ON THE VECTOR SUM OF CONTINUA

J. W. GREEN AND W. GUSTIN

In this note we investigate certain properties of a set formed as the vector sum of continua. Our interest in this subject arose in connection with the preceding paper *Quasiconvex sets* where we use, but do not prove, item 3 below.

Let X be a normed real vector space of dimension ν with the ν vectors a_{λ} as a basis (λ representing a variable index ranging over the ν indices $1, \ldots, \nu$). The parallelepipedal lattice consisting of all integral linear combinations $a = \sum a_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}$ of the basis vectors a_{λ} , the coefficients a_{λ} being integers, will be denoted by A.

Consider ν continua Q_{λ} in X such that the λ th continuum Q_{λ} contains the origin 0 and the basis vector a_{λ} . Let $Q = \sum Q_{\lambda}$ be the vector sum of these ν continua Q_{λ} , that is, the set of all vector sums $q = \sum q_{\lambda}$ with $q_{\lambda} \subset Q_{\lambda}$. A simple example of such a set is the solid parallepiped $P = \sum P_{\lambda}$ where P_{λ} is the line segment joining 0 and a_{λ} .

We shall prove that any vector sum *Q*, formed as above described, possesses the following properties:

(1)	Q is a continuum,	(2) X = A + Q,
(3)	Q has interior points,	(4) $\mu(P) \leq \mu(Q)$,

where μ is a measure on the space X invariant under translation.

1. We are to show that Q is a continuum: compact and connected.

We first demonstrate that Q is compact. To this end let $q^{\gamma} = \sum q_{\lambda}^{\gamma}$ with $q_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \subset Q_{\lambda}$ be a sequence of points in Q, γ running through the sequence Γ of positive integers. It is required to find a point $q \subset Q$ with $q^{\gamma} \rightarrow q$ as γ runs through some subsequence of Γ . Consider the sequence of points q_{1}^{γ} in Q_{1} as γ runs through Γ . Since Q_{1} is compact a point $q_{1} \subset Q_{1}$ and a subsequence Γ_{1} of Γ exists with $q_{1}^{\gamma} \rightarrow q_{1}$ as γ runs through Γ_{1} . Since Q_{2} is compact a point $q_{2} \subset Q_{2}$ and a subsequence Γ_{2} of Γ_{1} exists with $q_{2}^{\gamma} \rightarrow q_{2}$ as γ runs through Γ_{2} . Continuing this process recursively to the ν th stage we obtain ν points $q_{\lambda} \subset Q_{\lambda}$ and ν sequences $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{\nu}$ each a subsequence of the preceding one such that $q_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \rightarrow q_{\lambda}$ as γ runs through Γ_{λ} and hence also as γ runs through Γ_{ν} . Putting $q = \sum q_{\lambda}$ we have $q \subset Q$, since $q_{\lambda} \subset Q_{\lambda}$, and

$$q^{\gamma} = \sum q_{\lambda}{}^{\gamma} \rightarrow \sum q_{\lambda} = q$$

as γ runs through Γ_{ν} . This proves Q compact.

We next demonstrate that Q is connected by constructing for each point Received July 1, 1949. $q \subset Q$ a connected set C containing the origin 0 and the point q. Let $q = \sum q_{\lambda}$ with $q_{\lambda} \subset Q_{\lambda}$; and define the points c_{λ} and sets C_{λ} as follows:

$$c_0 = 0, \qquad c_{\lambda} = c_{\lambda-1} + q_{\lambda}, \qquad C_{\lambda} = c_{\lambda-1} + Q_{\lambda}, \qquad C = \bigcup C_{\lambda}.$$

We note that C_{λ} , being a translation of Q_{λ} , is connected and, since Q_{λ} contains 0 and q_{λ} , contains $c_{\lambda-1}$ and c_{λ} . Consequently the set C is connected and contains $c_0 = 0$ and $c_{\nu} = q$. This proves Q connected.

2. Since the vectors a_{λ} constitute a basis for the ν dimensional vector space X, every vector x in the space may be expressed uniquely in the form

$$x = \sum \xi_{\lambda}(x) a_{\lambda},$$

the coordinate functionals $\xi_{\lambda}(x)$ being linear. Thus the function

$$\omega(x) = \max |\xi_{\lambda}(x)|$$

has the properties of a norm. Now any two norm topologies on X are topologically equivalent, so we shall for convenience assume that ω is the norm on X and write $\omega(x) = |x|$. Observe that with this norm we have $|p| \leq 1$ for all $p \subset P$.

Every real number ξ can be uniquely partitioned into an integer a and a remainder θ with $0 \le \theta < 1$ so that $\xi = a + \theta$. Let this partition for the coordinate functional $\xi_{\lambda}(x)$ be

$$\xi_{\lambda}(x) = a_{\lambda}(x) + \theta_{\lambda}(x)$$

and define

$$a(x) = \sum a_{\lambda}(x)a_{\lambda}, \qquad p(x) = \sum \theta_{\lambda}(x)a_{\lambda}.$$

Then $a(x) \subset A$, $p(x) \subset P$, and

$$x = a(x) + p(x),$$

(which shows X = A + P).

Fix the positive number $\epsilon > 0$. Since Q_{λ} is connected, any two of its points may be connected in it by an ϵ chain. Thus there exists an ϵ chain C_{λ}^{ϵ} of points of Q_{λ} running from 0 to a_{λ} . Consider the path Q_{λ}^{ϵ} obtained by drawing the line segments joining consecutive points along the chain C_{λ}^{ϵ} . This path begins at 0 and ends at a_{λ} , and is at all of its points within ϵ distance of some point of Q_{λ} , namely a point of C_{λ}^{ϵ} . Clearly a continuous mapping q_{λ}^{ϵ} can be constructed which maps the closed real unit interval $I: 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ onto the path Q_{λ}^{ϵ} so that $q_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(0) = 0$ and $q_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(1) = a_{\lambda}$. Let $\xi = a + \theta$ be the partition of the real number ξ into its integral part a and remainder θ ; and define

$$f_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(\xi) = f_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(a + \theta) = aa_{\lambda} + q_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(\theta).$$

We note that the mapping f_{λ}^{ϵ} is continuous for all real ξ . This is obvious for non-integral ξ and also for integral ξ approached from above, since q_{λ}^{ϵ} is continuous on I and the integral part of ξ becomes constant. Furthermore for

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1950-047-7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

integral $\xi = a + 1$ (not a partition) f_{λ}^{ϵ} is also continuous from below; for, as $\theta \to 1$ from below, we have

$$f_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(a+\theta) = aa_{\lambda} + q_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(\theta) \to aa_{\lambda} + q_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(1) = (a+1)a_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(a+1).$$

Thus f_{λ}^{ϵ} is continuous.

Now define the mapping f^{ϵ} of the space X into itself as follows:

$$f^{\epsilon}(x) = \sum f_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(\xi_{\lambda}(x)) = a(x) + q^{\epsilon}(x)$$

where

$$q^{\epsilon}(x) = \sum q_{\lambda}^{\epsilon}(\theta_{\lambda}(x)).$$

Since f_{λ}^{ϵ} and ξ_{λ} are continuous mappings, f^{ϵ} is also continuous. Every point of Q_{λ}^{ϵ} is within ϵ distance of some point of Q_{λ} , so we see that $q^{\epsilon}(x)$ is within $\nu \epsilon$ distance of some point of Q. Now

so

$$\begin{aligned} x - f^{\epsilon}(x) &= p(x) - q^{\epsilon}(x), \\ |x - f^{\epsilon}(x)| &\leq 1 + \nu\epsilon + \delta \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \max |q| (q \subset Q)$. Thus $x - f^{\epsilon}(x)$ is uniformly bounded for all x in X. Let S be the open unit sphere: |s| < 1. The mapping h defined by the formula

$$s = h(x) = \frac{x}{1+|x|}$$

is a homeomorphism contracting X onto S whose inverse mapping is

$$x = h^{-1}(s) = \frac{s}{1 - |s|}, |s| < 1.$$

With several applications of the triangle inequality it may be shown that the homeomorphism h satisfies the following norm inequality which we shall call the h-inequality:

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \leq |x - y| \cdot (1 - |h(x)| \cdot |h(y)|).$$

Consider now the mapping g^{ϵ} of the closed sphere $\overline{S}: |s| \leq 1$ into itself defined by

$$g^{\epsilon}(s) = \begin{cases} hf^{\epsilon}h^{-1}(s), & |s| < 1, \\ s, & |s| = 1. \end{cases}$$

This mapping g^{ϵ} is, as we shall demonstrate, continuous on \overline{S} . It is clearly continuous when confined either to S or to its boundary. Thus it remains to show that $g^{\epsilon}(s^{\gamma}) \rightarrow g^{\epsilon}(s)$ as $s^{\gamma} \rightarrow s$, the points s^{γ} being in S and the point s on the boundary of S. Let

$$x^{\gamma} = h^{-1}(s^{\gamma}),$$

$$y^{\gamma} = f^{\epsilon}(x^{\gamma}),$$

$$d^{\gamma} = s^{\gamma} - g^{\epsilon}(s^{\gamma}) = h(x^{\gamma}) - h(y^{\gamma}).$$

510

Since, as we have already noted, the vectors $x^{\gamma} - f^{\epsilon}(x^{\gamma}) = x^{\gamma} - y^{\gamma}$ are uniformly bounded independently of γ , and $s^{\gamma} \to s$ (so that $|s^{\gamma}| \to |s| = 1$), we have $|x^{\gamma}| \to \infty$ and $|y^{\gamma}| \to \infty$. Therefore $|h(x^{\gamma})| \to 1$ and $|h(y^{\gamma})| \to 1$, so it follows from the *h*-inequality that $|d^{\gamma}| \to 0$ and hence that $d^{\gamma} \to 0$. Consequently

$$g^{\epsilon}(s^{\gamma}) - g^{\epsilon}(s) = s^{\gamma} - s - d^{\gamma} \rightarrow 0,$$

which proves g^{ϵ} to be a continuous mapping of the closed sphere \overline{S} into itself leaving the boundary fixed. According to a variant form of the Brouwer fixed point theorem for the closed sphere \overline{S} the mapping g^{ϵ} is then an onto mapping: $g^{\epsilon}(S) = \overline{S}$, whence $g^{\epsilon}(S) = S$. Therefore f^{ϵ} is also an onto mapping:

$$f^{\epsilon}(X) = f^{\epsilon}h^{-1}(S) = h^{-1}g^{\epsilon}(S) = h^{-1}(S) = X.$$

Our present task is to show that X = A + Q; that is, for any point $x \subset X$ the equation x = a + q is solvable with $a \subset A$ and $q \subset Q$. Choose a sequence of positive numbers ϵ^{γ} such that $\epsilon^{\gamma} \to 0$ as γ runs through the sequence Γ of positive integers. Since $f^{\epsilon^{\gamma}}(X) = X$ there exists a point x^{γ} such that

$$x = f^{\epsilon^{\gamma}}(x^{\gamma}) = a(x^{\gamma}) + q^{\epsilon^{\gamma}}(x^{\gamma}).$$

Put $a(x^{\gamma}) = a^{\gamma}$; and, since $q^{\epsilon^{\gamma}}(x^{\gamma})$ is within $\nu \epsilon^{\gamma}$ distance of Q, replace it by $q^{\gamma} + e^{\gamma}$, where $q^{\gamma} \subset Q$ and $|e^{\gamma}| < \nu \epsilon^{\gamma}$. Thus

$$x = a^{\gamma} + q^{\gamma} + e^{\gamma}.$$

Since the q^{γ} and e^{γ} are bounded so also are the a^{γ} . But any bounded subset of A is finite so a^{γ} is constant, say a, for infinitely many integers γ , say for the sequence Γ_a . Q being compact, a point $q \subset Q$ and a subsequence Γ_{aq} of Γ_a exist with $q^{\gamma} \to q$ as γ runs through Γ_{aq} . Therefore

$$x = a^{\gamma} + q^{\gamma} + e^{\gamma} \rightarrow a + q$$

as γ runs through Γ_{aq} , since $a^{\gamma} = a$, $q^{\gamma} \to q$, and $e^{\gamma} \to 0$. This proves x = a + q.

3. We have shown that X = A + Q. Thus the space X is the union as a ranges over the countable set A of the translates a + Q of Q. Since the entire space X is of second category, at least one of these translates of Q, and hence Q itself, is somewhere dense. Therefore the set Q, being closed, contains interior points.

4. The set Q is closed and hence measurable, so every translate a + Q of Q is measurable, and has the measure $\mu(Q)$; similarly every translate a + P of P is measurable and has measure $\mu(P)$.

Define A^{β} for each integer $\beta \ge 0$ to be that subset of A consisting of the $(2\beta + 1)^{\nu}$ integral linear combinations $a = \sum \alpha_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}$ of the basis vectors a_{λ} , the coefficients α_{λ} being integers such that $|\alpha_{\lambda}| \le \beta$. Observe that by our selection of norm we have $|a| \le \beta$ for every $a \subset A^{\beta}$. Let $Q^{\beta} = A^{\beta} + Q$ and $P^{\beta} = A^{\beta} + P$.

The set Q^{β} is the union as *a* ranges over the set A^{β} of the $(2\beta + 1)^{\nu}$ measurable sets a + Q each having measure $\mu(Q)$. Therefore Q^{β} is measurable and

$$\mu(Q^{\beta}) \leq (2\beta + 1)^{\nu} \mu(Q).$$

Every plane, being closed, is measurable. Suppose that every plane has measure zero. The intersection of any two translates of P by distinct vectors of A being a planar set (possibly null) then has measure zero. Two such sets may be called μ -disjoint. Consequently P^{β} is the union as a ranges over A^{β} of the $(2\beta + 1)^{p}$ measurable pairwise μ -disjoint sets a + P each having measure $\mu(P)$; so P is measurable and has measure

$$\mu(P^{\beta}) = (2\beta + 1)^{\nu} \mu(P).$$

We now show that $P^{\beta} \subset Q^{\beta+\gamma}$, where γ is any fixed integer $\geq \delta + 1$ and $\delta = \max |q| (q \subset Q)$. Suppose, to the contrary, that some point x exists with $x \subset P^{\beta}$ and $x \not\subset Q^{\beta+\gamma}$. Since $x \subset P^{\beta}$ we have $x = a_p + p$ where $a_p \subset A^{\beta}$ and $p \subset P$, whence

$$|x| = |a_p + p| \leq |a_p| + |p| \leq \beta + 1.$$

Now X = A + Q so $x = a_q + q$ where $a_q \subset A$ and $q \subset Q$. However $a_q \not\subset A^{\beta+\gamma}$ since $x \not\subset Q^{\beta+\gamma}$, so

 $|x| = |a_q+q| \ge |a_q| - |q| > \beta + \gamma - \delta \ge \beta + 1.$

in contradiction to the preceding inequality. This contradiction proves $P^{\beta} \subset Q^{\beta+\gamma}$. Therefore

 $(2\beta+1)^{\nu}\mu(P) = \mu(P^{\beta}) \leq \mu(Q^{\beta+\gamma}) \leq (2\beta+2\gamma+1)^{\nu}\mu(Q).$

Dividing this inequality through by $(2\beta + 1)^r$ and letting $\beta \to \infty$ we obtain the desired inequality $\mu(P) \leq \mu(Q)$.

If, finally, some plane has positive measure, then it is possible by suitable translation to insert into any sphere infinitely many disjoint parallel planar portions all having the same positive measure, so that every set with interior points, in particular P and Q, has infinite measure.

U.C.L.A. and Indiana University