# Pieri rules for skew dual immaculate functions 

Elizabeth Niese, Sheila Sundaram, Stephanie van Willigenburg and Shiyun Wang


#### Abstract

In this paper we give Pieri rules for skew dual immaculate functions and their recently discovered row-strict counterparts. We establish our rules using a right-action analogue of the skew Littlewood-Richardson rule for Hopf algebras of Lam-Lauve-Sottile. We also obtain Pieri rules for row-strict (dual) immaculate functions.


## 1 Introduction

Schur-like functions are a new and flourishing area since the discovery of quasisymmetric Schur functions in 2011 [11], which led to numerous other similar functions being discovered, for example [ $1,4,6,10,14,15,16,17$ ]. In essence, Schur-like functions are functions that refine the ubiquitous Schur functions and reflect many of their properties, such as their combinatorics [ 2,9 ], their representation theory [ $5,7,21,22$ ], and in the case of quasisymmetric Schur functions have already been applied to resolve conjectures [13]. Of the various Schur-like functions to arise after the quasisymmetric Schur functions, two were naturally related to them: the dual immaculate functions [6] and the row-strict quasisymmetric Schur functions [17]. Recently a fourth basis that interpolates between these latter two bases, the row-strict dual immaculate functions, was discovered [19], thus completing the picture. The representation theory of these functions was revealed in [20], in addition to the fundamental combinatorics in [19]. In this paper we extend the combinatorics to uncover skew Pieri rules in the spirit of [3,12,23] for both row-strict and classical dual immaculate functions.

More precisely, our paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we establish a rightaction analogue of [12, Theorem 2.1] in Theorem 2.6. We then recall required background for the Hopf algebras of quasisymmetric functions, QSym, and noncommutative symmetric functions, NSym, in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4 we give (left) Pieri rules for row-strict immaculate functions and row-strict dual immaculate functions in Corollaries 4.3 and 4.5 , respectively. Our final theorem is Theorem 4.7, in which we establish Pieri rules for skew dual immaculate functions, and row-strict skew dual immaculate functions.
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## 2 The right-action skew Littlewood-Richardson rule for Hopf algebras

We begin by recalling and deducing general Hopf algebra results that will be useful later. Following Tewari and van Willigenburg [23], let $H$ and $H^{*}$ be a pair of dual Hopf algebras over a field $k$ with duality pairing $\langle\rangle:, H \otimes H^{*} \rightarrow k$ for which the structure of $H^{*}$ is dual to that of $H$ and vice versa. Let $h \in H, a \in H^{*}$. By Sweedler notation, we have coproduct denoted by $\Delta h=\sum h_{1} \otimes h_{2}$, and similarly $h_{1} h_{2}=h_{1} \cdot h_{2}$ denotes product. We define the action of one algebra on the other one by the following.

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \rightharpoonup a=\sum\left\langle h, a_{2}\right\rangle a_{1}  \tag{2.1}\\
& a \rightharpoonup h=\sum\left\langle h_{2}, a\right\rangle h_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $S: H \rightarrow H$ denote the antipode map. Then for $\Delta h=\sum h_{1} \otimes h_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left(S h_{1}\right) h_{2}=\varepsilon(h) 1_{H}=\sum h_{1}\left(S h_{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ and 1 denote counit and unit, respectively. Following Montgomery [18], we can define the convolution product $*$ for $f$ and $g$ in $H$ by

$$
(f * g)(a)=\sum\left\langle f, a_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle g, a_{2}\right\rangle=\langle f g, a\rangle .
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\langle g, f \rightharpoonup a\rangle=\langle g f, a\rangle .
$$

Similarly, $\langle a \rightharpoonup f, b\rangle=\langle f, b a\rangle$. Since $H^{*}$ is a left $H$-module algebra under $\rightharpoonup$, we have that

$$
h \rightharpoonup(a \cdot b)=\sum\left(h_{1} \rightharpoonup a\right) \cdot\left(h_{2} \rightharpoonup b\right)
$$

Lemma 2.1 ([12]) For $g, h \in H$ and $a \in H^{*}$,

$$
(a \rightharpoonup g) \cdot h=\sum\left(S\left(h_{2}\right) \rightharpoonup a\right) \rightharpoonup\left(g \cdot h_{1}\right)
$$

where $S: H \rightarrow H$ is the antipode.

As in Montgomery [18], define a right action by the following.

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \leftharpoonup a=\sum\left\langle h, a_{1}\right\rangle a_{2}  \tag{2.4}\\
& a \leftharpoonup h=\sum\left\langle h_{1}, a\right\rangle h_{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, it follows that $\langle g, f \leftharpoonup a\rangle=\langle f g, a\rangle$ and $\langle a \leftharpoonup f, b\rangle=\langle f, a b\rangle$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $f \in H$ and $a, b \in H^{*}$. Then

$$
f \leftharpoonup(a \cdot b)=\sum\left(f_{1} \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot\left(f_{2} \leftharpoonup b\right)
$$

Proof Let $f, g \in H$ and $a, b \in H^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle g, f \leftharpoonup(a \cdot b)\rangle & =\langle f g, a b\rangle \\
& =\langle a \leftharpoonup(f g), b\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle f_{1} g_{1}, a\right\rangle\left\langle f_{2} g_{2}, b\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle g_{1}, f_{1} \leftharpoonup a\right\rangle\left\langle g_{2}, f_{2} \leftharpoonup b\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle g,\left(f_{1} \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot\left(f_{2} \leftharpoonup b\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $f \leftharpoonup(a \cdot b)=\sum\left(f_{1} \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot\left(f_{2} \leftharpoonup b\right)$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $a \in H^{*}$. Then

$$
\varepsilon(h) \cdot 1_{H} \leftharpoonup a=a
$$

for any $h \in H$.

Proof Let $a \in H^{*}$ and $h \in H$. Then

$$
\varepsilon(h) \cdot 1_{H} \leftharpoonup a=\sum\left\langle\varepsilon(h) \cdot 1_{H}, a_{1}\right\rangle a_{2} .
$$

This is only nonzero when $a_{1}=1_{H^{*}}$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $h \in H$ and $a, b \in H^{*}$. Then

$$
a \cdot(h \leftharpoonup b)=\sum h_{1} \leftharpoonup\left(\left(S\left(h_{2}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot b\right)
$$

Proof Expand the sum using Lemma 2.2 and coassociativity, $(\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta(h)=(1 \otimes$ $\Delta) \circ \Delta(h)=\sum h_{1} \otimes h_{2} \otimes h_{3}$, to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum h_{1} \leftharpoonup\left(\left(S\left(h_{2}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot b\right) & =\sum\left(h_{1} \leftharpoonup\left(S\left(h_{2}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right)\right) \cdot\left(h_{3} \leftharpoonup b\right) \\
& =\sum\left(h_{1} \cdot S\left(h_{2}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot\left(h_{3} \leftharpoonup b\right) \text { since } H^{*} \text { is an } H \text {-module } \\
& =\left(\left(\varepsilon(h) \cdot 1_{H}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot(h \leftharpoonup b) \text { by }(2.3) \\
& =a \cdot(h \leftharpoonup b) \text { by Lemma } 2.3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.5 Let $g, h \in H$ and $a \in H^{*}$. Then

$$
h \cdot(a \leftharpoonup g)=\sum\left(S\left(h_{2}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right) \leftharpoonup\left(h_{1} \cdot g\right)
$$

Proof Let $g, h \in H$ and $a, b \in H^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle h \cdot(a \leftharpoonup g), b\rangle & =\langle a \leftharpoonup g, h \leftharpoonup b\rangle \\
& =\langle g, a \cdot(h \leftharpoonup b)\rangle \\
& =\left\langle g, \sum\left(h_{1} \leftharpoonup\left(\left(S\left(h_{2}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot b\right)\right\rangle \text { by Lemma } 2.4\right. \\
& =\sum\left\langle g, h_{1} \leftharpoonup\left(\left(S\left(h_{2}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot b\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle h_{1} \cdot g,\left(S\left(h_{2}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right) \cdot b\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle\left(S\left(h_{2}\right) \leftharpoonup a\right) \leftharpoonup\left(h_{1} \cdot g\right), b\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can use the right action to obtain an algebraic Littlewood-Richardson formula analogous to [12, Theorem 2.1] for those bases whose skew elements appear as the right tensor factor in the coproduct.

Let $\left\{L_{\alpha}\right\} \subset H$ and $\left\{R_{\beta}\right\} \subset H^{*}$ be dual bases with indexing set $\mathcal{P}$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{\alpha} \cdot L_{\beta}=\sum_{\gamma} b_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma} L_{\gamma} & \Delta\left(L_{\gamma}\right)=\sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma} L_{\alpha} \otimes L_{\beta} \\
R_{\alpha} \cdot R_{\beta}=\sum_{\gamma} c_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma} R_{\gamma} & \Delta\left(R_{\gamma}\right)=\sum_{\alpha, \beta} b_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma} R_{\alpha} \otimes R_{\beta} \tag{2.7}
\end{array}
$$

where $b_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma}$ and $c_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma}$ are structure constants. We can also write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(L_{\gamma}\right)=\sum_{\delta} L_{\delta} \otimes L_{\gamma / \delta} \quad \Delta\left(R_{\gamma}\right)=\sum_{\delta} R_{\delta} \otimes R_{\gamma / \delta} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $L_{\alpha} \leftharpoonup R_{\beta}=R_{\beta / \alpha}$ and $R_{\beta} \leftharpoonup L_{\alpha}=L_{\alpha / \beta}$. Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(L_{\alpha / \beta}\right)=\sum_{\pi, \rho} c_{\pi, \rho, \beta}^{\alpha} L_{\pi} \otimes L_{\rho} \quad \Delta\left(R_{\alpha / \beta}\right)=\sum_{\pi, \rho} b_{\pi, \rho, \beta}^{\alpha} R_{\pi} \otimes R_{\rho} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The antipode acts on $L_{\rho}$ by $S\left(L_{\rho}\right)=(-1)^{\theta(\rho)} L_{\rho^{*}}$ where $\theta: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $*: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 2.6 For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$
L_{\alpha / \beta} \cdot L_{\gamma / \delta}=\sum_{\pi, \rho, v, \mu}(-1)^{\theta(\rho)} c_{\pi, \rho, \beta}^{\alpha} b_{\pi, \gamma}^{v} b_{\mu, \rho^{*}}^{\delta} L_{\nu / \mu}
$$

Proof We use Lemma 2.5 and the preceding facts about the product, coproduct, and antipode maps on $H$ and $H^{*}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\alpha / \beta} \cdot L_{\gamma / \delta} & =L_{\alpha / \beta} \cdot\left(R_{\delta} \leftharpoonup L_{\gamma}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\pi, \rho} c_{\pi, \rho, \beta}^{\alpha}\left(S\left(L_{\rho}\right) \leftharpoonup R_{\delta}\right) \leftharpoonup\left(L_{\pi} \cdot L_{\gamma}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\pi, \rho}(-1)^{\theta(\rho)} c_{\pi, \rho, \beta}^{\alpha}\left(L_{\rho^{*}} \leftharpoonup R_{\delta}\right) \leftharpoonup\left(L_{\pi} \cdot L_{\gamma}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\pi, \rho}(-1)^{\theta(\rho)} c_{\pi, \rho, \beta}^{\alpha}\left(R_{\delta / \rho^{*}} \leftharpoonup\left(\sum_{v} b_{\pi, \gamma}^{v} L_{v}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\pi, \rho, v}(-1)^{\theta(\rho)} c_{\pi, \rho, \beta}^{\alpha} b_{\pi, \gamma}^{v}\left(R_{\delta / \rho^{*}} \leftharpoonup L_{v}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\pi, \rho, v, \mu}(-1)^{\theta(\rho)} c_{\pi, \rho, \beta}^{\alpha} b_{\pi, \gamma}^{v} b_{\mu, \rho^{*}}^{\delta}\left(R_{\mu} \leftharpoonup L_{\nu}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\pi, \rho, v, \mu}(-1)^{\theta(\rho)} c_{\pi, \rho, \beta}^{\alpha} b_{\pi, \gamma}^{v} b_{\mu, \rho^{*}}^{\delta} L_{v / \mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 The dual Hopf algebras QSym and NSym

We now focus our attention on the dual Hopf algebra pair of noncommutative symmetric functions and quasisymmetric functions, and introduce our main objects of study the (row-strict) dual immaculate functions.

A composition $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ of $n$, denoted by $\alpha$ ह $n$ is a list of positive integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}=n$. We call $n$ the size of $\alpha$ and sometimes denote it by $|\alpha|$, and call $k$ the length of $\alpha$ and sometimes denote it by $\ell(\alpha)$. If $\alpha_{j_{1}}=\cdots=\alpha_{j_{m}}=i$ we sometimes abbreviate this to $i^{m}$, and denote the empty composition of 0 by $\emptyset$. There exists a natural correspondence between compositions $\alpha \vDash n$ and subsets $S \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n-1\}=[n-1]$. More precisely, $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ corresponds to $\operatorname{set}(\alpha)=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+\alpha_{k-1}\right\}$, and conversely $S=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k-1}\right\}$ corresponds to $\operatorname{comp}(S)=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}-\right.$ $\left.s_{1}, \ldots, n-s_{k-1}\right)$. We also denote by $S^{c}$ the set complement of $S$ in $[n-1]$.

Given a composition $\alpha$, its diagram, also denoted by $\alpha$, is the array of left-justified boxes with $\alpha_{i}$ boxes in row $i$ from the bottom. Given two compositions $\alpha, \beta$ we say that $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ if $\beta_{j} \leq \alpha_{j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\beta) \leq \ell(\alpha)$, and given $\alpha, \beta$ such that $\beta \subseteq \alpha$, the skew diagram $\alpha / \beta$ is the array of boxes in $\alpha$ but not $\beta$ when $\beta$ is placed in the bottom-left corner of $\alpha$. If, furthermore, $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ and $\alpha_{j}-\beta_{j} \in\{0,1\}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\beta) \leq \ell(\alpha)$ then we call $\alpha / \beta$ a vertical strip.

Example 3.1 If $\alpha=(3,4,1)$, then $|\alpha|=8, \ell(\alpha)=3$, and $\operatorname{set}(\alpha)=\{3,7\}$. Its diagram is

and if $\beta=(2,4)$, then

is a vertical strip.

Definition 3.2 Given a composition $\alpha$, a standard immaculate tableau $T$ of shape $\alpha$ is a bijective filling of its diagram with $1, \ldots,|\alpha|$ such that
(1) The entries in the leftmost column increase from bottom to top;
(2) The entries in each row increase from left to right.

We obtain a standard skew immaculate tableau of shape $\alpha / \beta$ by extending the definition to skew diagrams $\alpha / \beta$ in the natural way.

Given a standard (skew) immaculate tableau, $T$, its descent set is

$$
\operatorname{Des}(T)=\{i: i+1 \text { appears strictly above } i \text { in } T\}
$$

Example 3.3 A standard skew immaculate tableau of shape $(3,4,1) /(1)$ is

$$
T=
$$

with $\operatorname{Des}(T)=\{1,5,6\}$.

We are now ready to define our Hopf algebras and functions of central interest.
Given a composition $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \vDash n$ and commuting variables $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ we define the monomial quasisymmetric function $M_{\alpha}$ to be

$$
M_{\alpha}=\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}} x_{i_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}}
$$

the fundamental quasisymmetric function $F_{\alpha}$ to be

$$
F_{\alpha}=\sum_{\substack{i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i n \\ i_{j}=i_{j+1} \Rightarrow j \notin \operatorname{set}(\alpha)}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{n}}
$$

the dual immaculate function $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}$ to be

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}=\sum_{T} F_{\operatorname{comp}(\operatorname{Des}(T))}
$$

and the row-strict dual immaculate function $\mathcal{R} \mathbb{S}_{\alpha}^{*}$ to be

$$
\mathcal{R} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}=\sum_{T} F_{\operatorname{comp}\left(\operatorname{Des}(T)^{c}\right)}
$$

where the latter two sums are over all standard immaculate tableaux $T$ of shape $\alpha$. These extend naturally to give skew dual immaculate and row-strict dual immaculate functions $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha / \beta}^{*}$ [6] and $\mathcal{R} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha / \beta}^{*}$ [19], where $\alpha / \beta$ is a skew diagram.

Example 3.4 We have that $M_{(2)}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+\cdots$ and $F_{(2)}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+\cdots+$ $x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}+\cdots=\mathbb{S}_{(2)}^{*}=\mathcal{R} \mathbb{S}_{\left(1^{2}\right)}^{*}$ from the following standard immaculate tableau $T$ with $\operatorname{Des}(T)=\emptyset$.

$$
T=\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

The set of all monomial or fundamental quasisymmetric functions forms a basis for the Hopf algebra of quasisymmetric functions QSym, as do the set of all (row-strict) dual immaculate functions. There exists an involutory automorphism $\psi$ defined on fundamental quasisymmetric functions by

$$
\psi\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\operatorname{comp}\left(\operatorname{set}\left(\alpha^{c}\right)\right)}
$$

such that [19]

$$
\psi\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}\right)=\mathcal{R} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}
$$

for a composition $\alpha$. This extends naturally to skew diagrams $\alpha / \beta$ to give

$$
\psi\left(\mathbb{S}_{\alpha / \beta}^{*}\right)=\mathcal{R} \mathbb{S}_{\alpha / \beta}^{*}
$$

Dual to the Hopf algebra of quasisymmetric functions is the Hopf algebra of noncommutative symmetric funtions NSym. Given a composition $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ ह $n$ and noncommuting variables $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ we define the $n$th elementary noncommutative symmetric function $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ to be

$$
e_{n}=\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{n}} y_{i_{1}} \cdots y_{i_{n}}
$$

and the elementary noncommutative symmetric function $\boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha}$ to be

$$
e_{\alpha}=e_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots e_{\alpha_{k}}
$$

Meanwhile, we define the $n$th complete homogeneous noncommutative symmetric function $\boldsymbol{h}_{n}$ to be

$$
\boldsymbol{h}_{n}=\sum_{i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{n}} y_{i_{1}} \cdots y_{i_{n}}
$$

and the complete homogeneous noncommutative symmetric function $\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ to be

$$
\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha_{k}}
$$

The set of all elementary or complete homogeneous noncommutative symmetric functions forms a basis for NSym. The duality between QSym and NSym is given by

$$
\left\langle M_{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\delta_{\alpha \beta}
$$

where $\delta_{\alpha \beta}=1$ if $\alpha=\beta$ and 0 otherwise. This induces the bases dual to the (row-strict) dual immaculate functions via

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}, \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\delta_{\alpha \beta} \quad\left\langle\mathcal{R} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}, \mathcal{R} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\delta_{\alpha \beta}
$$

and implicitly defines the bases of immaculate and row-strict immaculate functions. While concrete combinatorial definitions of these functions have been established [6, 19], we will not need them here. However, what we will need is the involutory automorphism in NSym corresponding to $\psi$ in QSym, defined by $\psi\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha}\right)=\boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha}$ that gives $\psi\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{R} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}$ [19].

## 4 The Pieri rules for skew dual immaculate functions

A left Pieri rule for immaculate functions was conjectured in [6, Conjecture 3.7] and proved in [8]. Given a composition $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ we say that tail $(\alpha)=$ $\left(\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$. If $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$, then neg $(\alpha-\beta)=\left|\left\{i: \alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}<0\right\}\right|$. Let $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)=(-1)^{\operatorname{neg}(\beta)}$ with $\operatorname{neg}(\beta)=\left|\left\{i: \beta_{i}<0\right\}\right|$.

Following [8], we define $Z_{s, \alpha}$ to be a set of all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$ such that
(1) $\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{k}=s$ and $\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{i} \leq s$ for all $i<k$;
(2) $\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i} \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\left|i: \alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}=0\right| \leq 1$;
(3) For all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

- if $\alpha_{i}>s-\left(\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{i-1}\right)$, then $0 \leq \beta_{i} \leq s-\left(\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{i-1}\right)$,
- if $\alpha_{i}<s-\left(\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{i-1}\right)$, then $\beta_{i}<0$, and
- if $\alpha_{i}=s-\left(\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{i-1}\right)$, then either $\beta_{i}<0$ or $\beta_{i}=\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i+1}=\cdots=\beta_{k}=0$.

Now we are ready to define the coefficients of the immaculate basis appearing in the left Pieri rule.

Definition 4.1 ([8]) For a positive integer $s$ and compositions $\alpha, \gamma$ with $|\alpha|-|\gamma|=s$, let $1 \leq j \leq k$ be the smallest integer such that $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i-1}$ for all $j<i \leq k$ where $j=k$ when $\alpha_{k} \neq \gamma_{k-1}$. Let $j \leq r \leq k$ be the largest integer such that $\alpha_{j}<\alpha_{j+1}<\cdots<\alpha_{r}$.

Let $\alpha^{(i)}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}\right)$ Then define

$$
c_{s, \alpha}^{\gamma}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha-\gamma), & \text { if } \ell(\gamma)=\ell(\alpha) \text { and } \alpha-\gamma \in Z_{s, \alpha} \\ \operatorname{sgn}\left(\alpha^{(j-1)}-\gamma^{(j-1)}\right) & \text { if } \ell(\gamma)=\ell(\alpha)-1, \\ & r-j \text { is even, and } \\ & \left(\alpha^{(j-1)}-\gamma^{(j-1)}, \alpha_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in Z_{s, \alpha} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem $4.2([6,8])$ Let $m>0$ and $\alpha$ be a composition. Then

$$
\boldsymbol{h}_{m} \mathfrak{\Im}_{\alpha}=\sum_{\substack{\beta \neq|\alpha|+m \\ \beta_{1} \geq m \\ 0 \leq \ell(\beta)-\ell(\alpha) \leq 1}} c_{\beta_{1}-m, \alpha}^{\operatorname{tail}(\beta)} \widetilde{\Im}_{\beta} .
$$

Applying $\psi$ to both sides of the left Pieri rule in Theorem 4.2 immediately yields a left Pieri rule for row-strict immaculate functions.

Corollary 4.3 Let $m>0$ and $\alpha$ be a composition. Then

$$
e_{m} \mathcal{R} \Im_{\alpha}=\sum_{\substack{\beta \in|\alpha|+m \\ \beta_{1} \geq m \\ 0 \leq \ell(\beta)-\ell(\alpha) \leq 1}} c_{\beta_{1}-m, \alpha}^{\operatorname{tail}(\beta)} \mathcal{R} \Im_{\beta}
$$

Lemma 3.1 of [8] shows that for $s \geq 0, r>0$ and compositions $\alpha, \beta$ with $|\alpha|=|\beta|+s$,

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}, F_{(s)} \mathfrak{S}_{\beta}^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle\boldsymbol{h}_{r} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{S}_{(s+r, \beta)}^{*}\right\rangle
$$

This leads to the following Pieri rule for dual immaculate functions.

Theorem 4.4 ([8]) Let $s>0$ and $\alpha$ be a composition. Then

$$
F_{(s)} \Im_{\alpha}^{*}=\sum_{\substack{\beta \in|\alpha|+s \\ 0 \leq \ell(\beta)-\ell(\alpha) \leq 1}} c_{s, \beta}^{\alpha} \Im_{\beta}^{*} .
$$

Again, applying $\psi$ to both sides gives a Pieri rule for row-strict dual immaculate functions.

Corollary 4.5 Let $s>0$ and $\alpha$ be a composition. Then

$$
F_{\left(1^{s}\right)} \mathcal{R} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}=\sum_{\substack{\beta \in|\alpha|+s \\ 0 \leq \ell(\beta)-\ell(\alpha) \leq 1}} c_{s, \beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{R} \mathbb{S}_{\beta}^{*}
$$

We use these results together with Hopf algebra computations to construct a Pieri rule for skew dual immaculate functions. Using the map $\psi$, this also gives a Pieri rule for row-strict skew dual immaculate functions. But first we have a small, yet crucial, lemma.

Lemma 4.6 Let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be compositions. Then $\mathfrak{\Im}_{\gamma} \leftharpoonup \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}=\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha / \gamma}^{*}$.

Proof Recall that if $H=$ QSym and $H^{*}=$ NSym are our pair of dual Hopf algebras, then we know $\Delta \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}=\sum_{\beta} \mathfrak{S}_{\beta}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha / \beta}^{*}$ and we have that

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma} \leftharpoonup \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}=\sum_{\beta}\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{S}_{\beta}^{*}\right\rangle \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha / \beta}^{*}=\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha / \gamma}^{*}
$$

since $\left\langle\mathfrak{\Im}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{\Im}_{\beta}^{*}\right\rangle=\delta_{\gamma \beta}$, where $\delta_{\gamma \beta}=1$ if $\gamma=\beta$ and 0 otherwise.

We can now give our Pieri rule for (row-strict) skew dual immaculate functions.

Theorem 4.7 Let $\gamma \subseteq \alpha$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{(s)}^{*} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha / \gamma}^{*}=\sum_{\beta / \tau}(-1)^{|\gamma|-|\tau|} \cdot c_{|\beta|-|\alpha|, \beta}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{S}_{\beta / \tau}^{*}
$$

and hence by applying $\psi$ to both sides

$$
\mathcal{R} \mathbb{S}_{(s)}^{*} \mathcal{R} \mathbb{S}_{\alpha / \gamma}^{*}=\sum_{\beta / \tau}(-1)^{|\gamma|-|\tau|} \cdot c_{|\beta|-|\alpha|, \beta}^{\alpha} \mathcal{R} \mathbb{S}_{\beta / \tau}^{*}
$$

where $|\beta / \tau|=|\alpha / \gamma|+s, \gamma / \tau$ is a vertical strip of length at most $s, \ell(\beta)-\ell(\alpha) \in\{0,1\}$ and $c_{|\beta|-|\alpha|, \beta}^{\alpha}$ is the coefficient of Definition 4.1. These decompositions are multiplicity-free up to sign.

Proof Note that $\mathbb{S}_{\left(1^{s}\right)}^{*}=F_{\left(1^{s}\right)}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{(s)}^{*}=F_{(s)}$. Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta F_{\alpha}=\sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \text { with } \\ \beta \cdot \gamma=\alpha \text { or } \\ \beta \odot \gamma=\alpha}} F_{\beta} \otimes F_{\gamma} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}\right)$ and $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right), \beta \cdot \gamma=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ is the concatenation of $\beta$ and $\gamma$, and $\beta \odot \gamma=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k-1}, \beta_{k}+\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ is the near-concatenation of $\beta$ and $\gamma$.

Then we have that

$$
\Delta\left(F_{(s)}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{s} F_{(i)} \otimes F_{(s-i)}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{(s)}^{*} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha / \gamma}^{*} & =\mathbb{S}_{(s)}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma} \leftharpoonup \mathbb{S}_{\alpha}^{*}\right) \quad \text { by Lemma } 4.6 \\
& =F_{(s)}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma} \leftharpoonup \mathbb{S}_{\alpha}^{*}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{s}\left(S\left(F_{(s-i)}\right) \leftharpoonup \mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}\right) \leftharpoonup\left(F_{(i)} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}\right) \quad \text { by Lemma } 2.5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We first compute $S\left(F_{(s-i)}\right) \leftharpoonup \mathfrak{G}_{\gamma}$. Since it is well known that $S\left(F_{\alpha}\right)=$ $(-1)^{|\alpha|} F_{\operatorname{comp}\left(\operatorname{set}(\alpha)^{c}\right)}$ we have that $S\left(F_{(s-i)}\right)=(-1)^{s-i} F_{\left(1^{s-i}\right)}$. Furthermore, we can write the coproduct as

$$
\Delta\left(\mathfrak{\Im}_{\gamma}\right)=\sum_{\delta, \tau} b_{\delta, \tau}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{\Im}_{\delta} \otimes \mathfrak{\Im}_{\tau}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(F_{(s-i)}\right) \leftharpoonup \mathfrak{S}_{\gamma} & =(-1)^{s-i} F_{\left(1^{s-i}\right)} \leftharpoonup \mathfrak{S}_{\gamma} \\
& =\sum_{\delta, \tau}(-1)^{s-i} b_{\delta, \tau}^{\gamma}\left\langle F_{\left(1^{s-i}\right)}, \mathfrak{\Im}_{\delta}\right\rangle \mathfrak{\Im}_{\tau} \\
& =\sum_{\delta, \tau}(-1)^{s-i} b_{\delta, \tau}^{\gamma}\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{\left(1^{s-i}\right)}^{*}, \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}\right\rangle \mathfrak{\Im}_{\tau} \\
& =\sum_{\tau}(-1)^{s-i} b_{\left(1^{s-i}\right), \tau}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{S}_{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of product and coproduct on NSym, we have that

$$
b_{\delta, \tau}^{\gamma}=\left\langle\Delta \mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{S}_{\tau}^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{S}_{\delta}^{*} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{\tau}^{*}\right\rangle
$$

To compute this for $\delta=\left(1^{s-i}\right)$ we use Proposition 3.34 from [6] which states that $F_{\left(1^{r}\right)}^{\perp} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}=\sum_{\beta} \mathfrak{S}_{\beta}$ where $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell(\alpha)}, \alpha_{k}-\beta_{k} \in\{0,1\}$ for all $k$ and $|\beta|=|\alpha|-r$. The operator $F^{\perp}$ is used throughout [6], and has the property that $\left\langle F^{\perp} \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{S}_{\beta}^{*}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}, F \mathfrak{\Im}_{\beta}^{*}\right\rangle$.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{\left(1^{s-i}\right), \tau}^{\gamma} & =\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{S}_{\left(1^{s-i}\right)}^{*} \mathfrak{S}_{\tau}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}, F_{\left(1^{s-i}\right)} \mathfrak{S}_{\tau}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle F_{\left(1^{s-i}\right)}^{\perp} \mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{S}_{\tau}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{\beta} \mathfrak{S}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{S}_{\tau}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\delta_{\beta \tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum is over all $\beta$ such that $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell(\gamma)}, \gamma_{k}-\beta_{k} \in\{0,1\}$ for all $k$, and $|\beta|=$ $|\gamma|-(s-i)$.

Then using the above calculations, Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.6, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{S}_{(s)}^{*} \mathfrak{\Im}_{\alpha / \gamma}^{*}=\mathfrak{\Im}_{(s)}^{*}\left(\mathfrak{\Im}_{\gamma} \leftharpoonup \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha}^{*}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{s}\left(\left(S\left(F_{(s-i)}\right) \leftharpoonup \mathfrak{\Im}_{\gamma}\right) \leftharpoonup\left(F_{(i)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{\alpha}^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{s}\left((-1)^{(s-i)} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell(\gamma)} \\
\gamma_{k}-\tau_{\tau} \in\{0,1\} \\
|\tau|=|\gamma|-(s-i)}} \Im_{\tau}\right) \leftharpoonup\left(\sum_{\substack{\beta \in|\alpha|+i \\
0 \leq \ell(\beta)-\ell(\alpha) \leq 1}} c_{i, \beta}^{\alpha} \Im_{\beta}^{*}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{s} \sum_{\substack{\tau, \beta \\
\tau \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}(\gamma) \\
\gamma_{k}-\tau_{k} \in\{0,1\} \\
|\tau|=|\gamma|-(s-i) \\
\beta \in|\alpha|+i \\
\ell(\beta)-\ell(\alpha) \in\{0,1\}}}(-1)^{(s-i)} \cdot c_{i, \beta}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{S}_{\beta / \tau}^{*} \\
& =\sum_{\beta / \tau}(-1)^{|\gamma|-|\tau|} \cdot c_{|\beta|-|\alpha|, \beta}^{\alpha} \Im_{\beta / \tau}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\beta / \tau|=|\alpha / \gamma|+s, \gamma / \tau$ is a vertical strip of length at most $s$, and $\ell(\beta)-\ell(\alpha) \in$ $\{0,1\}$.

Example 4.8 Let us compute $\mathfrak{S}_{(2)}^{*} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{(1,2,1) /(1,1)}^{*}$.

First, we need to compute all compositions $\beta \vDash 4+i$ for $i \in\{0,1,2\}$ and $\ell(\beta)=3$ or 4 . We list all possible choices for $\beta$ as the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
A=\{ & (1,1,1,1),(1,1,2),(1,2,1),(2,1,1),(1,1,1,2),(1,1,2,1),(1,2,1,1), \\
& (2,1,1,1),(1,1,3),(1,2,2),(1,3,1),(2,1,2),(2,2,1),(3,1,1),(1,1,1,3), \\
& (1,1,2,2),(1,1,3,1),(1,2,1,2),(1,2,2,1),(1,3,1,1),(2,1,1,2) \\
& (2,1,2,1),(2,2,1,1),(3,1,1,1),(1,1,4),(1,2,3),(1,3,2),(1,4,1) \\
& (2,1,3),(2,2,2),(2,3,1),(3,1,2),(3,2,1),(4,1,1)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we need to find $\tau$ by removing a vertical strip of length at most $s=2$ from $\gamma=$ $(1,1)$. We list all options for $\tau$ as the set $B=\{\emptyset,(1),(1,1)\}$.

By Theorem 4.7, now we expand $\mathbb{S}_{(2)}^{*} \cdot \mathbb{S}_{(1,2,1) /(1,1)}^{*}$ by finding all valid pairs $(\beta, \tau)$ such that $|\beta / \tau|=4$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{\Im}_{(2)}^{*} \cdot \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,2,1) /(1,1)}^{*}=c_{0,(1,1,1,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,1,1,1)}^{*}+c_{0,(1,1,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,1,2)}^{*} \\
& +c_{0,(1,2,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{S}_{(1,2,1)}^{*}+c_{0,(2,1,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(2,1,1)}^{*} \\
& -c_{1,(1,1,1,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,1,1,2) /(1)}^{*}-c_{1,(1,1,2,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(1,1,2,1) /(1)}^{*} \\
& -c_{1,(1,2,1,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,2,1,1) /(1)}^{*}-c_{1,(2,1,1,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(2,1,1,1) /(1)}^{*} \\
& -c_{1,(1,1,3)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(1,1,3) /(1)}^{*}-c_{1,(1,2,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \widetilde{\Im}_{(1,2,2) /(1)}^{*} \\
& -c_{1,(1,3,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{S}_{(1,3,1) /(1)}^{*}-c_{1,(2,1,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{S}_{(2,1,2) /(1)}^{*} \\
& -c_{1,(2,2,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(2,2,1) /(1)}^{*}-c_{1,(3,1,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(3,1,1) /(1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(1,1,1,3)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,1,1,3) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(1,1,2,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(1,1,2,2) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(1,1,3,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,1,3,1) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(1,2,1,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \widetilde{\Im}_{(1,2,1,2) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(1,2,2,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,2,2,1) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(1,3,1,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(1,3,1,1) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(2,1,1,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(2,1,1,2) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(2,1,2,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(2,1,2,1) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(2,2,1,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(2,2,1,1) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(3,1,1,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(3,1,1,1) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(1,1,4)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(1,1,4) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(1,2,3)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(1,2,3) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(1,3,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,3,2) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(1,4,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(1,4,1) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(2,1,3)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(2,1,3) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(2,2,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(2,2,2) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(2,3,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(2,3,1) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(3,1,2)}^{(1,2,1)} \Im_{(3,1,2) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +c_{2,(3,2,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(3,2,1) /(1,1)}^{*}+c_{2,(4,1,1)}^{(1,2,1)} \mathfrak{\Im}_{(4,1,1) /(1,1)}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can compute all the coefficients $c_{|\beta|-|\alpha|, \beta}^{\alpha}$ using Definition 4.1, and most of them turn out to be zero. Hence we have the following expansion after simplification.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_{(2)}^{*} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{(1,2,1) /(1,1)}^{*} & =\Im_{(1,2,1)}^{*}-\Im_{(1,1,2,1) /(1)}^{*}-\Im_{(2,2,1) /(1)}^{*}+\Im_{(2,1,2,1) /(1,1)}^{*} \\
& +\Im_{(3,2,1) /(1,1)}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$
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