the case $N \ge 4m$ by carrying out a more careful analysis.

COROLLARY 2. Under the assumptions on f and g in Theorem 3 the parabolic problem (1.5) has a unique solution u_0 in the class

$$W^{4,2}(\omega; L^2(\Omega)) \bigcap_{j=0}^{3} C^j\left(\omega; \mathring{H}_m H_{4m-jm}\right)$$

and the estimate

(2.14)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^4 u_0(t) \right\|^2 dt + \sum_{j=0}^3 \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^j u_0(t) \right\|_{H_{4m-jm}}^2 \leqslant C_4 < \infty$$

holds.

THEOREM 4. Let $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ and $u_0(t)$ be the solutions of the problem (1.4) and (1.5), respectively, and set $w = u_{\varepsilon} - u_0$. Then, under the assumptions of Theorem 3 the following estimates hold:

(2.15)
$$\sup_{t} \left\{ \varepsilon \left\| w_{t}(t) \right\|^{2} + \left\| w(t) \right\|_{H_{m}}^{2} \right\} \leq C(d_{0}, d_{1})\varepsilon^{2},$$

(2.16)
$$\int_{0}^{w} \{ \|w_{tt}(t)\|^{2} + \|w(t)\|_{H_{2m}}^{2} \} dt + \sup_{t} \{ \varepsilon \|w_{tt}(t)\|^{2} + \|w_{t}(t)\|_{H_{m}}^{2} \} \leq C(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2})\varepsilon^{2}.$$

(2.17)
$$\int_0^\omega \left\{ \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^3 w(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| w_t(t) \right\|_{H_{2m}}^2 \right\} dt$$

$$+\sup_{t}\left\{\varepsilon\left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{3}w(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|w_{tt}(t)\right\|_{H_{m}}^{2}\right\}\leqslant C(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3})\varepsilon^{2},$$

(2.18)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \|w_{tt}(t)\|_{H_{2m}}^2 dt \leq C(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3)\varepsilon^2,$$

(2.19)
$$\sup_{t} \{ \|w(t)\|_{H_{4m}}^2 + \|w_t(t)\|_{H_{3m}}^2 \} \leq C(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, M_1, M_2)\varepsilon^2,$$

and

(2.20)
$$\sup_{t} \|w_{tt}\|_{H_{2m}} \leq C(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3)\sqrt{\epsilon}.$$

REMARK 3. When $1 \leq N \leq 2m$, the assertions of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 are valid without the smallness condition (2.3) on ϵ .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

First we shall prepare the following a priori estimates, which are in fact sufficient for the proof of the existence of a weak solution. In what follows we denote by Cgeneral constants independent of ε which may be different from line to line. To clarify the dependence on some quantity q we use the notation C(q) et cetera.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let u(t) be a solution of (1.4) in the class (2.4). Then we have

(3.1)
$$\int_0^\omega \|u_t(t)\|^2 dt \leqslant d_0^2$$

and

(3.2)
$$\sup_{t} \left\{ \varepsilon \left\| u_{t}(t) \right\|^{2} + \left\| A^{1/2} u(t) \right\|^{2} \right\} \leq C d_{0}^{2}$$

where $||A^{1/2}u||$ is defined by

(3.3)
$$\left\|A^{1/2}u\right\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\substack{0 \le |\alpha| \le m \\ 0 \le |\beta| \le m}} a_{\alpha\beta} D^{\alpha} u D^{\beta} u dx\right)^{1/2}$$

which is equivalent to $||u||_{\overset{\circ}{H}_{m}}$.

PROOF: The proof is given by a standard energy method and we sketch it briefly. Multiplying the equation (1.4) by u_t by u_t and integrating over $[0, \omega] \times \Omega$ we have

(3.4)
$$\int_{0}^{\omega} \|u_{t}(t)\|^{2} dt = \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} f \, dx dt \leq \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \|u_{t}\|^{2} \, dt\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \|f(t)\|^{2} \, dt\right)^{1/2}$$

250

which implies (3.1) immediately. Next, multiplying the equation (1.4) by u and integrating we get

$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\{ \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t) \right\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} g(u) u dx \right\} dt = \varepsilon \int_0^{\omega} \left\| u_t(t) \right\|^2 dt + \int_0^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx dt$$

and hence

[7]

(3.5)
$$\int_0^\omega \left\{ \left\| A^{1/2} u(t) \right\|^2 + \int_\Omega g(u) u \, dx \right\} dt \leqslant C d_0^2.$$

From (3.1) and (3.5) we see

$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\{ \varepsilon \left\| u_t(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| A^{1/2} u(t) \right\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} g(u) u \, dx \right\} dt \leqslant C d_0^2$$

and hence, there exists $t^* \in (0, \omega)$ such that

(3.6)
$$\varepsilon \|u_t(t^*)\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}u(t^*)\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} g(u(t^*))u(t^*)dx \leq Cd_0^2.$$

Thus, using the equation (1.4), we see

(3.7)

$$\sup_{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\varepsilon \| u_{t}(t) \|^{2} + \left\| A^{1/2} u(t) \right\|^{2} \right) + \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{u(t)} g(\eta) d\eta \, dx \right\} \\
\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left(\varepsilon \| u_{t}(t^{*}) \|^{2} + \left\| A^{1/2} u(t^{*}) \right\|^{2} \right) + \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{u(t^{*})} g(\eta) d\eta \, dx \\
+ \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} |f \, u_{t}| \, dx dt \leqslant C d_{0}^{2},$$

which completes the proof of (3.2)

Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Letting u and v be two solutions of the problem (1.4) in the class (2.4), we have the estimate for w = u - v:

(3.8)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\| A^{1/2} w(t) \right\|^2 dt \leq \lambda(\varepsilon, d_0) \int_0^{\omega} \left\| A^{1/2} w(t) \right\|^2 dt$$

where $\lambda(\varepsilon, d_0)$ is the constant given by (2.3).

PROOF: w = u - v satisfies the equation

(3.9)
$$\varepsilon w_{tt} + Aw + w_t + g(u) + g(v) = 0$$

together with the periodicity and the boundary conditions. Multiplying the equation (3.9) by w and integrating we have

(3.10)
$$\int_0^\omega \left\|A^{1/2}w(t)\right\|^2 dt \leqslant \varepsilon \int_0^\omega \left\|w_t(t)\right\|^2 dt,$$

where the monotonicity of g(u) is used essentially. Next, multiplying the equation by w_t and integrating we have

$$(3.11) \int_{0}^{\omega} \|w_{t}(t)\|^{2} dt = -\int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} (g(u) - g(v))w_{t} dx dt \leq k_{0} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} \{1 + (|u| + |v|)^{\alpha}\}^{2} |w|^{2} dx dt \right\}^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \|w_{t}\|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} \leq Ck_{0} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\omega} \left(1 + \left\| A^{1/2} u \right\| + \left\| A^{1/2} v \right\| \right)^{2\alpha} \left\| A^{1/2} w \right\|^{2} dt \right\}^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \|w_{t}\|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2},$$

where we have used the Sobolev inequality:

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{2(\alpha+1)} &\leqslant C \left\|A^{1/2}u\right\| \quad \text{for} \quad u \in \overset{\circ}{H}_{m} \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 2m/(N-2m), \\ & (0 \leqslant \alpha < \infty \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leqslant N \leqslant 2m). \end{split}$$

It follows from (3.10), (3.11) and (3.7) that

$$\int_0^\omega \left\|A^{1/2}w(t)
ight\|^2 dt \leqslant Carepsilon\,k_0^2ig(1+d_0^{2\,lpha}ig)\int_0^\omega \left\|A^{1/2}w
ight\|^2 dt.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

For $w_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon} - u_0$ we have the equation:

$$(4.1) \qquad \qquad \varepsilon \, u_{tt} + Aw + w_t + g(u) - g(u_0) = 0 \quad (u \equiv u_\varepsilon, \, w \equiv w_\varepsilon).$$

Multiplying the equation (4.1) by w and using the monotonicity of g(u) and the periodicity of u and w we see

(4.2)
$$\int_{0}^{\omega} \left\| A^{1/2} w(t) \right\| dt \leqslant -\varepsilon \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} u_{tt} w \, dx dt$$
$$= \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} w_{t} \, dx dt$$
$$\leqslant \varepsilon \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \left\| u_{t}(t) \right\|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \left\| w_{t}(t) \right\|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2}$$
$$\leqslant \varepsilon d_{0} \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \left\| w_{t}(t) \right\|^{2} dt \right)^{1/2} \quad (by (3.1)).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700011874 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Π

Moreover, we see easily

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \|w_{t}(t)\|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \|u_{t}(t)\|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \|u_{0t}(t)\|^{2} dt\right)^{1/2} \leq C d_{0}$$

and hence, by (4.2)

$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\|A^{1/2}w(t)\right\|^2 dt \leqslant C d_0^2 \varepsilon,$$

which is the first assertion of Theorem 2.

Next, for the proof of second part, we assume
$$f \in W^{1/2}(\omega; L^2(\Omega))$$
.

Then, we shall use the equation:

$$(4.3) \qquad \qquad \varepsilon \, u_{ttt} + A u_t + u_{tt} + g'(u) u_t = f_t$$

to derive further a priori estimates. In fact, the following proposition assures us that the solution $u \equiv u_e$ belongs to

$$C^{2}(\omega; L^{2}(\Omega)) \cap C^{1}\left(\omega; \overset{\circ}{H}_{m}\right) \cap C\left(\omega; H_{2m} \cap \overset{\circ}{H}_{m}\right).$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. Under the assumption (2.3), we have the estimates

(4.4)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \|u_{tt}(t)\|^2 dt \leq C\{d_1^2 + k_0^2(1+d_0^{2\alpha})d_0d_1\},$$

and

(4.5)
$$\sup_{t} \{\varepsilon \|u_{tt}(t)\|^2 + \left\|A^{1/2}u_t(t)\right\|^2\} \leq Cd_1^2.$$

PROOF: Multiplying the equation (4.3) by u_{tt} and integrating we see easily

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\omega} \left\| u_{tt}(t) \right\|^{2} dt &\leq d_{1}^{2} + 2 \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} |g'(u)| \|u_{t}\| |U_{tt}| \, dx dt \\ &\leq d_{1}^{2} + 2k_{0} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u|^{\alpha}) |u_{t}| \, |u_{tt}| \, dx dt \\ &\leq d_{1}^{2} + Ck_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{\omega} \left(1 + \left\| A^{1/2} u(t) \right\|^{2\alpha} \right) \left\| A^{1/2} u_{t}(t) \right\|^{2} dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\omega} \left\| u_{tt}(t) \right\|^{2} dt \end{split}$$

and hence, with the aid of (3.2),

(4.6)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \|u_{tt}(t)\|^2 dt \leq 2d_1^2 + Ck_0^2(1+d_0^{2\alpha}) \int_0^{\omega} \|A^{1/2}u_t(t)\|^2 dt$$

On the other hand, multiplying the equation (4.3) by u_t and integrating we see

(4.7)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\| A^{1/2} u_t(t) \right\|^2 dt \leqslant \varepsilon \int_0^{\omega} \left\| u_{tt}(t) \right\|^2 dt + \int_0^{\omega} \int_{\Omega} f_t u_t dx dt$$
$$\leqslant \varepsilon \int_0^{\omega} \left\| u_{tt}(t) \right\|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\omega} \left\| A^{1/2} u_t(t) \right\|^2 dt + C d_1^2.$$

It follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

(4.8)
$$\int_{0}^{\omega} \|u_{tt}(t)\|^{2} dt \\ \leq Ck_{0}^{2} (1+d_{0}^{2\alpha}) \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\omega} \|u_{tt}(t)\|^{2} dt + 2d_{1}^{2} + Ck_{0}^{2} (1+d_{0}^{2\alpha}) d_{0} d_{1}$$

Thus, under the assumption $Ck_0^2(1+d_0^{2\alpha})\epsilon < 1/2$, which is equivalent to (2.3) by changing C_0 if necessary, we have the estimate (4.4).

Moreover, (4.5) follows immediately from (4.4) and (4.7). Finally we note that these estimates give

(4.9)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\{ \varepsilon \left\| u_{tt}(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| A^{1/2} u_t(t) \right\|^2 \right\} dt \leq C d_1^2 \qquad (0 < \varepsilon \leq 1),$$

which implies, as in the proof of (3.2), the estimate (4.5).

Now, we shall prove the second part of Theorem 2.

Multiplying the equation (4.1) by $w(t) \equiv u_{\varepsilon} - u_0$ and integrating over $\Omega \times [0, \omega]$ as is usual, we have

(4.9)

$$\int_0^\omega \left\|A^{1/2}w(t)\right\|^2 dt \leqslant -\varepsilon \int_0^\omega \int_\Omega u_{tt}w \, dx dt$$
$$\leqslant C\varepsilon \left(\int_0^\omega \left\|u_{tt}(t)\right\|^2 dt\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\omega \left\|A^{1/2}w(t)\right\|^2 dt\right)^{1/2}$$

and hence, by (4.4)

(4.10)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\| A^{1/2} w(t) \right\|^2 dt \leq C(d_0, d_1) \varepsilon^2.$$

Further, multiplying the equation (4.1) by w_t we see

and we conclude from (2.6) and (4.4) that

$$\int_0^\omega \|w_t(t)\|^2 dt \leqslant C(d_0, d_1)\varepsilon^2.$$

Similarly, multiplying the equation (4.1) by Aw and integrating, we can prove

$$\int_0^\omega \left\|Aw(t)
ight\|^2 dt \leqslant C(d_0,\,d_1)arepsilon^2.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete.

5. PROOFS OF THEOREM 3 AND THEOREM 4

By standard arguments it suffices for the proof of Theorem 3 to derive the *a priori* estimate (2.13) for an assumed solution u in the class (2.12). For this we observe:

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let u(t) be the solution of (1.4) in the sense of Theorem 1 and let U(t) be a solution in the class $C^1(\omega; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C\left(\omega; \mathring{H}_m\right)$ of the problem

(5.1)
$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon U_{tt} + AU + U_t + g'(u)U = F(x, t) & \text{on } \Omega \times R, \\ U(x, t+\omega) = U(x, t) & \text{and} & U \mid_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

with $F \in L^2(\omega; L^2(\Omega))$.

Then, under the assumption (2.3), the estimates

(5.2)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \|U_t(t)\|^2 dt \leq C\{1+k_0^2(1+d_0^{2\alpha})\} \int_0^{\omega} \|F(t)\|^2 dt,$$

and
$$\sup_{t} \left\{ \varepsilon \left\| U_{t}(t) \right\|^{2} + \left\| A^{1/2} U(t) \right\|^{2} \right\} \leq C \int_{0}^{\omega} \left\| F(t) \right\|^{2} dt$$

hold.

[11]

nd (5.4). Moreover, Thus, apply returning to the equation (4.3) we see easily

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au_t(t)\| &\leq C\left\{\varepsilon \|u_{ttt}(t)\| + \|u_{tt}(t)\| + \left(\int_{\Omega} |g'(u)u_t|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} + \|f_t(t)\|\right\} \\ &\leq C_7(d_0, d_1, d_2, M_1) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_7 .

PROPOSITION 5.3. Under the assumption (2.3), the solution u(t) in the class

PROOF: The proof is essentially the same as that of
$$(4.5)$$
 in Proposition 4.1 and is omitted.

Using Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 4.1 we shall show:

PROPOSITION 5.2. Under the assumption (2.3) the solution u(t) in the class (2.12) satisfies

(5.3)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \|u_{ttt}(t)\|^2 dt \leq C_5(d_0, d_1, d_2) < \infty$$

(5.4)
$$\sup_{t} \{ \varepsilon \| u_{ttt}(t) \|^{2} + \left\| A^{1/2} u_{tt}(t) \right\|^{2} \leq C_{\delta}(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}) < \infty,$$

and

(5.5)
$$\sup_{t} \|Au_{t}(t)\| \leq C_{7}(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}) < \infty$$

for some constants C_5, C_6, C_7 depending on the quantities indicated but independent of ε .

PROOF: Setting $U = u_{tt}$, U satisfies the equation

(5.6)
$$\varepsilon U_{tt} + AU + U_t + g'(u)U = -g''(u)(u_t)^2 + f_{tt}$$

 $\int_{\Omega} \left| g^{\prime\prime}(u) \right|^2 \left| u_t \right|^4 dx \leqslant k_0^2 \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + \left| u \right|^{\alpha - 1} \right)^2 \left| u_t \right|^4 dx$

with the periodicity and the boundary conditions. Here,

$$\leq Ck_0^2 \left(1 + \left\|A^{1/2}u\right\|^{2\alpha-2}\right) \left\|A^{1/2}u_t\right\|^4$$
$$\leq Ck_0^2 \left(1 + d_0^{2\alpha-2}\right) d_1^4 \qquad (by (3.2) \text{ and } (4.5)).$$
ying Proposition 5.1 we obtain the estimates (5.3) and (5.4).

[12]

(2.12) satisfies

(5.7)
$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^4 u(t) \right\|^2 dt \leqslant C_8(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3) < \infty,$$

(5.8)
$$\sup_{t} \left\{ \varepsilon \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{4} u(t) \right\|^{2} + \left\| A^{1/2} u_{ttt}(t) \right\|^{2} \right\} \leq C_{9}(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}) < \infty,$$

and

(5.9)
$$\sup_{t} \|Au_{tt}(t)\| \leq C_{10}(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3) < \infty$$

PROOF: Setting $U = u_{ttt}$, it satisfies the equation

(5.10)
$$U_{tt} + AU + U_t + g'(u)U = -3g''(u)u_tu_{tt} - g'''(u)(u_t)^3 + f_{ttt}$$

with the periodicity and the boundary conditions. Here,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \left| g''(u) u_t u_{tt} \right|^2 dx &\leq k_0^2 \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + |u|^{\alpha - 2} \right)^2 |u_t|^2 |u_{tt}|^2 dx \\ &\leq C k_0^2 \left(1 + \left\| A^{1/2} u \right\|^{2\alpha - 4} \right) \left\| A^{1/2} u_t \right\|^2 \left\| A^{1/2} u_{tt} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq C(d_0, d_1, d_2) < \infty \quad (\text{by (3.2), (4.5) and (5.4))}. \end{split}$$

Thus, applying Proposition 5.1 to (5.10), we get (5.7) and (5.8). Furthermore, returning to the equation (5.6) and using the estimates in hand we see easily

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au_{tt}(t)\| &\leq \left\{ \varepsilon \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^4 u(t) \right\| + \|u_{ttt}(t)\| + \|g'(u)u_{tt}\| + \left\|g''(u)u_t^2\right\| + \|f_{tt}\| \right\} \\ &\leq C(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\sup_t ||f_{tt}(t)|| \leq C(d_2 + d_3)$.)

It remains to derive estimates for $\|u(t)\|_{H_{4m}}$ and $\|u_t(t)\|_{H_{3m}}$.

PROPOSITION 5.4. Under the assumption (2.3) the solution u(t) in the class (2.12) satisfies further

(5.11)
$$||u(t)||_{H_{4m}} \leq C(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, M_2) < \infty$$

and

(5.12)
$$||u_t(t)||_{H_{3m}} \leq C(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, M_2) < \infty$$

[13]

[14]

PROOF: To prove (5.11) we use the equation (1.4):

$$Au = -\varepsilon u_{tt} - u_t - g(u) + f(t).$$

Here, we see by (5.5) and (5.9)

(5.13)
$$\|-\varepsilon u_{tt}-u_t+f\|_{H_{2m}} \leq C(d_0, d_1, d_2)+M_2.$$

To estimate $||g(u)||_{H_{2m}}$, we denote by D^k any partial differentiations in $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots x_N)$ of order k. The estimation is standard and we sketch it briefly. First, notice that

(5.14)
$$D^{2m}g(u(t)) = \sum_{k=1}^{2m} g^{(k)}(u) \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (Du)^{\sigma_1} (D^2 u)^{\sigma_2} \dots (D^{2m} u)^{\sigma_{2m}}$$

where we set

(5.15)
$$S_k \equiv \left\{ \sigma \equiv (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{2m}) \in N^{2m} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \ldots + \sigma_{2m} = k, \\ \sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2 + \ldots + 2m \sigma_{2m} = 2m \end{array} \right\}.$$

We know that by the estimate (4.5) and (3.2)

(5.16)
$$||Au(t)|| \leq \varepsilon ||u_{tt}(t)|| + ||u_t(t)|| + ||g(u)|| + ||f(t)|| \leq C(d_0, d_1) < \infty$$

and hence, by the assumption N < 4m,

$$\left\|u(t)
ight\|_{\infty}\leqslant C\left\|Au(t)
ight\|\leqslant C(d_{0},\,d_{1})<\infty$$

Thus,

(5.17)

$$\begin{split} \left\| D^{2m} g(u) \right\| &\leq C(d_0, \, d_1) \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \sum_{S_k} \left\| (Du)^{\sigma_1} (D^2 u)^{\sigma_2} \dots (D^{2m} u)^{\sigma_{2m}} \right\| \\ &\leq C(d_0, \, d_1) \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \sum_{S_k} \left\| Du \right\|_{p_1 \sigma_1}^{\sigma_1} \left\| D^2 u \right\|_{p_2 \sigma_2}^{\sigma_2} \dots \left\| D^{2m} u \right\|_{p_m \sigma_{2m}}^{\sigma_{2m}} \\ &\leq C(d_0, \, d_1) \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \left\| u \right\|_{H_{2m}}^k \leq C(d_0, \, d_1) < \infty, \end{split}$$

where we should choose p_j (j = 1, 2, ..., 2m) in such a way that

and
$$2 \leqslant p_j \leqslant \infty, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{1}{p_j} = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\leqslant 2N/\sigma_j(N-4m+2j) \quad \text{if } N > 4m-2j,$$
$$\leqslant \infty \qquad \text{if } N = 4m-2j,$$
$$= \infty \qquad \text{if } N < 4m-2j.$$

258

Such a choice of $\{p_j\}$ is possible, since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{\sigma_j (N-4m+2j)}{2N} < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if} \quad \sigma_{2m} = 0.$$

The estimate (5.11) follows from (5.13), (5.17) and the equation (1.4).

Next, using the equation (4.3):

$$Au_t = -\varepsilon u_{ttt} - u_{tt} - g'(u)u_t + f_t$$

we can derive (5.12) by a similar argument, the details being omitted (see [5]).

Now, all the estimates required for the proof of Theorem 3 have been derived and the proof is complete.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

Setting $w = u_{\varepsilon} - u_0$, we have

(5.18)
$$\varepsilon w_{tt} + Aw + w_t + \int_0^1 g'(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_0)d\theta w = -\varepsilon u_{0tt}.$$

Notice that the result of Proposition 5.1 is valid even if g'(u) in (5.1) is replaced by $\int_0^1 g'(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_0)d\theta$. Thus, we obtain (2.15) immediately. (Note that $\int_0^{\omega} ||u_{0tt}||^2 dt \leq C(d_1)$.)

Differentiating the equation (5.18) with respect to t we get

(5.19)
$$\varepsilon w_{ttt} + Aw_t + w_{tt} + \int_0^1 g'(\theta u_\varepsilon + (1-\theta)u_0)d\theta w_t$$
$$= -\int_0^1 g''(\theta u_\varepsilon + (1-\theta)u_0)(\theta u_{\varepsilon t} + (1-\theta)u_{0t})d\theta w - \varepsilon u_{0ttt}.$$

Applying a variant of Proposition 5.1 to (5.19) we have (2.16). (See the proof of Proposition 5.2.) Moreover, differentiating the equation (5.19) once more we get

(5.20)

$$\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{4} w + Aw_{tt} + w_{ttt} + \int_{0}^{1} g'(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_{0})d\theta w_{tt}$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{1} g'''(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_{0})(\theta u_{\varepsilon t} + (1-\theta)u_{0t})^{2}d\theta w$$

$$-\int_{0}^{1} g''(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_{0})(\theta u_{\varepsilon tt} + (1-\theta)u_{0tt})d\theta w$$

$$-2\int_{0}^{1} g''(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_{0})(\theta u_{\varepsilon t} + (1-\theta)u_{0t})d\theta w_{t}$$

$$-\varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{4} u_{0}.$$

Applying a variant of Proposition 5.1 to (5.20) once more, and repeating similar estimations as in the proof of Proposition 5.3, we can prove (2.17) and (2.18). The estimate (2.19) follows from similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 5.4, the details being omitted.

Finally, using the equation (5.20) we get

$$\begin{split} \sup_{t} \|Aw_{tt}(t)\| &\leq \sup_{t} \left\{ \left\| \varepsilon \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{4} u(t) \right\| + \|w_{ttt}(t)\| \right\} \\ &+ \sup_{t} \int_{0}^{1} |g'(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_{0})| \, d\theta \, \|w_{tt}(t)\| \\ &+ \sup_{t} \int_{0}^{1} \left| g'''(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_{0})(\theta u_{\varepsilon t} + (1-\theta)u_{0t})^{2} \right| \, d\theta \, \|w(t)\| \\ &+ \sup_{t} \int_{0}^{1} \left| g''(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_{0})(\theta u_{\varepsilon t} + (1-\theta)u_{0tt}) \right| \, d\theta \, \|w(t)\| \\ &+ 2\sup_{t} \int_{0}^{1} \left| g''(\theta u_{\varepsilon} + (1-\theta)u_{0})(\theta u_{\varepsilon t} + (1-\theta)u_{0tt}) \right| \, d\theta \, \|w_{t}(t)\| \\ &\leq C(d_{0}, \, d_{1}, \, d_{2}, \, d_{3})\sqrt{\varepsilon} \qquad (by \ (5.8)), \end{split}$$

which proves (2.20). The proof is complete.

References

- J.C. Clements, 'Existence theorem for some nonlinear equation of evolution', Canad. J. Math. 22 (1970), 726-745.
- [2] H. Kato and M. Nakao, 'Existence of strong and smooth periodic solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations', Math. Rep. Kyushu Univ. 14 (1983), 57-88.
- [3] A.J. Milani, 'Time periodic smooth solutions of hyperbolic quasi-linear equations with dissipation term and their approximation by parabolic equations', Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 140 (1985), 331-344.
- [4] M. Nakao, 'Bounded, periodic and almost periodic solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations', J. Differential Equations 23 (1977), 368-386.
- [5] M. Nakao, 'Global existence of classical solutions to the initial-boundary value problem of the semilinear wave equations with a degenerate dissipative term', Nonlinear Anal. 14 (1990), 115-140.
- [6] P.H. Rabinowitz, 'Periodic solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations', Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 20 (1967), 145-205.
- [7] J. Sather, 'The existence of a global classical solution of the initial-boundary value problem for $\Box u + u^3 = f$ ', Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 22 (1966), 292-307.
- [8] O. Vejvoda, Partial differential equations: time-periodic solutions (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague, Boston, London, 1982).

0

[16]

Semilinear wave equation

- [9] W. von Wahl, 'Klassische Lösungen nichtlinearer Wellengleichungen im Grossen', Math. Z. 112 (1969), 241-279.
- [10] W. von Wahl, 'Periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations with a dissipative term', Math. Ann. 190 (1971), 313-322.

Department of Mathematics College of General Education Kyushu University Fukuoka 810 Japan

[17]