Sesame fractions and lipid profiles: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials
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Abstract
Increased plasma cholesterol levels and LDL-cholesterol concentrations are among the most important risk factors of CHD and stroke. Sesame contains considerable amounts of vitamin E, MUFA, fibre and lignans, which are thought to be associated with its plasma lipid-lowering properties. This study aimed to systematically review the evidence and identify the effects of sesame consumption on blood lipid profiles using a meta-analysis of controlled trials. PubMed, CINAHL and Cochrane Library databases were searched (from 1960 to May 2015). A total of ten controlled trials were identified based on the eligibility criteria. Both the Cochrane Collaboration tool and the Rosendal scale were used to assess the risk of bias of the included studies. The meta-analysis results showed that consumption of sesame did not significantly change the concentrations of total blood cholesterol (−0.32 mmol/l; 95% CI −0.75, 0.11; P=0.14, I² = 96%), LDL-cholesterol (−0.15 mmol/l; 95% CI −0.50, 0.19; P=0.39, I² = 96%) or HDL-cholesterol (0.01 mmol/l; 95% CI −0.00, 0.02; P=0.16, I² = 0%). However, a significant reduction was observed in serum TAG levels (−0.24 mmol/l; 95% CI −0.32, −0.15; P=0.001, I² = 84%) after consumption of sesame. It was concluded that sesame consumption can significantly reduce blood TAG levels but there is insufficient evidence to support its hypocholesterolaemic effects. Further studies are required to determine the potential effect of sesame consumption on lipid profiles and cardiovascular risk factors.
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CHD is one of the major causes of death worldwide(1). Increased plasma cholesterol levels and LDL-cholesterol concentrations are among the most important risk factors of CHD and stroke(2). Oxidation of LDL-cholesterol triggers the generation of a series of oxidative reactions, promoting the initiation of atherosclerosis(3). The literature indicates that antioxidants and oils rich in PUFA and MUFA, such as sesame oil, have cardiovascular protective characteristics(4). Antioxidants (such as vitamin E) are known to protect LDL-cholesterol against oxidative modification(5,6). In addition, the consumption of PUFA- and MUFA-rich oils may help decrease the fractions of circulating lipid levels, as well as the risk of CHD(7–8).

For thousands of years, sesame has been used as an important ingredient in cooking worldwide, especially in Asian countries. However, only recently it has attracted research attention for its medicinal properties and physiological effects(9). Sesame oil contains considerable amounts of vitamin E (40 mg/100 g oil), PUFA (43% of oil) and MUFA (40% of oil)(10). The seeds also contain sesame lignans including sesamin, episesamin and sesamolin, which are effective antioxidants(11,12) (mean amount of lignans in sesame oil is 11.51 mg/g(13) and 5.81 mg/g in sesame seeds(14)). High amounts of α-tocopherol and lignans in sesame oil underlie its capacity as a naturally stable edible oil with strong radical-scavenging properties(15). Further, high linoleic acid and dietary fibre contents of sesame seed may be responsible for its plasma cholesterol-lowering properties(16). Studies conducted on the biological activities of sesamin have shown its effects on the following: the inhibition of lipid metabolism; intestinal cholesterol absorption; desaturation in PUFA biosynthesis; and the inhibition of the activity of acyl-CoA reductase(17). Several animal studies have also confirmed that the intake of sesame seeds or sesamin has cholesterol-lowering effects(18,19). The literature also reports that sesame lignans improve lipid profiles, lipid peroxidation, serum lipoprotein metabolism, increase apoA and decrease atherogenic apolB(19–22). However, in human studies, the evidence of the effects of sesame or sesame oil on lipid profiles and cardiovascular risk factors is inconsistent(20–25). Therefore, this systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials was conducted to summarise the available evidence and to increase statistical ability in order to detect the effect of sesame consumption on lipid profiles in humans.
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Methods

Literature search

Two researchers searched online databases including PubMed (MEDLINE), CINAHL and Cochrane Library (Central) to identify potentially relevant studies that evaluated the effects of sesame on lipid profile, dating from 1960 to May 2015. The following terms (including MeSH terms) and their combinations were used to search for publications: sesame, sesamin, sesamum, lipid, cholesterol, chol, triglyceride, TG, lipoprotein, LDL, LDL-C, HDL and HDL-C. Two researchers independently screened the titles, abstracts and full texts of the identified articles and made decisions regarding inclusion or exclusion of the articles. A third researcher was involved in the decision-making process in case of disagreement. Selection of studies was based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies were included if they (1) were randomised or non-randomised controlled trials, (2) included adults (≥18 years of age) in their intervention, (3) used sesame seed or its extract (such as oil and powder) and (4) had accessible full-text articles in English. Studies using sesame (or its extracts) in combination with other dietary components (e.g. mixture of sesame oil and fish oil) were included if the other component could be controlled. Studies were excluded if the duration of sesame consumption was <2 weeks or the dose of sesame (or its extracts) consumption was not reported.

In screening the literature and presenting results, the ‘Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis: the PRISMA statement’ was followed26). A summary of the review and the reasons for excluding studies are presented in the PRISMA flow chart (see Fig. 1). This systematic review is registered at the International Prospective Register for Systematic Review with the registration number CRD42014013244.

Quality assessment and data extraction

The Cochrane Collaboration tool and the Rosendal scale27) were used to assess risk of bias and the methodology quality of the included studies. Studies that scored 60% or more, based on the Rosendal scale, were classified as excellent methodological quality studies27). A Rosendal score of <50% was chosen as the cut-off point for the exclusion of studies. Studies were also excluded if they were ranked as high risk of bias based on the Cochrane quality assessment tool. To extract relevant data from the included studies, the ‘Checklist of items to consider in data collection’ from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of Interventions28) was followed. In the process of data collection, the following information was extracted from each study: study design, location, characteristics of the participants, intervention/control characteristics (supplementation, duration and dose), baseline and final measures, body weight changes, dietary measures and side-effects.

Data synthesis and analysis

RevMan software (Cochrane Reviewer Manager version 5.2) was used to perform meta-analysis of the data. The mean difference of changes between the intervention groups and control groups was defined as the effect of nutrition intervention. Statistical analysis was performed following the ‘Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of Interventions’28) guidelines. A correlation coefficient (r) of 0-5 was chosen to impute the standard deviation of change for all interventions27). Considering differences in the design of included studies, the DerSimonian & Laird29) random effect model was selected to perform the meta-analysis. The I² index was evaluated to assess heterogeneity. Low, moderate and high heterogeneity were defined as I² index equal to 25, 50 and 75%, respectively28). Statistically significant difference was defined as an effect with a P value of <0.05.

Sensitivity and subgroup analysis

A one-by-one sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the effect of individual studies on the overall meta-analysis results. The robustness of the meta-analysis was assessed using alternative correlation coefficients (r 0.2 and 0.8), and then by comparing the results with the imputed correlation coefficient chosen for the meta-analysis (r 0.5). Subgroup analysis of interventions with sesame consumption for ≥8 weeks was compared with those with intervention duration of <8 weeks. Sources of sesame (whole or ground: oil) were chosen for another subgroup analysis. The influence of the intervention design was assessed by comparing the difference in the meta-analysis results of the parallel against cross-over design trials. Finally, the influence of body weight on meta-analysis results was assessed by comparing the subgroup of studies with participants with mean baseline BMI of ≥30 kg/m² against those with BMI <30 kg/m².

Results

Overview of the studies and their quality

A total of ten trials (908 participants) that examined the effect of sesame consumption on blood lipid profiles were included

Fig. 1. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis flow chart.
in the systematic review and meta-analysis. Of these, three studies (10,24–30) had the lowest Rosendal score of 50 % (online Supplemental Table S1). The highest Rosendal score of 93 % was calculated for the studies conducted by Wu et al. (31) and Helli et al. (32). Four of the studies had a cross-over design (10,31–33), and the rest of the studies used a parallel design. Three studies reported using a double-blind design (31,32,34), and one study reported using a single-blind design (35). The remaining studies did not mention blinding of the participants or the investigators.

**Characteristics of participants and studies**

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the included trials. Changes in total cholesterol, TAG, LDL-cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol were reported in all studies. The ten trials included different types of participants: two included hypertensives (10,30), one diabetics (34), one hyperlipidaemia patients (56), one haemodialysis participants (37), one rheumatoid arthritis patients (32), and one overweight and obese individuals (31). Among all included trials, three studies included only female participants (32,35,35). Five studies used sesame oil for the intervention (10,24,30,35,37), and the remaining trials used the seed or ground powdered sesame or its extracts (31–34,36). Three studies reported a significant reduction in body weight after consuming sesame (10,24–35), and one study reported a significant increase in body weight in the intervention group (35). The remainder of the studies did not report significant changes in body weight in any of the groups.

The majority of trials did not report measuring dietary intake of the participants, with only four studies (10,24–35,38) using a 3-d food record method to measure dietary intake before and after intervention in order to determine any potential dietary changes. No significant differences were reported between control and intervention groups at baseline in any of these studies. Lemcke-Norojärvi et al. (35) reported a significantly lower intake of PUFA as the difference between the oil consumed between control and intervention groups. Mirmiran et al. (34) also reported that the sesame group had a higher intake of total fat as a result of the intervention. Three studies reported that participants received advice to maintain their usual diet (32,33–35) or to avoid adding foods to their diet that contain sesame during the intervention (34).

**Information on supplement protocol**

Three of the trials reported using capsules as the vehicle for sesame supplementation (32,33–35,37). One used breakfast bars (31) and the remaining studies used sesame oil replacement of all oils consumed or a mixture of ground sesame in a variety of foods. A wide variation in daily dose of sesame consumption was observed between the studies. Daily sesame oil consumption varied from using capsules of 3 g oil (37) to 35 g of sesame oil replacing the common oil used by participants daily (10,24–30). Overall sesame (ground, powdered or whole seed) consumption varied from 25 g (31) to 50 g/d (35). Study duration varied from 4 weeks (35) to 10 weeks (33). None of the studies reported any significant side-effects.

**Meta-analysis results**

The forest plots of the meta-analysis effect of sesame consumption on blood lipid profile are presented in Figs. 2–5. Consumption of sesame did not significantly reduce total blood cholesterol levels (−0.32 mmol/l; 95 % CI −0.75, 0.11; P = 0.14, I² = 96 %) (Fig. 2). However, a significant meta-analysis effect of sesame consumption was observed for the mean difference in TAG levels (−0.24 mmol/l; 95 % CI −0.32, −0.15; P < 0.001, I² = 84 %) (Fig. 3). The meta-analysis for the effect of sesame consumption on the mean difference of LDL-cholesterol was not significant (−0.15 mmol/l; 95 % CI −0.50, 0.19; P = 0.39, I² = 96 %) (Fig. 4). No significant change in the mean difference of HDL-cholesterol after consumption of sesame was observed (0.01 mmol/l; 95 % CI −0.00, 0.02; P = 0.16, I² = 0 %) (Fig. 5).

**Sensitivity and subgroup analysis**

The one-by-one sensitivity analysis did not show a significant effect of individual studies on the overall meta-analysis of the effect of sesame consumption on blood lipids profiles. Sensitivity analysis of alternative correlation coefficients (r 0.2 and 0.8) showed agreement between the direction of the effect with the chosen correlation coefficient. These results showed the robustness of the meta-analysis results using the chosen correlation coefficient of 0.5.

Subgroup analyses of the effect of sesame consumption on total cholesterol did not identify any study characteristics that could have moderated the results of the meta-analyses (Table 2). The duration of intervention, source of sesame (seed or oil) or baseline BMI of participants had no significant influence on the subgroup analysis results. Subgroup analysis of the design of the studies (parallel or cross-over) also did not significantly affect the mean difference of total cholesterol. Further, the test of the difference between subgroups did not reveal any significant results (Table 2).

A number of study characteristics seem to influence the effect of sesame consumption on blood TAG levels. Subgroup analysis by duration of intervention showed significant reduction in TAG levels in both durations ≥8 and <8 weeks. The reduction observed in the subgroup of interventions with duration of ≥8 weeks (n = 4) was slightly more pronounced compared with duration of ≥8 weeks (n = 4) (−0.26 vs. −0.16 mmol/l). Nevertheless, the test for subgroup differences was not significant (I² = 7 %, P = 0.30) (Table 2). Subgroup analysis of the effect of the source of sesame showed a significant reduction in TAG levels when sesame was consumed as oil (n = 5) (−0.27 mmol/l; 95 % CI −0.36, −0.17; P < 0.001). No meaningful effect of sesame consumption on TAG levels was observed in the subgroup of sesame as whole or ground seed (n = 5). Although the test for subgroup differences of source of sesame did not reach statistical significance, a trend was observed (I² = 71 %, P = 0.06) (Table 2). The baseline BMI of participants had an influence on TAG level changes following sesame consumption. The subgroup of baseline BMI <30 kg/m² (n = 7) had a significant reduction in TAG levels (−0.25 mmol/l; 95 % CI −0.35, −0.15; P < 0.001). However, no significant effect was observed in the subgroup of studies with BMI ≥30 kg/m² (n = 7). The test for
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>Design; location</th>
<th>Intervention/control</th>
<th>Duration (weeks)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dose (per d)</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Intervention; control</th>
<th>M/F</th>
<th>Mean (μmol/l)</th>
<th>Mean (μmol/l)</th>
<th>Mean (μmol/l)</th>
<th>Mean (μmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alipoor (2012)</td>
<td>R, C; Iran</td>
<td>Sesame seed/control placebo</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Seeds</td>
<td>40 g</td>
<td>Hyper lipid</td>
<td>50-70</td>
<td>19; 19</td>
<td>30/8</td>
<td>TC: 6.23</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>TC: 5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khajehdehi (2000)</td>
<td>R, PC; Iran</td>
<td>Sesame oil/maize oil</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Capsule</td>
<td>4.5 g</td>
<td>Haemodialysis</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>15; 15</td>
<td>16/14</td>
<td>TC: 4.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helli (2015)</td>
<td>R, PC, CO, DB; Iran</td>
<td>Sesamin/starch</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Capsule</td>
<td>200 mg</td>
<td>RA female</td>
<td>55-5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0/22</td>
<td>TC: 5.33</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemcke-Norojärvi (2001)</td>
<td>R, PC, R, SB; Sweden</td>
<td>Sesame/meal oil</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Buns</td>
<td>200 mg</td>
<td>Healthy female</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>10; 13</td>
<td>0/23</td>
<td>TC: 4.2</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirmiran (2013)</td>
<td>R, C, P, DB; Iran</td>
<td>Sesame/control</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ground seeds</td>
<td>28 g</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>18-60</td>
<td>20; 16</td>
<td>8/28</td>
<td>TC: 5.17</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>TC: 4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sankar (2005)</td>
<td>C, India</td>
<td>Sesame oil/sunflower oil</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Oil in food</td>
<td>35 g</td>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>Middle age</td>
<td>356; 87*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TC: 5.34</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sankar (2006a)</td>
<td>CO, India</td>
<td>Sesame/regular oil</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Oil in food</td>
<td>35 g</td>
<td>HTN + DM</td>
<td>45-65</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22/18</td>
<td>TC: 5.48</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>TC: 6.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sankar (2006b)</td>
<td>R, CO; India</td>
<td>Sesame/regular oil</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Oil in food</td>
<td>35 g</td>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>35-60</td>
<td>50; 50</td>
<td>32/18</td>
<td>TC: 5.68</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>TC: 5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu (2006)</td>
<td>R, PC; CO; Taiwan</td>
<td>Sesame/rice powder</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Capsule</td>
<td>50 g</td>
<td>PM female</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0/24</td>
<td>TC: 5.37</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu (2009)</td>
<td>R, PC, CO; DB; Australia</td>
<td>Sesame seed bars/plain bars</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Breakfast bars</td>
<td>25 g</td>
<td>OW, OB</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17/16</td>
<td>TC: 5.84</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; R, randomised; C, controlled; TC, total cholesterol; PC, placebo control; CO, cross-over; DB, double-blind; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; P, parallel; SB, single blind; DM, diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; PM, post-menopausal; OW, overweight; OB, obesity.

* No information on sex distribution was provided in the trial.
subgroup analysis showed no significant difference between baseline BMI subgroups ($I^2 = 0\%$, $P = 0.40$). Both parallel and cross-over design subgroups showed significant reduction in TAG levels. The reduction was more pronounced in the subgroup of cross-over design compared with parallel design studies ($-0.23 \text{ v. } -0.16 \text{ mmol/L}$). No significant difference was observed between the study design subgroups (Table 2).

The subgroup analyses of the effect of duration of intervention on LDL-cholesterol did not result in significant differences (Table 2). The source of sesame consumption (oil or seed) also
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did not have any significant influence on LDL-cholesterol changes. Similar results were observed for the effect of the design of the studies. However, baseline BMI of participants had a more pronounced effect on the subgroup meta-analysis of the effect of sesame consumption on LDL-cholesterol. A 0.32 had a more pronounced effect on the subgroup meta-analysis design of the studies. However, baseline BMI of participants changes. Similar results were observed for the effect of the sesame on HDL-cholesterol. Similar results were observed with moderating in sesame consumption on blood HDL-cholesterol (Table 2). It significant in the meta-analysis of the effect of sesame consumption on blood HDL-cholesterol levels. A random effect model was used to analyse the effectiveness of intervention. Effect of each trial was presented as weight (%) as well as mean difference and 95% CI. Risk of bias legend: (A) random sequence generation (selection bias); (B) allocation concealment (selection bias); (C) blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias); (D) blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias); (E) incomplete outcome data (attrition bias); (F) selective reporting (reporting bias); (G) other bias.

Discussion

To our knowledge, the present meta-analysis is the first quantitative review of controlled trials on the effect of sesame consumption on serum lipid profiles. The present meta-analysis showed that sesame consumption significantly reduced TAG concentrations but not total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol or LDL-cholesterol concentrations. Although a neutral effect of sesame consumption on total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol was observed, the significant TAG reduction reported by the meta-analysis of this study may have pivotal clinical and public health implications.

Although the association between increased total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol and reduced HDL-cholesterol and the risk of CVD is well studied, elevated TAG levels have been recently considered as a single important risk factor of CVD. The management of dyslipidaemia (characterised by elevated levels of total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and TAG and reduced levels of HDL-cholesterol) involves a variety of approaches such as pharmacotherapy and lifestyle modification that can be adapted to control the lipid levels. However, many patients treated with anti-dyslipidaemic drugs do not achieve satisfactory results and still remain at high risk for CVD. For instance, statins, which are the most commonly used lipid-lowering drugs, at maximum dosage can reduce LDL-cholesterol only by 50–55% (41). However, such dosages are often not well tolerated, and 10–15% of patients report statin intolerance (42). Considering the limitation of the anti-dyslipidaemic drugs, there is a great deal of interest in nutraceuticals that may possibly complement the pharmaceutical treatments. Food and dietary supplementation and nutraceuticals may affect lipids through complicated mechanisms that affect the absorption and metabolism of lipids (43).

Sesame, as one of these potential dietary factors, contains several lignans such as sesaminol, sesaminol and sesamin, which can be absorbed by the intestines, and through the portal vein they reach the liver where they metabolise into catechol derivatives (43). The catechol metabolites from sesame have a strong antioxidant activity on the liver (44), and they can affect the metabolism and production of lipids (45). Its potential cholesterol-lowering effects may be due to the inhibition of the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase activity, which is the enzyme involved in the synthesis of cholesterol (46). In addition, TAG-lowering properties of sesame can be partially explained by its high MUFA contents (47). The American Heart Association has reported that high dietary MUFA intake is likely to decrease blood TAG concentrations (48). Evidence from the meta-analysis shows that a short-term intervention with a MUFA-rich diet can reduce the serum levels of TAG and HDL-cholesterol (49). In addition, the high content of fibre, vitamin E and PUFA in sesame seeds, as well as the antioxidant activity of its lignans, can affect TAG generation and metabolism (50). It has been described that PUFA consumption reduces the risk of CVD by decreasing serum TAG levels and by modestly increasing serum HDL-cholesterol concentrations (46). Furthermore, the biological effectiveness of nutrients, such as antioxidant activity, can be enhanced by their interaction with other components in the diet (47). Sesame lignans in combination with α-tocopherol (precursor of vitamin E) were more effective on lipid peroxidation than as a single compound (48). The literature also reports that certain lignans in sesame seeds and oil can elevate plasma and tissue levels of vitamin E precursors (α- and γ-tocopherol) (49,50).
Table 2. Results of subgroup analyses of included randomised-controlled trials in the meta-analysis of sesame consumption and blood lipid profile* (Mean differences and 95% CI; heterogeneity ($I^2$))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Trials (n)</th>
<th>Mean difference of lipid profile (mmol/l)</th>
<th>95% CI; P; $I^2$</th>
<th>Test for subgroup difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>Intervention duration ≥8 weeks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.21 - 0.00; P = 0.05; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention duration &lt;8 weeks</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.47 - 0.26; P = 0.30; $I^2 = 96%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source of sesame: oil</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-1.00 - 0.25; P = 0.24; $I^2 = 98%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source of sesame: whole, ground seed or supplement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.48 - 0.12; P = 0.24; $I^2 = 34%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline BMI ≥30 kg/m²</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-1.45 - 0.54; P = 0.37; $I^2 = 97%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline BMI &lt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.20 - 0.03; P = 0.09; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design: parallel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-0.74 - 0.05; P = 0.09; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design: cross-over</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.84 - 0.22; P = 0.25; $I^2 = 98%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall effect</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.75 - 0.11; P = 0.14; $I^2 = 96%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>Intervention duration ≥8 weeks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.18 - 0.15; P &lt; 0.001; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 7%$, P = 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention duration &lt;8 weeks</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.44 - 0.08; P &lt; 0.001; $I^2 = 87%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 7%$, P = 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source of sesame: oil</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.36 - 0.17; P &lt; 0.001; $I^2 = 95%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 71%$, P = 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source of sesame: whole, ground seed or supplement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.25 - 0.07; P = 0.25; $I^2 = 14%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline BMI ≥30 kg/m²</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.40 - 0.15; P = 0.38; $I^2 = 80%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline BMI &lt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.35 - 0.15; P &lt; 0.001; $I^2 = 91%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design: parallel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.18 - 0.14; P &lt; 0.001; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design: cross-over</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.36 - 0.09; P = 0.001; $I^2 = 93%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall effect</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.31 - 0.15; P &lt; 0.001; $I^2 = 90%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>Intervention duration ≥8 weeks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.08 - 0.05; P = 0.68; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention duration &lt;8 weeks</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.75 - 0.30; P = 0.38; $I^2 = 97%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source of sesame: oil</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.67 - 0.35; P = 0.53; $I^2 = 98%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source of sesame: whole, ground seed or supplement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.27 - 0.14; P = 0.49; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline BMI ≥30 kg/m²</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-1.15 - 0.51; P = 0.45; $I^2 = 96%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline BMI &lt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.40 - 0.04; P = 0.52; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design: parallel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.42 - 0.25; P = 0.60; $I^2 = 13%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design: cross-over</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.61 - 0.25; P = 0.38; $I^2 = 98%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall effect</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.50 - 0.19; P = 0.39; $I^2 = 96%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>Intervention duration ≥8 weeks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.05 - 0.06; P = 0.96; $I^2 = 17%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention duration &lt;8 weeks</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.01 - 0.03; P = 0.31; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source of sesame: oil</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.01 - 0.02; P = 0.30; $I^2 = 5%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source of sesame: whole, ground seed or supplement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.02 - 0.12; P = 0.17; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline BMI ≥30 kg/m²</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.05 - 0.11; P = 0.78; $I^2 = 99%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline BMI &lt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.00 - 0.02; P = 0.19; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design: parallel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.14 - 0.09; P = 0.66; $I^2 = 18%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design: cross-over</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.00 - 0.02; P = 0.56; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall effect</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.01 - 0.02; P = 0.16; $I^2 = 0%$</td>
<td>$I^2 = 0%$, P = 0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Removing two studies by Sankar et al.\textsuperscript{(10, 24)} without the complete cross-over design changed the subgroup analysis to non-significant effect. A $P$ value $<0.05$ is considered significant.
Dietary sesame lignans may also influence the gene expressions of various lipid metabolism enzymes involved in lipogenesis and 
β-oxidation and also influence the expressions of proteins 
involved in transportation of fatty acids (43).

This meta-analysis found that sesame consumption sign-
ificantly decreased TAG levels. The results of subgroup 
analyses showed that the source of sesame intake moderates 
the effects of sesame intake on TAG levels. Consuming sesame 
as oil (but not as whole or ground seeds) has significant redu-
cing effects on TAG levels. A more pronounced effect of sesame 
on TAG levels was also observed in the subgroup with BMI 
<30 kg/m². There is no logical explanation for the observed 
findings. However, the difference observed in the subgroup 
of BMI can be due to the lower number of trials included in the 
subgroup of BMI ≥30 kg/m². Further interventions with a focus 
on higher BMI need to confirm the effect of sesame observed in 
this study. Subgroup analyses for duration and design of 
intervention did not affect the results. A neutral effect of sesame 
consumption on total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and 
HDL-cholesterol was observed in the present meta-analysis. 
Subgroup analysis of the effect of sesame intake on cholesterol 
or lipoprotein levels did not moderate the outcome. These 
findings are generally in line with the majority of individual 
studies selected for this review. Only one study showed a si-
gnificant change in cholesterol levels (10) and others failed to find 
such a relationship.

In vitro studies showed that sesamin inhibits peroxidation 
of LDL-cholesterol (51, 52) and therefore sesame consumption 
may have a protective role in atherogenesis (53). Animal studies 
have also reported that sesamin decreases the synthesis of fatty 
acids in the liver, down-regulates transcriptional factors (54), 
increases the activity of β-oxidation-associated enzymes and 
decreases hepatic arachidonic acid and EPA concentrations (55). 
The suggested mechanisms for the effect of sesame on human 
lipid profiles are not entirely similar to those of animal studies. 
For instance, peroxisome proliferation that corresponds to 
increased peroxisomal fatty acid β-oxidation activity can be 
activated in rodents but not in humans (56). In addition, the dose 
of sesame consumption can have an influence on the effect of 
sesame on lipid profiles. There is a dose-dependent association 
between gene expression of hepatic beta-oxidation enzymes 
and sesamin intake (19). Therefore, higher amounts of sesame 
intake may have different results on cholesterol levels in 
humans. However, the dose-dependency effect analysis was 
not applicable in this meta-analysis because of the differences 
between the sesame sources (oil, lignans) and difficulties in 
accurate dose conversions.

The present meta-analysis has a number of limitations that 
need to be considered. First, a small number of controlled trials 
was included in this review. The characteristics of included 
studies varied widely in terms of health status, sex and age of 
participants, study duration and sources and the dosage of sesame. In addition, a significant heterogeneity was detected 
between the studies in the meta-analysis. Sensitivity analyses 
tried to highlight the influence of study characteristics on 
the heterogeneity and overall meta-analysis results. However, 
the wide variation in the characteristics of included studies 
limited the subgroup analysis. The limited number of studies 
also raised the heterogeneity of analyses. Furthermore, the 
majority of trials did not control for dietary changes of partici-
pants during the intervention, which may have influenced the 
accuracy of the results. Moreover, one study with low quality 
assessment scores was excluded from the quantitative syn-
thesis, which could have skewed the meta-analysis results (57). 
Finally, only studies published in English language were 
included in this study. This may have resulted in the exclusion 
of quality studies that were published in other languages. 
Nevertheless, this study systematically reviewed the effects of 
sesame on lipid profiles and increased the power of conclusion 
by using meta-analysis of controlled trials.

**Conclusion**

Consumption of sesame can significantly reduce blood TAG 
levels. Despite not having adequate evidence to support the 
hypcholesterolaenic effects of sesame, the question of 
whether a higher dosage or longer duration of sesame 
consumption would have such effects remains unanswered. 
Therefore, there is a need for further investigation of sesame 
consumption as a complementary dietary constituent to deter-
mine its potential effects on improving lipid profiles and, in 
turn, reducing the risk of CVD.
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