A REMARK ABOUT NONCOMMUTATIVE INTEGRAL EXTENSIONS(1)

BY C LIEINI

A. G. HEINICKE

Let B be a ring with unity, A a unital subring of the centre C of B. Suppose further that B is A-integral. (That is, every element of B satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in A.) Under these assumptions, Hoechsmann [2] showed that "contraction to A" is a mapping from:

- (1) The prime ideals of B onto the prime ideals of A,
- (2) The maximal ideals of B onto the maximal ideals of A.

In this note we show that, under additional assumptions, a noncommutative version of the rest of the Cohen–Seidenberg "going up theorem" can be established.

LEMMA. Let B be a prime ring with unity satisfying:

- (a) B is integral over a unital subring A of the centre C of B
- (b) B has a classical right quotient ring Q which is a simple ring.

Then any nonzero prime ideal P of B satisfies $P \cap A \neq 0$.

Proof. The ring A is a subring of B (and of Q) and both B and Q are torsionfree A-modules. For, if $a \neq 0$ is in A and if ax=0 for some x in B, then aBx=0, so x=0. We then have the commutative diagram of A-modules



where K is the quotient field of the domain A. Since B and Q are torsion-free A-modules, ψ_1 and ψ_2 are both one-to-one. Therefore each mapping in the diagram is, in fact, a ring monomorphism. It is easily verified that $K \otimes Q$ is a right quotient ring for $K \otimes B$, that $K \otimes Q$ is simple, and that $B_1 = K \otimes B$ is integral over the subfield $K_1 = K \otimes A$ of the centre of B_1 .

If $b_1 \in B_1$ has as its minimal polynomial

$$b_1^n + b_1^{n-1}a_{n-1} + \dots + b_1a_1 + a_0 = 0$$

Received by the editors December 12, 1969.

⁽¹⁾ Supported by National Research Council.

[September

where the a_i 's are in K_1 then, if $a_0 \neq 0$, b_1 must be a unit in B, while, if $a_0 = 0$, b_1 must be a zero-divisor in B. Therefore any element of B_1 is either a unit or a zerodivisor, so $B_1 = K \otimes B$ is its own classical right quotient ring and $1 \otimes \beta$ is an isomorphism. Therefore B_1 is a simple ring. The ring $K \otimes P$ can be regarded as an A-submodule of B_1 , and, as such, is an ideal. If $K \otimes P = 0$, then $\psi_1(P) = 0$, so P = 0, which is false. Therefore $K \otimes P = B_1 = K \otimes B$, and it follows that there are $a \neq 0$ in A and p in P for which $1 \otimes 1 = (1/a) \otimes p$. Therefore $0 = 1 \otimes (a-p) = \psi_1$ (a-p), so $a = p \in P \cap A$. This proves the lemma.

In order to extend the results of (2), we will impose one of the following conditions on B:

(N) The ring B is right noetherian

(P) The ring B satisfies a polynomial identity $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ for which f has coefficients in C, the centre of B, and for which, at each prime ideal P of B, f induces a nontrivial polynomial identity on B/P.

We note that if B satisfies a standard identity (see [1, p. 154]) then (P) is satisfied. Furthermore, if B is integral over a subring A of C, and if there is a bound on the degrees of the minimal polynomials of elements of B, then B satisfies (P). (To see this, one proceeds as in [1, p. 155]).

The purpose of introducing these conditions is that each of them is sufficient to guarantee that, for each prime ideal P of B, B/P has a right quotient ring which is simple. This is guaranteed by Goldie's theorem (when B has (N)) and Posner's theorem (when B has (P)) respectively. (See [1, chapter 7], for proofs of these results.)

THEOREM. Let B be a ring with unity which is integral over a unital subring A of C, the centre of B. Suppose further that B satisfies either (N) or (P). Then;

- (a) If P is a prime ideal of B, P is a maximal ideal of B if and only if $P \cap A$ is a maximal ideal of A,
- (b) If P and Q are prime ideals of B, $P \subseteq Q$, and $P \cap A = Q \cap A$, then P = Q.

Proof. In [2], Hoechsmann proved that P is maximal in B implies that $P \cap A$ is maximal in A.

Suppose only that P is prime in B. Then $P \cap A$ is prime in A, and we can identify $A' = A/(A \cap P)$ with the subring (A+P)/P of B/P = B'. Also, B' is integral over A' so we can, without loss of generality, assume that P = 0 and prove that B is simple (in (a)) and that Q = 0 (in (b)).

In (a), we can therefore take A to be a field. The lemma can be applied to conclude that B has no proper prime ideals, and thus B has no nonzero maximal ideals. The ring B itself must then be simple.

In (b) we see, applying the lemma, that P=0 implies that Q=0, as desired.

NONCOMMUTATIVE INTEGRAL EXTENSIONS

1970]

References

1. I. N. Herstein, Noncommutative rings, Carus Math. Monographs 15, 1968.

2. K. Hoechsmann, Lifting ideals in noncommutative integral extensions, Canad. Math. Bull. (1) 13 (1970), 129–130.

University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario

5---С.М.В.